

Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries

Barbara Buchner and Marzio Galeotti

NOTA DI LAVORO 91.2003

SEPTEMBER 2003

CLIM – Climate Change Modelling and Policy

Barbara Buchner, *Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei* Marzio Galeotti, *Università di Milano and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries

Summary

Although developing countries face a drastic increase in their greenhouse gas emissions, mitigation actions against climate change do not rank high among their priorities. The obvious reason lies in the necessity for them to continue the development process, which is characterised by pressing needs other than emission control. For developing countries the real problem is thus not emissions but economic growth. Therefore the key question is whether or not the Kyoto Protocol provides an opportunity for growth and thus for their economic development. The only way to accelerate the participation of developing countries in climate agreements - and therefore to come closer to the goal of a global climate control - is to design strategies which enable their economic development. The dilemma of reducing emissions on a global scale while ensuring growth in the poorer regions can only be solved if there are possibilities embedded in the agreements which can contribute to the sustainable development of those regions. As a consequence, greater emphasis must be placed on the economic development dimension of the Kyoto Protocol as far as the impact on developing countries is concerned.

Keywords: Climate Policy, Environmental Modeling, Integrated Assessment, Technical Change

JEL: H0, H2, H3

The authors are very grateful to Nicola Cantore for carrying out the simulations with the ETC-RICE model.

Address for correspondence:

Marzio Galeotti Dipartimento di Economia Politica e Aziendale Università degli Studi di Milano Via Mercalli 23 I-20122 Milan Italy Phone: +39-02-50321534 Fax: +39-02-50321505/50321450 E-mail: marzio.galeotti@unimi.it

1. Introduction

For developing countries the problem of global warming is not equally important as for industrialised nations. Even if less developed countries (LDCs) are suffering from pollution and recognise that the fast-growing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions pose serious problems to them, they have other more pressing needs to cope with. In particular, developing countries put the goal of continued economic growth at the first place in order to enhance their process of development.

Nonetheless, there is no doubt that global warming can only be tackled on a global scale in the long run. Due to its global public good nature, an approach designed to seriously induce nations to curb global warming has to include the participation of developing countries. The last decade demonstrates that LDCs are increasingly contributing to the build-up of greenhouse gases, even though their per-capita intensity is still very low compared to that of industrialised countries. The important role that developing countries play in the context of climate change policy is evidenced by the recent events: announcing the U.S. withdrawal from the Kyoto Protocol, President George W. Bush justified his decision on the basis of the high economic costs, one the one hand, and of the missing involvement of developing countries, on the other. Even if this aspect of the U.S, position is often regarded as too harsh given that industrialised countries clearly bear the main responsibility of the actual GHG accumulation, there is general consensus that developing countries need to be gradually involved in international efforts to curb global warming for a climate policy to be successful.

In fact, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) itself mentions on several occasions the principle and goal of sustainability. In particular, Article 3 of the Convention calls for the promotion of sustainable development, taking into account the specific conditions of each Party and the fact that "... economic development is essential for adopting measures to address climate change" (UNFCCC, Art.3-4).

At the same time, even though they do not (yet) actively participate in international climate policy, developing countries are already affected by the ongoing efforts to curb global warming. International trade linkages imply that developing countries experience effects from the emission controls adopted in committed countries.¹ Issues that attracted attention in this context include the distribution of economic impacts induced among other reasons by shifts in energy-intensive industries, the problem of carbon leakage and the changes in the terms of trade and the capital flows between countries caused by international emissions trading. The key question is whether climate policy in general or international emissions trading in particular is capable of altering the distribution of abatement costs and of welfare gains in order to mitigate the effects on international competition, making the developing countries thus better off by the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol.

The present chapter is concerned precisely with this issue. In particular, we try to understand here whether climate policy could induce benefits for developing countries that enhance their economic growth. More specifically, we want to see if the Kyoto Protocol incorporates aspects apt to solve the actual dilemma of the developing world. This study is intended first to provide an overview of research studies undertaken in this context. Subsequently, using an integrated assessment model enriched with endogenous technical change, we provide additional new evidence to bear on the same key questions.

¹ On this aspect see Galeotti and Kemfert (2002).

2. The Effects of the Kyoto Protocol on Developing Countries

Under the 1997 Kyoto Protocol to the UNFCCC, industrialised countries committed themselves to binding emission reduction targets. Acknowledging the historic responsibility for the actual accumulation of greenhouse gases, the Kyoto agreement requires that industrialised countries make the first step towards global climate change control. In particular, all countries should take action in order to protect the global climate "in accordance with their common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (Preamble of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change).

However, the international trade which characterises the world nowadays implies that the consequences of the GHG control measures - even if taken only by a subset of countries - will be transmitted to the whole world economy, and therefore also to those countries which have not agreed to reduce their GHG emissions. Even though LDCs have not taken obligations under the Kyoto Protocol, they can be affected by international climate policy, also depending on the scope of a possibly implemented emissions trading scheme. Through trade and investment links, developing countries are thus very likely to feel the economic consequences of abatement activities in industrialised countries. For instance, in order to meet their emission reduction targets, the Annex B group is likely to reduce its demand for fossil fuels which in turn would lead to the reduction in the world price for fossil fuels or to a relocation of energy-intensive industries (Polidano, Jotzo, Heyhoe, Jakeman, Woffenden, and Fisher, 2000). Developing countries can be influenced by the direct cost of emissions-reducing activities in industrialised countries for formal to expectations on future costs. Furthermore, LDCs can be affected by changes in terms of trade of energy and non-energy goods and by payments for emission permits (Bernstein, Montgomery, and Rutherford, 1999).

2.1 Welfare Effects

The key mechanism influencing the distribution of the welfare losses and gains consists in the shift in a country's terms of trade following the mitigation activities of the committed parties.²

2.1.1 The "Pure" Kyoto Protocol, Without Use of the Proposed Flexible Mechanisms

Under the Kyoto Protocol, GHG emission control in Annex B countries leads to changes in trade volumes and prices which in turn determine the losses and gains in Non-Annex B countries. In particular, emission restrictions cause Annex B countries to reduce their demand for domestic and imported energy since they will face a higher cost of using carbon-emitting fuels. As a consequence, the manufacturing costs of their energy-intensive goods are increased and so are the prices of their exports, some of them being directed towards the developing world. At the same time, the global demand for carbon-emitting fuels decreases, implying an overall reduction of the international prices. As an additional impact, emission control programs could being about an overall depression of economic activity in the committed countries which could in turn lower their demand for imports. Such a reduced demand could hurt developing countries, since some of the imports could come from them.

On the whole, emission restrictions will change the patterns of consumption and production within the Annex B countries, affecting the flow of internationally traded goods. This can have very complex consequences, benefiting some countries while hurting others. In particular, it is

 $^{^{2}}$ Terms of trade represent the suitably weighted ratio of the prices of a country's exports to the prices of its imports.

likely that energy exporting regions suffer adverse movements of their terms of trade while there is the tendency for energy importers to face favourable movements in their terms of trade.

Looking at the original version of the Protocol, the conclusion generally drawn in literature is that Annex B countries would suffer welfare losses in the range of 0.5 to 2.0% relative to a noprotocol situation (Jacoby, Eckaus, Ellerman, Prinn, Reiner, and Yang, 1997; Jacoby and Sue Wing, 1999; Light, Kolstad and Rutherford, 1999; Babiker and Jacoby, 1999; Burniaux and Truong 2002). At the same time Eastern European countries such as Russia would experience welfare gains due to their improved comparative advantage. If the Kyoto agreement is implemented without recourse to emissions trading, Non-Annex B countries are only affected through the prices and quantities of the goods traded with the Annex B group. In particular, developing countries will only be affected by changes in terms of trade and changes in investment.

As the main consequence of the Kyoto Protocol Ellerman, Jacoby, and Decaux (1998) expect an increase in the developing countries' production and export of energy intensive goods. By using the MIT's EPPA model which is based on the GTAP data set, Babiker and Jacoby (1999) and Babiker, Reilly and Jacoby (1999) investigate the situation of the Non-Annex B countries and come up with mixed findings: some of these countries suffer welfare losses in excess of those of the Annex B (e.g., the Persian Gulf), while others experience net welfare gains (e.g., India).

