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# BABE: THE SULTAN OF PITCHING STATS? 

"I Got You Babe"<br>- Sonny \& Cher, 1965

There is no shortage of baseball metrics. Perhaps the most popular measure of pitching performance is the earned run average (ERA). ERA is equal to the number of earned runs a pitcher gives up in a nine-inning game. An alternative measure of pitcher performance is the number of walks plus hits allowed by a pitcher divided by the number of innings pitched (with the acronym WHIP). Since singles and extra-base hits are treated alike, WHIP is somewhat flawed. A relative newcomer to the fan's kitbag of statistical tools is the BABE, which unlike WHIP, adds the number of total bases a pitcher gives up to the number of walks allowed and divides this sum by the number of batters faced. ${ }^{1,2}$ More precisely, the BABE is given by:

$$
\frac{T B+B B}{A B+B B}
$$

where TB denotes the opposing team's total bases, BB is the opponent's bases on balls or walks, and AB represents the opponent's number of at bats. The lower the BABE, the better the pitcher's performance.

In this brief research note, we examine all 369 games in all World Series played between 1946 and 2009. Postseason data on total bases, walks, and at bats (gleaned from the box scores) of each game for each team each year are from www.baseball-reference.com . How well does this defensive measure alone predict outcomes? Were the BABEs of winning teams in the World Series significantly lower than the BABEs of their opponents? Did the series (or individual game) winner have the lower BABE more than half the time? And, have the BABEs of winning or losing teams in the World Series changed between the pre-free agency (1946-1976) and post-free agency (1977-2009) periods?

Table 1 shows the BABEs of the eventual winner and loser in each World Series between 1946 and 2009. Of the 63 World Series, the eventual series winner had the lower BABE two-thirds of the time. All but one of the 21 exceptions (11 American League or AL series winners, 10 National League or NL series
winners) involved a 6- or 7-game series. Table 2 shows that in four-game sweeps or a World Series that ended in only five games, the eventual series winner (both before and after 1977, the beginning of free agency) had significantly lower average BABEs. ${ }^{3}$ In a 6- or 7-game series, the average BABEs of winners and losers were indistinguishable (at the .05 level of significance). Between 1946-1976 and 1977-2009, the BABEs of losing teams (regardless of the length of the series) generally rose, especially for teams that were swept in four games.

Of the 369 games played in a World Series between 1946 and 2009, an individual game winner had the lower BABE 83.2 percent (or 307/369) of the time. ${ }^{4,5}$ Table 3 shows that individual game winners had significantly smaller BABEs than game losers over the entire 64 -year period, as well as in the two shorter sub-periods. One would think that managers used relief pitchers more frequently between 1977 and 2009 than they did in the earlier period (when starting pitchers were expected to pace themselves for a full game). That is, the rise in relief pitching should have a negative impact on the opponent's long-ball hitting. BABEs should be lower now than they were before. Yet, BABEs of winners and losers are, on average, significantly higher in the 1977-2009 period than they were in the earlier period, especially for AL winners and NL losers. Finally, there appears to be little (if any) home field impact on BABEs. The BABE of a home win (loss) is no different from the BABE of a road win (loss) $[p=.750$ ( $p=.945$ )]. Curiously, in the more recent period, when NL teams lose on the road (playing in an AL ballpark under AL rules which allow for designated hitters), the average BABE of NL team losers is significantly higher than the average BABE of AL team losers ( $p=.033$ ).

## Concluding Remarks

For pitchers, games won per season, the strikeout-to-walk ratio, and ERA are among the performance measures that first come to mind. WHIP is less common. And, the newborn BABE (bases per batter) is shown to be closely related to club wins in World Series play. In particular, the BABEs of winning teams in the World Series were significantly lower than the BABEs of losing teams since 1946, both before and after free agency, a result that underscores the belief that pitching wins championships.

