

R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade

Slim Ben Youssef

NOTA DI LAVORO 17.2004

JANUARY 2004

CCMP – Climate Change Modelling and Policy

Slim Ben Youssef, *LAREQUAD and Faculté des Sciences Economiques et de Gestion de Mahdia*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade

Summary

We consider a dynamic three-stage game played by two regulator-firm hierarchies to capture the scale and technological effects of opening markets to international trade. Each firm produces one good sold on the market. Firms can invest in R&D in order to lower their fixed emission/output ratio and are regulated with costly public funds. We take the context of sufficiently high market sizes and investment cost parameters. Opening markets to international trade yields more investment in R&D, more production and a lower emission ratio. When the market size is low enough and the investment cost parameter is high enough, pollution in common market is higher than in autarky. International trade reduces the social welfare.

Keywords: R&D, Cleaner technology, Common market, Social welfare

JEL Classification: D62, F12, O32

Address for correspondence:

Slim Ben Youssef
Faculté des Sciences Economiques et de Gestion de Mahdia
Université de Tunis El Manar
Boite Postale No 248
2092 El Manar 2
Tunisia
E-mail: slim.benyoussef@gnet.tn

1.Introduction

This paper studies the combination of the scale and technological effects of opening markets to international trade by means of a dynamic model where there is a possibility to invest in research and development (R&D) while supposing the existence of positive marginal social cost of public funds. We show that opening markets to foreign competitors may increase pollution and always decreases the social welfare.

The relation between free trade and pollution can be explained by three main effects. The scale effect linking pollution to the scale of production and it is expected that international trade increases production and therefore pollution. The composition effect admits that certain dirty industries could relocate in countries with more lenient regulations. The technological effect refers to the possibility that international competition may encourage the innovation and diffusion of cleaner technologies to reduce the pollution intensity.

Copeland and Taylor (1994) develop a static two-country general equilibrium model to isolate the scale, composition and technique effects of international trade on pollution. They show that trade liberalization may raise world pollution. Let's notice that even if they isolate the technique effect, they don't consider the possibility of investment in cleaner production technology because they suppose that it's available and is characterized by abatement possibilities. Antweiler et al. (2001) have conducted empirical tests using data on sulfur dioxide concentrations and have shown that free trade reduces pollution. Reppelin-Hill (1999) empirically demonstrates that a cleaner technology (the electric arc furnace) is diffused more quickly in countries having more open trade regimes. However, these three last papers haven't proved any result concerning the welfare effects of free trade.

Karp et al. (2001) show that autarky is likely to Pareto-dominate free trade in the long run when the environment is fragile, and the result is reversed when the environment is resilient. Walz and Wellisch (1997) highlight that welfare-maximizing governments of exporting countries prefer free trade even if countries subsidize their local industries indirectly through ecological dumping. Pécoux and Pouyet (2003) show that, under incomplete information, international competition generated by the common market

enables regulators to reduce the informational rents captured by firms, thereby reinforcing the need to open the markets to international competition.

The most important difference of our approach with respect to the above literature is that firms have the possibility to invest in R&D to lower their emission/output ratio, and we think that the better way to model the investment in cleaner technology is a dynamic model in which the production and innovation decisions are taken at different dates. Our approach is motivated by the fact that in many industries pollution is function of production with no abatement possibilities, and the only way to reduce the pollution intensity is to change the production process i.e. to invest in R&D.

We consider a symmetric three-stage game played by a pair of regulator-firm hierarchies. In the third stage, each firm produces one good sold on the market. In the second stage, firms can invest in R&D in order to lower their fixed emission/output ratio. In the first stage, regulators propose non-cooperatively their contracts which should be accepted by their respective firms while giving the socially optimal levels of production, pollution and R&D. We study the full information context and suppose the existence of positive marginal social cost of public funds ($\lambda > 0$). Our objective is to compare the optimal equilibrium values in autarky and common market.