Using an intertemporal computable general equilibrium and multi regional trade model for the global economy, Kemfert (1999) identifies negative impacts of the Kyoto Protocol on developing countries, explaining this result by an decrease of international energy use which consequently leads to productivity and international energy price cutbacks.

Also Böhringer and Rutherford (1999) find substantial spillover effects from Annex B countries to the Non-Annex B. These are caused by the restriction of fossil fuel use which implies that primary factors are employed less productively and that economic activities in the industrialised countries are reduced. As a consequence, terms of trade deteriorate for developing countries, resulting into adverse effects and welfare losses.

Burniaux and Truong (2002) use an extended version of the GTAP model which is enriched by energy-environment linkages (referred to as GTAP-E) and also find that the energy exporters among the developing countries suffer adverse impacts and might even lose more than the Annex B countries.

Böhringer and Löschel (2002) base their carbon abatement policy analysis on a specific CGE model and find that adjustments on the international energy markets after the Kyoto Protocol's implementation represent the most important category of international spillovers resulting from trade. Their findings explain why developing regions that are fuel exporters suffer welfare losses whereas those regions being net importers of fuels in general can benefit from carbon abatement policies.

Brown, Kennedy, Polidano, Woffenden, Jakeman, Graham, Jotzo, and Fisher (1999) and Polidano et al. (2000) use GTEM, a global general equilibrium model, and confirm that the Kyoto Protocol will have a number of economic consequences for developing countries. In particular, the latter study finds trade and investments effects as the most important indirect impacts on LDCs. The lower world prices and lower export volumes to Annex B regions imply reduced earnings from fossil fuel export which represent, together with the higher import prices of energy-intense goods, the main negative impact. Conversely, positive effects are given by an increase in the export competitiveness of non-Annex B producers of energy-intensive goods and the associated increase in investment levels. The net impact will depend on the trade and production structure of each country and the authors conclude that those developing countries with a more diversified pattern of production and exports will be the less affected ones.

McKibbin, Ross, Shackleton, and Wilcoxen (1999) look at the implications of the Kyoto Protocol using the G-Cubed model which consists of a set of eight regional general equilibrium models linked by consistent international flows of goods and assets. As a consequence, the authors manage to highlight the role of international trade and capital flows in global responses to the Kyoto Protocol which indeed seem to be important. When the Kyoto targets are achieved without allowing for any flexible mechanisms, they find that countries characterised by high abatement costs suffer a reduction in GDP, an outflow of capital, a depreciation of their exchange rates while their exports are stimulated. Countries with low abatement costs experience the opposite effect, driven mainly by inflows of capital.³ For the case of LDCs, the authors find particularly interesting results. Both their GDP and GNP rise while the absence of emission reduction commitments implies further significant benefits through international policy transmission. Due to the exchange rate appreciation, caused by capital inflows, "...[e]xports become less competitive but imports become cheaper and the dollar value of LDC international debt falls dramatically, leading to a net *improvement* in the LDCs' net international investment position in spite of significant capital inflows..." (McKibbin et al., 1999, p. 22) In addition, the Kyoto Protocol implies a decline in Annex B oil demand which consequently leads to a decline in the world oil prices, benefiting thereby the LDCs.

Using the multi-sector, multi-regional trade MS-MRT model, Bernstein, Montgomery, and Rutherford (1999) investigate the international trade aspects of climate change policy, looking among other things at the distribution of impacts across countries. Also their findings confirm that the Kyoto restrictions on industrialised countries have negative welfare on oil-producing countries and mixed spillover effects on other Non-Annex B countries, pointing at the positive effects on energy-importing developing countries. In particular, they find that only China and India gain from the obligations defined in the Kyoto Protocol.

From the discussion conducted above, we see that the main explanation of findings refers to changes in energy prices: due to the emission limits, demand for fossil fuel products in Annex B countries decreases, thereby lowering the market prices of all traded types of energy. As a further step, these effects on energy prices induce both changes in investment and output for energy-intensive industries and shifts in the terms of trade. In particular, manufacturing costs of energy intensive goods increase, even though the overall fall in Annex B demand for carbon-emitting fuels induces a downward pressure on the international prices of carbon-intensive fossil fuels. The decisive factor, as noted above, is the shift in the terms of trade which follows the imposition of emission controls in the Annex B region.

Looking at the shift in terms of trades from a Non-Annex B viewpoint, there are three potentially offsetting effects (Bernstein, Montgomery and Rutherford, 1999):

- (i) As a consequence of the higher energy prices in the Annex B region caused by the emission limits, developing countries have to pay higher prices for the industrialised countries' exports;
- (ii) Due to a negative income effect which will follow the emission controls in industrialised countries, their demand for carbon-intensive goods will fall, thus inducing a downward pressure on the international prices of carbon-intensive fossil fuels. The

³ GDP increases because capital inflows reduce real interest rates and stimulate domestic demand in the short run while they raise the capital stock in the longer run. At the same time, capital inflows lead to reduced exports since they appreciate the exchange rate.

consequences will not only be felt by the Annex B but also by developing countries which receive lower revenues from their (oil) exports.

(iii) Since the manufacturing costs in industrialised countries increase, a substitution effect will strengthen the demand for energy-intensive goods produced in developing countries.

Depending on the relative strength of these three effects, terms of trade improve or deteriorate for a given country and induce positive and negative welfare impacts for specific regions. In general, terms of trade movements which transfer income across countries are unfavourable to developing countries and favourable to the industrial ones. This holds when the Kyoto Protocol is implemented without a global emissions trading that includes developing countries. In particular, energy importing countries are likely to profit from the Kyoto Protocol, while many energy exporters are facing welfare losses. The higher the dependence on oil proceeds, the stronger are the adverse movements in the terms of trade of the economies in consideration.⁴ These "first-order" terms of trade effects in terms of energy price changes are transmitted to the rest of the Non-Annex B countries by the means of a larger set of income and price effects that propagate through the international economy. The literature shows that the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol does not benefit all Annex B economies because of positive terms of trade movements and that all Non-Annex B regions are adversely affected.⁵ In addition, the distortions/problems related to the use of GNP as a measure of the welfare changes induced by GHG control are highlighted by basing their welfare estimates an equivalent variation criterion. As an example, notwithstanding the positive changes in their GNP, both China and South Africa suffer welfare losses from the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol as a consequence of their adverse terms of trade effects.

As a general rule, the results obtained in the existing research suggest that "...the higher GNP losses of Annex B are mitigated by favourable movements in these nations' terms of trade, whereas the relatively lower GNP losses for oil-exporting countries are aggravated by unfavourable movements in their terms of trade" (Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby, 1999, p. 9).

In addition, Kemfert (2001) concludes that adverse effects suffered by certain developing countries due to negative international spillover effects are even stronger when the impacts of climate change are explicitly taken into account. Indeed, climate change impacts – covering both market and non-market damages – are particularly significant in those areas.

Table 1 summarises the numerical findings of most of the cited papers as for the impact of the Kyoto Protocol on developing countries when no flexibility mechanisms are allowed. The table reports welfare (equivalent variation) and terms of trade changes.

2.1.2 The Implementation of the Kyoto Protocol's Flexible Mechanisms

Ellerman, Jacoby, and Decaux (1998) examine the effects of the Kyoto Protocol on the developing countries using the marginal abatement curves generated by MIT's EPPA model, a

⁴ For example, an oil-exporting Non-Annex B country will suffer welfare losses because its revenues from the oil exports shrink while at the same time it has to accept higher prices of energy-intensive goods from Kyoto-constrained regions.

