INTERNATIONAL INEQUITY AVERSION AND THE SOCIAL COST OF CARBON

Richard S.J. Tol

Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin, Ireland Institute for Environmental Studies, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Department of Spatial Economics, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

November 21, 2009

Working Paper FNU-178

Abstract

I define the rate of inequity aversion, distinguishing between the pure rate and the consumption rate. I measure the rate of aversion to inequality in consumption as expressed in the development aid given by rich countries to poor ones between 1965 and 2005. There is an ambiguous relationship between the pure rate of inequity aversion and the consumption rate, driven by the rate of risk aversion. However, for a reasonable choice of the rate of risk aversion, rich countries are shown to be inequity averse, and increasingly so over time. The social cost of carbon is very sensitive to equity weighting and assumptions about the rate of risk and inequity aversion. Estimates for the consumption rate of inequity aversion for recent data suggest that the equity-weighted social cost of carbon is less than 50% larger than the unweighted estimate.

Key words

Inequity aversion, risk aversion, income distribution, development aid, climate change, social cost of carbon

JEL Classification

D31, D63. Q54

1. Introduction

As globalisation intensifies, so does the need for evaluating policies, such as climate policy, from the perspective of a global planner. There is no global planner, but considering what she would do provides a useful yardstick against which to measure more realistic policy interventions. Policy analyses at the global scale are immediately confronted with income differences that are greater than in any individual country, and with income redistribution policies that are less effective. This paper focuses on the effect of income distribution on policy evaluation, with an application to greenhouse gas emission reduction.

While many analysts consider a strictly utilitarian welfare function with risk-averse agents, this implies that uncertainty and inequality are evaluated with a single parameter – even though they are conceptually and numerically different (Atkinson et al. 2009;Carlsson et al. 2005). This spells trouble for policies that are both risky and affect the income distribution, climate policy being a clear example. A straightforward generalisation of the welfare function introduces a second parameter, so that inequality aversion and risk aversion can assume different numerical values. In this paper, I seek to measure the rate of inequality aversion and to assess the implications for the Pigou tax.

The procedure for this is as follows. I assume that the global planner has the power to redistribute income from the countries of the OECD to the rest of the world, and that this is a measure of inequality aversion at the global scale. As OECD countries voluntarily disburse development aid, the measured inequality aversion is that of "the OECD", not that of the world.¹ However, a similar model is followed in problems such as climate change, where it is proposed to spend money on reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the OECD, primarily to the benefit of the poor (Schelling 1995). The measured rate of inequality aversion can be used to evaluate problems in which rich countries are the donors and poor countries the beneficiaries. Applying the measured inequality aversion in other contexts should be done with great care, if at all.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 defines inequity aversion, drawing on previous research. Section 3 presents the exact method of measurement, combining the literature in Section 2 with another literature on income redistribution. Section 4 presents the empirical findings. Section 5 applies the results to equity-weighting the social cost of carbon. Section 6 concludes.

2. Defining inequity aversion

Consider a social welfare function in the sense of (Bergson 1938) and (Samuelson 1975):

(1)
$$W(U_1, U_2, ..., U_I) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{U_i^{1-\omega}}{1-\omega} & \omega \neq 1 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{I} U_i & \omega = 1 \end{cases}$$

where *W* is social welfare, U_i is utility of actors i=1,2,...,I, and ω is a parameter, that can be interpreted as the pure rate of inequity aversion (Boadway et al. 1984). At the margin, an increase in utility for a relatively happy actor *r* compares to a utility increase for relatively unhappy actor *p* ($U_r > U_p$) as

¹ Alternatively, this could be interpreted as altruism (Johansson-Stenman 2005).

(2)
$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial U_r} = \left(\frac{U_p}{U_r}\right)^{\omega} \begin{cases} >1 & \omega < 0 \\ =1 & \text{for } \omega = 0 \\ <1 & \omega > 0 \end{cases}$$

That is, for $\omega=0$, the social planner is indifferent between the actors, regardless of their initial utility. For $\omega>0$ ($\omega<0$), the social planner prefers an increase in the utility of the relatively unhappy (happy) actor over an increase in the utility of the relatively happy (unhappy) actor. The strength of this preference is larger for a larger absolute value of ω , so that ω is indeed a measure of aversion against inequity in the distribution of utility. This is underlined if one considers that

(3)
$$\lim_{\omega \uparrow \infty} W = \min_{i} \{ U_{i} \}; \lim_{\omega \downarrow -\infty} W = \max_{i} \{ U_{i} \}$$

That is, in the limits, the welfare function equals either the Rawlsian maximin welfare function or the Nietzschean maximax welfare function.

Now consider a CRRA utility function

(4)
$$U_i = \begin{cases} \frac{C_i^{1-\eta}}{1-\eta} & \eta \neq 1\\ \ln C_i & \eta = 1 \end{cases}$$

where *C* is consumption and η is the rate of risk aversion.

Then the social planner evaluates a relative shift in consumption as

(5)
$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial C_r} = \left(\frac{C_p}{C_r}\right)^{\eta+\omega(1-\eta)} \begin{cases} >1 & \eta+\omega(1-\eta)<0\\ =1 & \text{for } \eta+\omega(1-\eta)=0\\ <1 & \eta+\omega(1-\eta)>0 \end{cases}$$

Note that (5) introduces the consumption rate of inequity aversion: $\eta + \omega(1-\eta)$. For $\eta = 0$, the *pure* rate of inequity aversion (ω) equals the *consumption* rate of inequity aversion ($\eta+\omega(1-\eta)$). For $\omega=0$, the (social) consumption rate of inequity aversion equals the (individual) rate of risk aversion. For non-zero values of η and ω , pure inequity aversion, consumption inequity aversion, and risk aversion are numerically different.

3. Measuring international inequity aversion in the OECD

The "leaky bucket" (Okun 1975) is a frequently used method to estimate inequity aversion within countries (Amiel et al. 1999). The basic thought is that an inequity-averse social planner would take from the rich and give to the poor. If it were costless to redistribute income, then everyone would have the same income (or the social planner would be inequity-neutral). However, if only a fraction of the income taken from the rich reaches the poor, then it is possible to have both an unequal income distribution and an inequity-averse social planner. The metaphor arises because the social planner uses a "leaky bucket" to transfer income. The leakier the bucket, the more unequal is the optimal income distribution given a degree of inequity aversion. Vice versa, the degree of inequity aversion, implied by the assumption that the observed income distribution is optimal, increases as the bucket gets leakier.

I here measure the degree of inequity aversion of countries in the OECD, implied by the official development aid given to developing countries. Note the leap of faith. I assume that the OECD *collectively* acts as a *global planner* when deciding to aid developing countries. I need one additional assumption, namely that the global planner is only interested in distributional issues between countries, but not within countries. Note that there are few data on how aid is distributed across the income distribution in developing countries. Then, the global welfare function becomes:

(6)
$$W = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{I} P_i \frac{(c_i^{1-\eta})^{1-\omega}}{(1-\omega)(1-\eta)^{1-\omega}} & \omega \neq 1, \eta \neq 1 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{I} P_i c_i & \omega = 1, \eta = 1 \end{cases}$$

where c_i is average per capita consumption in country *i* and P_i is the number of people in that country. Equation (6) follows from assuming that the global planner considers each individual separately but evaluates each individual in a country at the country average per capita income.

With this assumption, for any level and pattern of aid, for any degree of leakiness, and for any degree of risk aversion, the degree of inequity aversion follows from assuming that the aid flows are optimal, that is, the total derivative of welfare is zero, or

(7a)
$$\sum_{i \in OECD} P_i c_i^{\eta \omega - \eta - \omega} a_i + \sum_{i \notin OECD} P_i c_i^{\eta \omega - \eta - \omega} a_i = 0$$

with

(7b)
$$\lambda \sum_{i \in OECD} A_i + \sum_{i \notin OECD} A_i = 0$$

where *a* is per capita aid received, *A* is total aid received, and λ is the degree of leakiness. Note that *a* and *A* are negative in donor countries.² That is, aid is given up to the point that the welfare loss of the OECD exactly equals the welfare gain of the non-OECD.