Let's notice the important role played by the positive marginal social cost of public funds because if it's nil the equilibrium values in autarky and common market are equal. In our complete information context, the presence of positive λ means that each regulator gives, in its social welfare function, a higher weight to the profit of its firm with respect to the consumer surplus and the damages caused by pollution. So, when markets are opened to international trade, competition of firms on the common market incites each regulator to increase its production to get a higher share of the common market and this forces them to decrease their emission ratio by increasing their R&D level to have less pollution with respect to the status quo in innovation. However, since the marginal cost of innovation is increasing, the R&D level doesn't rise in a sufficiently quantity which might increase pollution. Consequently, international competition increases production and innovation which might reduce the profit of firms and increase pollution, thus, always reducing the social welfare.

The paper has the following structure. Section 2 presents the basic model when markets are separated. Section 3 treats the case of a common market. Section 4 compares the equilibrium values given by the autarky and common market regimes, and section 5 concludes. Finally, an appendix gathers all the proofs of propositions.

2. Separate markets

Our symmetric model consists of two countries and two firms. Firm i located in country i is a regional monopoly and produces good i in quantity q_i sold in the domestic market with the following inverse demand function : $p_i = a - 2q_i, a > 0$. The size of each market is therefore $a/2$.

As firm i is a regional monopoly that pollutes the domestic environment, it should be regulated. The regulator can use three types of instruments : a subsidy per-unit of R&D to induce the socially optimal levels of R&D and emission/output ratio, an emission tax per-unit of pollution to induce the socially optimal levels of production and pollution, and a lump sum tax on profit to extract all the profit of the firm because of the positive marginal social cost of public funds. However, computations are very difficult with this first method of regulation. Indeed, the regulator must choose the socially optimal emission tax and subsidy in the first stage given the reaction of the firm which will choose its optimal levels of R&D and production in the second and third stages, respectively. Since our primary objective is to compare the socially optimal equilibrium values of production, innovation, pollution and social welfare in autarky and common market, we consider a second method of regulation which considerably eases computations.

In the first stage, each regulator proposes to his firm a contract (q_i, x_i, T_i) where q_i is the level of production that firm i must produce, x_i is the level of R&D that must be attained by the innovation activity of the firm, and T_i is a monetary transfer inducing the firm to accept this contract. The value of T_i is as such that the net profit of the firm will be at least equal to its reservation utility level which we assume to be equal to zero. When the monetary transfer is positive, the firm receives a subsidy, and when it's negative, the firm

pays a tax. In the second stage, firms invest in R&D, and in the third one, they produce the contracted quantities.

The production process generates pollution and firms can invest in R&D in order to decrease their fixed emission/output ratio. The level x_i of R&D costs kx_i^2 , where $k>0$ is an investment cost parameter.

Denoting the marginal cost of production by $\theta>0$, the profit of firm i is $\Pi_i^a = p_i(q_i)q_i - \theta q_i - kx_i^2$, and its net profit is $U_i = \Pi_i^a(q_i, x_i) + T_i$.

By normalizing the emission/output ratio to one without innovation, the pollution ratio of firm i is:¹ $e_i = 1 - x_i$, $0 < x_i < 1$.

The emission of pollution of firm i is thus: $E_i = e_i q_i$.

Damages caused to country i are purely local?² $D_i = \alpha E_i$, where $\alpha>0$ expresses the sensitivity of consumers to the quality of the environment.

The production of q_i engenders a consumer surplus in country i equal to $CS_i^a = \int_0^{q_i} p_i(t)dt - p_i(q_i)q_i = q_i^2$.

Denoting the marginal social cost of public funds³ by $\lambda>0$, the consumer welfare of country i is: $W_i^a = CS_i^a(q_i) - D_i(q_i, x_i) - (1 + \lambda)T_i$.

The social welfare of a country is equal to the consumer welfare plus the net profit of the domestic firm: $S_i^a = W_i^a + U_i = CS_i^a(q_i) - D_i(q_i, x_i) + (1 + \lambda)\Pi_i^a(q_i, x_i) - \lambda U_i$.