Table 1. BABEs, World Series, 1946-2009

| Year | Number of Games | Winner's Affiliation | Winner's $B A B E^{1}$ | Loser's <br> BABE | Year | Number of Games | Winner's Affiliation | Winner's $B A B E^{1}$ | Loser's <br> BABE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1946 | 7 | NL | . 3882 | . 4183 | 1978 | 6 | AL | . 4475 | . 4244 |
| 1947 | 7 | AL | . 3906 | . 5000 | 1979 | 7 | NL | . 4015 | . 4719 |
| 1948 | 6 | AL | . 3793 | . 3399 | 1980 | 6 | NL | . 5279 | . 4444 |
| 1949 | 5 | AL | . 3955 | . 4121 | 1981 | 6 | NL | . 4734 | . 4450 |
| 1950 | 4 | AL | . 3037 | . 3649 | 1982 | 7 | NL | . 4436 | . 4566 |
| 1951 | 6 | AL | . 3927 | . 4489 | 1983 | 5 | AL | . 3373 | . 4080 |
| 1952 | 7 | AL | . 3852 | . 4563 | 1984 | 5 | AL | . 4011 | . 4890 |
| 1953 | 6 | AL | . 5175 | . 5398 | 1985 | 7 | AL | . 3248 | . 4470 |
| 1954 | 4 | NL | . 3791 | . 4014 | 1986 | 7 | NL | . 4601 | . 4330 |
| 1955 | 7 | NL | . 4467 | . 5000 | 1987 | 7 | AL | . 3551 | . 4869 |
| 1956 | 7 | AL | . 3765 | . 4840 | 1988 | 5 | NL | . 3086 | . 4389 |
| 1957 | 7 | NL | . 4325 | . 4089 | 1989 | 4 | AL | . 3803 | . 6280 |
| 1958 | 7 | AL | . 4045 | . 4213 | 1990 | 4 | NL | . 3605 | . 5223 |
| 1959 | 6 | NL | . 4292 | . 4233 | 1991 | 7 | AL | . 4764 | . 4466 |
| 1960 | 7 | NL | . 5575 | . 3862 | 1992 | 6 | AL | . 3591 | . 4159 |
| 1961 | 5 | AL | . 3371 | . 5132 | 1993 | 6 | AL | . 5041 | . 5628 |
| 1962 | 7 | AL | . 3866 | . 3388 | 1994 | $*^{2}$ | * | * | * |
| 1963 | 4 | NL | . 2687 | . 4063 | 1995 | 6 | NL | . 3818 | . 4862 |
| 1964 | 7 | NL | . 4773 | . 4186 | 1996 | 6 | AL | . 4330 | . 3689 |
| 1965 | 7 | NL | . 3846 | . 4211 | 1997 | 7 | NL | . 5122 | . 4965 |
| 1966 | 4 | AL | . 2707 | . 3969 | 1998 | 4 | AL | . 4247 | . 5409 |
| 1967 | 7 | NL | . 4059 | . 3984 | 1999 | 4 | AL | . 3517 | . 4667 |
| 1968 | 7 | AL | . 4462 | . 4535 | 2000 | 5 | AL | . 3817 | . 4804 |
| 1969 | 5 | NL | . 2791 | . 3600 | 2001 | 7 | NL | . 3347 | . 4335 |
| 1970 | 5 | AL | . 4078 | . 5602 | 2002 | 7 | AL | . 5547 | . 5112 |
| 1971 | 7 | NL | . 3556 | . 4167 | 2003 | 6 | NL | . 4629 | . 3456 |
| 1972 | 7 | AL | . 3725 | . 3568 | 2004 | 4 | AL | . 3623 | . 5556 |
| 1973 | 7 | AL | . 4007 | . 3829 | 2005 | 4 | AL | . 4063 | . 5325 |
| 1974 | 5 | AL | . 4023 | . 3924 | 2006 | 5 | NL | . 3669 | . 4254 |
| 1975 | 7 | NL | . 4424 | . 4461 | 2007 | 4 | AL | . 3916 | . 5813 |
| 1976 | 4 | NL | . 3401 | . 5616 | 2008 | 5 | NL | . 3554 | . 5538 |
| 1977 | 6 | AL | . 4554 | . 4398 | 2009 | 6 | AL | . 5273 | . 4491 |

[^0]
## Table 2. Difference between BABEs, by Length of Series, 1946-2009

| Group 1 | Group 2 | Avera Group 1 | BABE Group 2 | $\begin{gathered} p \text {-value } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { difference }^{1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-game series |  |  |  |  |
| Winner <br> Winner, 1946-1976 <br> Winner, 1977-2009 | Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009 | $\begin{aligned} & .3533 \\ & .3124 \\ & .3825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .4965 \\ & .4262 \\ & .5467 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <.001 \\ .015 \\ <.001 \end{array}$ |
| 5-game series |  |  |  |  |
| Winner <br> Winner, 1946-1976 Winner, 1977-2009 | Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009 | $\begin{aligned} & .3611 \\ & .3644 \\ & .3585 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .4576 \\ & .4476 \\ & .4659 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <.001 \\ .042 \\ .002 \end{array}$ |
| 6-game series |  |  |  |  |
| Winner <br> Winner, 1946-1976 <br> Winner, 1977-2009 | Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009 | $\begin{aligned} & .4494 \\ & .4297 \\ & .4572 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .4381 \\ & .4380 \\ & .4382 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .738 \\ & .356 \\ & .788 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7-game series |  |  |  |  |
| Winner <br> Winner, 1946-1976 <br> Winner, 1977-2009 | Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009 | $\begin{aligned} & .4199 \\ & .4149 \\ & .4292 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .4381 \\ & .4240 \\ & .4648 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .092 \\ & .293 \\ & .084 \end{aligned}$ |

