ISSN 1825-0211



THE IMPACT OF CRISES ON YOUTH UNEMPLOYMENT OF RUSSIAN REGIONS: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Olga DEMIDOVA — Marcello SIGNORELLI

Quaderno n. 78 — Ottobre 2010

QUADERNI DEL DIPARTIMENTO DI ECONOMIA, FINANZA E STATISTICA

The Impact of Crises on Youth Unemployment of Russian Regions: An Empirical Analysis

Olga Demidova^{*} and Marcello Signorelli^{**}

Abstract°

The main purpose of this paper is to estimate the influence of the 1998 and 2008 crises on the youth unemployment rates (age class 20-29) in Russian regions. The investigation is founded on the panel data for 78 Russian regions during 1997–2008 provided by ROSSTAT (the main Russian State statistical organization). We compare the level and dynamics of the youth unemployment in various Russian regions and try to solve three main questions:

Are there any special features of the youth unemployment in comparison with overall unemployment? How the 1998 crisis did change - and how the 2008 crisis is going to change - the youth unemployment dynamics? What can we learn from the impact of 1998 crisis and what is the main difference with the impact of the 2008 crisis?

With the help of the obtained results we define some preliminary policy suggestion.

JEL Classification: G01, R23, E24

Key words: financial crises, regional youth unemployment, Russian labour market

^{*} State University – Higher School of Economics, Moscow; e-mail: demidova@hse.ru

^{**} Department of Economics, Finance and Statistics, University of Perugia; e-mail: signorel@unipg.it

[°] An earlier version of this paper has been presented at the 11th bi-annual EACES conference, University of Tartu (Estonia) August 26-28, 2010.

1. Introduction

In many countries, youth unemployment dramatically rose after the recent global economic crisis (ILO, 2010; Arpaia and Curci, 2010; Choudhry et al., 2010)¹. The last crisis, started in 2007-08 as financial crisis, led to the biggest recession (2008-09) since the Great Depression of the '30s, with widespread consequences in all countries around the world. The real effects of financial crisis (on production, income, expenditure, etc.) are always lagged². Considering the labour market consequences of the crisis, even longer lags exist.

It should be noted that, also in "good times" the integration of young people into the labour market is an important objective all over the world, due to the generally high and persisting youth unemployment rates. For example, in Europe, youth unemployment rates are generally more than twice as high as the adult rates, with significant differences across countries (Quintini et al. 2007) and regions (Perugini and Signorelli 2010a and 2010b).

The aim of this paper is to provide new econometric results on the youth labour market performance and dynamics of Russian regions, especially focusing on the impact of 1998 and 2008 crises.

The structure of the paper is the following. In section 2 the relevant literature review is distinguished into five sub-topics. The empirical evidence and results are presented in Section 3, before a brief Section of final remarks and policy implications.

2. Review of the Literature

Considering the topic of the paper and the characteristic of the existing literature, we distinguish this part into five sub-sections. In fact, in the huge literature on labour markets, the topics regarding (i) the youth segment, (ii) the regional levels and (iii) the labour market impact of crises are generally considered separately. So, after a brief presentation of the definitions of "young people" adopted in the literature and a note on the better indicator between employment and unemployment rates, the second sub-section is dedicated to the "structural" determinants of youth unemployment rates (YURs) and the third one is devoted to key explanations of regional labour market performance, differences and dynamics. Then, after a review of the literature on the relationship between crisis and youth unemployment, in the final sub-section some key differences between 1998 and 2088 Russian crises are presented.

2.1. On the Definitions of "Young People" and the Better Youth Labour Market Performance Indicator

Although official statistics tend to focus on the group aged 15-24³, there is a considerable debate about the pros and cons of various definitions of youth and their consequences in the study of labour market performance and dynamics (e.g., Lefresne 2003; O'Higgins 1997). However, because of the larger data availability in the case of Russia, we shall use in our empirical estimates the 20-29 age class.

In general, employment rate indicators are better than unemployment rates, but this does not hold for "young people" considering the difficulties to take in to account of the differences and changes in the "schooling

¹ The impact has been deeper on the weakest segments of the labour market, especially young people.

² It should be noted a remarkable shift (at the beginning of 2010) - more pronounced in some countries than others - from a financial crisis in the private sector to a fiscal (sovereign debt) crisis, because of large increases in public deficits, mainly as a consequences of GDP and revenue declines/ accompanied by an increase in public expenditures.

³ As for a more complete definition of "youth unemployment" and some measurement aspects, see also ILO (2009).

participation"⁴. Obviously, in interpreting empirical evidence, it should be borne in mind that YURs are affected by all the problems related to general unemployment rates (in particular, the definition of unemployment and the role of discouragement effects)⁵. In addition, in the case of youth unemployment, some specific problems, such as underemployment and informal sector employment, may be particularly relevant (O'Higgins 2005).

2.2. On the Structural Determinants of Youth Unemployment

As already highlighted in the Introduction, the youth unemployment rate is generally higher than adult unemployment rate. According to the existing literature, many factors (including also the macroeconomic conditions and the set of labour market institutions) contribute to the youth labour market performance. It is well-known that unemployment, in general, depends significantly on macroeconomic cyclical conditions: however, macroeconomic performance and cyclical behaviour cannot explain many "persistent" employment difficulties of young people. The main reason of the generally worse youth labour market performance with respect to adults is related to the lower level (and/or different quality) of human capital (and productivity), which ceteris paribus makes employers prefer adult people to young. The educational level is the most immediate variable measuring "human capital", but young people lack the other two components of human capital, namely generic and job-specific work experience. From both a theoretical and an empirical viewpoint, Carmeci and Mauro (2003) have shown that educated youngsters need to acquire firm-specific knowledge by working activities for "schooling" human capital to become productive.

The links between the "institutional framework" and policies to contrast youth unemployment are discussed in a wide and recent literature (e.g. Brunello et al. 2007, Checchi 2006, European Commission 2008 chapter 5). The impact of the institutional settings has been previously stressed by many authors (e.g. Newmark and Wascher, 2004; Kolev and Saget, 2005; Bassanini and Duval, 2006); in particular, many authors have analysed the effects of temporary jobs (e.g. Booth et al., 2002; Quintini and Martin, 2006) or of minimum wage regulations (e.g., Abowd at al., 1997, Neumark and Wascher, 1999). A part of the literature point on the role of temporary contracts in favouring the transition of young people to labour market (e.g. Ichino et al., 2005; Barbieri and Sestito, 2008; Picchio, 2008).

The school-to-work transition (STWT) processes and their changes over time has been widely investigated in the literature. Clark and Summers (1982) analyse the determinants of the higher flows in and out of unemployment for young compared with adult people. The persistence of youth unemployment, initially considered by Heckman and Borjas (1980), is also the focus of Ryan (2001). Even macroeconomic variables - e.g. the labour demand level and relative wages (see O'Higgins, 2005) – may affect the school-to-work transition. As to the education systems in Europe, that can be classified according to their flexibility vs. rigidity and to their "dual" vs. "sequential" approach to training (Caroleo and Pastore, 2003 and 2007), they determine, on one hand, the "quality" of education and the performance of students and interact, on the other hand, with the STWT institution in influencing the youth labour market performance⁶.

⁴ In other terms, a lower or/and decreasing youth employment rate is significantly related to high(er) "schooling participation".

⁵ On this point, see Perugini and Signorelli 2004 and 2007.

⁶ Caroleo and Pastore (2007), arguing that the "youth experience gap" is a key factor in explaining youth unemployment, classify the EU countries into five groups (the North-European, the Continental European, the Anglo-Saxon, the South-European and that of new member states) according to the institutional setting and the mix of policy instruments (including various degrees and types of labour market flexibility), of educational and training systems, passive income support schemes and fiscal incentives.

Many other researches consider the human capital a prominent element in the explanation of the determinants of youth labour market performance (by considering the multiple features characterizing the transition of young people from school to the labour market, the risk of unemployment they face, their performance at work, the quality and stability of their positions). In particular, young people with low human capital and low skills are more exposed to long duration unemployment, to unstable and low quality jobs, perhaps to social exclusion (Oecd, 2005). The microeconomic literature considers the educational choices as the optimal outcome of comparing the investment costs in education and the expected returns (probability to get a job, future incomes, better occupations and careers, social esteem, etc.). However, the decision of extending the study period and the choice of the type and level of school, as well as the final outcomes, depend also on the family (socio-economic and cultural) background. In fact, the participation to (different levels of) education is positively correlated, in all countries, with household background in terms of education and/or employment, with obvious effects in terms of social mobility; remarkable differences between countries exist and persist over time (Hertz et al. 2007); the objective of equal (or similar) educational and employment opportunities is far to be reached (e.g. Checchi 2003; Brunello-Checchi 2005).

An important cause of high youth unemployment and low quality employment - low entrance wages, bad-quality jobs, diffusion of non standard labour contracts - has been found in the mismatch between the knowledge acquired through formal education and the skills required by the local/regional labour market. In general, the difference between educational supply and labour demand is in stronger connection to the performance of local economies than is the level of educational stock itself (Rodriguez-Pose, 2003): a good level of formal education can have a limited impact on economic growth and performance if it is not suitable to the market needs. This is why the problem of an efficient - in terms of demand/supply match - investment in (higher) human capital and the measurement of (both private and social) returns on investment, e.g. in terms of increased labour productivity, is permanently in the agenda of the policymakers.

In the European context, in addition to Eurostat surveys (2003), Andrews et al. (2001) investigate the role of qualitative mismatch between demand and supply, while Hannan et al. (1999) realised a comparison of the STWTs by considering the differences in the educational institutions and in the labour markets. Iannelli e Soro-Bonmati (2003) showed the "youth transition" differences between South (Italy and Spain) and North Europe, focusing also on the role of the family. Some authors used ECHP data in empirical researches in European countries (e.g. Betti et al., 2005; Righi and Sciulli, 2009), in particular Bernardi et al. (2000) compared Italy and Great Britain especially focusing on the role of institutional and individual aspects. Other researches investigated single countries: Nguyen and Taylor (2003) investigated - for Brithish young - the relationships between (i) job opportunities and school programmes and (ii) lenght of the transition and the probability of finding a job; Blazquez-Cuesta and Garcia-Perez (2007) highlighted (i) the negative role of public expenditure for education in increasing job opportunities and (iii) the existence of an U-inverted dynamic of the probability of finding a job with respect to the time/lenght of transition. As for the Italian case, some authors used a compared approach with respect to many other countries (Boschetto et al., 2006), while other researches used national or regional data (e.g. Mariani et al., 2001).