In our complete information setting, each regulator i maximizes his social welfare with respect to q_i , x_i and U_i under the rationality constraint of firm i . We allow ourselves to express the regulator's problem in function of U_i rather than T_i because these latter are one-to-one related. Since the reservation utility level of firms is assumed to be equal to zero, the regulator chooses the monetary transfer so that the net profit of his firm is nil ($U_i=0$). Therefore, the social welfare of country i becomes:

¹ We suppose that there is no R&D spillovers between firms because we are able to compare the social welfare in the two market regimes only when this parameter is very small. See d'Aspremont and Jacquemin (1988) for more on this topic.

²In this paper, we ignore the possibility of transboundary pollution.

³ See Laffont (1994) for more on this.

$$S_i^a(q_i, x_i) = CS_i^a(q_i) - D_i(q_i, x_i) + (1 + \mathbf{I})\Pi_i^a(q_i, x_i) \quad (1)$$

Expression (1) shows that a higher weight is given to the profit of the domestic firm in the social welfare function, with respect to the consumer surplus and the damages caused by pollution.

$$\text{The first order condition of the third stage is : } \frac{\mathcal{J}S_i^a}{\mathcal{J}q_i} = 0 \quad (2)$$

The resolution of (2) yields :

$$q_i^a(x_i) = \frac{\mathbf{a}x_i + (1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}}{2(1 + 2\mathbf{I})} \quad (3)$$

From expression (3), we have :

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}q_i^a}{\mathcal{J}x_i} = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{2(1 + 2\mathbf{I})} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathcal{J}q_i^a}{\mathcal{J}x_j} = 0 \quad (4)$$

Therefore, the quantity produced by a firm increases with the increase of its own R&D level because it reduces its emission/output ratio, and doesn't depend on the R&D level of the other firm because there is no interaction between the two hierarchies.

The first order condition of the second stage is :

$$\frac{dS_i^a}{dx_i} = \frac{\mathcal{J}q_i}{\mathcal{J}x_i} \frac{\mathcal{J}S_i^a}{\mathcal{J}q_i} + \frac{\mathcal{J}S_i^a}{\mathcal{J}x_i} = 0 \quad (5)$$

At the equilibrium, by using (2), equation (5) is simplified and, by using (3), its symmetric⁴ solution is :

$$x_i^a = \mathbf{a} \frac{(1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}}{4(1 + \mathbf{I})(1 + 2\mathbf{I})k - \mathbf{a}^2} \quad (6)$$

To insure that the numerator of (6) is positive,⁵ we need that :

$$(1 + \lambda)(a - \theta) > \alpha \Leftrightarrow a > \mathbf{q} + \frac{\mathbf{a}}{1 + \mathbf{I}} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Therefore, our results are true when the market sizes are high enough. Otherwise, regulators will choose not to innovate nor to produce.

$$\text{We also need that } 1 - x_i^a > 0 \Leftrightarrow k > \frac{\mathbf{a}(a - \mathbf{q})}{4(1 + 2\mathbf{I})} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

⁴ We look for the symmetric equilibrium because the model is symmetric and computations are easier.

⁵ Alternatively, we can require that the numerator and denominator are both negative. However, this last condition will be incompatible with the concavity condition of the second stage.

Conditions (C.1) and (C.2) insure the second order condition of the second stage and the positivity of the optimal levels of R&D and production.

3. International trade

When firms produce perfect substitute goods sold in both countries, the inverse demand function becomes : $p = a - (q_i + q_j)$.

The firms profits are : $\Pi_i^{cm} = p(q_i + q_j)q_i - \mathbf{q}q_i - kx_i^2$.