⁵ Bernstein, Montgomery and Rutherford (1999) find that other Asian countries more than offset the higher cost of imports from industrial countries with gains in terms of trade with OPEC. They are also able to shift to production of energy-intensive goods where they have an increased comparative advantage over the industrial countries. These countries also benefit from capital inflows and from their favourable position as exporters of energy-intensive goods.

computable general equilibrium model. In particular, the paper presents one of the first research studies addressing the question how differing schemes of CO₂ emission trading affect developing countries, taking possible trade restrictions, variations in the CDM nature and the changes in international trade flows in goods and services into account. As a consequence of global emissions trading, Non-Annex B countries face incentives to reduce emissions in order to generate emission permits - "rights to emit" - for export. In addition to Russia, China and India are responsible for the main supply of emission permits. As a consequence of global trading, the total costs of cutting the global emissions as required by the Kyoto Protocol are reduced dramatically and Annex B regions therefore experience strong gains. By exporting emission permits, also the Non-Annex B regions gain, even though only about 11% of the gain obtained by the Annex B. In comparison to an emission trading system taking place only among the Annex B countries, the big loser of a global trading system is Russia which is indeed made worse off by widening the market. Even though Russia still gains in comparison to a situation without emissions trading, the value of its "hot air" decreases drastically and it exports less since it faces less incentives to reduce emissions due to a higher overall permit supply and a lower price. Compared to the no trade situation, there will be revenues from exporting permits, but there will be no significant increase in production and exports of energy intensive goods. In both cases, no trading and global trading, energy exporting Non-Annex B countries will experience adverse effects, but due to the lower carbon price and the substitution opportunities enabled by the broader market, the implications are less drastic in the case of global trading.

Bernstein, Montgomery and Rutherford (1999) also find that the implementation of emissions trading improves the Kyoto Protocol's implications on developing countries. The increase in supply and consequent reduction in the permit price which follow the establishment of global emissions trading benefit Non-Annex B regions by increasing demand for exports and permits. However, an exception are China and India: both a trading system restricted only to the Annex B and global trade harm these two countries; in particular under global trading pecuniary externalities disappear, whereas they were present and positive in a situation of restricted trading.

The results obtained by Kemfert (1999) are in line with these findings. Indeed, emissions trading is found to improve both the situation of developed and developing countries. In particular, the model calculations reveal welfare improvements in the developing world which are due to international trade spillover effects.

The main conclusions of this line of research is thus that the implementation of an emissions trading system can not only reduce the industrialised countries' costs of meeting the Kyoto targets, but also provides a new source of export earnings for developing countries. Developing countries in general benefit from emissions trading, even though the effect will depend on the particular country and the success of emissions trading. In addition to the potential export revenues, energy exporting Non-Annex B countries are likely to have a strong interest in emissions trading since it specifically allows "Annex B parties to substitute reduced coal emissions abroad for reduced oil and gas emissions at home" (Ellerman, Jacoby, and Decaux, 1998, p.21). This strong interest makes the Non-Annex B countries clear rivals to Russia, which is made worse off by enlarging an Annex B trading scheme to a global one. However, emphasising that both importing and exporting regions can achieve substantial gains, the implementation of an emissions trading scheme can not only bring profits in terms of new export opportunities, but also in terms of a lower number of distortions related to the Annex B economies.

By changing the data set on which the EPPA model is based, Babiker and Jacoby (1999) and Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999) take into account the recent growth performance of key

nations like China and India.⁶ Babiker and Jacoby (1999) analyse the case of an Annex B trading system open to China, which is granted about 95% of its projected 2020 emissions as a quota. In 2010 this initial allocation leads to an amount of 200MtC of Chinese "hot air". This benefits both the energy-exporting nations and the Annex B countries, the first group because the oil price falls even less than in the case restricted to Annex B trading, whereas the second one profits from the larger set of permit suppliers. As expected, the energy importers are made even more worse off than under Annex B trading. Not surprisingly, as a consequence of its hot air and its low-cost emission reduction opportunities, China is the big winner of the situation. Due to the strong competition on the supply side, Russia suffers the highest losses in comparison with a trading scheme restricted to Annex B. Summarising, the effects of emissions trading are enhanced by the participation of a large developing country. The overall results thus do not change and confirm that the gains from emissions trading are substantial not only for the countries directly involved in the process.

Böhringer and Löschel (2002) also emphasise the reduced international spillovers to Non-Annex B countries induced by the introduction of emissions trading due to the smaller impact on the change in comparative advantage, as e.g. terms of trade. Similarly, Brown et al. (1999) and Polidano et al. (2000) show that the implementation of an emissions trading scheme can substantially reduce both the costs for Annex B countries to meet their targets and therefore also the impacts of the Kyoto Protocol on the non-Annex B countries.

The findings of Burniaux and Truong (2002) confirm that emissions trading enables a lower fall of the international oil price which consequently leads to a substantial reduction in the losses incurred by energy-exporting developing countries. In particular, a worldwide trading scheme would not only reduce the economic costs for both Annex B countries and energy exporters in the Non-Annex B, but it would even imply net gains for the permit-selling regions, above all for China and India. Also this study finds that Russia has a strong interest in restricting emissions trading only to the Annex B.

Using the G-Cubed model which allows for international flows of goods and assets, McKibbin et al. (1999) find that Annex B permit trading has little effects on non-participating countries.⁷ In contrast, global trading would make the developing countries significantly worse off due to the substantial reduction of the amount of capital flows. Indeed, they would no longer benefit by capital inflows, exchange rate appreciations, reductions in the their debt burdens' value or by lower world oil prices. Correspondingly, these countries experience a lower GDP and GNP than in the Annex B case and the no-trading case.

Tables 2 and 3 summarise the numerical findings of the cited papers as for the impact of the Kyoto Protocol on developing countries when Annex B trading and global trade are respectively allowed. The tables report welfare (equivalent variation) and terms of trade changes.

Philibert (2000) proposes a different emissions trading scheme based on the combination of two types of stakeholders which would be complimentary to the Clean Development Mechanism: there are, as usual, Annex B countries which face a binding emission limit, and in addition Non-Annex B countries which are given emissions budgets rather than strict limits. Such a system

⁶ The EPPA model is now based on the GTAP dataset, allowing thus a greater sectoral and regional detail and a more recent benchmark (changed from 1985 to 1995). The previous versions of the model used the OECD GREEN model database.

⁷ In general, the authors find that the equalisation of marginal mitigation costs and permit prices under such an international permit trading regime, together with the reduction in overall abatement due to the sale of the so-called "hot air" reduces the economic impacts of the Protocol.

would be beneficial both to Annex B and Non-Annex B countries: industrialised countries benefit from lower overall compliance costs while developing countries gain by the substantial capital inflows which in turn stimulate their economic growth. In addition, there are also benefits for the global climate system since developing countries face incentives to engage in abatement efforts instead of relying mainly on the aspect of "hot air".

Besides the mechanism of emissions trading, Joint Implementation and the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) have been included as flexible mechanisms into the Kyoto Protocol in order to enable the cost-effective reduction of GHG emissions. The latter one, CDM, has attracted a lot of attention as a possibility to make industrialised and developing countries work together with the aim of promoting sustainable development. This mechanism offers industrialised countries the possibility to finance emission reductions in developing countries in order to gain credits from these activities. Apart from using the industrialised world's technological knowledge to lower the carbon intensity of new investment in developing countries, the involvement of the developed world can substantially reduce the overall cost of meeting the Kyoto targets. The CDM has thus raised great expectations, but it is not yet clear whether there is any evidence for its positive implications on developing countries.

For instance, Kete, Baumert, and Bhandari (2001) emphasise that the way CDM is financed – either by the public or the private sector – is responsible for the implications of this mechanism. Pointing at the risks of priority shifting they conclude that "…limited aid resources should continue to be reserved for capacity and institution building and not be allowed to directly finance CDM projects…" (Kete, Baumert, and Bhandari, 2001, p. 8). Instead, private investment as the main source of funding CDM projects would guarantee additional financial flows to developing countries that could indeed speed up the process of sustainable economic development.