4. Results

I solved in Equation (7) for λ =0.05, 0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.9, 0.95, and consider results for various values of η . I took data on population, GNI, and ODA *received* for 1965-2005 from the World Resources Institute (<u>http://earthtrends.wri.org/</u>). I took data for ODA *given* from the OECD Development Assistance Committee (<u>http://www.oecd.org/dac/stats</u>).³ I

² Note that $(1-\omega)^{-1}$ and $(1-\eta)^{-(1-\omega)}$ drop out of (6); η and ω are thus unconstrained.

³ Note that there is often a substantial difference between total ODA given and total ODA received. I assume that this is because of statistical errors on the receiver side. The difference between ODA given and ODA received is NOT a measure of leakiness. Leakiness is here defined as the difference between aid given and aid effectively received by those for whom it was intended. The latter is immeasurable.

rescaled aid received per country so that total aid received equals total aid given for each year, times the leakage rate λ .⁴

Figure 1 shows selected results per year. Table A1 has the full results. The top line is the consumption rate of inequity aversion, which equals either parameter if the other equals zero. In 1965, ω =0.79 (for η =0) and it steadily falls by 0.0059 (s.d. 0.0003) per year to ω =0.54 in 2005. That is, OECD countries have grown less averse to income differences with other countries. Figure 1 also shows the sensitivity to the leakiness of the bucket. The leakier the bucket, the smaller λ , the greater the implied rate of inequity aversion.

The numerical value of the consumption rate of inequity aversion is lower than commonly assumed values for η – which is typically set at unity or higher (Evans 2005). As the consumption rate of inequity aversion equals the sum of the pure rate of inequity aversion and the rate of risk aversion minus their cross product, one cannot draw any inference from this for the pure rate of inequity aversion. Figure 2 illustrates this. For η <1 (η >1), ω decreases (increases) in η . For η =1.0, ω is unspecified. Figure 1 confirms this pattern.

No robust pattern for the pure rate of inequity aversion emerges. One cannot assume a probability density function for the rate of inequity aversion (Anthoff et al. 2009b) and compute an expected value of ω . Figure 2 shows that ω goes to minus (plus) infinity if η approaches unity from below (above). Therefore, E(ω) goes to minus (plus) infinity if E(η)<(>)1.

(Evans 2005) measures the rate of risk aversion of 20 OECD countries. He finds a central estimate of η =1.49 with a standard deviation of 0.19. For such values, the pure rate of inequity aversion is positive and increasing over time. See Figure 2.

For a number of applications, the consumption rate of inequity aversion is what matters – see Equations (5) and (6). The consumption rate of inequity aversion is well-defined and measurable.

5. Equity weights and the social cost of carbon

One can add the monetised impacts of climate change per country to estimate the global impact. This approach assumes that countries would compensate one another for the net damages done by their greenhouse gas emissions (Anthoff et al. 2007;Schelling 1984). However, when reasoning from the perspective of a global planner, monetised impacts cannot be added up as that would incorrectly assume that a dollar's worth of damage is valued the same regardless of the initial income of the victims and regardless of the initial income distribution (Azar et al. 1996;Azar 1999). Instead, a global planner would use equity-weighted impacts (Fankhauser et al. 1997;Fankhauser et al. 1998), defined as

(8)
$$SCC = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{W_{i}u_{i}}{W_{M}}\right)SCC_{i}$$

⁴ Note that GNI is measured in dollars as exchanged on the currency market. Using Geary-Khamis dollars would substantially reduce the number of observations. Furthermore, this would imply $\lambda > 1$, which does not match the observations below.

where *SCC* is the global social cost of carbon and *SCC_i* is the social cost of carbon in country *i*; u_i is the marginal utility of consumption; W_i is the marginal global welfare of utility to country *i*; and W_M is a normalising constant.

Equation (8) can be interpreted as follows. SCC_i is the marginal damage to country *i*, in money terms; u_i transforms into marginal damage measured in country *i*'s utility; and W_i transforms this into marginal damage measured in global welfare. The normalising constant W_M is needed to transform the impacts back into money. Normalisation is not arbitrary (Anthoff et al. 2009a); W_M is here defined as the marginal welfare impact of slackening the global income constraint, distributing the extra money in an optimal fashion to all people on the planet.

For utility function (4) and welfare function (6), Equation (8) becomes

(9)
$$SCC = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\overline{y}}{y_{i}}\right)^{\eta + \omega(1-\eta)} SCC_{i}$$

As in Equation (5), what matters is the *consumption* rate of inequity aversion.

Table 1 shows estimates of the social cost of carbon for the 16 regions of the FUND model (Anthoff and Tol 2007), as well as some characteristics of those regions. The social cost of carbon is estimate with a 1% pure rate of time preference. The numbers are for illustrative purposes only. A 1% pure rate of time preference ensures that the regional social cost of carbon is positive for all regions – that is, all regions suffer net negative impacts. The impacts range from about 5 cents per tonne of carbon in Australia and New Zealand to \$7.50 in China. One cannot read too much into these numbers. Large regions obviously internalise more of the externality than do small regions. To assist with the interpretation, Table 1 also shows the regional shares in the global social cost of carbon and population. China stands out as particularly vulnerable (on this measure) because of the projected impacts of climate change on water resources and energy demand. Table 1 further shows per capita income, per capita emissions, and the share of income that would be paid in carbon taxes if the carbon taxes would be set equal to the regional social cost of carbon. Again, China stands out, as it also has a relatively carbon-intensive economy.

Table 2 shows estimates of the social cost of carbon for the world. An unweighted addition of the regional social costs of carbon results in a global social cost of carbon of \$15/tC. Keeping the pure rate of inequity aversion at nought, equity-weights based on the standard rate of risk aversion of unity would increase this to \$36/tC. This goes up to \$47/tC for η =1.5 (Evans 2005), \$87/tC for η =2.0 (Weitzman 2007), and \$382/tC for η =3.0 (Dasgupta 2007). This clearly demonstrates the sensitivity of the social cost of carbon to equity weights.

Table 2 also shows the social cost of carbon for η =1.5 and ω =0.5 and ω =0.5. As argued above, equity weights depend on the consumption rate of inequity aversion, which has an ambiguous relationship with the pure rate of inequity aversion. For η =1.5, the social cost of carbon falls with higher inequity aversion to \$36/tC for ω =0.5 and \$24/tC for ω =1.5. The social cost of carbon increases with the consumption rate of inequity aversion.

Finally, Table 2 show the social cost of carbon for the consumption rates of inequity aversion measured above. The average rate is 0.67 for the period 1965-2005 and λ =0.05-

0.20, with a standard deviation 0.18. The social cost of carbon \$22/tC, with a range of \$18-29/tC. The maximum rate is 1.02 for 1965 and λ =0.05. The social cost of carbon is \$29/tC. The minimum rates are for 2005: 0.70, 0.54 and 0.38 for λ =0.05, λ =0.10 and λ =0.20, respectively. The social cost of carbon is then \$22/tC, \$20/tC, and \$18/tC, respectively.

While the social cost of carbon is, in theory, very sensitive to equity weights – equityweighted estimates can be 25 times as larges as unweighted results – restricting the relative parameter – the consumption rate of inequity aversion – to the range revealed by official development aid gives a much smaller "equity premium" – between 18% and 88% for the entire period, and 18-45% for 2005.