On the supply side of education, the quality of the educational system (capital endowment of schools, teachers' experience and "quality"), together with teaching and grading practices, has a considerable impact on human capital accumulation. The empirical research makes use of the Oecd's PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment) and ALL (Adult Literacy and Lifeskills), providing data about adults' skills and their occupational status and wage (see e.g. Porro-Iacus 2007 and Checchi et al. 2007). A last relevant strand of the literature examines the links between education systems, investments in training and active labour policy instruments. It seems to emerge the possibility of either a "training trap" (Caroleo and Pastore, 2003 and 2005; Dietrich, 2003) or a "locking-in effect" due to lower intensity in searching a permanent job (van Ours, 2004).

The phenomena of overeducation represent a challenge for the human capital theory. It shows itself when the human capital of a worker is much greater than that required by his tasks representing a case of waste of resource for the individual and the state (Freeman and Wise, 1976). A promising, but still under-developed strand of the literature, focuses on the impact of local labour markets in determining the individual risk of overeducation. In this context, the spatial distribution of jobs and workers, as well as the possibility of workers to move or commute seem to have an important role in determining the probability of overeducation of different individuals.

O'Higgins (2005) examines trends in the youth labour market in developing and transition countries, and highlights the main difficulties of integrating young people into "decent work". He also stresses the importance of considering (i) the "quality" of youth employment in terms of wages, weight of the informal sector, and underemployment, and (ii) the existence of "state dependence" concerning the complex role of "child labour" (e.g., ILO 2002) and the persistence of youth unemployment (e.g., Heckman and Borjas 1980; Ryan 2001). Other approaches explicitly focus on supply side aspects connected to the effects of demographic composition and changes: for example, Flaim (1990) shows the negative effect of the "baby boom" on unemployment rates; Shimer (1999) finds that a larger youth population share reduces the total unemployment rate and raises labour force participation by young people. Korenman and Neumark (1997) analyse the influence of the youth share of the population on youth unemployment, concluding that its role is overwhelmed by the effects of aggregate economic conditions.

2.3. On the Determinants of Regional Labour Market Performance and Differences

As to our knowledge, there are only few studies (Green et al., 2001; Perugini and Signorelli, 2010a and 2010b) investigating youth labour market performance at regional level (in the European context). The latter research highlighted also the following results: (i) the "unemployment problem" in the EU is especially and increasingly due to youth unemployment, (ii) the strong persistence over time of youth labour market performance, and (ii) its clearcut spatial dependence. The second point should increase awareness that, if potential labour market weaknesses are left free to unfold, the price to be paid will be high for a long period of time; the other side of the coin is that policy efforts aimed at increasing labour market performance, if successful, may be able to produce durable outcomes, and this time pattern of benefits should be carefully considered when assessing the present costs of policy interventions. The third point (spatial autocorrelation),

indicates that supra-regional aspects do matter in shaping labour market performance and that policy design should carefully consider the true spatial extent and interactions which take place at regional level.

Differently, a large literature exists on regional labour market, not specific for youth segment.

As regards the determinants of differences and dynamics in EU regional labour markets, the literature generally distinguishes the two blocks of transition and old EU-15 countries. Considering the empirical literature on transition countries⁷, part of the literature focuses on sigma and beta regional convergence. Boeri and Scarpetta (1996) show the large increase in regional labour market disparities, and others (e.g., Smith 1998; Gorzelak 1996; Petrakos 1996; Römisch 2003) present evidence of the sigma divergence of unemployment, wages, and GDP per capita in Central and Eastern European countries. Marelli (2004 and 2007) considers both sigma and beta convergence in old-EU and new-EU (transition) countries. As regards the literature which also contains theoretical perspectives, Ferragina and Pastore (2006 and 2008) present interesting surveys and results explaining the high and persistent disparities in regional unemployment rates in relation to the optimal speed of transition theory (Aghion and Blanchard 1994; Boeri 2000). Huber (2007) surveys the empirical literature on regional labour market development in transition, focusing on the evidence of increasing regional disparities and polarisation of capital cities and regions closer to EU borders. An additional survey on the "mystery" of regional labour market performance differentials can be found in Elhorst (2003).

Some authors have highlighted the importance of regional differences in initial conditions: Scarpetta (1995) showed that transition negatively affected especially those regions in which planned economy concentrated most economic activities (particularly in the manufacturing sector); Gorzelak (1996) stressed the importance of geographic distance from the core of Europe. Other authors focus on the role of the degree of restructuring, affected by the depth and speed of reform processes: Newell and Pastore (2000) showed that, when unemployment is positively related to workers' reallocation across regions, spatial unemployment differentials increase, the main reason being the different pace of industrial change. In order to explain regional unemployment, Boeri (2000) focused on the geographical immobility of workers, mainly caused by lack of housing in potential destination areas, and on the existence of wage rigidities. Similarly, Fidrmuc (2004) noted the scanty role of migration in reducing regional disparities in the CEECs. Many other authors have attempted to identify the complex mechanisms of regional labour market adjustment in transition (e.g., Bornhorst and Commander 2006; Huber, 2004; Gacs and Huber, 2005).

As regards old EU member countries, literature on regional labour markets is very extensive (see, e.g., Fischer and Nijkamp 1987) and its review is normally the object of a paper (e.g., Elhorst, 2003). We recall here only a few recent studies. Marelli (2006) used national and regional data to compare the speed and synchrony of employment changes at various territorial levels across Europe. In a previous study (Marelli 2000), in a long-term perspective, this author focused on sigma and beta convergence in the employment levels of regions in some EU-12 countries during various sub-periods. A very famous paper which used employment data to investigate regional differences in Europe is that of Decressin and Fatàs (1995). Similarly, using unemployment rates, Overman and Puga (2002) showed polarisation of the EU NUTS-2 regions towards the highest and lowest

⁷ As shown by Kornai (1980, 1992), the situation before transition was characterised by a chronic labour shortage (over-employment with low productivity), especially in the most developed and industrialised CEECs. The same author (Kornai 2006) also highlights the fact that unemployment emerging in the early stage of transition was largely unexpected in its main features (two-digit levels and wide regional differences). In addition, the initial (and optimistic) theoretical models of transition (e.g. Aghion and Blanchard 1994) presumed – wrongly – that it would have only lasted a short time.

levels during the period 1986-1996. An interesting example of research connecting sectoral and institutional aspects with regional unemployment in Europe is that of Longhi et al. (2005). Perugini and Signorelli (2007) propose evidence of regional differences and dynamics according to both employment and unemployment indicators; Montuenga et al. (2006) adopt a regional perspective to investigate the wage curve and to measure wage flexibility; and Südekum (2006) uses some stylised facts of EU-15 regions to present a theoretical model which combines a wage curve with increasing returns technology. Bollino and Signorelli (2003) consider the existence of institutions as a particular and complex factor of production affecting regional production structures and employment performances; Monastiriotis (2006) presents a set of labour market flexibility indicators at subnational level. Lastly, Caroleo and Coppola (2005) confirm the importance of institutional variables also to explain EU regional unemployment disparities.

Finally, it should be noted, especially considering regions with quite different level of economic development (like Russian regions), the latter affects both total and youth unemployment rates.

As already noted - with few exceptions - in the existing literature the two subjects of youth and regional labour markets have generally been considered as separate topics.

2.4. On the Relationship between Crisis and Youth Unemployment

The literature on the impact of "economic crises" on youth unemployment is still quite scarce.

First of all, it should be recalled that, the overall and specific impact on labour market of a crisis is usually different across (and within) countries depending on many factors, such as: (i) the economic structure, (ii) the institutional framework (including STWT, i.e. the "school-to-work transition" institutions) and (iii) the policymakers response at different levels⁸. The previous factors affect, in the first place, the size and the degree of (in)stability of the relationship between economic growth (or output decline) and unemployment rate, i.e. the so-called "Okun's law"⁹. However, a decline in aggregate demand - as occurred in 2008-09 in many countries - negatively affects labour demand, with different immediate responses (also as a consequence of labour hoarding practices), various time lags and different degrees of the persistence of the effects.

Considering the young people, Scarpetta et al. (2010) highlight that the crises exacerbate a number of structural problems that affect the transition from school to work. In fact, during and after a (financial and/or economic) crisis, the decline in GDP turns - after some months - into a reduction of labour demand¹⁰: in this situation school-leavers are competing with more jobseekers for fewer vacancies¹¹, while the youth already in the labour market are generally among the first to lose their jobs, mainly due to the higher diffusion of temporary contracts¹², with a consequent high difficulty to get another one (OECD, 2009).¹³ The labour

⁸ In many countries policies are adopted - with different degrees of coordination and autonomy - in more than one level of government (see also Signorelli, 2008).

⁹ See Okun, 1962. For a discussion on the stability (and main direction of causality) of the output-unemployment relationship, see Signorelli (2005).

¹⁰ Labour demand (at both firm and aggregate level) can be also distinguished in "desired" and "actual", especially considering - together with other factors - the hiring and firing costs (also related to the labour hoarding strategies and to the evidence of co-existence of vacancies and unemployment). In addition, it should also be considered the partly different dynamics of labour demand if considered either in terms of "number of workers employed" or in terms of "overall number of hours worked".

¹¹ As mentioned in the previous section, the existence of a "youth experience gap" favors a higher employability of adult (with generic and sector specific skills) with respect to youngsters.

¹² The higher diffusion of temporary contracts between youngsters leads to the adoption of a sort of "last-in first out" rule.

¹³ So, the high diffusion of temporary contracts is a key explanation of the higher business-cycle sensitivity for youth in the labour market. However, many authors (e.g. Cockx and Picchio, 2009; Scarpetta et al., 2010) notice also that - for many youth - temporary contracts (especially apprenticeship) are more often a stepping stone to a permanent contract than a "trap". The trap effect of

hoarding practices, especially in countries with the highest EPL on "permanent contracts", favour adult segments and can further increase the size and duration of the impact of the crisis on youth unemployment.