[^1]
## Table 3. Difference between BABEs, Individual Games in World Series, 1946-2009

| Group 1 | Group 2 | Average BABE |  | $p$-value <br> on difference ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group 1 | Group 2 |  |
| Game winner | Game loser | . 3538 | . 4909 | <. 001 |
| Winner, 1946-1976 | Loser, 1946-1976 | . 3386 | . 4747 | <. 001 |
| Winner, 1977-2009 | Loser, 1977-2009 | . 3696 | . 5078 | <. 001 |
| Winner, 1946-1976 | Winner, 1977-2009 | . 3386 | . 3696 | . 012 |
| AL Winner, 1946-1976 | AL Winner, 1977-2009 | . 3325 | . 3759 | . 010 |
| NL Winner, 1946-1976 | NL Winner, 1977-2009 | . 3453 | . 3614 | . 381 |
| Loser, 1946-1976 | Loser, 1977-2009 | . 4747 | . 5078 | . 009 |
| AL Loser, 1946-1976 | AL Loser, 1977-2009 | . 4710 | . 5016 | . 113 |
| NL Loser, 1946-1976 | NL Loser, 1977-2009 | . 4781 | . 5125 | . 042 |
| Home Win | Road Win | . 4036 | . 3990 | . 750 |
| AL Home Win | NL Home Win | . 3981 | . 4099 | . 570 |
| AL Road Win | NL Road Win | . 3973 | . 4011 | . 853 |
| Home Loss | Road Loss | . 4424 | . 4434 | . 945 |
| AL Home Loss | NL Home Loss | . 4197 | . 4605 | . 051 |
| AL Road Loss | NL Road Loss | . 4396 | . 4467 | . 705 |
| AL Road Win, 1946-1976 | NL Road Win, 1946-1976 | . 3809 | . 3881 | . 801 |
| AL Road Win, 1977-2009 | NL Road Win, 1977-2009 | . 3966 | . 4270 | . 323 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AL Road Loss, } \\ \text { 1946-1976 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NL Road Loss, } \\ \text { 1946-1976 } \end{gathered}$ | . 4327 | . 3907 | . 129 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AL Road Loss, } \\ \text { 1977-2009 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NL Road Loss, } \\ \text { 1977-2009 } \end{gathered}$ | . 4469 | . 4972 | . 033 |

${ }^{1}$ For the first three comparisons involving winners (Group 1) and Losers (Group 2), see footnote 1 in Table 2. All other $p$-values are based on a two-tailed $t$-test.
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## Footnotes

1. The first mention of BABE appears in [1].
2. As for baseball's other Babe, Ruth's career began in 1914 as a left-handed pitcher for the Boston Red Sox. In six years on the mound at Fenway Park (before that now infamous sale to the New York Yankees), Babe won 89 regular season games and three World Series games (one win in 1916 and two wins in 1918).
3. Between 1946 and 1976, four rule changes were introduced into baseball that would be expected to affect batting and pitching performance statistics. In 1950, the strike zone was narrowed. In 1963, the strike zone was widened. In 1969, the strike zone was again narrowed. In 1973, the American League introduced the Designated Hitter rule but the National League did not.
4. The BABE of the game winner was greater than that of the loser on eight occasions in Game 1, twelve in Game 2, thirteen in Game 3, and fifteen in Game 4. (All four games were contested 63 times between 1946 and 2009.) The BABE of the winner exceeded that of the loser eight times in Game 5 (of 51 times Game 5 was contested) and three times each in Games 6 (40) and 7 (26).
5. BABE was equal to zero only once, in Don Larsen's perfect game (Game 5) in the 1956 World Series. The highest value of BABE was .8837 in Game 5 of the 1991 World Series, when the Atlanta Braves (the ultimate series loser) defeated the Minnesota Twins 14-5.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers in italics indicate that the winner's BABE was greater than the loser's BABE (occurred 21 times, 11 AL winners, 10 NL winners).
    ${ }^{2}$ The 1994-95 strike caused the cancellation of the 1994 World Series.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paired one-tailed $t$-tests under the alternative hypothesis: Average of Group 1 < Average of Group 2.