6. Conclusion

I define the consumption and pure rates of inequity aversion. I measure the consumption rate of inequity aversion as expressed in development aid flows from the OECD to developing countries, parameterised on the effectiveness of such aid. The consumption rate of inequity aversion is smaller than the rate of risk aversion, even if only a small fraction of aid given reaches its target. There is an ambiguous relationship between the consumption rate of inequity aversion and the pure rate of inequity aversion. However, for reasonable values of the rate of risk aversion, the pure rate of inequity aversion is positive and increasing. Note that I measure the rate of *global* risk aversion of the *rich* countries. Therefore, the people at the top end of the global income distribution consider the gap between rich and poor to be unfair, and this unease is growing.

The social cost of carbon is an aggregate of the regional marginal impacts of climate change. A global planner should consider inequity aversion in this aggregation. I reconfirm that estimates of the social cost of carbon are, in principle, very sensitive to assumptions about the consumption rate of inequity aversion. However, restricting inequity aversion to its observed consumption rate reveals that the "equity premium" on the social cost of carbon is less than 50%.

There are a number of caveats to these results. The analysis needs to be repeated with alternative specifications of welfare and utility functions. The numerical results need to be redone with other models and for other scenarios. This would reveal the robustness of the results presented here. Future research should also repeat the analysis under uncertainty, as the framework presented here allows for different values of risk aversion and inequity aversion.

Acknowledgements

I had useful discussions on this subject with David Anthoff. The CEC DG Research FP7 Climate Cost project provided useful financial support.

References

Amiel, Y., J.Creedy, and S.Hurn (1999), 'Measuring attitudes towards inequality', *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, **101**, (1), pp. 83-96.

Anthoff, D., C.J.Hepburn, and R.S.J.Tol (2009a), 'Equity weighting and the marginal damage costs of climate change', *Ecological Economics*, **68**, (3), pp. 836-849.

Anthoff, D. and R.S.J.Tol (2007), *On International Equity Weights and National Decision Making on Climate Change*, Working Paper **FNU127**, Research unit Sustainability and Global Change, Hamburg University and Centre for Marine and Atmospheric Science, Hamburg.

Anthoff, D., R.S.J.Tol, and G.W.Yohe (2009b), 'Discounting for Climate Change', *Economics -- the Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, **3**, (2009-24), pp. 1-24.

Atkinson, G.D., S.Dietz, J.Helgeson, C.J.Hepburn, and H.Saelen (2009), 'Siblings, not Triplets: Social Preferences for Risk, Inequality and Time in Discounting Climate Change', *Economics -- the Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, **3**, (2009-26), pp. 1-30.

Azar, C. (1999), 'Weight Factors in Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **13**, 249-268.

Azar, C. and T.Sterner (1996), 'Discounting and Distributional Considerations in the Context of Global Warming', *Ecological Economics*, **19**, 169-184.

Bergson, A. (1938), 'A Reformulation of Certain Aspects of Welfare Economics', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **52**, (2), 310-334.

Boadway, R. and N.Bruce (1984), Welfare Economics Basil Blackwell, Cambridge.

Carlsson, F., D.Daruvala, and O.Johansson-Stenman (2005), 'Are People Inequality-Averse, or Just Risk-Averse?', *Economica*, **72**, (287), 375-396.

Dasgupta, P. (2007), 'Commentary: The Stern Review's Economics of Climate Change', *National Institute Economic Review*, **199**, 4-7.

Evans, D.J. (2005), 'The Elasticity of Marginal Utility of Consumption: Estimates for 20 OECD Countries', *Fiscal Studies*, **26**, (2), 197-224.

Fankhauser, S., R.S.J.Tol, and D.W.Pearce (1997), 'The Aggregation of Climate Change Damages: A Welfare Theoretic Approach', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **10**, (3), 249-266.

Fankhauser, S., R.S.J.Tol, and D.W.Pearce (1998), 'Extensions and Alternatives to Climate Change Impact Valuation: On the Critique of IPCC Working Group III's Impact Estimates', *Environment and Development Economics*, **3**, 59-81.

Johansson-Stenman, O. (2005), 'Global environmental problems, efficiency and limited altruism', *Economics Letters*, **86**, (1), 101-106.

Okun, A.M. (1975), *Equality and Efficiency: The Big Trade-off* Brookings Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Samuelson, P.A. (1975), *Foundations of Economic Analysis (enlarged edition)* Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

Schelling, T.C. (1984), Choice and Consequence Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

Schelling, T.C. (1995), 'Intergenerational Discounting', *Energy Policy*, **23**, (4/5), 395-401.

Weitzman, M.L. (2007), 'A Review of the Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change', *Journal of Economic Literature*, **45**, (3), 703-724.

Table 1. The regional social	cost of	carbon (SC	CC) and	regional c	characteris	stics.	
	SCC		Pop	ulation	Income	Emissions	Tax
	\$/tC	share	mln	share	\$/p/yr	tC/p/yr	
USA	0.91	5.84%	300	4.63%	37,317	5.51	0.013%
Canada	0.07	0.45%	32	0.49%	25,498	4.62	0.001%
Western Europe	1.54	9.89%	395	6.09%	30,312	2.32	0.012%
Japan and South Korea	0.30	1.93%	176	2.72%	42,872	2.66	0.002%
Australia and New Zealand	0.06	0.39%	24	0.37%	21,437	4.34	0.001%
Eastern Europe	0.12	0.77%	120	1.86%	5,394	1.72	0.004%
Former Soviet Union	0.80	5.14%	286	4.41%	4,493	2.18	0.039%
Middle East	0.38	2.44%	267	4.11%	3,397	1.39	0.016%
Central America	0.26	1.67%	147	2.27%	6,783	0.85	0.003%
South America	0.23	1.48%	373	5.75%	7,920	0.63	0.002%
South Asia	1.07	6.87%	1,488	22.93%	1,984	0.23	0.013%
Southeast Asia	1.27	8.16%	589	9.07%	4,588	0.50	0.014%
China	7.49	48.11%	1,358	20.93%	5,509	0.76	0.104%
North Africa	0.43	2.76%	155	2.40%	2,248	0.57	0.011%
Sub-Saharan Africa	0.57	3.66%	731	11.27%	1,198	0.20	0.010%
Small Island States	0.07	0.45%	45	0.70%	1,545	0.57	0.003%
World	15.57		6,487		8,580	1.07	0.194%

TT 1 1 1	TTI · 1	• 1	4 C 1	(000	N 1 ·	1 1 / • /•
Table I	The regional	SOCIAL	cost of carbor	INCO) and region	al characteristics
rable r.	The regional	social			j unu region	al characteristics.

Table 2. The social cost of carbon for selected values of the rate of risk aversion, the pure rate of inequity aversion, and the consumption rate of inequity aversion.

η	ω	η+ω-ηω	SCC	Remarks
0.00	0.00	0.00	\$15.57/tC	Simple sum
1.00	0.00	1.00	\$36.23/tC	Standard value
1.49	0.00	1.49	\$46.85/tC	(Evans 2005)
1.49	0.50	1.25	\$36.23/tC	Illustrative
1.49	1.50	0.76	\$23.53/tC	Illustrative
2.00	0.00	2.00	\$86.81/tC	(Weitzman 2007)
3.00	0.00	3.00	\$381.49/tC	(Dasgupta 2007)
		0.67	\$22.08/tC	Average estimate (1965-2005, λ=0.05-0.20)
		0.32	\$17.76/tC	Average minus twice standard deviation
		1.02	\$29.92/tC	Average minus twice standard deviation
		0.38	\$18.40/tC	Lowest estimate (2005, λ =0.20)
		0.54	\$20.25/tC	Low estimate (2005, λ =0.10)
		0.70	\$22.53/tC	Low estimate (2005, λ =0.05)
		1.02	\$29.28/tC	Highest estimate (1965, λ =0.05)



Figure 1. The pure rate of inequity aversion (ω) between 1965 and 2005 for different values of risk aversion (η) and leakiness (λ).