It should be noted that, generally, "education matters" and the consequences of a crisis are usually more dramatic for low-skilled youth, already in great difficulties in good times, since the crisis further increase their risk of long-term inactivity and exclusion. Many authors find that a "scarring" effect of unemployment on youth depends on overall labour market conditions, but it is significantly higher for disadvantaged youth (e.g. Bell and Blanchflower, 2009). In any case, adopting the definitions of Quintini and Manfredi (2009), the crisis is pushing more and more youth, even those who have performed well in good times, into the group of "poorly-integrated new entrants" and possibly in to the group of "youth left behind"¹⁴. In particular, Scarpetta et al. (2010) highlight the risk to have a "lost generation" and the need to adopt effective (active and passive) labour policies and STWT institutions for minimizing the increase in the number of youth losing effective contact with labour market and permanently damaging their employment prospects.

Verick (2009) considers the effects on unemployment of the past "Big 5 Crises" (Spain 1977, Norway 1987, Finland 1991, Sweden 1991, and Japan 1992) in order to better investigate the impact of the recent crisis on the labour market, especially on young men and women¹⁵. The author argues that data on the five previous financial crisis, as well as on the recent one, reveal that young people are hit hardest and the impact persist long after the economy is growing again¹⁶; the size and persistence of the impact on youth unemployment depend on: (i) the degree of economic contraction, (ii) the sectoral composition of employment prior to the crisis and (iii) the institutional structures. In particular, Verick (2009) further confirms that - during and after a severe recession - young people find increasingly difficult to both acquire a job as a new entrant in the labour market, especially as a consequence of hiring freezes, and to remain employed, since they are more likely to be laid off than workers with more seniority. So, the youth unemployment rates are more sensitive to the business cycle than witnessed for adult (OECD, 2008).

Arpaia and Curci (2010) produce a wide analysis of the labour market adjustments in EU-27 after the 2008-09 recession (in terms of employment, unemployment, hours worked and wages) and they also highlight that workers with weaker work contracts and a lower qualification and experience have borne the brunt of the "great recession", with a consequent huge increase in youth unemployment rates¹⁷.

Considering the complex relationship between unemployment, employment and participation rates (see, for example, Perugini and Signorelli, 2004 and 2007), it should be noted that - especially during and after a crisis - the increase in (youth and total) unemployment rates can undervalue the negative impact if the possible decrease in the (youth and total) participation rates is not adequately considered. This is the well known

temporary contracts seem to be higher in countries with a large difference in the stringency of regulations for permanent contracts (i.e. strict "employment protection legislation", EPL) as compared to temporary (or other atypical) contracts.

¹⁴ According to Scarpetta et al. (2010) the size of the group of "youth left behind" can be proxied by the number of young people who are neither in employment, nor in education or training (NEET). This group represented 11% (on average) of 15-25-years-old in the OECD in 2007.

¹⁵ For an empirical investigation comparing the different impact on regional youth unemployment rates of two major Russian crises, see Demidova and Signorelli (2010).

¹⁶ Differently from previous crises, in the last crisis the young men have been particular affected, mainly due to the high proportion of young men in heavily impacted sectors.

¹⁷ In addition to assess whether the increase in unemployment is due to an increase of job separations or to a decline in the job finding rate, they also provide evidence of an asymmetric response over the cycle, with recessions being characterised by more job destructions than by job creation in the following recoveries (especially due the interactions between wage dynamics and labour hoarding practices).

"discouragement effect" (usually more relevant for women) that produce a reduction of the actual labour force and - especially in the case of young people - can partly consist in an increase in the duration of "education".¹⁸

Finally, Choudhry et al. (2010) investigated the effect of financial crises on youth unemployment rates during the period 1980-2000 for a large number of countries (about 70) and obtained that crisis impact on youth unemployment rate is significant and robust.

2.5. On Some Key Differences of the 1998 and 2008 Russian Crises

One of the main experts on the Russian labor market, Kapeliushnikov (2009) believes that the reaction of the Russian labour market to the negative shocks can be considered settled. There is not much reduction in employment but a reduction of working time due to the wide use of various forms of underemployment. This model is observed in the previous and the current crisis. If the previous crisis was structural and institutional (individual sectors such as trade and finance have grown and participants of labour market could switch to these sectors from e.g. building construction), the current crisis is cyclical, and it struck simultaneously in all major sectors. The main difference of the present crisis from the previous one is that this crisis takes place in conditions of relatively low inflation. The main mechanism used by employers in the 1990's - impairment of earnings due to inflation at this time was completely blocked. In addition, since the last crisis has occurred formalization of labour relations and workers become harder to dismiss. All this enable the author to conclude that a sharp rise on unemployment in the Russian market is not expected.

More recently Kapeliushnikov (2010a) highlighted that the total number of unemployed in 2009 increased from 4.9 to 6.9 million compared with 2008 (the change occurred at 2 million). The crisis of 2008-2010 has little impact on the economically active population: the reduction of employment entirely offset by a rise in unemployment. In the 1990's situation was different: a joint increase in unemployment rate and inactivity rate (the latter is also explained by the "discouragement effect") occurred.

In addition, Kapeliushnikov (2010b) highlighted that the rise in unemployment during the recent crisis in Russia was less pronounced than in the U.S. and in Europe. In the Russian economy, the unemployment rate after the initial sharp increase began to decline rapidly and now exceeds pre-crisis level on only 2%. According to many analysts, the main reason lies in the specific labor market policy, which holds the Russian state from the beginning of the crisis, in particular the active subsidy for underemployed.

The Russian government has been implementing the anti-crisis program on the labour market. This program included the following main elements: new trainings, creation of temporary jobs, assist employees in moving to a new job, support for the small business and self employment. However, as noted Kapeliushnikov, to quantify the effect of the program is difficult. Many enterprises were not covered by state program. But these enterprises usually didn't fire workers, but reduced working time (and wage).

The similar conclusion makes another expert of the Russian labor market Anna Lukiyanova. She stressed that if we compare the rate of unemployment in Russia and OECD countries (see Fig. 1), Russia in 2007 - the beginning of 2008 was on average OECD level, but significantly lower than the EU as a whole. In summer 2008, the unemployment began rising in Russia and the EU. In the second half of 2009, the situation in

¹⁸ We recall that, according to ILO definition (but similar definitions are used by other national and international institutions), unemployed are the persons that - during a reference period - are without work, but are currently available for work and, in addition, are actively seeking employment.

Russia started to improve (opposite the situation in the OECD, EU and US). One of the interesting observations of the author consists in the fact that during a recession was no increase in the relative unemployment of graduates. However, increased unemployment can be seen for a wider group - young people from 20 to 29 years.



Figure 1. Unemployment rate: Russia, EU, US, OECD (with seasonal correction)

Source: Anna Lukiyanova, The crisis and the Russian labor market, 28 April 2010 (http://opec.ru/1245528.html)

Lindz (2004 and 2008) also observes the importance of age structure for the Russian labor market. Using Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey (RLMS) Phase II (rounds VI–XIII) she demonstrated that "workers become more concerned about the possibility of losing their jobs as they age, and only after age 42 do they begin to regain some confidence".

For the analysis of unemployment in so great country as Russia, of course is important to take into account regional specificities. As noted, for example, by Shilov (2009) "beyond this general development, however, one can observe substantial variation across regions. In 2005 the Moscow region evidently experienced an unemployment rate of only 1%, whereas the Dagestan region in the Northern Caucasus had unemployment as high as 22.6%. In 2005 the national median wage was roughly 230 USD per month, but regional monthly wages were 583 USD in the capital and 122 USD in Dagestan. Another important feature of the Russian labor market is low interregional mobility. About a third of Russian regions are actually locked in "poverty traps", and even in other regions the effect creates significant obstacles. Russian regions may therefore be more plausibly considered isolated labor markets than U.S. regions."

However, as noted by Deputy Director of Institute of World Economy and International Relations Gontmakher (2009): "The regional statistics should be treated carefully. Sometimes we can't compare Russia's regions; it's like comparing Russia with Portugal. Anyone who has seen the market in Nazran, understands that there is no problem with employment here. The typical family, for example, in Ingushetia, this is not the husband, wife, two children, but a clan, multigenerational family in which there are a large number of relatives. And, as a rule, the total budget. Five people work in Moscow and fed 100 people at home in Ingushetia, Chechnya, Dagestan, etc. And people living there are often not even imposing the demand for jobs, especially women and people approaching retirement age. This labor market is not standardized and transparent in terms of statistics. This is a different economy, not bad, but it is different. And using the International Labour Organization methodology in Dagestan and Chechnya is meaningless because it is a tool designed to measure the labor markets in the industrial and postindustrial society".

3. Data, Descriptive Evidences and Econometric Results

In this section we present the characteristic of the data, some descriptive evidences and new econometric results.

3.1. Data and model

We based our analysis on the panel of 78 Russian regions (see list of regions in Table A1) during the period 1997 – 2008. The source of the data is ROSSTAT site (www.gks.ru) and ROSSTAT publications.

Our basic empirical models are

 $Y_{it} = \mu + \beta_1 GDPPPP_{it} + \beta_2 GDPGROWTH_{it}^2 + \beta_3 LessMIN_{it} + \beta_4 d1998 + \beta_5 d1999 + \beta_6 d2008 + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$ where i and t are the number of a region and time, respectively, i = 1,...,78, t = 1997,...,2008, $\varepsilon_{it} \sim IID(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$, α_i are constants for the fixed effects model and $\alpha_i \sim IID(0, \sigma_{\alpha}^2)$ for random effects model (we add dummy variables for corresponding Russian Federal Districts in random effects models).

We have used two sets of three dependent variables:

1) First set - YUNEMPLit, UNEMPLit – official youth (in 20-29 age group) and common total unemployment rate (according to the International Labor Organization methodology) in region i at time t, and there difference UNDIFit. Unfortunately, these data are available only ones in two years, t = 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008

2) Second set – YOUNEMPLOYMENTit, TOTALUNEMPLit – the share of unemployment in 20-29 age group and in whole population region in region i at time t, and there difference UNEMDIFit. These data are available annually, t = 1997, 1998, ..., 2007, 2008.