2.2 Environmental Effects

The implementation of the original version of the Kyoto Protocol leads to emission reductions in all the committed countries. When allowing for Annex B trading, Böhringer and Löschel (2002) find that global emissions increase with respect to the no-trade case due to the inclusion of "hot air", although the contribution of LDCs to the overall emissions results to be slightly smaller than in the case without trading. Den Elzen and de Moor (2001) instead find that global emissions decrease by almost 9%, again partly due to a smaller contribution by developing countries than in the no trade case.⁸ The largest percentage reduction can however be achieved under global trading, even though some of the participating regions increase their emissions due to the higher supply of emission permits resulting from the inclusion of developing countries. The greater the scope of trading, the lower the emission reduction in Kyoto-constrained countries. Nonetheless, Non-Annex B countries are reducing their emissions as a consequence of the incentives to create emission allowances by 18%, and together with OECD and Eastern European countries on a global scale they generate a reduction by 14% in per capita emissions (Ellerman, Jacoby, and Decaux, 1998).

In the debate about the environmental implications of the Kyoto Protocol, an additional aspect that attracts a lot of attention is the so-called "carbon leakage" which has multiple causes and whose intensity depends on several factors. As a consequence of price and income effects provoked by emission control programs in Annex B countries, an increase in the emissions of

⁸ Instead, Brown et al. (1999) find that developing countries increase their greenhouse gas emissions independently of the establishment of an Annex B trading scheme (which nonetheless reduces the amount of the emissions increase).

the non-constrained countries is expected. Therefore, efficiency losses take place. In particular, the increase in production costs of energy intensive goods in Annex B regions is likely to induce the outsourcing of these manufacturers to Non-Annex B countries. A second reason for leakage lies in the lower Annex B demand for carbon-emitting fuels, which induces a fall in the international energy prices. The lower price makes these fuels more attractive in non-constrained regions and thus encourages their use in developing countries. The phenomenon of carbon leakage is confirmed by research studies which put its rate at about 6% and higher, depending among other reasons on the treatment of the existing distortions in the energy market.⁹ An interesting aspect of the findings concerning carbon leakage is that China alone is already responsible for 30% of the leakage, and just five countries - China, India, Brazil, South Korea, and Mexico - account for more than 60% of the estimated total figure (Babiker and Jacoby, 1999).

Table 4 summarise the numerical findings on carbon dioxide emissions under alternative regimens for the trading of emission permits.

3. Policy Measures Affecting the Implications of Kyoto Protocol

The results of the above-mentioned simulation studies which focused on emissions trading are to be taken with caution, since the models used typically assume complete economic rationality and negligible transaction costs. However, it is likely that the potential trading gains will not immediately be experienced in their full scope but will slowly emerge, in line with the development of experiences and removal of distortions in energy markets. Some papers have explored the mechanisms which affect the implications of Kyoto Protocol for Non-Annex B countries and have investigated policy measures which help moderating the effects of the Protocol on LDCs.

3.1 Strategic behaviour

The majority of studies investigating the effects of the Kyoto Protocol are based on the assumption of a competitive permit market. However, this idealistic picture is far from reality and can distort some of the conclusions. A few recent papers on the chances of the Kyoto Protocol's implementation chances have dealt with the incentives for strategic behaviour both on the demand and the supply side.¹⁰ Above all in the case of global trading, strategic activities will also induce implications for developing countries.

Looking first at the supply side, a strong incentive to increase the profits from permits sales by restricting the overall supply are given. As has already extensively analysed in literature, the consequences of exerting monopoly power comprise a higher market permit price, increased energy resource costs and a drastic shift of the gains from trade to the suppliers. Ellerman, Jacoby and Decaux (1998) investigate two cases, one based on cartel by the Non-Annex B and the second consisting of a full supplier monopoly, including both the Non-Annex B countries and Russia. Both cases confirm the above-mentioned effects of a successful monopoly and

⁹ A leakage rate of 6% is found by Babiker and Jacoby (1999) based on the EPPA-GTAP model and is in line with the results of the EPPA-GREEN model (Jacoby et al., 1997), the OECD's GREEN model (Burniaux, Martin, Nicoletti, and Oliveira-Martins, 1992) and the G-Cubed model (McKibbin et al., 1999). Burniaux and Truong (2002) find a leakage rate that amounts to 7%.

¹⁰ For further details see Böhringer and Löschel (2001, 2002); Buonanno, Carraro, Castelnuovo, and Galeotti (2001); Buchner, Carraro, and Cersosimo (2002), Manne and Richels (2001).

demonstrate that all the involved countries always continue to gain from emissions trading. However, the stronger the monopoly, the lower are the gains for the Annex B countries and the higher are the profits for Non-Annex B countries and Russia. In particular, the gains for the Non-Annex B regions are tripled by establishing a full supplier monopoly.

Cases of strategic behaviour have also been discussed on the demand side. Particular attention has been attracted by the proposal of imposing a ceiling on permit imports, which would restrict the number of permits which Annex B countries can use in order to increase the percentage of domestic abatement activities¹¹. Investigating three cases of increasingly restricted permit imports on global emissions trading, Ellerman, Jacoby and Decaux (1998) illustrate that demand-side restrictions lead to the expected results: the more drastic the quantitative import limit, the lower the demand for permits and therefore the lower the market prices. Consequently, the gains for the permit exporters, comprising Russia and the Non-Annex B, diminish consistently when the limit on permit imports are strengthened. Imposing for example an import limit of 25% reduces the Non-Annex B gains by almost 97%. At the same time, also the permitimporting regions lose notwithstanding the lower market price, because they have to engage in more expensive abatement activities and therefore face much higher overall costs. Kemfert (1999) analyses two types of ceilings on emissions trading, represented by a low and a high cap on trade. The results of this study confirm negative implications for developing countries resulting from permit restrictions. Again, the developments of the terms of trade induce international negative trade spillover effects. Summarising, import restrictions lead to two results: (i) the gains resulting from emissions trading are redistributed among the importers from those facing the highest abatement costs to those characterised by the lowest abatement costs, and (ii) the overall costs of meeting the emission reduction targets are increased since both importing and exporting regions are made worse off.

The first two columns of Table 5 summarise the findings.

3.2 Existing Energy Policies

Analyses of climate agreements like the Kyoto Protocol usually assume that emission control programs are implemented through imposition of a tax on fossil fuels, reflecting the fuels' differential carbon content, or of a cap-and-trade system which leads to a common price of carbon emissions across sources. However, circumstances are more complicated in reality since most countries already have a variety of fuel taxes that have been in use over decades. If existing fossil fuel taxes are not the efficient responses to the external effects of fuel use, then they will be responsible for the distortion of economic decisions. As a consequence, Babiker and Jacoby (1999) and Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999) investigate the interactions of the Kyoto-policies with existing fossil fuel taxes and analyse whether their removal improves economic efficiency and welfare. Indeed, the results demonstrate that the negative effects on energy-exporting regions are considerably decreased when replacing fuel taxes. As a consequence of the reduced fall in oil prices, a harmonisation halts the deterioration of the oil exporters' terms of trade and therefore restricts their welfare losses. These studies find that even non-oil-exporting Non-Annex B countries prefer carbon-based taxes to distorted fuel taxes. In addition, Annex B countries also benefit from a switch to a carbon-based increase in fuel prices, the magnitude of the gains being strongly correlated to the existing fuel tax distortions (e.g., the

¹¹ A ceiling has been proposed by the European Union in order to strengthen the "supplementarity" provision of the Kyoto Protocol. However, in the course of the negotiations on the Kyoto Protocol, the EU has abandoned its request for a concrete ceiling.

big winner is Europe). Summarising, a harmonised fuel tax appears to be beneficial to both Annex B and Non-Annex B regions.

A further distortion which is prevailing in the energy system of many nations are subsidies to the production of coal, which intended to encourage the use of coal at the expense of other energy sources. Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999) therefore look at the removal of coal subsidies in the key countries - Europe and more generally the OECD - and find that the adverse effects on Non-Annex B energy exporters are reduced. However, the welfare effects are small, i.e. neutral or positive, and there are no significant changes for the oil-exporting developing countries. The authors explain this result by their model specifications which include a coal sector that is too small for making a difference to the OECD regions. The only observable positive impact is seen in South Africa where coal exports to the OECD play an important role.

The insights of the last two subsections demonstrate that a more efficiently implemented climate change control gains by smaller costs and the minimisation of unintended consequences. For example, inefficient supply implies a higher market price, greater world costs and fewer gains from trade. Notwithstanding these results, the mentioned studies conclude that the gains are still considerable. Similar implications are found in the context of distortions, such as import limitations and non-competitive pricing, which induce higher benefits for a small part of he market only in the initial phase, and therefore still justify the implementation of emissions trading.