Figure 2. The pure rate of inequity aversion as a function of the rate of risk aversion for a constant consumption rate of inequity aversion (0.67±0.18). The grey areas fall outside the 95% confidence interval of the rate of risk aversion according to (Evans 2005): η =1.49±0.19.

Year λ	1.00	0.95	0.90	0.80	0.70	0.60	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05
1965	0.000	0.018	0.037	0.078	0.125	0.179	0.242	0.318	0.417	0.554	0.788	1.019
1966	0.000	0.018	0.036	0.076	0.122	0.174	0.235	0.310	0.406	0.540	0.767	0.993
1967	0.000	0.017	0.036	0.076	0.121	0.173	0.234	0.308	0.403	0.536	0.762	0.986
1968	0.000	0.018	0.037	0.079	0.125	0.179	0.242	0.318	0.416	0.552	0.781	1.008
1969	0.000	0.017	0.035	0.074	0.118	0.169	0.228	0.300	0.392	0.520	0.736	0.948
1970	0.000	0.017	0.035	0.075	0.119	0.170	0.229	0.302	0.394	0.522	0.738	0.949
1971	0.000	0.017	0.035	0.074	0.118	0.169	0.228	0.300	0.391	0.519	0.734	0.945
1972	0.000	0.017	0.036	0.075	0.119	0.170	0.230	0.302	0.393	0.521	0.735	0.944
1973	0.000	0.017	0.034	0.073	0.116	0.165	0.223	0.293	0.382	0.506	0.713	0.918
1974	0.000	0.017	0.034	0.072	0.115	0.165	0.222	0.292	0.382	0.506	0.716	0.923
1975	0.000	0.017	0.036	0.075	0.120	0.171	0.230	0.303	0.394	0.522	0.736	0.947
1976	0.000	0.018	0.036	0.076	0.121	0.172	0.232	0.304	0.396	0.523	0.737	0.947
1977	0.000	0.018	0.037	0.079	0.125	0.177	0.239	0.313	0.407	0.537	0.754	0.966
1978	0.000	0.018	0.036	0.076	0.121	0.173	0.233	0.305	0.397	0.524	0.735	0.942
1979	0.000	0.018	0.037	0.079	0.125	0.177	0.238	0.312	0.405	0.533	0.745	0.949
1980	0.000	0.018	0.036	0.077	0.122	0.173	0.233	0.305	0.396	0.521	0.729	0.929
1981	0.000	0.018	0.037	0.077	0.122	0.174	0.234	0.306	0.398	0.524	0.733	0.935
1982	0.000	0.018	0.036	0.076	0.120	0.171	0.230	0.302	0.392	0.516	0.722	0.922
1983	0.000	0.018	0.036	0.077	0.122	0.173	0.232	0.304	0.394	0.519	0.726	0.927
1984	0.000	0.017	0.035	0.075	0.118	0.168	0.226	0.296	0.385	0.507	0.710	0.908
1985	0.000	0.017	0.036	0.075	0.119	0.168	0.227	0.296	0.385	0.507	0.710	0.908
1986	0.000	0.017	0.034	0.071	0.113	0.161	0.217	0.284	0.369	0.487	0.684	0.877
1987	0.000	0.016	0.032	0.067	0.107	0.153	0.206	0.270	0.352	0.466	0.656	0.842
1988	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.064	0.101	0.144	0.195	0.256	0.334	0.442	0.624	0.803
1989	0.000	0.014	0.030	0.063	0.100	0.142	0.192	0.252	0.329	0.435	0.615	0.790
1990	0.000	0.014	0.030	0.063	0.100	0.142	0.192	0.252	0.329	0.435	0.613	0.787
1991	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.063	0.101	0.143	0.194	0.254	0.331	0.438	0.616	0.791
1992	0.000	0.014	0.029	0.062	0.098	0.140	0.188	0.247	0.321	0.424	0.597	0.765
1993	0.000	0.014	0.029	0.062	0.098	0.140	0.189	0.247	0.322	0.425	0.597	0.764

Table A1. The value of the consumption rate of inequity aversion for different leakage rates and different years.

1994	0.000	0.014	0.028	0.060	0.095	0.136	0.183	0.240	0.312	0.412	0.579	0.741
1995	0.000	0.014	0.029	0.061	0.097	0.138	0.185	0.243	0.316	0.418	0.586	0.750
1996	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.063	0.100	0.143	0.192	0.251	0.326	0.429	0.601	0.767
1997	0.000	0.014	0.030	0.062	0.099	0.141	0.190	0.249	0.324	0.427	0.598	0.765
1998	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.063	0.100	0.143	0.192	0.252	0.328	0.432	0.606	0.775
1999	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.064	0.101	0.144	0.194	0.255	0.331	0.437	0.613	0.783
2000	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.063	0.099	0.141	0.191	0.250	0.324	0.428	0.599	0.765
2001	0.000	0.014	0.029	0.060	0.096	0.137	0.185	0.243	0.316	0.418	0.587	0.751
2002	0.000	0.014	0.029	0.060	0.096	0.137	0.184	0.241	0.314	0.415	0.582	0.743
2003	0.000	0.013	0.027	0.057	0.091	0.130	0.175	0.229	0.297	0.392	0.548	0.699
2004	0.000	0.013	0.027	0.058	0.092	0.131	0.176	0.231	0.301	0.397	0.556	0.710
2005	0.000	0.013	0.026	0.055	0.088	0.125	0.169	0.222	0.290	0.385	0.543	0.697

Working Papers

Research Unit Sustainability and Global Change

Hamburg University and Centre for Marine and Atmospheric Science

Tol, R.S.J. (2009), International Equity Aversion and the Social Cost of Carbon, FNU-178 (submitted)

Koleva, N.G., U.A. Schneider and B.A. McCarl (2009), *Pesticide externalities from the US agricultural sector - The impact of internalization, reduced pesticide application rates, and climate change,* FNU-177 (submitted)

Osmani, D. (2009), A Note on Optimal Transfer Schemes, Stable Coalition for Environmental Protection and Joint Welfare Maximisation Assumption, FNU-176 (submitted)

Anthoff, D. R.J. Nicholls and R.S.J Tol (2009), The Economic Impact of Substantial Sea Level Rise, FNU-175 (submitted)

Leahy, E., S. Lyons, E.L.W. Morgenroth and R.S.J. Tol (2009), The Spatial Incidence of a Carbon Tax in Ireland, FNU-174 (submitted)

Genova Koleva, N. and U.A. Schneider (2009), The impact of climate change on aquatic risk from agricultural pesticides in the US, FNU-173 (submitted)

Osmani, D. (2009), Burden Sharing Emissions and Climate Change: A Theoretic Welfare Approach, FNU-172 (submitted)

Genova Koleva, N., U.A. Schneider, and R.S.J. Tol (2009), The impact of weather variability and climate change on pesticide applications in the US - An empirical investigation, FNU-171 (submitted)

Calzadilla, A., T. Zhu, K. Rehdanz, R.S.J. Tol and C. Ringler (2009), Economy-Wide Impacts of Climate Change on Agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa, FNU-170 (submitted)

Calzadilla, A., K. Rehdanz and R.S.J. Tol (2008), The Economic Impact of More Sustainable Water Use in Agriculture: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis, FNU-169 (submitted)

Schleupner, C. and P.M. Link (2008), Eiderstedt im Spannungsfeld zwischen Naturschutz- und Agrarpolitik - Entwicklung eines methodischen Ansatzes für ein nachhaltiges Ressourcenmanagement in ökologisch sensiblen Regionen, FNU-168 (submitted)

Sauer, T., P.M. Link and U.A. Schneider (2008), The role of water resources in agricultural land use modeling: an extension of the land use model KLUM, FNU-167 (submitted)