Our control variables include GDPPP - purchasing parity per capita GDP, LESSMIN - the percentage of total population in the region with incomes below the subsistence level, GDPGROWTH2 for the first set and GDPGROWTH for the second set – biannual or annual growth. All these variables are a measure of regional economic development.

As we can see in table A3, all Spearman's coefficients of dependent and control variables are significantly different from zero, this shows a monotonic dependence.

Table 1 contains descriptive statistics and histograms for dependent variables YUNEMPL and UNEMPL for each year. As we can see, the unemployment rate in the Russian regions varies substantially. That is why we also include a set of regional dummy variables in random effect models.

We tried to capture the impact of the crises on total and youth unemployment by introducing a set of dummy variables for the crises years.



Table 1. Distribution of total and youth unemployment

3.2. Econometric results

Table 2 contains the results of estimation of our basic fixed effects and random effects models for the first set of dependent variables. The results of estimation of models for the second set of dependent variables are shown in Table 3.

According the Hausman test in all cases fixed effects models are preferable. However, the signs of estimated coefficients and their significance are the same in fixed and random models, but models with random effects allow you to obtain estimates for the coefficients of unchanging factors, such as dummy variables for regions. Estimation of the random effects models with a set of regional dummies allowed us not only to catch the regional differences, but also test our models for stability. Inclusion of the new variables did not change signs and significance of the coefficients of other variables.

In all models the signs of the coefficients of variables GDPPP, GDPGROWTH, GDPGROWTH2 are negative (insignificance of the GDPGROWTH2 coefficient may be result of multicollinearity) and the sign of LESSMIN coefficient is positive, i.e. in more economically developed regions the youth and total unemployment rate (and share) are lower.

In all models the coefficients of d2008 are insignificant, but the coefficients of d1998 and d1999 are significant and positive. Hence the 1998-1999 crisis had negative impact on youth and total unemployment, but the beginning of 2008 crisis had no impact on 2008 youth and total unemployment¹⁹. At the same time positive and significant coefficients of variables d1998 and d1999 in models 3.1-3.3, 6.1-6.3 indicate that the impact of the first crisis on youth unemployment was more serious than in general case.

As noted above we included a set of regional dummy variables in random effects models and test the hypothesis about the possibility of removing the group of insignificant regional dummy variables. Positive and significant coefficients of the variables "SOUTH" and "SIBERIA" in models 1.3, 2.3, 4.3, 5.3 allow us to conclude that in South and Siberian districts youth and total unemployment are higher than in others. And in the South District during the 1998-1999 crisis the situation with youth unemployment was more serious than in other districts (as evidenced by a positive and significant coefficient for the variable "SOUTH" in models 3.3 and 6.3)

Dependent variable		YUNE	MPL		UNEM	ſPL		UNI	DIF
Number of model	Model 1.1 FF	Model 1.2	Model 1.3 RE with	Model 2.1 FE	Model 2.2	Model 2.3 BE with	Model 3.1 FE	Model 3.2 RE	Model 3.3 RE with
Type of model		ΠL.	Regional dummies	I L	ΠL.	Regional dummies		ILL	Regional
Control variables									
GDPPP	-7.71e-06*	-7.65e-06*	-6.1e-06	-7.64e-06***	-7.4e-06***	-6.55e-06***	-6.27e-08	-9.66e-07	-7.19e-08
GDPGROWTH2	-0.0197	-0.0175	-0.026	-0.009	-0.0087	-0.013	-0.01	-0.002	-0.01
LESSMIN	0.082***	0.138***	0.134***	0.069***	0.098***	0.096***	0.013	0.057***	0.048***
d1998	7.366***	7.349***	7.25***	4.55***	4.54***	4.51***	2.81***	2.85***	2.74***
d2008	-0.309	0.432	0.228	-0.146	0.213	0.103	-0.162	0.533	0.32
SOUTH			10.79***			8.14***			2.54***
SIBERIA			3.62**			2.93***			0.32
Const	11.414***	9.692***	1.07***	8.46***	7.56***	5.94***	2.94***	1.54***	1.39***
Observation	465		465	465	465	465	465	465	
Model significance									
statistic	70.01	345.84	433.39	85.58	414.16	499.45	17.14	105.81	150.09
p-v	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
F-test that all $FE = 0$									
p-v	12.38			19.49			2.14		
	0.000			0.000			0.000		
Breusch and Pagan									
Lagrangian									
multiplier test chi2									
p-v									
		320.74	238.9		431.8	366.16		16.33	4.42
		0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000		0.000	0.0356
Hausman test chi2	236	5.53		96.	21		28	3.51	
p-v	0.0	000		0.0	00		0.0	000	
* - significant at 1	0%, ** - si	ignificant a	t 5%, *** -	· significant a	at 1%.				

Table 2 - Econometric Results (first set of models)

¹⁹ As for more complete results, we are waiting for the availability of the 2009 regional data.

Dependent variable	YOU	NEMPLOYM	ENT	TC	TALUNE	MPL		UNEMDI	F
Number of model	Model 4.1	Model 4.2	Model 4.3	Model 5 1	Model	Model 5.3	Model	Model	Model 6.3
Type of model	FE	RE	RE with Regional Dummies	FE	RE	RE with Regional dummies	FE	RE	RE with Regional Dummies
			Control v	variables					
GDPPPP	-	-	-	-9.65e-	-9.24e-	-8.92e-	-4.91e-	-4.43e-	-4.19e-
	0.0000146***	0.0000136***	0.000013***	06***	06***	06***	06***	06***	06***
GDPGROWTH	-0.0469***	-0.0487***	-0.0525***	-	-	-	-0.017*	-0.017*	-0.02**
				0.028***	0.029***	0.0315***			
LESSMIN	0.0472***	0.0762***	0.073***	0.023***	0.035***	0.0344***	0.023***	0.043***	0.038***
d1998	3.264***	3.213***	3.21***	1.83***	1.808***	1.808***	1.432***	1.412***	1.41***
d1999	4.011***	3.734***	3.79***	2.42***	2.312***	2.335***	1.583***	1.399***	1.46***
d2008	0.088	0.321	0.207	0.177	0.27	0.224	-0.089	0.081	-0.002
SOUTH			5.44***			4.26***			1.17***
SIBERIA			2.08***			1.73***			0.345
Const	9.61***	8.742***	7.67***	6.52***	6.16***	5.27***	3.091***	2.512***	2.41***
Observation	927	927	927	928	928	928	927	927	
Model significance				114.59					
statistic	100.15	614.5	697.07	0.000	678.23	757.08	41.53	304.39	332.46
p-v	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
F-test that all $FE = 0$	13.89			26.94			3.2		
p-v	0.000			0.000			0.000		
Breusch and Pagan									
Lagrangian									
multiplier test chi2		943.5	655.03		1670.6	1344.44		92.15	62.34
p-v		0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
Hausman test chi2	10	1.99		144	5.71		22	2.32	
p-v	0.0	000		0.0	000		0.0	000	

Table 3 - Models for shares of general and youth unemployment in whole population and in 20-29 age group

* - significant at 10%, ** - significant at 5%, *** - significant at 1%.

As we noted above, we didn't find out - with 2008 data - the impact of the beginning of the second crisis on youth and total unemployment. Unfortunately, we have no all necessary data for 2009 year. But according to the Federal State Statistics (Figure 2, Table 4) of the Russian Federation as a whole we note that the second crisis has negatively affected the total and youth unemployment, and the impact on the youth unemployment was more serious.





employment) (http://www.gks.ru)

	Total		Age								
		< 20	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60 +
2000	9,8	27,6	16,2	10,6	9,5	9,3	8,4	7,2	6,1	7,1	6,2
2001	8,8	29,1	15,1	8,7	9,0	8,0	7,6	6,6	5,5	6,1	5,6
2002	8,5	27,8	14,3	8,8	8,6	7,4	7,0	6,3	6,2	5,5	5,6
2003	7,8	30,0	14,4	7,8	7,2	7,1	6,1	6,0	5,3	4,7	4,4
2004	7,9	32,1	13,8	7,6	6,9	7,3	6,4	6,4	5,9	4,7	5,3
2005	7,1	29,2	12,3	7,1	6,5	5,8	5,5	5,7	5,3	3,9	4,8
2006	6,7	27,8	14,2	7,2	5,0	5,8	5,0	5,7	4,7	3,7	2,7
2007	5,7	24,0	11,2	5,0	5,6	4,4	5,1	4,6	4,3	2,6	2,6
2008	7,0	30,9	12,9	6,5	6,6	5,8	5,4	5,1	5,4	4,6	4,7
2009	8,2	28,0	16,9	8,3	7,2	6,8	6,5	6,8	6,0	6,6	4,0

Table 4 - Unemployment rate by age groups in Russia

Source: Economically active population in Russia, (Based on sample survey), Statistical Bulletin, Moscow, 2010, (http://www.gks.ru)

4. Final Considerations

Youth labour market performance is extremely difficult to study due to interactions with schooling and many other reasons, highlighted by the literature reviewed in Section 2.

In this paper (still in a preliminary version) we obtained the following main results for Russian regions: (i) descriptive evidence clearly highlighted the huge regional differences in terms of total and youth unemployment rates, (ii) according to all models the higher the level of development of the region the less the level of common and youth unemployment; (iii) the consequences of the first crises (1998-1999) for youth unemployment are more serious than the consequences for general unemployment; (iv) the problem of youth and general unemployment is more serious for South and Siberian federal districts; (v) during the 1998-1999 crisis periods the problem of youthful unemployment in southern district has become more aggravated; (vi) we did not discover the impact of the second crisis beginning (2008 year) on youth and general unemployment.

Waiting for the 2009 regional data (that will permit to complete the assessment of the last crisis impact), we can derive the following further preliminary consideration: it seems that - similarly to many other countries (like Germany, Italy and France) - "internal flexibility", i.e. working time reductions (due to labour hoarding and underemployment), mitigate the impact of last crisis on total and youth unemployment rates in many Russian regions, but the recovery will be probably in several regions a "job-less recovery" with a low "new-hiring rate" that will particularly affect young people, i.e. youth unemployment rates will further increase and persist at high level. So, the monitoring of regional labour market dynamics is extremely important in order to better define general and specific economic and institutional policy interventions. In addition to counter cyclical economic policy measures - devoted to avoid that the creation of "keynesian unemployment" will persist over time increasing the "structural unemployment" - effective national and regional development policies seem of crucial importance together with further improvements and integration of active and passive labour policies. We argue that the regional level - according to the best practices in many other countries - seems the more appropriate government level for active labour market policies.