The third column of Table 5 summarises the findings.

3.3 Direct Measures to Reduce the Effects on Developing Countries

In addition to the possibility to make policies more efficient by removing existing distortions, also direct measures that go beyond general accompanying policies can be used to reduce the effects of the Kyoto Protocol's implementation on developing countries.

Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999) consider two options: preferential tariff reductions for Non-Annex B countries, on one hand, and direct financial compensation for these countries, on the other.

The first strategy consists of removing tariffs for Non-Annex B countries from goods imported into Annex B regions, excluding energy and energy-intensive goods.¹² As has been expected, these concessions lead to welfare losses in all the Annex B countries with the exception of Russia. Developing countries are affected in differing ways, some of them benefiting while other ones which suffer strong welfare losses from the Kyoto Protocol are almost not influenced (e.g., the Persian Gulf countries). Therefore, such a policy would benefit developing countries in general, but would not produce relief to those regions which are especially negatively affected by the agreement's implementation.

The second possibility to change directly the effects of the Kyoto Protocol lies in direct financial compensations to developing countries. Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999) calculate the level of financial flows that would be required in order to compensate Non-Annex B countries for the losses arising from the Kyoto Protocol. They find that an overall annual financial transfer from OECD countries to Non-Annex B regions of \$27.6 billion is needed in the year 2010, more than 50% being directed towards Persian Gulf nations. In addition, also

¹² The authors justify this exclusion by the conflict with the objectives of the Climate Convention.

North Africa, Venezuela, Mexico and Indonesia would need substantial transfers in order to cover their losses. The implementation of a permit trading scheme would reduce the direct transfers by about 25%, to \$20 billion. Instead of eliminating all the welfare losses, a further strategy could only aim at mitigating the adverse effects which would drastically reduce the needed level of financial transfers to about \$14.5 billion, still concentrated on a few regions.

4. The Impact of The Kyoto Protocol on Developing Countries When Technical Change Is Endogenous

One very important element for the study of the impact of a Climate agreement on individual nations or groups of countries is technological change. If, on the one hand, current rates of greenhouse gas emissions cannot be sustained in the long run, on the other no one really believes or is ready to accept that the solution of the climate change problem consists of reducing the pace of economic growth. This is especially true for developing nations. Instead, it is believed that changes in technology will bring about the longed decoupling of economic growth from generation of polluting emissions. There is a difference in attitude in this respect, though. Some maintain a faithful view that technological change, having a life of its own, will automatically solve the problem. Others express the conviction that the process of technological change by and large responds to impulses and incentives, and it has therefore to be fostered by appropriate policy actions.

The above remarks are reflected in climate models, the main quantitative tools designed either to depict long run energy and pollution scenarios or to assist in climate change policy analysis. Indeed, these models have traditionally accounted for the presence of technical change, albeit usually evolving in an exogenous fashion. By and large, this is also the case of the models used to produce the results reported in the previous sections. More recently, however, models have been proposed where the technology changes endogenously and/or its change is induced by deliberate choices of agents and government intervention.

The issue is clearly quite relevant. For instance, most arguments advanced in support of the introduction of ceilings to emission trading are based on the view that the widespread adoption of flexibility mechanisms reduces the incentives to carry out R&D, thereby reducing the effectiveness and increasing the costs of abatement options in the long run. Moreover, the incentives for R&D induced by the presence of ceilings on the use of flexibility mechanisms may spill over into other sectors, thus speeding up the "engine of growth", and reducing the impact of climate change control on per capita income and welfare in the long-run. Finally, the stimuli to technical and social innovation in rich countries will sooner or later be transferred to poor countries via trade in goods and services and knowledge transmission. All these considerations demonstrate that a convincing analysis of climate policy design - particularly of the role of emission trading and of the ceilings issue - requires a careful specification of technical change (see Buonanno, Carraro, Castelnuovo, and Galeotti, 2001).

Recent theoretical work on endogenous growth has shown that aggregate technological externalities within countries may help explain many of the observed patterns of growth across countries. Castelnuovo, Galeotti, Gambarelli, and Vergalli (2002) and Buonanno, Carraro, and Galeotti (2003) modify a popular climate model which allows for both endogenous and induced technical change. Endogenous growth is captured by assuming that sectoral spillovers within countries and human capital induce increasing returns to scale in output production. Induced technical change takes place through R&D investments or via experience (Learning-by-Doing).

In particular, Buonanno, Carraro, Castelnuovo, and Galeotti (2001) and Buonanno, Carraro, and Galeotti (2003) assume that R&D investment accumulates into a stock of knowledge that affects both the production technology (endogenous technical change) and the emission-output ratio (induced technical change). Extending Nordhaus and Yang (1996)'s RICE model it is assumed that the stock of knowledge enters the production function as one of the production factors and, at the same time, affects the emission-output ratio. Thus, the idea is that more knowledge will help firms increase their productivity and reduce their negative impact on the environment. In this modified version, the central planner in each country chooses the optimal R&D effort that, in turn, increases the stock of technological knowledge. The amount of R&D is therefore a policy variable envisaged by the model.

Castelnuovo, Galeotti, Gambarelli, and Vergalli (2002) propose an alternative formulation of the same model allows for an alternative source of technical change, Learning by Doing. In particular, it is supposed that the accumulation of knowledge occurs not as a result of deliberate (R&D) efforts, but as a side effect of conventional economic activity. In this extension of the RICE model, the authors model LdB in the simplest way, that is by assuming that learning occurs as a side effect of the accumulation of new physical capital. This entails a production function that exhibits increasing returns to capital. In order to maintain the analogy with the R&D-based version of the model we also allow for the emission-output ratio to depend upon cumulated capacity, i.e. the sum of past physical investment efforts. It should be apparent that these model specifications make explicit reference to the recently developed theory of endogenous growth that emphasizes the role of knowledge, of physical and human capital, R&D activities, and LbD.

In this section we simulate the model just described, which we labeled "ETC-RICE", and consider separately the impact on Annex B and Non-Annex B countries of the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol. In particular we consider three regimens: a No Trade case, Annex B Trading, and Global Trade of emissions, all relative to the Business As Usual (BAU) scenario. In keeping with the previous section we concentrate on welfare and on emissions and compare the R&D and LbD versions of endogenous and induced technical change.¹³

The findings are presented in Table 6.

The first striking result is that the specification of endogenous/induced technical change does not seem to make a difference. Indeed, the reduction in regional emissions under the Protocol is larger with LbD that with R&D, though overall emissions are essentially unaffected by the difference. Welfare losses are a bit smaller for Annex B countries under R&D, but there is no difference for Non-Annex B regions.

The second result is that the findings are in line with previous research. The imposition of emission reduction targets adversely affects the welfare of Annex B regions, but this loss is moderated by resorting to emission trading. This is true of global trade in particular. What about Non-Annex B nations? Because they are not subject to emission limits their welfare does not suffer from implementation of the Kyoto Protocol. It is virtually unchanged regardless of the trading regime.

The same picture emerges if we look at output, where Non-Annex B countries experience slightly positive economic growth. This is stronger with global trade, possibly because of the

¹³ The model is regional: we aggregate Annex B and Non-Annex B regions. Simulations are carried out up until 2100. The Global Trade scenario entails the Non-annex B regions entering the permit market in 2020 with BAU emissions as constraints. The model is described in the papers cited or in a companion paper to the present one (Galeotti, 2002).

positive effect of revenues generated by selling permits on the market. The fact that the permit price is lower under global trade relative to Annex B trade may help explain why Annex B nations can turn a slowdown into an expansion of economic activity (though numbers are very small).

The overall message relayed by these results appears to be twofold. The implementation of the Kyoto Protocol harms neither the welfare nor the economic growth of developing countries. Thus emission reductions can be achieved without imposing economic costs on the development process of those regions. It remains however true that overall emissions are met with the largest reductions when LDCs participate in the agreement and trade of rights to emit is open to all countries. In this case the results show that Non-Annex B countries essentially neither gain nor lose from a welfare or an output growth viewpoints.