Meier, H.A. and K. Rehdanz (2008), Determinants of Residential Space Heating Expenditures in Great Britain, FNU-166 (forthcoming, Energy Economics)

Link, P.M., C.I. Ramos, U.A. Schneider, E. Schmid, J. Balkovic and R. Skalsky (2008), *The interdependencies between food and biofuel production in European agriculture - an application of EUFASOM*, FNU-165 (submitted)

Schneider, U.A. and P. Smith (2008), Greenhouse Gas Emission Mitigation and Energy Intensities in Agriculture, FNU-164 (submitted)

Maddison, D. and K. Rehdanz (2008), Carbon Emissions and Economic Growth: Homogeneous Causality in Heterogeneous Panels, FNU-163 (submitted)

Osmani, D. and R.S.J. Tol (2008), Evolution in time of Farsightedly Stable Coalitions: An Application of FUND, FNU-162 (submitted)

Schneider U.A., P. Havlik, E. Schmid, I. Huck, M. Obersteiner, T. Sauer, C. Llull, R. Skalsky, J. Balkovic, S. Fritz, B. Dorin, and S. Leduc (2008), *Global interdependencies between population, water, food, and environmental policies*, FNU-161 (submitted)

Calzadilla, A, K. Rehdanz and R.S.J. Tol (2008), Water Scarcity and the Impact of Improved Irrigation Management: A CGE Analysis, FNU-160 (submitted)

Schleupner, C. and U.A. Schneider (2008), A cost-effective spatial wetland site-selection model for European biotope restoration, FNU-159 (submitted)

Schleupner, C. and U.A. Schneider (2008), Evaluation of European wetland restoration potentials by considering economic costs under different policy options, FNU-158 (submitted)

Bigano, A., J.M. Hamilton and R.S.J. Tol (2008), Climate Change and Tourism in the Mediterranean, FNU-157 (submitted).

Schneider U.A., J. Balkovic, S. De Cara, O. Franklin, S. Fritz, P. Havlik, I. Huck, K. Jantke, A.M.I. Kallio, F. Kraxner, A. Moiseyev, M. Obersteiner, C.I. Ramos, C. Schleupner, E. Schmid, D. Schwab, R. Skalsky (2008), *The European Forest and Agricultural Sector Optimization Model – EUFASOM*, FNU-156.

Schneider, U.A. and P. Kumar (2008), Greenhouse Gas Emission Mitigation through Agriculture, FNU-155.

Tol, R.S.J. and S. Wagner (2008), Climate Change and Violent Conflict in Europe over the Last Millennium. FNU-154 (forthcoming, Climatic Change).

Schleupner, C. (2007), Regional Spatial Planning Assessments for Adaptation to accelerated sea level rise - an application to Martinique's coastal zone. FNU-153 (submitted).

Schleupner, C. (2007). Evaluating the Regional Coastal Impact Potential to Erosion and Inundation caused by Extreme Weather Events and Tsunamis. FNU-152 (submitted).

Rehdanz, K. (2007), Species diversity and human well-being: A spatial econometric approach, FNU-151 (submitted).

Osmani, D. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), A short note on joint welfare maximization assumption, FNU-150 (submitted).

Osmani, D. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), Towards Farsightedly Stable International Environmental Agreements: Part Two, FNU-149 (submitted).

Ruane, F.P. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), Academic Quality, Power and Stability: An Application to Economics in the Republic of Ireland, FNU-148 (submitted).

Tol, R.S.J. (2007), A Rational, Successive g-Index Applied to Economics Departments in Ireland, FNU-147 (Journal of Informetrics, 2, 149-155).

Tol, R.S.J. (2007), Of the h-Index and its Alternatives: An Application to the 100 Most Prolific Economists, FNU-146 (forthcoming, Scientometrics).

Yohe, G.W. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), Precaution and a Dismal Theorem: Implications for Climate Policy and Climate Research, FNU-145 (submitted).

Tol, R.S.J. (2007), The Social Cost of Carbon: Trends, Outliers and Catastrophes, FNU-144 (Economics the Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal, 2 (25), 1-24).

Tol, R.S.J. (2007), The Matthew Effect Defined and Tested for the 100 Most Prolific Economists, FNU-143 (Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 60 (2), 420-426).

Berrittella, M., K. Rehdanz, R.S.J. Tol and J. Zhang (2007), *The Impact of Trade Liberalisation on Water Use: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis*, FNU-142 (Journal of Economic Integration, 23 (3), 631-655).

Lyons, S., K. Mayor and R.S.J. Tol (2007), Convergence of Consumption Patterns during Macroeconomic Transition: A Model of Demand in Ireland and the OECD, FNU-141 (Economic Modelling, 26, 702-714).

Osmani, D. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), Towards Farsightedly Stable International Environmental Agreements, FNU-140 (Journal of Public Economic Theory, 11 (3), 455-492).

Rehdanz, K. and S. Stöwhase (2007), Cost Liability and Residential Space Heating Expenditures of Welfare Recipients in Germany, FNU-139 (submitted).

Schleupner, C. and P.M. Link (2007), Potential impacts on bird habitats in Eiderstedt (Schleswig-Holstein) caused by agricultural land use changes, FNU-138 (Applied Geography, doi: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2008.04.001).

Link, P.M. and C. Schleupner (2007), Agricultural land use changes in Eiderstedt: historic developments and future plans, FNU-137 (Coastline Reports, 9, 197-206).

Anthoff, D., R.J. Nicholls and R.S.J. Tol (2007), Global Sea Level Rise and Equity Weighting, FNU-136 (submitted).

Schleupner, C. (2007), Wetland Distribution Modelling for Optimal Land Use Options in Europe, FNU-135 (submitted).

Mayor, K. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), The Impact of the EU-US Open Skies Agreement on International Travel and Carbon Dioxide Emissions, FNU-134 (Journal of Air Transport Management, 14, 1-7).

Schneider, U.A., M. Obersteiner, and E. Schmid (2007), Agricultural adaptation to climate policies and technical change, FNU-133 (submitted).

Lychnaras, V. and U.A. Schneider (2007), Dynamic Economic Analysis of Perennial Energy Crops - Effects of the CAP Reform on Biomass Supply in Greece, FNU-132 (submitted).

Mayor, K. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), The Impact of the UK Aviation Tax on Carbon Dioxide Emissions and Visitor Numbers, FNU-131 (Transport Policy, 14 (6), 407-513).

Ruane, F. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), Refined (Successive) h-indices: An Application to Economics in the Republic of Ireland, FNU-130 (Scientometrics, 75 (2), 395-405).

Yohe, G.W., R.S.J. Tol and D. Murphy (2007), On Setting Near-Term Climate Policy as the Dust Begins the Settle: The Legacy of the Stern Review, FNU-129 (Energy & Environment, 18 (5), 621-633). Maddison, D.J. and K. Rehdanz (2007), Happiness over Space and Time, FNU-128 (submitted).

Anthoff, D. and R.S.J. Tol (2007), On International Equity Weights and National Decision Making on Climate Change, FNU-127 (submitted).

de Bruin, K.C., R.B. Dellink and R.S.J. Tol (2007), AD-DICE: An Implementation of Adaptation in the DICE Model, FNU-126 (forthcoming, Climatic Change).

Tol, R.S.J. and G.W. Yohe (2007), The Stern Review: A Deconstruction, FNU-125 (Energy Policy, 37 (3), 1032-1040).

Keller, K., L.I. Miltich, A. Robinson and R.S.J. Tol (2007), How Overconfident Are Current Projections of Anthropogenic Carbon Dioxide Emissions?, FNU-124 (submitted, Energy Journal).