Appropriate "active" policies are even more required, especially in regions where youth performance was awful even before the crisis. In this respect, also the improvement in the school-to-work transition institutions (e.g. placement services and educational and training activities) is of key importance. As for the "educational system", a progressive shift towards an effective "dual and flexible" system seems appropriate in order to avoid that the "NEET generation" (Not in Employment or in Education or in Training) will expand.

References

- Abowd J, Kramarz F, Lemieux T, Margolis D (1997) Minimum wages and youth employment in France and the United States. *NBER Work Pap* 6111
- Aghion P, Blanchard OJ (1994) On the speed of transition in central Europe. NBER Macroecon Annu 1994: 283-320
- Andrews M. J., Bradley S., Stott D. (2001), "The School-to-Work Transition, Skill Preferences and Matching", Lancaster University Management School, Working Paper 2001/001, Lancaster, U.K.
- Anselin L (1988) Spatial econometrics: methods and models. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht

Anselin L (1999) Spatial econometrics. Bruton College, University of Texas at Dallas, mimeo

Aragon Y, Haughton D, Haughton J, Leconte E, Malin E, Ruiz-Gazen A, Thomas-Agnan C (2003) Explaining the pattern of regional unemployment: The case of the Midi-Pyrénées region. *Pap Reg Sci* 82: 155–174

Arpaia A. and Curci N. (2010), "The EU Labour Market Behaviour During the Great Recession", January, mimeo.

Barbieri G., Sestito P. (2008), "Temporary Workers in Italy: Who Are They and Where They End up", Labour, 22(1): 127-166.

- Bassanini A, Duval R (2006) Employment patterns in OECD countries: reassessing the role of policies and institutions. OECD Ec Dep Work Pap 486
- Bassanini A, Duval R (2009) Unemployment, institutions and reform complementarities: re-assessing the aggregate evidence for OECD countries. *Oxf Rev Econ Pol* 25: 40-59
- Bell D.N.F. and Blanchflower D.G. (2009), "What Should Be Done about Rising Unemployment in the UK", *IZA Discussion Paper*, n. 4040, Bonn.
- Bernardi F, Layte R., Schizzerotto A. (2000) "Who exits unemployment? Institutional features, individual characteristics and chances of getting a job. A comparison of Britain and Italy". In Gallie D., Paugam S. (a cura di), Welfare regimes and the experience of unemployment., Oxford: Oxford university press, p. 218-239.
- Betti G., Lemmi A., Verma V. (2005), "A Comparative Analysis Of School-To-Work Transitions in the European Union", Innovation, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2005, Rutledge Ltd., Taylor & Francis Group.
- Blanchard OJ, Katz LF (1992) Regional Evolutions. Brook Pap Econ Act 23: 1-76

Blanchflower D.G., Freeman R. (2000), "Youth Employment and Joblessness", University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

- Blàzquez Cuesta M., Garcia-Pérez J.I. (2007), "School to work transitions and the impact of Public Expenditure on Education", Centro de Estudios Andaluces, Documento de Trabajo. E2007/10, Junta de Andalucia.
- Boeri T (2000) Structural change, welfare systems, and labour reallocation. Lessons from the transition of formerly planned economies. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Boeri T, Scarpetta S (1996) Regional mismatch and the transition to a market economy. Labour Econ 3: 233–254
- Bollino CA, Signorelli M (2003) Evolution of production structure in the Italian regions. In Di Matteo M, Piacentini P (eds) The Italian economy at the dawn of the 21st century, Aldershot, Ashgate, pp 150-172
- Booth AL, Francesconi M, Frank J (2002) Temporary jobs: stepping stones or dead ends? Econ J 112(480): F189-F213
- Bornhorst F, Commander S (2006) Regional unemployment and its persistence in transition countries. Econ Transit 14(2): 269-288
- Boschetto B., De Santis M., Tronti L. (2006), "I giovani e il lavoro: confronti internazionali, tempi di accesso e condizioni di precarietà", in Bresciani P.G., Franchi M., Biografie in transizione. I progetti lavorativi nell'epoca della flessibilità, F.Angeli, Roma.
- Brunello G., D. Checchi (2005), "School quality and family background in Italy", Economics of Education Review 2005, 24(5): 563-77
- Brunello G., Garibaldi P., Wasmer (2007), "Education and training in Europe", Oxford University Press, New York.
- Carmeci L., L. Mauro (2003), "Long run growth and investment in education: does unemployment matter?", Journal of Macroeconomics, 25: 123-137.
- Caroleo F.E., F. Pastore (2007)," The youth experience gap: explaining differences across EU countries", Università di Perugia, Quaderni del Dipartimento di Economia, Finanza e Statistica 41.
- Caroleo FE, Coppola G (2005) The impact of the institutions on regional unemployment disparities. CELPE Discuss Pap 98
- Caroleo F.E., Pastore F. (2003) "Youth Participation in the Labour Market in Germany, Spain and Sweden", in T. Hammer (eds), Youth Unemployment and social Exclusion in Europe, Policy Press, Bristol.
- Caroleo F.E., F. Pastore (2009), "Le cause del(l'in)successo lavorativo dei giovani", Economia & Lavoro, vol. 3, 107-31.
- Checchi D. (2003), "The Italian educational system: Family background and social stratification", Department of Economics, University of Milan, w.p., 2003-01.
- Checchi D. (2006), "The Economics of Education", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Checchi D., S. Iacus, G. Porro (2007), "Qualità della formazione scolastica e apprendimento: effetti di breve e medio periodo", Rapporto a IRER Lombardia, dicembre 2007.
- Choudhry M., Marelli E. and Signorelli M (2010), "The Impact of Financial Crises on Youth Unemployment Rate", EACES Conference Paper, Tartu.
- Clark K.B., L.H. Summers (1982), "The dynamics of youth unemployment", in Freeman R.B. and D.A. Wise (eds.), cit.
- Cockx B. and Picchio M. (2009), "Are Short-Lived Jobs Stepping Stones to Long-Lasting Jobs?", IZA Discussion Paper, n. 4004, Bonn.
- Decressin J, Fatàs A (1995) Regional labour market dynamics in Europe. Eur Econ Rev 39: 1627-1655
- Dietrich H. (2003), "Scheme participation and employment outcomes of youth unemployed people Empirical findings for nine European countries, in Hammer T. (editor) "Youth Unemployment and Social Exclusion in Europe", Policy Press, Bristol, UK.
- Elhorst JP (2003) The mystery of regional unemployment differentials: theoretical and empirical explanations. *J Econ Surv* 17(5): 709-748
- European Commission (2008), "Employment in Europe 2008".
- Ferragina AM, Pastore F (2006) Regional unemployment in the OST-literature. In Caroleo FE, Destefanis S (eds) The European labour market regional dimensions, Physika Heidelberg, New York, pp 33-87
- Ferragina AM, Pastore F (2008) Mind the gap: unemployment in the new EU regions, J Econ Surv 22(1): 73-113
- Fidrmuc J (2004) Migration and regional adjustment to asymmetric shocks in transition economies. J Comp Econ 2(32): 230-247
- Fischer M, Nijkamp P (1987) Regional labour markets. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Flaim P (1990) Population changes, the baby boom and the unemployment rate. Mon Labor Rev 113: 3-10

- Freeman R. e D. Wise (1982) (eds.), *The Youth Labour Market Problem: Its Nature, Causes and Consequences*, University of Chicago Press: Chicago.
- Gacs V, Huber P (2005) Quantity adjustment in the regional labour markets of EU candidate countries. Pap Reg Sci 4(84): 542-558
- Gontmakher E. (2010), "Unemployment as a Complex of Social Problems", 28 April 2010 (<u>http://opec.ru/1245269.html</u>). (In Rissian)
- Gorzelak G (1996) The regional dimension of transformation in central Europe. Jessica Kingsley Publishers, London
- Green AE, Owen D, Wilson R (2001) Regional differences in labour market participation of young people in the European Union. Eur Urban Reg Stud 8 (4), 297-318
- Heckman JJ, Borjas GJ (1980), "Does unemployment cause future unemployment? Definitions, questions and answers from a continuous time model of heterogeneity and state dependence". *Econ* 47(187): 247-283
- Hertz Tom, Tamara Jayasundera, Patrizio Piraino, Sibel Selcuk, Nicole Smith, and Alina Verashchagina (2007). "The Inheritance of Educational Inequality: International Comparisons and Fifty-Year Trends," The B.E. *Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*: Vol. 7: Iss. 2 (Advances), Article 10.
- Ichino A., F. Mealli Nannicini T. (2005), "Temporary Work Agencies in Italy: A Springboard toward Permanent Employment?", Giornale degli Economisti e Annali di Economia, 64(1): 1-27.
 Kapeliushnikov R.I. (2009), "The end of Russian labour market model?" *Working paper WP3/2009/06*, Moscow: State
- Kapeliushnikov R.I. (2009), "The end of Russian labour market model?" Working paper WP3/2009/06, Moscow: State University-Higher School of Economics, 2009 (http://www.hse.ru/org/hse/wp/wp3) (In Russian).