The total consumer surplus is equally divided between the two symmetric countries :

$$CS_i^{cm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^{q_i+q_j} p(t)dt - p(q_i + q_j)(q_i + q_j) \right] = \frac{1}{4} (q_i + q_j)^2$$

As in autarky, firms have a zero net profit and the social welfare of country i is :

$$S_i^{cm}(q_i, q_j, x_i) = CS_i^{cm}(q_i, q_j) - D_i(q_i, x_i) + (1 + \mathbf{I})\Pi_i^{cm}(q_i, q_j, x_i) \quad (7)$$

The first order condition of the third stage is : $\frac{\mathcal{J}S_i^{cm}}{\mathcal{J}q_i} = 0$ (8)

Resolving (8), we get :

$$q_i^{cm}(x_i, x_j) = \frac{[(3 + 4\mathbf{I})x_i - (1 + 2\mathbf{I})x_j] \mathbf{a} + 2(1 + \mathbf{I})[(1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]}{2(1 + \mathbf{I})(2 + 3\mathbf{I})} \quad (9)$$

From (9), we have :

$$\frac{\partial q_i^{cm}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{(3 + 4\mathbf{I})\mathbf{a}}{2(1 + \mathbf{I})(2 + 3\mathbf{I})} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial q_i^{cm}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{-(1 + 2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{a}}{2(1 + \mathbf{I})(2 + 3\mathbf{I})} < 0 \quad (10)$$

When a firm increases its level of R&D, this enables it to produce more because its emission/output ratio is lowered. When the rival firm increases its level of innovation, this lowers its pollution ratio and therefore can produce more, forcing the initial competing firm to reduce its production.

The first order condition of the second stage is :

$$\frac{dS_i^{cm}}{dx_i} = \frac{\mathcal{J}q_i}{\mathcal{J}k_i} \frac{\mathcal{J}S_i^{cm}}{\mathcal{J}q_i} + \frac{\mathcal{J}q_j}{\mathcal{J}k_i} \frac{\mathcal{J}S_i^{cm}}{\mathcal{J}q_j} + \frac{\mathcal{J}S_i^{cm}}{\mathcal{J}k_i} = 0 \quad (11)$$

The second order condition of the second stage is verified at the equilibrium iff :

$$k > \frac{7 + 21\mathbf{I} + 16\mathbf{I}^2}{4(1 + \mathbf{I})^2(2 + 3\mathbf{I})^2} \mathbf{a}^2 \quad (C.3)$$

Using (8), (9) and (10), the symmetric solution of (11) is :

$$x_i^{cm} = \frac{(4 + 11\mathbf{I} + 8\mathbf{I}^2)[(1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]\mathbf{a}}{4(1 + \mathbf{I})^2(2 + 3\mathbf{I})^2 k - (4 + 11\mathbf{I} + 8\mathbf{I}^2)\mathbf{a}^2} \quad (12)$$

$$\text{We also need that } 1 - x_i^{cm} > 0 \Leftrightarrow k > \frac{(4 + 11\mathbf{I} + 8\mathbf{I}^2)(a - \mathbf{q})\mathbf{a}}{4(1 + \mathbf{I})(2 + 3\mathbf{I})^2} \quad (C.4)$$

Conditions (C.1) and (C.4) imply that the optimal R&D level is positive.

The symmetric expression of (9) is :

$$q_i^{cm} = \frac{1}{2 + 3\mathbf{I}} [\mathbf{a}x_i^{cm} + (1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}] \quad (13)$$

4. Separate markets versus common market

The following results are verified under conditions (C.1) to (C.4) which imply that a and k are high enough.

Let's remark that if λ is nil, then expressions (3), (6), (12) and (13) show that the optimal values in autarky and common market are equal.

Proposition 1. *The optimal R&D level and production are higher under common market than under separate markets whereas the emission/output ratio is lower.*

Competition on the common market leads to a higher level of production because of the strategic substitutability of goods in the profit functions of firms. Such a raise in production is accompanied by a decrease in the emission ratio realized by increasing the level of R&D to cause less damages to the environment with respect to the status quo in innovation.

Proposition 2. *When a is sufficiently low and k is sufficiently high, pollution in common market is higher than in autarky.*

Opening markets to international trade increases production. To avoid major damages, regulators also increase the R&D level but not in a sufficient amount because R&D expenditures increase rapidly with the innovation level. Thus, pollution, which is the

product of the emission/output ratio and production, increases when markets are opened and when a is low enough and k is high enough.