Cowie, A., U.A. Schneider and L. Montanarella (2006), Potential synergies between existing multilateral environmental agreements in the implementation of Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry activities, FNU-123 (submitted)

Kuik, O.J., B. Buchner, M. Catenacci, A. Goria, E. Karakaya and R.S.J. Tol (2006), Methodological Aspects of Recent Climate Change Damage Cost Studies, FNU-122 (Integrated Assessment Journal, 8 (1), 19-40)

Anthoff, D., C. Hepburn and R.S.J. Tol (2006), Equity Weighting and the Marginal Damage Costs of Climate Change, FNU-121 (Ecological Economics, 68, 836-849)

Tol, R.S.J. (2006), The Impact of a Carbon Tax on International Tourism, FNU-120 (Transportation Research D: Transport and the Environment, 12 (2), 129-142).

Rehdanz, K. and D.J. Maddison (2006), Local Environmental Quality and Life Satisfaction in Germany, FNU-119 (forthcoming, Ecological Economics)

Tanaka, K., R.S.J. Tol, D. Rokityanskiy, B.C. O'Neill and M. Obersteiner (2006), Evaluating Global Warming Potentials as Historical Temperature Proxies: An Application of ACC2 Inverse Calculation, FNU-118 (forthcoming, Climatic Change)

Berrittella, M., K. Rehdanz and R.S.J. Tol (2006), The Economic Impact of the South-North Water Transfer Project in China: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis, FNU-117 (submitted, China Economic Review)

Tol, R.S.J. (2006), Why Worry about Climate Change? A Research Agenda, FNU-116 (Environmental Values, 17 (4), 437-470)

Hamilton, J.M. and R.S.J. Tol (2006), The Impact of Climate Change on Tourism in Germany, the UK and Ireland: A Simulation Study, FNU-115 (Regional Environmental Change, 7 (3), 161-172)

Schwoon, M., F. Alkemade, K. Frenken and M.P. Hekkert (2006), Flexible transition strategies towards future well-to-wheel chains: an evolutionary modelling approach, FNU-114 (submitted).

Ronneberger, K., L. Criscuolo, W. Knorr and R.S.J. Tol (2006), *KLUM@LPJ: Integrating dynamic land-use decisions into a dynamic global vegetation and crop growth model to assess the impacts of a changing climate. A feasibility study for Europe*, **FNU-113** (submitted)

Schwoon, M. (2006), Learning-by-doing, Learning Spillovers and the Diffusion of Fuel Cell Vehicles, FNU-112 (submitted).

Strzepek, K.M., G.W. Yohe, R.S.J. Tol and M. Rosegrant (2006), The Value of the High Aswan Dam to the Egyptian Economy, FNU-111 (Ecological Economics, 66 (1), 117-126).

Schwoon, M. (2006), A Tool to Optimize the Initial Distribution of Hydrogen Filling Stations, FNU-110 (Transportation Research D: Transport and the Environment, 12 (2), 70-82).

Tol, R.S.J., K.L. Ebi and G.W. Yohe (2006), Infectious Disease, Development, and Climate Change: A Scenario Analysis, FNU-109 (Environment and Development Economics, 12, 687-706).

Lau, M.A. (2006), An analysis of the travel motivation of tourists from the People's Republic of China, FNU-108 (submitted).

Lau, M.A. and R.S.J. Tol (2006), The Chinese are coming - An analysis of the preferences of Chinese holiday makers at home and abroad, FNU-107 (submitted).

Röckmann, C., R.S.J. Tol, U.A. Schneider, and M.A. St.John (2006), *Rebuilding the Eastern Baltic cod stock under environmental change - Part II: The economic viability of a marine protected area.* FNU-106 (*Natural Resources Modelling*, 22 (1), 1-25)

Ronneberger, K., M. Berrittella, F. Bosello and R.S.J. Tol (2006), <u>KLUM@GTAP</u>: Introducing biophysical aspects of land-use decisions into a general equilibrium model. A coupling experiment, FNU-105 (Environmental Modelling and Assessment, 14, 149-169).

Link, P.M. and Tol, R.S.J. (2006), Economic impacts on key Barents Sea fisheries arising from changes in the strength of the Atlantic thermohaline circulation, FNU-104 (submitted).

Link, P.M. and Tol, R.S.J. (2006), *Estimation of the economic impact of temperature changes induced by a shutdown of the thermohaline circulation: an application of FUND*, FNU-103 (submitted, *Climatic Change*).

Tol, R.S.J. (2006), Integrated Assessment Modelling, FNU-102 (submitted).

Tol, R.S.J. (2006), Carbon Dioxide Emission Scenarios for the USA, FNU-101 (Energy Policy, 35, 5310-5326).

Tol, R.S.J., S.W. Pacala and R.H. Socolow (2006), Understanding Long-Term Energy Use and Carbon Dioxide Emissions in the USA, FNU-100 (Journal of Policy Modelling, 31, 425-445).

Sesabo, J.K, H. Lang and R.S.J. Tol (2006), Perceived Attitude and Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) establishment: Why households' characteristics matters in Coastal resources conservation initiatives in Tanzania, FNU-99 (submitted).

Tol, R.S.J. (2006), The Polluter Pays Principle and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change: An Application of FUND, FNU-98 (submitted)

Tol, R.S.J. and G.W. Yohe (2006), The Weakest Link Hypothesis for Adaptive Capacity: An Empirical Test, FNU-97 (Global Environmental Change, 17, 218-227)

Berrittella, M., K. Rehdanz, R.Roson and R.S.J. Tol (2005), The Economic Impact of Water Pricing: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis, FNU-96 (Water Policy, 10 (3), 259-271)

Sesabo, J.K. and R. S. J. Tol (2005), Technical Efficiency and Small-scale Fishing Households in Tanzanian coastal Villages: An Empirical Analysis, FNU-95 (submitted)

Lau, M.A. (2005), Adaptation to Sea-level Rise in the People's Republic of China – Assessing the Institutional Dimension of Alternative Organisational Frameworks, FNU-94 (submitted)

Berrittella, M., A.Y. Hoekstra, K. Rehdanz, R. Roson and R.S.J. Tol (2005), *The Economic Impact of Restricted Water Supply: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis*, FNU-93 (*Water Research*, 42, 1799-1813)

Tol, R.S.J. (2005), Europe's Long Term Climate Target: A Critical Evaluation, FNU-92 (Energy Policy, 35 (1), 424-434)

Hamilton, J.M. (2005), Coastal Landscape and the Hedonic Price of Accommodation, FNU-91 (Ecological Economics, 62 (3-4), 594-602)

Hamilton, J.M., D.J. Maddison and R.S.J. Tol (2005), Climate Preferences and Destination Choice: A Segmentation Approach, FNU-90 (submitted)

Zhou, Y. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), Valuing the Health Impacts from Particulate Air Pollution in Tianjin, FNU-89 (submitted)

Röckmann, C. (2005), International Cooperation for Sustainable Fisheries in the Baltic Sea, FNU-88 (forthcoming, in Ehlers, P./Lagoni, R. (Eds.): International Maritime Organisations and their Contribution towards a Sustainable Marine Development.)