Kapeliushnikov R.I. (2010a), Soft Tuning, Expert, 21-27 June 2010 a, №24 (709), p.46-50 (In Russian)

- Kapeliushnikov R.I. (2010b), No crutches, but incentives, Expert, 28June 4 July 2010 b, №25 (710), p.35-38 (In Russian) Kornai J (1980) The economics of shortage. North Holland, Amsterdam
- Kornai J (1992) The socialist system. The political economy of communism. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Kornai J (2006) The great transformation of central eastern Europe: success and disappointment. Econ Transit 14(2): 207-244
- Hannan D.F., Smyth E., McCoy S. (1999), "A Comparative Analysis of Transitions from Education to Work in Europe (CATEWE) Volume 1: A Conceptual Framework", Working Paper 118(a), Dublin, Economic and Social Research Institute.
- Huber P (2004) Intra-national labour market adjustment in the candidate countries. J Comp Econ 2(32): 248-264
- Huber P (2007) Regional labour market developments in transition: a survey of the empirical literature. Eur J Comp Econ 4(2): 263-298
- Iannelli C.,Soro-Bonmati A. (2003), "Transition Pathways in Italy and Spain: Different Patterns, Similar Vulnerability?", in Muller W., Gangl M. (eds), Transitions from Education to Work in Europe: The Integration to Youth into EU Labour Markets, Chapter 8, pp.212-250, Oxford University Press.
- ILO (2002) A future without child labour. Report 1 (B), ILC 90th session, ILO, Geneva
- ILO (2009), "KILM9 Youth unemployment" in Key Indicators of the Labour Market (KILM), Sixth Edition, Geneva, September.
- ILO (2010), Global Employment Trends, International Labour Organization, Geneva, January.
- Kolev A, Saget C (2005) Understanding youth labour market disadvantage: Evidence from south-east Europe, Int Labour Rev 144(2): 161-187
- Korenman S, Neumark D (1997) Cohort crowding and youth labor markets: a cross-national analysis. NBER Work Pap 6031
- Labour and employment in Russia (2009), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2009. 623 pp. (In Russian)
- Labour and employment in Russia (2007), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2007. 611 pp. (In Russian)
- Labour and employment in Russia (2005), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2005. 502 pp. (In Russian)
- Labour and employment in Russia (2003), Statistics / Goskomstat of Russia. M., 2003. 638 pp. (In Russian)
- Labour and employment in Russia (2001), Statistics / Goskomstat of Russia. M., 2001. 580 pp. (In Russian)
- Labour and employment in Russia (1999), Statistics / Goskomstat of Russia. M., 1999. (In Russian)
- Lefresne F (2003) 'Les jeunes et l'emploi.' Collect Repères La Découverte, Paris
- Linz S. J. (2004), Motivating Russian workers: analysis of age and gender differences, *Journal of Socio-Economics*, 33 (2004) 261–289
- Linz S. J. and A. Semykina (2008), How do workers fare during transition? Perceptions of job insecurity among Russian workers, 1995–2004, *Labour Economics*, 15 (2008) 442–458
- Longhi S, Nijkamp P, Traistaru I (2005) Is sectoral diversification a solution to unemployment? Evidence from EU regions. *Kyklos* 58(4): 591–610
- Lukiyanova A. (2010), The Crisis and the Russian Labor Market, 28 April 2010 (http://opec.ru/1245528.html) (In Russian)
- Marelli E (2000) Convergence and asymmetries in the dynamics of employment: the case of European Regions. *Jahrb Reg* 20: 173-200
- Marelli E (2004) Evolution of employment structures and regional specialisation in the EU. Econ Syst 28: 35-59
- Marelli E (2006) Regional employment dynamics in the EU: structural outlook, co-movements, clusters and common shocks. In Caroleo FE, Destefanis S (eds) *The European labour market regional dimensions*. Physika Heidelberg, New York pp 89-121
- Marelli E (2007) Specialisation and convergence of European regions. Europ J Comp Econ 4(2): 149-178
- Marelli E. and Signorelli M. (eds.) (2010), *Economic Growth and Structural Features of Transition*, Palgrave Macmillan, London and New York.

Mariani P., Tronti L., Zeli A. (2001), "L'ingresso dei giovani nel mercato del lavoro", Economia&Lavoro, vol. XXXV, n.1, pp.15-37.

- Monastiriotis V (2006) A panel of regional indicators of labour market flexibility: the UK, 1979-1998. In Caroleo FE, Destefanis S (eds) The European labour market - regional dimensions. Physika Heidelberg, New York pp 221-244
- Montuenga V, Garcia I, Fernandez M (2006) Regional wage flexibility: the wage curve in five EU countries. In Caroleo FE, Destefanis S (eds) The European labour market – regional dimensions. Physika Heidelberg, New York pp 245-265
- Neumark D., W. Wascher (1999), "A cross national analysis of the effects of minimum wages on youth employment", NBER w.p. 7299.
- Newell A, Pastore F (2000) Regional unemployment and industrial restructuring in Poland. IZA Discuss Pap 194
- Nguyen A. N., Taylor J. (2003), "Transition from School to First Job: the influence of educational attainment", Lancaster University Management School, Working Paper, 2003/009, Lancaster, U.K.
- OECD (2005), Education at Glance, Paris.
- OECD (2008), OECD Employment Outlook 2008, Paris.
- OECD (2009), OECD Employment Outlook 2009, Paris.
- O'Higgins N (1997) The challenge of youth unemployment. Employ Train Pap 7 ILO, Geneva
- O'Higgins N (2001) Youth unemployment and employment policy: a global perspective. ILO, Geneva
- O'Higgins N. (2005), "Trends in the youth labour market in developing and transition countries", Labor and Demography WP 0507002.
- Overman HG, Puga D (2002) Unemployment clusters across Europe's regions and countries. Econ Policy 34: 115-147.
- Okun A. M. (1962), "Potential GNP: Its Measurement and Significance," in Proceedings of the Business and Economic Statistics Section, American Statistical Association, Washington, D.C., pp. 98-103.
- Rees A. (1986), "An Essay on Youth Joblessness", Journal of Economic Literature, 24(2): 613-628.
- Perugini C, Pompei F. and Signorelli M. (2008) FDI, R&D and human capital in central and eastern European countries Post-Comm Econ 20 (3): 317-345
- Perugini C, Signorelli M (2004), "Employment Performance and Convergence in the European Countries and Regions", European Journal of Comparative Economics, n. 2.
- Perugini C, Signorelli M (2007) Labour market performance differentials and dynamics in EU-15 countries and regions. Eur J Comp Econ 4(2): 209-262
- Perugini C. and Signorelli M. (2010a), "Youth Labour Market Performance in European Regions", Economic Change and *Restructuring*, n. 2.
- Perugini C. and Signorelli M. (2010b), "Youth Unemployment in Transition Countries and Regions", in "Economic Growth and Structural Features of Transition", Palgrave-Macmillan, London and New York.
- Petrakos G (1996) The regional dimension of transition in central and east European countries. East Eur Econ 5(34): 5-38
- Picchio M. (2008), "Temporary Contracts and Transitions to Stable Jobs in Italy", Labour, 22(s1): 147-174.
- Porro G., S.M. Iacus (2007), "School grades and students' achievement: how to identify grading standards and measure their effects", UNIMI - Research Papers in Economics, Business, and Statistics (Economics, Working Paper 21, August 2007
- Ouintini G., Martin J.P., S. Martin (2007), "The Changing Nature of the School-to-Work Transition Process in OECD Countries"; IZA dp, n. 2582.
- Quintini G., J.P Martin, S. Marti (2007), "The changing nature of the school-to-work transition process in OECD countries", IZA discussion paper 2582.
- Quintini G. and Manfredi T. (2009), "Going Separate Ways? School-to-Work Transitions in the United States and Europe", OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Paper, n. 90, Paris.
- Quintini G, Martin S (2006) Starting well or losing their way?. The position of youth in the labour market in OECD countries. OECD Soc Employ Migr Work Pap 39
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2009), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2009. 990 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2008), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2008.- 999 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2007), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2007.- 991 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2006), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2007.- 981 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2005), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2006.- 982 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2004), Statistics / Rosstat. M., 2004.- 966 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2003), Statistics / Goskomstat of Russia. M., 2003.- 895 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators (2002), Statistics / Goskomstat of Russia. M., 2002.- 863 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia: Statistics (2001), in 2 v. vol.2 / Goskomstat of Russia. M., 2001. 827 pp. (In Russian)
- Regions of Russia: Statistics (1999), in 2 v. vol.2 / Goskomstat of Russia. M., 1999. v.2, 861 pp. (In Russian)
- Righi A., Sciulli D. (2009) "The timing of the school-to-permanent work transition: A comparison across ten European countries", Quaderni del Dipartimento di Economia, Finanza e Statistica n. 65, Università di Perugia. Ryan P. (2001), "The school-to-work transition: a cross-national perspective", *Journal of Economic Literature* 39(1): 34-
- 92.
- Rodriguez-Pose A. (2003), "Human capital and regional disparities in the EU", Joint Conference of the EC and the EIB on: Human capital, employment, productivity and growth.

- Römisch G (2003) Regional disparities within accession countries. In Tumpel-Gugerell G, Mooslechner P (eds) Economic convergence and divergence in Europe: growth and regional development in an enlarged European Union. Austrian National Bank, Wien pp 183-208
- Scarpetta S (1995) Spatial variations in unemployment in central and eastern Europe. Underlying reasons and labour market policy optimum. In Scarpetta S, Worgotter *A (eds) The regional dimension of unemployment in transition countries: a challenge for labour market and social policies.* OECD, Paris pp 27-74
- Scarpetta S., A. Sonnet and T. Manfredi (2010), "Rising Youth Unemployment During the Crisis: How to Prevent negative Long-Term Consequences on a Generation?", *OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers*, n. 6, Paris, April.
- Shilov A. and J. Möller (2009), The wage curve in Russia, 1995–2005 Economics Letters 102 (2009) 90–92

Shimer R (1999) The impact of young workers on the aggregate labour market. NBER Work Pap 7306

- Signorelli M. (2005), "Growth and Employment: Comparative Performance, Convergences and Co-movements", Economic Department Working Paper, n.8, University of Perugia.
- Signorelli M. (2008), "Employment and Unemployment in a Multilevel Regional Perspective", in M. Petricioli (ed.), *Mediterranean Europe*, P.I.E. Peter Lang, Bruxelles.
- Smith A (1998) Reconstructing the regional economy. Industrial transformation and regional development in Slovakia. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham (UK)
- Südekum J (2006) The wage curve and agglomeration. In Caroleo FE, Destefanis S (eds) *The European labour market regional dimensions*. Physika Heidelberg, New York pp 203-219

van Ours J.C. (2004), "The Locking.in of Subsidized Jobs", Journal of Comparative Economics, 32(1), 37-48.

Verick S. (2009), "Who Is Hit Hardest during a Financial Crisis? The Vulnerability of Young Men and Women to Unemployment in an Economic Downturn", *IZA Discussion Papers*, n. 4359, August.