5. Concluding Remarks

Although developing countries face a drastic increase in their greenhouse gas emissions, economic development represents in nearly all cases a priority relative to other issues like emission control. A crucial policy question is therefore whether international climate agreements like the Kyoto Protocol provide an opportunity for growth to this region, while curbing overall emissions.

The first step toward solving this problem is to provide an answer to what is the impact qualitatively and quantitatively - of the Kyoto Protocol as it is now on developing countries. The present chapter has been concerned precisely with this issue. In particular, we provided an overview of research studies undertaken in this context. It turns out that important differences exist whether or not the Kyoto flexibility mechanisms - emission trading in particular – are in effect. Similarly, the issue of constraints on the demand and supply sides of the permit market, due to exogenously imposed ceilings or to market power, have important consequences for the impact of the Protocol on developing countries. Finally, we have noted that the role of endogenous and induced technical change is critical in that it is this process that in principle allows the decoupling of economic growth from harmful emissions.

References

- Babiker, M. and H.D. Jacoby (1999), "Developing Country Effects of Kyoto-Type Emissions Restrictions", MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 53.
- Babiker, M., J. Reilly, and H.D. Jacoby (1999), "The Kyoto Protocol and Developing Countries", MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 56.
- Bernstein, P., W. Montgomery, and T. Rutherford (1999), "Global Impacts of the Kyoto Agreement: Results from the MS-MRT Model", *Resource and Energy Economics*, 21, 375-413.
- Böhringer, C. and T. Rutherford (1999), "World Economic Impacts of the Kyoto Protocol", in R. Hillebrand, A. Ulph and P. J. (eds.), <u>Welfens Internalization of Economy</u>, <u>Environmental Problems and New Policy Options</u>, New York: Heidelberg.
- Böhringer, C. and A. Löschel (2001), "Market Power in International Emissions Trading: The Impact of U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto Protocol", ZEW Discussion Paper No. 01-58.
- Böhringer, C. and A. Löschel (2002), "Economic Impacts of Carbon Abatement Strategies", in C. Böhringer, M. Finus, and C. Vogt (eds.), <u>Controlling Global Warming – Perspectives</u> <u>from Economics, Game Theory and Public Choice</u>, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 105-177.
- Brown, S., D. Kennedy, C. Polidano, K. Woffenden, G. Jakeman, B. Graham, F. Jotzo, and B.S. Fisher (1999), "Economic Impacts of the Kyoto Protocol: Accounting for the Three Major Greenhouse Gases", ABARE Research Report No. 99.6.
- Buchner, B., Carraro, C. and I. Cersosimo (2002), "On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol", *Climate Policy*, 2, 273-292.
- Buonanno, P., C. Carraro, and M. Galeotti (2003), "Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto", *Resource and Energy Economics*.
- Buonanno, P., C. Carraro, E. Castelnuovo, and M. Galeotti (2001), "Emission Trading Restrictions with Endogenous Technological Change", *International Environmental Agreements. Law Politics Economics*.
- Burniaux, J.-M. and T.P. Truong (2002), "GTAP-E: An Energy-Environmental Version of the GTAP Model", GTAP Technical Paper No. 16.
- Castelnuovo, E., M. Galeotti, G. Gambarelli, and S. Vergalli (2002), "Learning by Doing vs. Learning by Researching in a Model for Climate Policy Analysis", Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Nota di lavoro No. 11-2003.
- Den Elzen, M.G.J. and A.P.G. de Moor (2001), "Evaluating the Bonn Agreement and Some Key Issues", RIVM Report No. 728001016/2001.
- Ellerman, A.D. and A. Decaux (1998), "Analysis of Post-Kyoto Emissions Trading Using Marginal Abatement Curves", MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 40.
- Ellerman, A.D., H.D. Jacoby, and A. Decaux (1998), "The Effects on Developing Countries of the Kyoto Protocol and CO2 Emissions Trading", MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 41.
- Galeotti, M. and C. Kemfert (2002), "Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey", mimeo.

Galeotti, M. (2002), "Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developed Countries", mimeo.

- Jacoby, H. D., R. Eckaus, D. Ellerman, R. Prinn, D. Reiner, and Z. Yang (1997), "CO2 Emissions Limits: Economic Adjustments and the Distribution of Burdens", *Energy Journal*, 18, 31-58.
- Jacoby, H. D. and I. Sue Wing (1999), "Adjustment Time, Capital Malleability and Policy Cost", *Energy Journal Special Issue* (The Costs of the Kyoto Protocol: A Multi-Model Evaluation), 73-92.
- Kemfert, C. (1999) "The Impacts of Emissions Trading on World Economies: Contemplation of baseline emissions paths and a ceiling on emissions trading", mimeo.
- Kemfert, C. (2001), "Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies", FEEM Working Paper No. 86.01.
- Kete, N., K.A. Baumert, and R. Bhandari (2001), "Should Development Aid be Used to Finance the Clean Development Mechanism?", World Resources Institute Climate Notes, Washington. D.C., available at <u>http://www.wri.org/pdf/ruchi.pdf</u>.
- Light, M., C. Kolstad, and T. Rutherford (1999), "Coal Markets and the Kyoto Protocol", University of Colorado at Boulder Working Paper No. 99-23.
- Manne, A.S. and Richels, R.G. (2001), "US Rejection of the Kyoto Protocol: The Impact on Compliance Csts and CO2 Emissions", Working Paper No. 01-12, AEI-Brookings Joint Center for Regulatory Studies.
- McKibbin, W.J. and P.J. Wilcoxen (2000), "Designing a Realistic Climate Change Policy that Includes Developing Countries", Australian National University and The Brookings Institution, mimeo.
- McKibbin, W.J., M.T. Ross, R. Shackleton, and P.J. Wilcoxen (1999), "Emissions Trading, Capital Flows and the Kyoto Protocol", Brookings Discussion Papers in International Economics No. 144.
- Nordhaus, W.D. and Yang, Z. (1996) A regional dynamic general-equilibrium model of alternative climate-change strategies, *American Economic Review*, 4, 741-765.
- Burniaux, J.-M., J.P. Martin, G. Nicoletti, and J. Oliveira-Martins (1992), "GREEN A multisector, Multi-region Dynamic General Equilibrium Model for Quantifying the Costs of Curbing CO₂ Emissions: A Technical Manual", OECD Economics Department Working Paper No. 116.
- Philibert, C. (2000), "How Could Emissions Trading Benefit Developing Countries?", Paper Presented at the Second EFIEA Climate Policy Workshop 'From Kyoto to The Hague -European Perspectives on Making the Kyoto Protocol Work", Amsterdam, April 18-19.
- Polidano, C., F. Jotzo, E. Heyhoe, G. Jakeman, K. Woffenden, and B.S. Fisher (2000), "The Kyoto Protocol and Developing Countries: Impacts and Implications for Mechanisms Design", ABARE Research Report No. 2000.4.

			BAU	vs. Kyoto Percentag		ading		
			Non-A	nnex B			Ann	ex B
		mporting ntries		Exporting ntries	То	otal	Τc	otal
	EV^{b}	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade
Babiker and Jacoby (1999)	+ 0.55	+ 2.32	-10.80	- 28.93	- 10.25	- 26.61	- 4.95	+ 1.17
Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999)	+ 0.55	+ 2.32	- 10.80	- 28.93	- 10.25	- 26.61	- 4.95	+ 1.17
Bernstein et al. (1999)(i) ^c	+ 0.02	- 0.85	- 1.35	- 3.53	- 1.33	- 4.38	- 3.04	- 1.34
Bernstein et al. (1999)(ii)	- 0.04	-	- 1.39	-	- 1.43	-	- 2.99	-
Böhringer and Rutherford (1999)	- 0.55%	-	- 1.45%	-	- 2.00%	-	- 1.19%	+ 0.04%
Burniaux and Truong (2002) ^d	+ 0.24	+ 0.29	- 1.0	- 3.02	- 0.76	- 2.73	- 3.05	+ 1.11
Böhringer and Löschel (2002) ^e	+ 0.45	-	- 0.54	-	- 0.09	-	- 1.72	-

Table 1: Impacts of the Kyoto Protocol on Developing Countries in the No Trade Case

Notes to the table:

- b) EV is the Equivalent Variation measure of welfare expressed in percentage terms It roughly shows by how much regional well-being - basically the level of consumption - changes as a result of policy intervention. Secondary benefits of pollution control or the benefits of a lowered risk of climate change are not considered.
- c) This analysis investigates different baseline scenarios. We replicate the results for the medium case, the so-called reference scenario.
- d) This analysis uses welfare effects in terms of percentage change in per capita utility of the representative household.
- e) Welfare effects are measured in percentage change of real consumption as compared to Business As Usual (BAU).

a) Percentage changes are computed with respect to the amount of emissions in the reference case without any climate agreement in 2010.