Ceronsky, M., D. Anthoff, C. Hepburn and R.S.J. Tol (2005), Checking the price tag on catastrophe: The social cost of carbon under non-linear climate response FNU-87 (submitted, Climatic Change) Zandersen, M. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), A Meta-analysis of Forest Recreation Values in Europe, FNU-86 (Journal of Forest Economics, 15 (9), 109-130)

Heinzow, T., R.S.J. Tol and B. Brümmer (2005), Offshore-Windstromerzeugung in der Nordsee -eine ökonomische und ökologische Sackgasse? FNU-85 (Energiewirtschaftliche Tagesfragen, 56 (3), 68-73)

Röckmann, C., U.A. Schneider, M.A. St.John, and R.S.J. Tol (2005), Rebuilding the Eastern Baltic cod stock under environmental change - a preliminary approach using stock, environmental, and management constraints, FNU-84 (Natural Resources Modelling, 20 (2), 223-262)

Tol, R.S.J. and G.W. Yohe (2005), Infinite uncertainty, forgotten feedbacks, and cost-benefit analysis of climate policy, FNU-83 (Climatic Change, 83, 429-442)

Osmani, D. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), The case of two self-enforcing international agreements for environmental protection, FNU-82 (submitted)

Schneider, U.A. and B.A. McCarl, (2005), Appraising Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Potentials: Effects of Alternative Assumptions, FNU-81 (submitted)

Zandersen, M., M. Termansen, and F.S. Jensen, (2005), Valuing new forest sites over time: the case of afforestation and recreation in Denmark, FNU-80 (submitted)

Guillerminet, M.-L. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), Decision making under catastrophic risk and learning: the case of the possible collapse of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, FNU-79 (Climatic Change, 91 (1-2), 193-209)

Nicholls, R.J., R.S.J. Tol and A.T. Vafeidis (2005), Global estimates of the impact of a collapse of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet: An application of FUND, FNU-78 (forthcoming, Climatic Change, 91 (1-2), 171-191)

Lonsdale, K., T.E. Downing, R.J. Nicholls, D. Parker, A.T. Vafeidis, R. Dawson and J.W. Hall (2005), *Plausible responses to the threat of rapid sea-level rise for the Thames Estuary*, FNU-77 (submitted, *Climatic Change*)

Poumadère, M., C. Mays, G. Pfeifle with A.T. Vafeidis (2005), Worst Case Scenario and Stakeholder Group Decision: A 5-6 Meter Sea Level Rise in the Rhone Delta, France, FNU-76 (submitted, Climatic Change)

Olsthoorn, A.A., P.E. van der Werff, L.M. Bouwer and D. Huitema (2005), Neo-Atlantis: Dutch Responses to Five Meter Sea Level Rise, FNU-75 (forthcoming, Climatic Change)

Toth, F.L. and E. Hizsnyik (2005), Managing the inconceivable: Participatory assessments of impacts and responses to extreme climate change, FNU-74 (submitted, Climatic Change)

Kasperson, R.E. M.T. Bohn and R. Goble (2005), Assessing the risks of a future rapid large sea level rise: A review, FNU-73 (submitted, Climatic Change)

Schleupner, C. (2005), Evaluation of coastal squeeze and beach reduction and its consequences for the Caribbean island Martinique, FNU-72 (submitted)

Schleupner, C. (2005), Spatial Analysis As Tool for Sensitivity Assessment of Sea Level Rise Impacts on Martinique, FNU-71 (submitted)

Sesabo, J.K. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), Factors affecting Income Strategies among households in Tanzanian Coastal Villages: Implication for Development-Conservation Initiatives, FNU-70 (submitted) Fisher, B.S., G. Jakeman, H.M. Pant, M. Schwoon. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), CHIMP: A Simple Population Model for Use in Integrated Assessment of Global Environmental Change, FNU-69 (Integrated Assessment Journal, 6 (3), 1-33)

Rehdanz, K. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), A No Cap But Trade Proposal for Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets for Brazil, China and India, FNU-68 (Climate Policy, 18 (3), 293-304)

Zhou, Y. and R.S.J. Tol (2005), Water Use in China's Domestic, Industrial and Agricultural Sectors: An Empirical Analysis, FNU-67 (Water Science and Technoloy: Water Supply, 5 (6), 85-93)

Rehdanz, K. (2005), Determinants of Residential Space Heating Expenditures in Germany, FNU-66 (Energy Economics 29)

Ronneberger, K., R.S.J. Tol and U.A. Schneider (2005), KLUM: A Simple Model of Global Agricultural Land Use as a Coupling Tool of Economy and Vegetation, FNU-65 (submitted, Climatic Change)

Tol, R.S.J. (2005), The Benefits of Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction: An Application of FUND, FNU-64 (submitted, Global Environmental Change)

Röckmann, C., M.A. St.John, U.A. Schneider, F.W. Köster, F.W. and R.S.J. Tol (2006), Testing the implications of a permanent or seasonal marine reserve on the population dynamics of Eastern Baltic cod under varying environmental conditions, FNU-63-revised (Fisheries Research, 85, 1-13)

Letsoalo, A., J. Blignaut, T. de Wet, M. de Wit, S. Hess, R.S.J. Tol and J. van Heerden (2005), *Triple Dividends of Water Consumption Charges in South Africa*, FNU-62 (*Water Resources Research*, 43, W05412)

Zandersen, M., Termansen, M., Jensen, F.S. (2005), Benefit Transfer over Time of Ecosystem Values: the Case of Forest Recreation, FNU-61 (submitted)

Rehdanz, K., Jung, M., Tol, R.S.J. and Wetzel, P. (2005), Ocean Carbon Sinks and International Climate Policy, FNU-60 (Energy Policy, 34, 3516-3526)

Schwoon, M. (2005), Simulating the Adoption of Fuel Cell Vehicles, FNU-59 (submitted)

Bigano, A., J.M. Hamilton and R.S.J. Tol (2005), The Impact of Climate Change on Domestic and International Tourism: A Simulation Study, FNU-58 (submitted, Integrated Assessment Journal)

Bosello, F., R. Roson and R.S.J. Tol (2004), Economy-wide estimates of the implications of climate change: Human health, FNU-57 (Ecological Economics, 58, 579-591)

Hamilton, J.M. and M.A. Lau (2004) *The role of climate information in tourist destination choice decision-making*, **FNU-56** (forthcoming, Gössling, S. and C.M. Hall (eds.), Tourism and Global Environmental Change. London: Routledge)

Bigano, A., J.M. Hamilton and R.S.J. Tol (2004), The impact of climate on holiday destination choice, FNU-55 (Climatic Change, 76 (3-4), 389-406)

Bigano, A., J.M. Hamilton, M. Lau, R.S.J. Tol and Y. Zhou (2004), A global database of domestic and international tourist numbers at national and subnational level, FNU-54 (International Journal of Tourism Research, 9, 147-174)

Susandi, A. and R.S.J. Tol (2004), Impact of international emission reduction on energy and forestry sector of Indonesia, FNU-53 (submitted)

Hamilton, J.M. and R.S.J. Tol (2004), The Impact of Climate Change on Tourism and Recreation, FNU-52 (forthcoming, Schlesinger et al. (eds.), Cambridge University Press)

Schneider, U.A. (2004), Land Use Decision Modelling with Soil Status Dependent Emission Rates, FNU-51 (submitted)

Link, P.M., U.A. Schneider and R.S.J. Tol (2004), Economic impacts of changes in fish population dynamics: the role of the fishermen's harvesting strategies, FNU-50 (submitted)

Berritella, M., A. Bigano, R. Roson and R.S.J. Tol (2004), A General Equilibrium Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism, FNU-49 (Tourism Management, 27 (5), 913-924)

Tol, R.S.J. (2004), *The Double Trade-Off between Adaptation and Mitigation for Sea Level Rise: An Application of* FUND, **FNU-48** (*Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, **12** (5), 741-753)

Erdil, E. and Yetkiner, I.H. (2004), A Panel Data Approach for Income-Health Causality, FNU-47

Tol, R.S.J. (2004), *Multi-Gas Emission Reduction for Climate Change Policy: An Application of* FUND, **FNU-46** (*Energy Journal* (Multi-Greenhouse Gas Mitigation and Climate Policy Special Issue), 235-250)

Tol, R.S.J. (2004), Exchange Rates and Climate Change: An Application of FUND, FNU-45 (Climatic Change, 75, 59-80)

Gaitan, B., Tol, R.S.J, and Yetkiner, I. Hakan (2004), The Hotelling's Rule Revisited in a Dynamic General Equilibrium Model, FNU-44 (submitted)

Rehdanz, K. and Tol, R.S.J (2004), On Multi-Period Allocation of Tradable Emission Permits, FNU-43 (submitted)

Link, P.M. and Tol, R.S.J. (2004), Possible Economic Impacts of a Shutdown of the Thermohaline Circulation: An Application of FUND, FNU-42 (Portuguese Economic Journal, 3, 99-114)

Zhou, Y. and Tol, R.S.J. (2004), Evaluating the costs of desalination and water transport, FNU-41 (Water Resources Research, 41 (3), W03003)

Lau, M. (2004), Küstenzonenmanagement in der Volksrepublik China und Anpassungsstrategien an den Meeresspiegelanstieg, FNU-40 (Coastline Reports (1), 213-224.)