Appendix

Table A1 - List of Russian regions

ID	Russian Federation
	Central Federal District
1	Belgorod region
2	Bryansk region
3	Vladimir region
4	Voronezh region
5	Ivanovo region
6	Kaluga region
7	Kostroma region
8	Kursk region
9	Lipetsk region
10	Moscow region
11	Orel region
12	Ryazan region
13	Smolensk region
14	Tambov region
15	Tver region
16	Tula region
17	Yaroslavl region
18	Moscow
	North West Federal District
19	Republic of Karelia
20	Republic of Komi
21	Arkhangelsk region
22	Vologda region
23	Kaliningrad region
24	Leningrad region
25	Murmansk region
26	Novgorod region
27	Pskov region
28	Saint-Petersburg

	South Federal District
29	Republic of Adygea
30	Republic of Dagestan
31	Republic of Ingushetia
32	Republic of Kabardino-Balkaria
33	Republic of Kalmykia
34	Republic of Karachaevo-Cherkessia
35	Republic of Northen Osetia – Alania
36	Krasnodar Territory
37	Stavropol Territory
38	Astrakhan region
39	Volgograd region
40	Rostov region
	Privolzhsky (Volga) Federal District
41	Republic of Bashkortostan
42	Republic of Marii El
43	Republic of Mordovia
44	Republic of Tatarstan
45	Republic of Udmurtia
46	Republic of Chuvashia
47	Perm territory
48	Kirov region
49	Nizhny Novgorod region
50	Orenburg region
51	Penza region
52	Samara region
53	Saratov region
54	Ulyanovsk region
	Ural Federal District
55	Kurgan region
56	Sverdlovsk region
57	Tumen region
58	Chelyabinsk region
	Siberian Federal District
59	Republic of Altay
60	Republic of Buryatia
61	Republic of Tyva
62	Republic of Khakassia
63	Altay Territory
64	Krasnoyarsk Territory
65	Irkutsk region
66	Kemerovo region
67	Novosibirsk region
68	Omsk region
69	Tomsk region
	Far East Federal District
70	Republic of Sakha (Yakutia)
71	Kamchatka territory
72	Primorsky Lerritory
73	Knabarovsk Territory
74	Amur region
75	Magadan region
76	Sakhalin region
77	Jewish autonomous area
78	Chukotka autonomous area

Table A2 - Variables description

Variables Dependent variables	Definition	Comment
UNEMPL	The level of unemployment is determined as a ratio of the unemployed to the total number of economically active population,	Official definition of unemployment rate
YUNEMPL	in percentage. The level of unemployment in 20-29 age group (is determined as a ratio of the unemployed in 20-29 age group to the total number of economically active population of the 20-29 age group, in percentage).	Official definition of 20-29 age group unemployment rate, data are available only at 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008 years.
UNDIF	The difference of unemployment in 20-29 age group and in whole population, in percentage.	We calculated this variable using the following formula: UNDIF = YUNEMPL –UNEMPL We calculated this variable using the
YOUNEMPLOYMENT	29 age group to the total number of population of the 20-29 age group, in percentage.	following formula: YOUNEMPLOYMENT = YOUTHUN* UNEMPL*ACTIVITY/SHARE
TOTALUNEMPL	the unemployment - a ratio of the unemployed to the total number of population, in percentage.	We calculated this variable using the following formula: TOTALUNEMPL = UNEMPL*ACTIVITY/100 We calculated this variable using the
UNEMDIF	shares in 20-29 age group and in whole population, in percentage.	following formula: UNEMDIF = YOUNEMPLOYMENT – TOTALUNEMPL
Control variables		NELD 1000 1000 0000
GDPGROWTH	A ratio of gross domestic product in the current year and in the previous one in percentage minus 100 percentages.	YEAR = 1998, 1999,, 2008
GDPGROWTH2	A ratio of gross domestic product in the current year and two years ago in percentage minus 100 percentages.	YEAR = 1998, 2000,, 2008
GDPPP	Purchasing parity per capita GDP.	GDP per capita in the region divided by MINRATIO.
LESSMIN	The percentage of total population in the region with incomes below the subsistence level	
CENTRAL, NORDWEST, SOUTH, VOLGA, URAL, SIBERIA, FAREAST	Dummy variable for corresponding Russian Federal Districts.	
d1998, d1999, d2008	Dummy variable for corresponding years	
Auxiliary variables		
YOUTHUN	A ratio of 20-29 age unemployed to the total number of unemployed, in percentage.	
ACTIVITY	Share of economically active population (labour force) - persons, which for the observed period are considered employed or unemployed.	The number of the economically active population includes data on employed and unemployed based on the results of surveys on employment of population.
SHARE	A ratio of 20-29 age group to 16- 72 age group in percentage	
GDPpercap	GDP per capita in the region.	
MINRATIO	The ratio of subsistence minimum level in the region and in Russia as a whole.	

Table A3. Spearman coefficients

	yunempl	unempl	gdppp	gdpgrowth2	lessmin
yunempl	1.0000				
unempl	0.9209***	1.0000			
gdppp	-0.6508***	-0.6873***	1.0000		
gdpgrowth2	-0.3409***	-0.3297***	0.4330***	1.0000	
lessmin	0.5154***	0.5703***	-0.7767***	-0.1763***	1.0000
	younemployment	comunempl	gdpppp	gdpgrowth	lessmin
younemployment	1.0000				
comunempl	0.9326***	1.0000			
gdpppp	-0.6939***	-0.6615***	1.0000		
gdpgrowth	-0.2697***	-0.2552***	0.3568***	1.0000	
lessmin	0.5569***	0.5463***	-0.7081***	-0.0911***	1.0000

*** - P-v for hypothesis about independence of two corresponding variables is less than 0.01

QUADERNI DEL DIPARTIMENTO DI ECONOMIA, FINANZA E STATISTICA Università degli Studi di Perugia

1	Gennaio 2005	Giuseppe CALZONI Valentina BACCHETTINI	Il concetto di competitività tra approccio classico e teorie evolutive. Caratteristiche e aspetti della sua determinazione
2	Marzo 2005	Fabrizio LUCIANI Marilena MIRONIUC	Ambiental policies in Romania. Tendencies and perspectives
3	Aprile 2005	Mirella DAMIANI	Costi di agenzia e diritti di proprietà: una premessa al problema del governo societario
4	Aprile 2005	Mirella DAMIANI	Proprietà, accesso e controllo: nuovi sviluppi nella teoria dell'impresa ed implicazioni di corporate governance
5	Aprile 2005	Marcello SIGNORELLI	Employment and policies in Europe: a regional perspective
6	Maggio 2005	Cristiano PERUGINI Paolo POLINORI Marcello SIGNORELLI	An empirical analysis of employment and growth dynamics in the italian and polish regions
7	Maggio 2005	Cristiano PERUGINI Marcello SIGNORELLI	Employment differences, convergences and similarities in italian provinces
8	Maggio 2005	Marcello SIGNORELLI	Growth and employment: comparative performance, convergences and co- movements
9	Maggio 2005	Flavio ANGELINI Stefano HERZEL	Implied volatilities of caps: a gaussian approach
10	Giugno 2005	Slawomir BUKOWSKI	EMU – Fiscal challenges: conclusions for the new EU members
11	Giugno 2005	Luca PIERONI Matteo RICCIARELLI	Modelling dynamic storage function in commodity markets: theory and evidence
12	Giugno 2005	Luca PIERONI Fabrizio POMPEI	Innovations and labour market institutions: an empirical analysis of the Italian case in the middle 90's
13	Giugno 2005	David ARISTEI Luca PIERONI	Estimating the role of government expenditure in long-run consumption
14	Giugno 2005	Luca PIERONI Fabrizio POMPEI	Investimenti diretti esteri e innovazione in Umbria
15	Giugno 2005	Carlo Andrea BOLLINO Paolo POLINORI	Il valore aggiunto su scala comunale: la Regione Umbria 2001-2003
16	Giugno 2005	Carlo Andrea BOLLINO Paolo POLINORI	Gli incentivi agli investimenti: un'analisi dell'efficienza industriale su scala geografica regionale e sub regionale

17	Giugno 2005	Antonella FINIZIA Riccardo MAGNANI Federico PERALI Paolo POLINORI Cristina SALVIONI	Construction and simulation of the general economic equilibrium model Meg-Ismea for the italian economy
18	Agosto 2005	Elżbieta KOMOSA	Problems of financing small and medium-sized enterprises. Selected methods of financing innovative ventures
19	Settembre 2005	Barbara MROCZKOWSKA	Regional policy of supporting small and medium-sized businesses
20	Ottobre 2005	Luca SCRUCCA	Clustering multivariate spatial data based on local measures of spatial autocorrelation
21	Febbraio 2006	Marco BOCCACCIO	Crisi del welfare e nuove proposte: il caso dell'unconditional basic income
22	Settembre 2006	Mirko ABBRITTI Andrea BOITANI Mirella DAMIANI	Unemployment, inflation and monetary policy in a dynamic New Keynesian model with hiring costs
23	Settembre 2006	Luca SCRUCCA	Subset selection in dimension reduction methods
24	Ottobre 2006	Sławomir I. BUKOWSKI	The Maastricht convergence criteria and economic growth in the EMU
25	Ottobre 2006	Jan L. BEDNARCZYK	The concept of neutral inflation and its application to the EU economic growth analyses
26	Dicembre 2006	Fabrizio LUCIANI	Sinossi dell'approccio teorico alle problematiche ambientali in campo agricolo e naturalistico; il progetto di ricerca nazionale F.I.S.R. – M.I.C.E.N.A.
27	Dicembre 2006	Elvira LUSSANA	Mediterraneo: una storia incompleta
28	Marzo 2007	Luca PIERONI Fabrizio POMPEI	Evaluating innovation and labour market relationships: the case of Italy
29	Marzo 2007	David ARISTEI Luca PIERONI	A double-hurdle approach to modelling tobacco consumption in Italy
30	Aprile 2007	David ARISTEI Federico PERALI Luca PIERONI	Cohort, age and time effects in alcohol consumption by Italian households: a double-hurdle approach
31	Luglio 2007	Roberto BASILE	Productivity polarization across regions in Europe
32	Luglio 2007	Roberto BASILE Davide CASTELLANI Antonello ZANFEI	Location choices of multinational firms in Europe: the role of EU cohesion policy
33	Agosto 2007	Flavio ANGELINI Stefano HERZEL	Measuring the error of dynamic hedging: a Laplace transform approach