			BAU vs.	Kyoto Wit Percentag		3 Trading		
			Non-A	nnex B			Ann	ex B
	Energy-I Cour	mporting ntries	Energy-Exporting Countries		Total		Total	
	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade
Babiker and Jacoby (1999)	+ 0.52	-	- 7.86	-	- 7.34	-	+ 4.04	-
Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999)	+ 0.52	-	- 7.86	-	- 7.34	-	+ 4.04	-
Bernstein et al. (1999)(i)	- 0.03	- 0.22	- 1.13	- 2.43	- 1.16	- 2.65	+ 2.73	+ 0.05
Bernstein et al. (1999)(ii)	+ 0.09	-	- 1.15	-	- 1.06	-	+ 2.84	-
Burniaux and Truong (2002)	+ 0.18	+ 0.21	- 0.73	- 2.19	- 0.55	- 1.98	+ 1.09	+ 1.76
Böhringer and Löschel (2002)	+ 0.33	-	- 0.43	-	- 0.1	-	+ 4.92	-

Table 2: Impacts of the Kyoto Protocol on Developing Countries with Annex B Trading

Notes to the table: see Table 1.

			BAU vs.	Kyoto Wit Percentag		B Trading			
				Non-Annex B				Annex B	
		Energy-Importing Countries		Energy-Exporting Countries		Total		otal	
	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade	EV	Terms of Trade	
Babiker and Jacoby (1999)	+ 0.28	-	- 3.12	-	- 2.84	-	+ 0.97	-	
Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bernstein et al. (1999)(i)	+ 0.63	- 0.06	- 0.38	- 1.40	+ 0.25	- 1.46	- 0.04	- 0.68	
Bernstein et al. (1999)(ii)	+ 0.81	-	- 0.36	-	+ 0.45	-	- 0.04	-	
Burniaux and Truong (2002)	+ 0.54	- 0.48	- 0.53	- 1.47	+ 0.01	- 1.95	- 0.05	+ 0.38	
Böhringer and Löschel (2002)	+ 0.36	-	- 0.53	-	- 0.17	-	+ 2.21	-	

Table 3: Impacts of the Kyoto Protocol on Developing Countries with Global Trading

Notes to the table: see Table 1.

	Emissions	(in Non-Annex B countries)) ^a
	No Emissions Trading	Annex B Emissions Trading	Global Emissions Trading
Burniaux and Truong (2002)	+ 2	+ 1	- 19
Ellerman, Jacoby and Decaux (1998) ^b	+/- 0	+/- 0	- 18
Ellerman and Decaux (1998) ^c	- 13.74	- 13.50	- 13.89
Den Elzen and de Moor (2001)	+/- 0	- 2.4	-

Table 4: Implications of the Kyoto Protocol - Changes in World Emissions

Notes to the table:

a) Percentage changes are computed with respect to the amount of emissions in the reference case without any climate agreement in 2010.

- b) These are per capita emissions in Non-Annex B countries. It is assumed that they are at the reference level of 0.74 tC/cap until global emissions trading is allowed for.
- c) World emissions.

	Policie	s Affecting the Implications	of the
		otocol for Non-Annex B Co	
	Strategic I	Behaviour	Enorgy Doligy
	Supply Side	Demand Side	Energy Policy
Babiker and Jacoby (1999) ^b	No cartel: - 10.25 "OPEC cartel": - 22.64	-	Distortions: - 10.25 Harmonisation: - 8.28
Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999) ^b	-	-	Distortions: - 10.25 Harmonisation: - 8.28"
Ellerman and Decaux (1998) ^c	Annex B Cartel: + 119.9 Annex B+Russia: + 194.6	-	-
Ellerman, Jacoby, and Decaux (1998) ^c	Annex B Cartel: + 119.9 Annex B+Russia: + 194.6	Import limitations: 25% limit: - 97.1 50% limit: - 66.7 75% limit: - 6.9	-
Kemfert (1999) ^d		Ceiling compared to Annex B trading: 10% limit: - 0.93 80% limit - 1.82	-

 Table 5: Implications of the Kyoto Protocol for Developing Countries - The Role of Strategic

 Behaviour and Adaptation Policies

Notes to the table:

- a) Percentage changes.
- b) Changes refer to Equivalent Variation relative to baseline cases.
- c) Changes refer to gains from trade relative to baseline cases
- d) These results correspond to the assumption of a medium emissions level.

		s Affecting the Implications otocol for Non-Annex B Cc	
	Strategic I	Behaviour	En anaz Dalian
	Supply Side	Demand Side	Energy Policy
Babiker and Jacoby (1999) ^b	No cartel: - 10.25 "OPEC cartel": - 22.64	-	Distortions: - 10.25 Harmonisation: - 8.28
Babiker, Reilly, and Jacoby (1999) ^b	-	-	Distortions: - 10.25 Harmonisation: - 8.28"
Ellerman and Decaux (1998) ^c	Annex B Cartel: + 119.9 Annex B+Russia: + 194.6	_	_
Ellerman, Jacoby, and Decaux (1998) ^c	Annex B Cartel: + 119.9 Annex B+Russia: + 194.6	Import limitations: 25% limit: - 97.1 50% limit: - 66.7 75% limit: - 6.9	-

Table 5: Implications of the Kyoto Protocol for Developing Countries - The Role of StrategicBehaviour and Adaptation Policies

Notes to the table:

- e) Percentage changes.
- f) Changes refer to Equivalent Variation relative to baseline cases.
- g) Changes refer to gains from trade relative to baseline cases

	-	Non-Annex B		A	nnex B Countri	es
	No Trade vs BAU	Annex B Trade vs BAU	Non-Annex B Trade vs BAU	No Trade vs BAU	Annex B Trade vs BAU	Non-Annex B Trade vs BAU
		R	&D - Based T	echnical Chan	ge	
Welfare ^b	+ 0.01	+ 0.01	0	- 0.20	- 0.11	- 0.03
Emissions	0	0	- 17	- 41	-419	- 14
Output	+ 0.02	+ 0.02	+ 0.04	- 0.81	- 0.30	+ 0.14
Overall	No Trade vs BAU Annex B Trade vs BAU Global Trade vs BA				de vs BAU	
Emissions	- 11 - 11 - 16				6	
		Ι	LbD - Based To	echnical Chang	ge	
Welfare	+ 0.01	+ 0.01	+ 0.01	- 0.35	- 0.30	- 0.09
Emissions	0	0	- 16	- 45	- 45	- 14
Output	+ 0.03	+ 0.03	+ 0.02	- 0.73	- 0.54	+ 0.18
Overall	No Trade	vs BAU	Annex B Tr	ade vs BAU	Global Tra	de vs BAU
Emissions	- 1	1	-]	11	- 1	5

Table6: Implications of the Kyoto Protocol Under Endogenous and Induced Technical Change^a

Notes to the table:

b) Welfare is defined as the present discounted value of consumption.