Rehdanz, K. and D.J. Maddison (2004), The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households, FNU-39 (submitted)

Bosello, F., Lazzarin, M., Roson, R. and Tol, R.S.J. (2004), Economy-wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise, FNU-38 (Environmental and Resource Economics, 37, 549-571)

Schwoon, M. and Tol, R.S.J. (2004), Optimal CO₂-abatement with socio-economic inertia and induced technological change, FNU-37 (Energy Journal, 27 (4), 25-60)

Hamilton, J.M., Maddison, D.J. and Tol, R.S.J. (2004), The Effects of Climate Change on International Tourism, FNU-36 (Climate Research, 29, 255-268)

Hansen, O. and R.S.J. Tol (2003), A Refined Inglehart Index of Materialism and Postmaterialism, FNU-35 (submitted)

Heinzow, T. and R.S.J. Tol (2003), Prediction of Crop Yields across four Climate Zones in Germany: An Artificial Neural Network Approach, FNU-34 (submitted, Climate Research)

Tol, R.S.J. (2003), Adaptation and Mitigation: Trade-offs in Substance and Methods, FNU-33 (Environmental Science and Policy, 8 (6), 572-578)

Tol, R.S.J. and T. Heinzow (2003), Estimates of the External and Sustainability Costs of Climate Change, FNU-32 (submitted)

Hamilton, J.M., Maddison, D.J. and Tol, R.S.J. (2003), Climate change and international tourism: a simulation study, FNU-31 (Global Environmental Change, 15 (3), 253-266)

Link, P.M. and R.S.J. Tol (2003), Economic impacts of changes in population dynamics of fish on the fisheries in the Barents Sea, FNU-30 (ICES Journal of Marine Science, 63 (4), 611-625)

Link, P.M. (2003), Auswirkungen populationsdynamischer Veränderungen in Fischbeständen auf die Fischereiwirtschaft in der Barentssee, FNU-29 (Essener Geographische Arbeiten, 35, 179-202)

Lau, M. (2003), Coastal Zone Management in the People's Republic of China – An Assessment of Structural Impacts on Decision-making Processes, FNU-28 (Ocean & Coastal Management, No. 48 (2005), pp. 115-159.)

Lau, M. (2003), *Coastal Zone Management in the People's Republic of China – A Unique Approach?*, **FNU-27** (*China Environment Series*, Issue 6, pp. 120-124; http://www.wilsoncenter.org/topics/pubs/7-commentaries.pdf)

Roson, R. and R.S.J. Tol (2003), An Integrated Assessment Model of Economy-Energy-Climate – The Model Wiagem: A Comment, FNU-26 (Integrated Assessment, 6 (1), 75-82)

Yetkiner, I.H. (2003), Is There An Indispensable Role For Government During Recovery From An Earthquake? A Theoretical Elaboration, FNU-25

Yetkiner, I.H. (2003), A Short Note On The Solution Procedure Of Barro And Sala-i-Martin for Restoring Constancy Conditions, FNU-24

Schneider, U.A. and B.A. McCarl (2003), Measuring Abatement Potentials When Multiple Change is Present: The Case of Greenhouse Gas Mitigation in U.S. Agriculture and Forestry, FNU-23 (submitted)

Zhou, Y. and Tol, R.S.J. (2003), The Implications of Desalination to Water Resources in China - an Economic Perspective, FNU-22 (Desalination, 163 (4), 225-240)

Yetkiner, I.H., de Vaal, A., and van Zon, A. (2003), The Cyclical Advancement of Drastic Technologies, FNU-21

Rehdanz, K. and Maddison, D. (2003) Climate and Happiness, FNU-20 (Ecological Economics, 52 111-125)

Tol, R.S.J., (2003), The Marginal Costs of Carbon Dioxide Emissions: An Assessment of the Uncertainties, FNU-19 (Energy Policy, 33 (16), 2064-2074).

Lee, H.C., B.A. McCarl, U.A. Schneider, and C.C. Chen (2003), Leakage and Comparative Advantage Implications of Agricultural Participation in Greenhouse Gas Emission Mitigation, FNU-18 (submitted).

Schneider, U.A. and B.A. McCarl (2003), Implications of a Carbon Based Energy Tax for U.S. Agriculture, FNU-17 (submitted).

Tol, R.S.J. (2002), Climate, Development, and Malaria: An Application of FUND, FNU-16 (forthcoming, Climatic Change).

Hamilton, J.M. (2003), Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists, FNU-15 (revised and submitted).

Tol, R.S.J. (2002), Technology Protocols for Climate Change: An Application of FUND, FNU-14 (Climate Policy, 4, 269-287).

Rehdanz, K (2002), Hedonic Pricing of Climate Change Impacts to Households in Great Britain, FNU-13 (Climatic Change 74).

Tol, R.S.J. (2002), Emission Abatement Versus Development As Strategies To Reduce Vulnerability To Climate Change: An Application Of FUND, FNU-12 (Environment and Development Economics, 10, 615-629).

Rehdanz, K. and Tol, R.S.J. (2002), On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits, FNU-11 (Ecological Economics, 54, 397-416).

Fankhauser, S. and Tol, R.S.J. (2001), On Climate Change and Growth, FNU-10 (Resource and Energy Economics, 27, 1-17).

Tol, R.S.J.and Verheyen, R. (2001), Liability and Compensation for Climate Change Damages – A Legal and Economic Assessment, FNU-9 (Energy Policy, 32 (9), 1109-1130).

Yohe, G. and R.S.J. Tol (2001), Indicators for Social and Economic Coping Capacity – Moving Toward a Working Definition of Adaptive Capacity, FNU-8 (Global Environmental Change, 12 (1), 25-40).

Kemfert, C., W. Lise and R.S.J. Tol (2001), Games of Climate Change with International Trade, FNU-7 (Environmental and Resource Economics, 28, 209-232).

Tol, R.S.J., W. Lise, B. Morel and B.C.C. van der Zwaan (2001), Technology Development and Diffusion and Incentives to Abate Greenhouse Gas Emissions, FNU-6 (submitted).

Kemfert, C. and R.S.J. Tol (2001), Equity, International Trade and Climate Policy, FNU-5 (International Environmental Agreements, 2, 23-48).

Tol, R.S.J., Downing T.E., Fankhauser S., Richels R.G. and Smith J.B. (2001), Progress in Estimating the Marginal Costs of Greenhouse Gas Emissions, FNU-4. (Pollution Atmosphérique – Numéro Spécial: Combien Vaut l'Air Propre?, 155-179).

Tol, R.S.J. (2000), How Large is the Uncertainty about Climate Change?, FNU-3 (Climatic Change, 56 (3), 265-289).

Tol, R.S.J., S. Fankhauser, R.G. Richels and J.B. Smith (2000), How Much Damage Will Climate Change Do? Recent Estimates, FNU-2 (World Economics, 1 (4), 179-206)

Lise, W. and R.S.J. Tol (2000), Impact of Climate on Tourism Demand, FNU-1 (Climatic Change, 55 (4), 429-449).