3/	Acosto 2007	Stefano HERZEI	The ICARCH effect: consequences on
J 1	ngosto 2007	Cătălia STĂDICĂ	volatility foregasting and option
			trading
25	A A O 007		
35	Agosto 2007	Flavio ANGELINI	Explicit formulas for the minimal
		Stefano HERZEL	variance hedging strategy in a
			martingale case
36	Agosto 2007	Giovanni BIGAZZI	The role of agriculture in the
			development of the people's Republic
			of China
37	Settembre 2007	Enrico MARELLI	Institutional change, regional features
		Marcello SIGNORELLI	and aggregate performance in eight
			EU's transition countries
38	Ottobre 2007	Paolo NATICCHIONI	Wage structure, inequality and skill-
	0.0000-0.000	Andrea RICCI	biased change is Italy an outlier?
		Emiliano BUSTICHELLI	blased change. Is fully all outlief.
30	Novembre 2007	The International Study	Exports and productivity Comparable
39	Novembre 2007	Croup on Exports and	evidence for 14 countries
		Broductivity	evidence for 14 countries
40	D' 1 2007		
40	Dicembre 2007	Gaetano MARTINO	Contracting food safety strategies in
		Paolo POLINORI	hybrid governance structures
41	Dicembre 2007	Floro Ernesto CAROLEO	The youth experience gap:
		Francesco PASTORE	explaining differences across EU
			countries
42	Gennaio 2008	Melisso BOSCHI	Aluminium market and the
	0	Luca PIERONI	macroeconomy
42	F 11 . 0000		
43	Febbraio 2008	Flavio ANGELINI	Hedging error in Levy models with a
		Marco NICOLOSI	fast Fourier Transform approach
44	Febbraio 2008	Luca PIERONI	Can we declare military Keynesianism
		Giorgio d'AGOSTINO	dead?
		Marco LORUSSO	
45	Febbraio 2008	Pierluigi GRASSELLI	Mediterranean models of Welfare
		Cristina MONTESI	towards families and women
		Paola IANNONE	
46	Marzo 2008	Mirella DAMIANI	Mergers acquisitions and
10	111120 2000	Eabrizio POMPEI	technological regimes: the European
			experience over the period 2002 2005
17	Marzo 2008	Brupo BRACALENITE	The Components of Regional
- T /	1910120 2000	Cristiano DERUCINI	Disparities in Europa
			Dispanues in Europe
48	Marzo 2008	Cristiano PERUGINI	FDI, R&D and Human Capital in
		Fabrizio POMPEI	Central and Eastern European
		Marcello SIGNORELLI	Countries
49	Marzo 2008	Cristiano PERUGINI	Employment and Unemployment in
			the Italian Provinces
50	Marzo 2008		On the road to the euro zono
50	1910120 2000	S1awomir I. BUKOWSKI	Chi ule road to ule curo zolle.
			of the selected EU acception
	A '1 2000		of the selected EU countries
51	Aprile 2008	Bruno BKACALENTE	Homogeneous, Urban Heterogeneous,
		Cristiano PERUGINI	or both. External Economies and
		Fabrizio POMPEI	Regional Manufacturing Productivity
			in Europe

52	Aprile 2008	Gaetano MARTINO	Income inequality within European
52	11pme 2000	Cristiano PERUGINI	regions: determinants and effects on growth
53	Aprile 2008	Ian L. BEDNARCZYK	Controversy over the interest rate
00	11pme 2000		theory and policy. Classical approach
			to interest rate and its continuations
54	Aprile 2008	Bruno BRACALENTE	Factor decomposition of cross-
• •	p	Cristiano PERUGINI	country income inequality with
			interaction effects
55	Aprile 2008	Cristiano PERUGINI	Employment Intensity of Growth in
	1		Italy. A Note Using Regional Data
56	Aprile 2008	Cristiano PERUGINI	Technological Change Labour
00	11p1110 2 000	Eabrizio POMPEI	Demand and Income Distribution in
			European Union Countries
57	Aprile 2008	Simona BIGERNA	L'analisi delle determinanti della
01		Paolo POLINORI	domanda di trasporto pubblico nella
			città di Perugia
58	Maggio 2008	Simona BIGERNA	The willingness to pay for Renewable
		Paolo POLINORI	Energy Sources (RES): the case of
			Italy with different survey approaches
			and under different EU "climate
			vision". First results
59	Giugno 2008	Simona BIGERNA	Ambiente operativo ed efficienza nel
		Paolo POLINORI	settore del Trasporto Pubblico Locale
			in Italia
60	Ottobre 2008	Pierluigi GRASSELLI	L'interpretazione dello spirito del
		Cristina MONTESI	dono
		Roberto VIRDI	
61	Novembre 2008	Antonio BOGGIA	L'impatto ambientale ed economico
		Fabrizio LUCIANI	del cambiamento climatico
		Gianluca MASSEI	sull'agricoltura
()	N	Luisa PAOLOTTI	On the estimation of a him mean
62	Novembre 2008	Elena STANGHELLINI	On the estimation of a binary response
		Prancesco Claudio STIINGO	model in a selected population
63	Dicombro 2008	Ciappa EICÀ TALAMANCA	Limit results for discretely observed
05	Dicclifible 2000		stochastic volatility models with
			leverage effect
64	Maggio 2009	Mirella DAMIANI	Factors behind performance-related
01	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Andrea RICCI	pay: evidence from Italy
65	Cinera 2000	Alagaandra BICIII	The Timing of the School to
05	Giugno 2009	Alessandra RIGHI	The Timing of the School-to-
		Dario SCIULLI	Comparison arross Tan European
			Comparison across Ten European
66	Settembre 2000	Fabrizio I UCIANI	Economia agraria e pianificazione
00	Jettempte 2009		economica territoriale pel Parco
			nazionale del Sagarmatha (Everest
			Nepal)
67	Settembre 2009	Valentina TIECCO	I regimi di protezione dell'impiego
01			r regain di protezione den imprego

68	Ottobre 2009	Gianna FIGÀ-TALAMANCA	Path properties of simulation schemes for the Heston stochastic volatility model
69	Ottobre 2009	Cristina MONTESI	A comparative analysis of different business ethics in the perspective of the Common Good
70	Ottobre 2009	Luisa FRANZINI Margherita GIANNONI	Determinants of Health Disparities in Italian Regions
71	Novembre 2009	Flavio ANGELINI Stefano HERZEL	Evaluating Discrete Dynamic Strategies in Affine Models
72	Novembre 2009	Giuseppe ARBIA Michele BATTISTI Gianfranco DI VAIO	Institutions and geography: Empirical test of spatial growth models for European regions
73	Gennaio 2010	Mirella DAMIANI Andrea RICCI	Performance-Related Pay, Unions and Productivity in Italy: evidence from quantile regressions
74	Febbraio 2010	Davide CASTELLANI Fabio PIERI	The Effect of Foireign Investments on European Regional Productivity
75	Luglio 2010	Guglielmo M. CAPORALE Davide CIFERRI Alessandro GIRARDI	Time-varying spot and futures oil price dynamics
76	Settembre 2010	Mirella DAMIANI	Labour regulation, corporate governance and varieties of capitalism
77	Settembre 2010	Dario SCIULLI Marcello SIGNORELLI	University-to-work transitions: the case of Perugia
78	Ottobre 2010	Olga DEMIDOVA Marcello SIGNORELLI	The Impact of Crises on Youth Unemployment of Russian Regions: An Empirical Analysis

I QUADERNI DEL DIPARTIMENTO DI ECONOMIA Università degli Studi di Perugia

1	Dicembre 2002	Luca PIERONI:	Further evidence of dynamic demand systems in three european
			countries
2	Dicembre 2002	Luca PIERONI	Il valore economico del paesaggio:
		Paolo POLINORI:	un'indagine microeconomica
3	Dicembre 2002	Luca PIERONI	A note on internal rate of return
		Paolo POLINORI:	
4	Marzo 2004	Sara BIAGINI:	A new class of strategies and
-			application to utility maximization
			for unbounded processes
5	Aprile 2004	Cristiano PERUGINI:	La dipendenza dell'agricoltura
J	ripine 2001		italiana dal sostegno pubblico:
			un'analisi a livello regionale
6	Maggio 2004	Mirollo DAMIANI.	Nuova macroaconomia kovnosiana
0	Maggio 2004		e cuosi razionalità
7	Maggio 2004	Mauro VISACCIO:	Dimensione e persistenza degli
1	Maggio 2004	Wadio v 13/10010.	aggiustamonti fiscoli in prosonza di
			debito pubblico elevato
	Marcia 2004	Maura VISACCIO:	Door the growth stability past
0	Magg10 2004	Mauto VISAGGIO.	Does the growth stability pact
			Freed with a dequate and consistent
	0. 0004		
9	Giugno 2004		Kedistribution and labour market
	<u></u>	Francesco FARINA:	institutions in OECD countries
10	Giugno 2004	Marco BOCCACCIO:	Tra regolamentazione settoriale e
			antitrust: il caso delle
	<u></u>		telecomunicazioni
11	Giugno 2004	Cristiano PERUGINI	Labour market performance in
		Marcello SIGNORELLI:	central european countries
12	Luglio 2004	Cristiano PERUGINI	Labour market structure in the
		Marcello SIGNORELLI:	italian provinces: a cluster analysis
13	Luglio 2004	Cristiano PERUGINI	I flussi in entrata nei mercati del
		Marcello SIGNORELLI:	lavoro umbri: un'analisi di cluster
14	Ottobre 2004	Cristiano PERUGINI:	Una valutazione a livello
			microeconomico del sostegno
			pubblico di breve periodo
			all'agricoltura. Il caso dell'Umbria
			attraverso i dati RICA-INEA
15	Novembre 2004	Gaetano MARTINO	Economic inequality and rural
		Cristiano PERUGINI	systems: empirical evidence and
			interpretative attempts
16	Dicembre 2004	Federico PERALI	Bilancio ambientale delle imprese
		Paolo POLINORI	agricole italiane: stima
		Cristina SALVIONI	dell'inquinamento effettivo
		Nicola TOMMASI	•
		Marcella VERONESI	