It is therefore expected that, under these conditions, the social welfare decreases when markets are opened to international trade.

Proposition 3. *Opening markets to international trade reduces the social welfare.*

When markets are opened to international trade, both the level of production and R&D increase. The result may be a decrease of the profit of firms, particularly because the marginal cost of innovation is increasing, and a rise of pollution which lead to a diminution of the social welfare.

6. Conclusion

This model captures the scale and technological effects and tries to know the impact of opening markets to international trade on production, R&D, pollution and social welfare.

We consider a dynamic and symmetric three-stage game played by two regulator-firm hierarchies in presence of costly public funds. Each firm produces one good sold on the market and can invest in R&D to lower its fixed emission/output ratio.

Free mobility of goods between countries leads to both more investment in R&D and production, and to a lower emission ratio. When the market sizes are sufficiently low and the investment cost parameter is sufficiently high, international trade leads to an increase of pollution. The social welfare is always greater when markets are separated than when there is a common market. Indeed, when markets are opened to international trade, production and innovation increase which may reduce the profit of firms and increase pollution, thus, reducing the social welfare. Let's point out that all these results are valid when the market sizes of countries and the investment cost parameter are high enough.

A possible extension of this work is to introduce asymmetric information between the regulators and their respective firms concerning their production costs or R&D activity. Incomplete information may change our final result because competition of firms on the common market may reduce their informational rents and therefore increases the social

welfare. Another extension, which could imply difficult computations, is to consider the existence of transboundary pollution between countries.

Appendix

A) Proof of Proposition 1

Using expressions (6) and (12), we show that $x_i^{cm} - x_i^a > 0$, which implies that $e_i^{cm} < e_i^a$.

Since $x_i^{cm} > x_i^a$, from expressions (3) and (13), we also have $q_i^{cm} > q_i^a$.

B) Proof of Proposition 2

Consider the function $f(x_i) = (1 - x_i)[\mathbf{a}x_i + (1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]$.

We have : $f'(x_i) > 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i < x^1 = \frac{2\mathbf{a} - (1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q})}{2\mathbf{a}}$.

If $(1 + \lambda)(a - \theta) < 2\alpha$, then $f'(x_i) > 0, \forall x_i < x^1$ with $x^1 > 0$.

Using the expressions of x_i^{cm} and x^1 , we show that :

$$x_i^{cm} - x^1 < 0 \Leftrightarrow k > k^1 = \frac{(4 + 11\mathbf{I} + 8\mathbf{I}^2)\mathbf{a}^2(a - \mathbf{q})}{4(1 + \mathbf{I})(2 + 3\mathbf{I})^2[2\mathbf{a} - (1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q})]}$$

Therefore, if $(1 + \lambda)(a - \theta) < 2\alpha \Leftrightarrow a < \mathbf{q} + \frac{2\mathbf{a}}{1 + \mathbf{I}}$ and $k > k^1$, then $0 < f(x_i^a) < f(x_i^{cm})$, implying

that :

$$E_i^{cm} = \frac{f(x_i^{cm})}{2 + 3\mathbf{I}} > \frac{f(x_i^a)}{2 + 3\mathbf{I}} > \frac{f(x_i^a)}{2(1 + 2\mathbf{I})} = E_i^a$$

Thus, when a is low enough and k is high enough, opening markets to international trade increases pollution.

C) Proof of Proposition 3

Using expressions (1) and (7), the equilibrium social welfare of country i can be written as :

$$S_i = -(1 + 2\mathbf{I})(q_i(x_i))^2 + [\mathbf{a}x_i + (1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]q_i(x_i) - (1 + \mathbf{I})kx_i^2$$

Using expressions (3) and (13) :

$$S_i = d[\mathbf{a}x_i + (1 + \mathbf{I})(a - \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]^2 - (1 + \mathbf{I})kx_i^2$$

where $d^a = \frac{1}{4(1+2\mathbf{I})}$ in autarky, and $d^{cm} = \frac{1+\mathbf{I}}{(2+3\mathbf{I})^2}$ in common market. It's easy to verify that $d^a > d^{cm}$.