a) Percentage changes are computed with respect to the amount of emissions in the reference case without any climate agreement in 2010.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
		Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa
ETA	2.2002	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
	2 2002	Preferences?
WAT	3.2002	Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
OF D (1 2002	Black Sea
CLIM	4.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
		Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Effichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An
		Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a
		Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary
		Policy
KNOW	12.2002	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
		Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
		Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
		Economy
Coalition	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory		
Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Theory		
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
a. n. /		in Insular Systems
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
or n (a a a aaa	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
	24 2002	Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
	26 2002	Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
GLIGT	27 2002	Change
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
OLICT	20 2002	Conservation Plans
SUST	28.2002	<u>The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment</u>
KNOW	29.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence
ETA	30.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
	51.2002	the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
KNOW	24 2002	Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
CLIM	55.2002	Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (lv): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (lv): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (lv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
NRM	40.2002	the Framework of Agenda 2000 S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
	40.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (lvi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CUM	44 2002	<u>Analysis with MacGEM</u> A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (1vi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (191): <u>working 100 Much in a Polluted world: A North-South Evolutionary</u> Model
ETA	45.2002	<i>P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET</i> (lvi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (lvi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network	51 2002	Course CURRADINI (line). Stable Operations with Enternalities
Coalition Theory	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Network		
	52 2002	Robert N STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
	52.2002 53.2002	
ETA ETA CLIM	53.2002 54.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
ETA ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
ETA ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary <u>Union</u> Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary <u>Union</u> Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <u>Tobin's Q</u> Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent <u>Productivity</u> Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (1iii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (1iii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (1vii): <u>Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of</u> Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (1vii): <u>Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy</u> Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (1vii): <u>How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions</u> Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: <u>Staving Together</u> or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: <u>Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability</u> Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: <u>Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q</u> Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: <u>The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent</u> <u>Productivity</u> Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: <u>Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a</u> <u>Statistical Life</u> Paolo SURICO: <u>US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences</u> <i>Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO</i> : <u>Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare</u> Changes in the U.K.
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules; the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BrAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the ULK. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (vii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty. Taxes or Tradable Permits?
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules; the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BrAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the ULK. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (1iii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (1iii): <u>Towards a Better Climate Treaty</u> Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies</u> Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: <u>Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs</u> Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): <u>Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of</u> Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): <u>Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy</u> Famy MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): <u>How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions</u> Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: <u>Staving Together</u> or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary <u>Union</u> <i>Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER</i> : <u>Interpreting Sustainability in Economic</u> Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity <i>Carlo CAPUANO</i> : <u>Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability</u> <i>Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI</i> : <u>Privatization and R&D Performance</u> : An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q <i>Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO</i> : <u>The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent</u> <u>Productivity</u> <i>Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE</i> : <u>Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life</u> <i>Paolo SURICO</i> : <u>US Monetary Policy Rules</u> : the Case for Asymmetric Preferences <i>Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO</i> : <u>Privatisations as Price Reforms</u> : Evaluating Consumers' Welfare <u>Changes in the U.K.</u> Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: <u>Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations</u> <i>Philippe QURION</i> : <u>Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty</u> : Taxes or Tradable Permits? <i>Anna ALBERINI</i> , Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO:

NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
	72 2002	Irrigation
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical
PRIV	74.2002	Evidence from Egypt Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: <u>Family Firms</u>
PRIV	75.2002	<i>Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD:</i> Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
I KI V	13.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?
		Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open
CL D.(01 2002	Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
PRIV	82.2002	of the Montreal Protocol George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
IKIV	82.2002	Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
	00.2002	to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: An
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (lv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
		Misconceptions
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (lviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring
ETA	89.2002	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON</i> (lviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an evolutionary biological system.
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (lviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (Iviii): <u>A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India</u>
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
		Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
		Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
CL D (06 0000	the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
ETA	98.2002	<u>Thailand</u> Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
	70.2002	GARCH Models
ETA	99.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
		EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	103.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
ET A	104 2002	Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data Evidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: Privatization and
I KI V	105.2002	Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (lviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
		Privatisation in Developing Countries
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
		Diversification
PRIV	109.2002	Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
	110 2002	World
PRIV	110.2002	Nandini GUPTA: <u>Partial Privatization and Firm Performance</u> François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: <u>Selling Company Shares to</u>
PRIV	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience
		Nordount Employees, France Ferebolin 5 Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
		Developing Countries
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets: The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE</i> : Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
110,	0.2000	Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Rever GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO</i> : Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The
SIL V	1.2005	Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
NDM	0 2002	Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
WARDER	10 2002	with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
WNOW	10 0000	Climate Change Policy Analysis
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural
KNOW	13.2003	Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): Bio-Ecological
		Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP</i> (lix): <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and</u> Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory	17.2005	Seglo Contentanti, on the stability of merateliles in Games with Externations
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
1 Ki v	21.2005	Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform</u> - Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (Ix): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
I KI V	25.2005	Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs
PRIV	27.2003	<i>Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV</i> (lx): <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u>
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (Ix): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative
		Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29.2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV	30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities
ETA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
		Externality NTU-Coalition Games
KNOW	32.2003	Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV	33.2003	Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
KNOW	34.2003	Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
ET A	25 2002	Treatment Effect Analysis
ETA	35.2003	Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different</u>
		Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation

GG		
00	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
		Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42.2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
KNOW	42.2005	
	12 2002	Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
		Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its
		Effectiveness
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste
		Disposal Methods
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical
		Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology
CLIM	10.2005	Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
CLIM	49.2003	
OTN	50 2002	Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits
CTN	50.2003	László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CTN	51.2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (lxi): Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52.2003	Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (lxi): Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53.2003	Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (lxi): Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54.2003	Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (lxi): On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation
CTN	55.2003	Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (lxi): Free Trade Networks
CTN	56.2003	Halis Murat YILDIZ (lxi): National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization
CTN	57.2003	Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (lxi): An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International
		Environmental Agreements
KNOW	58.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A</u>
1111011	00.2000	Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research
KNOW	50 0000	
	59 2003	Giorgio RELLETTINI and Gianmarco LP OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change
KNOW FTA	59.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change Ronnia SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey
ETA	60.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey
		Ronnie SCHÖB: <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u> Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel</u>
ETA CLIM	60.2003 61.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u> Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel</u> Formation Game
ETA	60.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of
ETA CLIM GG	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements
ETA CLIM GG SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy
ETA CLIM GG	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of
ETA CLIM GG SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy
ETA CLIM GG SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 66.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 66.2003 67.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 66.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 66.2003 67.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 66.2003 67.2003 68.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003 71.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003 71.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003 71.2003 72.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003 71.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAELJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 68.2003 70.2003 71.2003 72.2003 73.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (kii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects? Natalya V. TARANOVA (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003 71.2003 72.2003 73.2003 74.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lixii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects? Natalya V. TARANOVA (lixii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case Kristine CRANE (lixii): The City as an Ar
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 68.2003 70.2003 71.2003 72.2003 73.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljijana DERU SIMIC (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003 71.2003 72.2003 73.2003 74.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lixii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects? Natalya V. TARANOVA (lixii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case Kristine CRANE (lixii): The City as an Ar
ETA CLIM GG SIEV CLIM SIEV SIEV SIEV CLIM KNOW KNOW KNOW	60.2003 61.2003 62.2003 63.2003 64.2003 65.2003 65.2003 67.2003 68.2003 69.2003 70.2003 71.2003 72.2003 73.2003 74.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History Ljijana DERU SIMIC (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and

KNOW	77.2003	Richard PEARCE (lxii): <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u>
KNOW	78.2003	Vincent MERK (lxii): Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of
		the Dilemmas
KNOW	79.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: Child Labor and
		Resistance to Change
ETA	80.2003	Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: Investment Size and Firm's Value
		under Profit Sharing Regulation
IEM	81.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Oil and Product Dynamics in
		International Petroleum Markets
CLIM	82.2003	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against
		Environmental Regulation
CLIM	83.2003	Giuseppe DI VITA: Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?
CLIM	84.2003	Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes
NRM	85.2003	Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How Fast are the Tourism Countries
		Growing? The cross-country evidence
KNOW	86.2003	Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: The ICT Revolution:
		opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno
SIEV	87.2003	Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural
		Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments
CLIM	88.2003	Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: New Roads to International Environmental Agreements:
		The Case of Global Warming
CLIM	89.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Economic Development and Environmental Protection
CLIM	90.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to
		Decoupling?
CLIM	91.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing
		Countries

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei , Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

(lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

(lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

(lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002

(lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003

(lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities", The Hague, November 7-8, 2002

2002 SERIES

CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
VOL	Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SUST	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
MGMT	Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2003 SERIES

CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network