Consider the function $g(x_i) = \frac{1+\mathbf{I}}{(2+3\mathbf{I})^2} [\mathbf{a}x_i + (1+\mathbf{I})(a-\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]^2 - (1+\mathbf{I})kx_i^2$.

Using conditions (C.1) and (C.2), $g'(x_i) < 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i > x^2 = \frac{[(1+\mathbf{I})(a-\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]\mathbf{a}}{(2+3\mathbf{I})^2 k - \mathbf{a}^2}$.

Using the expression of x_i^a , we show that $x_i^a - x^2 > 0$.

We have : $S_i^{cm} = g(x_i^{cm}) < g(x_i^a) = d^{cm} [\mathbf{a}x_i^a + (1+\mathbf{I})(a-\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{a}]^2 - (1+\mathbf{I})k(x_i^a)^2 < S_i^a$.

Therefore, opening markets to international trade reduces social welfare.

References

- Antweiler, W., B.R. Copeland and M.S. Taylor, 2001, "Is Free Trade Good for the Environment", *The American Economic Review*, 91, 877-908.
- D'Aspremont, C. and A. Jacquemin, 1988, "Cooperative and Noncooperative R&D in Duopoly with Spillovers", *The American Economic Review*, 78, 1133-1137.
- Copeland, B.R. and M.S. Taylor, 1994, "North-South Trade and the Environment", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 109, 755-787.
- Karp, L., S. Sacheti and J. Zhao, 2001, "Common Ground between Free-Traders and Environmentalists", *International Economic Review*, 42, 617-647.
- Laffont, J.J, 1994, "The New Economics of Regulation Ten Years After", *Econometrica*, 62, 507-537.
- Péchoux, I. and J. Pouyet, 2003, "Regulated Firms with Transboundary Pollution : Does International Competition Improve Efficiency?", *Journal of Public Economic Theory*, 5, 499-525.
- Reppelin-Hill, V, 1999, "Trade and Environment :An Empirical Analysis of the Technology Effect in the Steel Industry", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 38, 283-301.
- Walz, U. and D. Wellisch, 1997, "Is Free Trade in the Interest of Exporting Countries when there is Ecological Dumping?", *Journal of Public Economics*, 66, 275-291.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	<i>Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO</i> : <u>Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues</u>
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE</i> : <u>Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review</u>
PRIV	3.2003	<i>Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity Market</u>
CLIM	4.2003	<i>Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM</i> : <u>Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment</u>
KNOW	5.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u>
ETA	6.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO</i> : <u>Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model</u>
SIEV	7.2003	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI</i> : <u>The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment: Evidence from Surveys of Developers</u>
NRM	8.2003	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	<i>A. CAPARRÓS, J.-C. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game with Asymmetric Information</u>
KNOW	10.2003	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI</i> : <u>School Quality and Family Background in Italy</u>
CLIM	11.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI</i> : <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNOW	12.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.)</i> : <u>Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art</u>
KNOW	13.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix)</i> : <u>Bio-Ecological Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u>
KNOW	14.2003	<i>Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix)</i> : <u>Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories</u>
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix)</i> : <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life</u>
KNOW	16.2003	<i>Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix)</i> : <u>Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia</u>
KNOW	17.2003	<i>Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix)</i> : <u>A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community</u>
KNOW	18.2003	<i>Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix)</i> : <u>Managing Diversity in a Globalizing World</u>
Coalition Theory Network	19.2003	<i>Sergio CURRARINI</i> : <u>On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities</u>
PRIV	20.2003	<i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Monopoly with Resale</u>
PRIV	21.2003	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition</u>
PRIV	22.2003	<i>Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions</u>
PRIV	23.2003	<i>David ETTINGER (lx)</i> : <u>Bidding among Friends and Enemies</u>
PRIV	24.2003	<i>Hannu VARTAINEN (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design without Commitment</u>
PRIV	25.2003	<i>Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx)</i> : <u>Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions</u>
PRIV	26.2003	<i>Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx)</i> : <u>Rationing in IPOs</u>
PRIV	27.2003	<i>Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx)</i> : <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u>
PRIV	28.2003	<i>Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx)</i> : <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts</u>
PRIV	29.2003	<i>TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx)</i> : <u>Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment</u>
PRIV	30.2003	<i>Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx)</i> : <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u>
ETA	31.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games</u>
KNOW	32.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty</u>
PRIV	33.2003	<i>Philippe QUIRION</i> : <u>Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?</u>
KNOW	34.2003	<i>Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL</i> : <u>On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis</u>

ETA	35.2003	<i>Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTÀ: <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation</u></i>
GG	36.2003	<i>Mathieu GLACHANT: <u>Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats</u></i>
PRIV	37.2003	<i>Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: <u>Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection</u></i>
CLIM	38.2003	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: <u>Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers</u></i>
KNOW	39.2003	<i>Slim BEN YOUSSEF: <u>Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade</u></i>
CTN	40.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations</u></i>
KNOW	41.2003	<i>Sonia OREFFICE: <u>Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply</u></i>
KNOW	42.2003	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: <u>On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing Biotechnologies</u></i>
ETA	43.2003	<i>Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: <u>STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US</u></i>
CLIM	44.2003	<i>Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: <u>The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness</u></i>
PRIV	45.2003	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: <u>The Political Economy of Privatization</u></i>
SIEV	46.2003	<i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods</u></i>
ETA	47.2003	<i>Jens HORBACH: <u>Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany</u></i>
CLIM	48.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: <u>The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u></i>
CLIM	49.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: <u>Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits</u></i>
CTN	50.2003	<i>László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (Ixi): <u>The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension</u></i>
CTN	51.2003	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON (Ixi): <u>Allocation Rules for Network Games</u></i>
CTN	52.2003	<i>Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (Ixi): <u>Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation</u></i>
CTN	53.2003	<i>Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (Ixi): <u>Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach</u></i>
CTN	54.2003	<i>Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (Ixi): <u>On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation</u></i>
CTN	55.2003	<i>Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (Ixi): <u>Free Trade Networks</u></i>
CTN	56.2003	<i>Halis Murat YILDIZ (Ixi): <u>National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization</u></i>
CTN	57.2003	<i>Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (Ixi): <u>An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International Environmental Agreements</u></i>
KNOW	58.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research</u></i>
KNOW	59.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: <u>Special Interests and Technological Change</u></i>
ETA	60.2003	<i>Ronnie SCHÖB: <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u></i>
CLIM	61.2003	<i>Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game</u></i>
GG	62.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u></i>
SIEV	63.2003	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy</u></i>
CLIM	64.2003	<i>Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures</u></i>
SIEV	65.2003	<i>Edi DEFRANCESCO: <u>The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy</u></i>
SIEV	66.2003	<i>Klaus CONRAD: <u>Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment</u></i>
SIEV	67.2003	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: <u>Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise</u></i>
CLIM	68.2003	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target</u></i>
KNOW	69.2003	<i>David FRANTZ (Iix): <u>Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation</u></i>
KNOW	70.2003	<i>Ercle SORI (Iix): <u>Mapping Diversity in Social History</u></i>
KNOW	71.2003	<i>Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (Ixii): <u>What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?</u></i>
KNOW	72.2003	<i>Natalya V. TARANOVA (Ixii): <u>The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case</u></i>
KNOW	73.2003	<i>Kristine CRANE (Ixii): <u>The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration</u></i>
KNOW	74.2003	<i>Kazuma MATOBA (Ixii): <u>Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication</u></i>
KNOW	75.2003	<i>Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (Ixii): <u>Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in Portugal</u></i>
KNOW	76.2003	<i>Sandra WALLMAN (Ixii): <u>The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems</u></i>
KNOW	77.2003	<i>Richard PEARCE (Ixii): <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u></i>
KNOW	78.2003	<i>Vincent MERK (Ixii): <u>Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas</u></i>

KNOW	79.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: <u>Child Labor and Resistance to Change</u></i>
ETA	80.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: <u>Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation</u></i>
IEM	81.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets</u></i>
CLIM	82.2003	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: <u>Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation</u></i>
CLIM	83.2003	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?</u></i>
CLIM	84.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: <u>Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes</u></i>
NRM	85.2003	<i>Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing? The cross-country evidence</u></i>
KNOW	86.2003	<i>Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: <u>The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno</u></i>
SIEV	87.2003	<i>Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: <u>Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments</u></i>
CLIM	88.2003	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of Global Warming</u></i>
CLIM	89.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Economic Development and Environmental Protection</u></i>
CLIM	90.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?</u></i>
CLIM	91.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries</u></i>
IEM	92.2003	<i>A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: <u>The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy Policy: The Case of Russia</u></i>
ETA	93.2003	<i>Andrea BELTRATTI: <u>Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium</u></i>
CTN	94.2003	<i>Parkash CHANDER: <u>The γ-Core and Coalition Formation</u></i>
IEM	95.2003	<i>Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: <u>Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption Using Principal Components</u></i>
IEM	96.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Long-run Models of Oil Stock Prices</u></i>
CTN	97.2003	<i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: <u>Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process Matters</u></i>
KNOW	98.2003	<i>John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (Ixiii): <u>Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical Schemes to Socio-Political Realities</u></i>
KNOW	99.2003	<i>Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixiii): <u>Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue</u></i>
KNOW	100.2003	<i>Alaknanda PATEL (Ixiii): <u>Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities</u></i>
KNOW	101.2003	<i>David MAY (Ixiii): <u>The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood</u></i>
KNOW	102.2003	<i>Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixiii) : <u>Municipal Reform on the Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City</u></i>
CLIM	103.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime</u></i>
CLIM	104.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in International Climate Agreements</u></i>
CLIM	105.2003	<i>Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: <u>Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy</u></i>
NRM	106.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests</u></i>
NRM	107.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries</u></i>
SIEV	108.2003	<i>Sara ANIYAR (Ixiv): <u>Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example</u></i>
SIEV	109.2003	<i>Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(Ixiv): <u>Evaluating Projects and Assessing Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies</u></i>
NRM	110.2003	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(Ixiv): <u>Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries</u></i>
NRM	111.2003	<i>Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (Ixiv): <u>Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands</u></i>
ETA	112.2003	<i>Jack GOODY (Ixiv): <u>Globalisation, Population and Ecology</u></i>
CTN	113.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: <u>Endogenous Minimum Participation in International Environmental Treaties</u></i>
CTN	114.2003	<i>Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Decentralized Job Matching</u></i>
CTN	115.2003	<i>Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: <u>Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems</u></i>
CTN	116.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem</u></i>
CTN	117.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem</u></i>
CTN	118.2003	<i>Marita LAUKKANEN: <u>Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty</u></i>

CTN	119.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results</u></i>
CTN	120.2003	<i>Gianluigi VERNASCA: <u>Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation</u></i>
CTN	121.2003	<i>Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: <u>Social Conformity in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	122.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	123.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players</u></i>
1000		Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: <u>One Thousand Working Papers</u>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and SO₂ Emissions in Selected European Countries</u></i>
ETA	2.2004	<i>Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u></i>
PRA	3.2004	<i>Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u></i>
ETA	4.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u></i>
ETA	5.2004	<i>Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u></i>
CCMP	6.2004	<i>Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO₂-Taxation Optimal</u></i>
PRA	7.2004	<i>Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u></i>
PRA	8.2004	<i>Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u></i>
PRA	9.2004	<i>Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u></i>
PRA	10.2004	<i>Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u></i>
PRA	11.2004	<i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u></i>
PRA	12.2004	<i>Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u></i>
PRA	13.2004	<i>Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u></i>
PRA	14.2004	<i>Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u></i>
PRA	15.2004	<i>Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u></i>
PRA	16.2004	<i>Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	17.2004	<i>Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u></i>

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Mapping Diversity”, Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities”, The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities”, Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics”, organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics - ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei - FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, Italy 28-29, 2003

2003 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

2004 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>