BABE: THE SULTAN OF PITCHING STATS? by

Matthew H. LoRusso Paul M. Sommers

August 2010

MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE ECONOMICS DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 10-30



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE MIDDLEBURY, VERMONT 05753

http://www.middlebury.edu/~econ

BABE: THE SULTAN OF PITCHING STATS?

by

Matthew H. LoRusso Paul M. Sommers

Department of Economics Middlebury College Middlebury, Vermont 05753 psommers@middlebury.edu

BABE: THE SULTAN OF PITCHING STATS?

"I Got You Babe" — Sonny & Cher, 1965

There is no shortage of baseball metrics. Perhaps the most popular measure of pitching performance is the earned run average (ERA). ERA is equal to the number of earned runs a pitcher gives up in a nine-inning game. An alternative measure of pitcher performance is the number of walks plus hits allowed by a pitcher divided by the number of innings pitched (with the acronym WHIP). Since singles and extra-base hits are treated alike, WHIP is somewhat flawed. A relative newcomer to the fan's kitbag of statistical tools is the BABE, which unlike WHIP, adds the number of total bases a pitcher gives up to the number of walks allowed and divides this sum by the number of batters faced.^{1,2} More precisely, the BABE is given by:

$$\frac{TB + BB}{AB + BB}$$

where TB denotes the opposing team's total bases, BB is the opponent's bases on balls or walks, and AB represents the opponent's number of at bats. The lower the BABE, the better the pitcher's performance.

In this brief research note, we examine all 369 games in all World Series played between 1946 and 2009. Postseason data on total bases, walks, and at bats (gleaned from the box scores) of each game for each team each year are from <u>www.baseball-reference.com</u>. How well does this defensive measure alone predict outcomes? Were the BABEs of winning teams in the World Series significantly lower than the BABEs of their opponents? Did the series (or individual game) winner have the lower BABE more than half the time? And, have the BABEs of winning or losing teams in the World Series changed between the pre-free agency (1946-1976) and post-free agency (1977-2009) periods?

Table 1 shows the BABEs of the eventual winner and loser in each World Series between 1946 and 2009. Of the 63 World Series, the eventual series winner had the lower BABE two-thirds of the time. All but one of the 21 exceptions (11 American League or AL series winners, 10 National League or NL series

winners) involved a 6- or 7-game series. Table 2 shows that in four-game sweeps or a World Series that ended in only five games, the eventual series winner (both before and after 1977, the beginning of free agency) had significantly lower average BABEs.³ In a 6- or 7-game series, the average BABEs of winners and losers were indistinguishable (at the .05 level of significance). Between 1946-1976 and 1977-2009, the BABEs of losing teams (regardless of the length of the series) generally rose, especially for teams that were swept in four games.

Of the 369 games played in a World Series between 1946 and 2009, an individual game winner had the lower BABE 83.2 percent (or 307/369) of the time.^{4,5} Table 3 shows that individual game winners had significantly smaller BABEs than game losers over the entire 64-year period, as well as in the two shorter sub-periods. One would think that managers used relief pitchers more frequently between 1977 and 2009 than they did in the earlier period (when starting pitchers were expected to pace themselves for a full game). That is, the rise in relief pitching should have a negative impact on the opponent's long-ball hitting. BABEs should be lower now than they were before. Yet, BABEs of winners and losers are, on average, significantly higher in the 1977-2009 period than they were in the earlier period, especially for AL winners and NL losers. Finally, there appears to be little (if any) home field impact on BABEs. The BABE of a home win (loss) is no different from the BABE of a road win (loss) [p = .750 (p = .945)]. Curiously, in the more recent period, when NL teams lose on the road (playing in an AL ballpark under AL rules which allow for designated hitters), the average BABE of NL team losers is significantly higher than the average BABE of AL team losers (p = .033).

Concluding Remarks

For pitchers, games won per season, the strikeout-to-walk ratio, and ERA are among the performance measures that first come to mind. WHIP is less common. And, the newborn BABE (bases per batter) is shown to be closely related to club wins in World Series play. In particular, the BABEs of winning teams in the World Series were significantly lower than the BABEs of losing teams since 1946, both before and after free agency, a result that underscores the belief that pitching wins championships.

Year	Number of Games	Winner's Affiliation	Winner's BABE ¹	Loser's BABE	Year	Number of Games	Winner's Affiliation	Winner's BABE ¹	Loser's BABE	
 1946	7	NL	.3882	.4183	1978	6	AL	.4475	.4244	
1947	7	AL	.3906	.5000	1979	7	NL	.4015	.4719	
1948	6	AL	.3793	.3399	1980	6	NL	.5279	.4444	
1949	5	AL	.3955	.4121	1981	6	NL	.4734	.4450	
1950	4	AL	.3037	.3649	1982	7	NL	.4436	.4566	
1951	6	AL	.3927	.4489	1983	5	AL	.3373	.4080	
1952	7	AL	.3852	.4563	1984	5	AL	.4011	.4890	
1953	6	AL	.5175	.5398	1985	7	AL	.3248	.4470	
1954	4	NL	.3791	.4014	1986	7	NL	.4601	.4330	
1955	7	NL	.4467	.5000	1987	7	AL	.3551	.4869	
1956	7	AL	.3765	.4840	1988	5	NL	.3086	.4389	
1957	7	NL	.4325	.4089	1989	4	AL	.3803	.6280	
1958	7	AL	.4045	.4213	1990	4	NL	.3605	.5223	
1959	6	NL	.4292	.4233	1991	7	AL	.4764	.4466	
1960	7	NL	.5575	.3862	1992	6	AL	.3591	.4159	
1961	5	AL	.3371	.5132	1993	6	AL	.5041	.5628	
1962	7	AL	.3866	.3388	1994	*2	*	*	*	
1963	4	NL	.2687	.4063	1995	6	NL	.3818	.4862	
1964	7	NL	.4773	.4186	1996	6	AL	.4330	.3689	
1965	7	NL	.3846	.4211	1997	7	NL	.5122	.4965	
1966	4	AL	.2707	.3969	1998	4	AL	.4247	.5409	
1967	7	NL	.4059	.3984	1999	4	AL	.3517	.4667	
1968	7	AL	.4462	.4535	2000	5	AL	.3817	.4804	
1969	5	NL	.2791	.3600	2001	7	NL	.3347	.4335	
1970	5	AL	.4078	.5602	2002	7	AL	.5547	.5112	
1971	7	NL	.3556	.4167	2003	6	NL	.4629	.3456	
1972	7	AL	.3725	.3568	2004	4	AL	.3623	.5556	
1973	7	AL	.4007	.3829	2005	4	AL	.4063	.5325	
1974	5	AL	.4023	.3924	2006	5	NL	.3669	.4254	
1975	7	NL	.4424	.4461	2007	4	AL	.3916	.5813	
1976	4	NL	.3401	.5616	2008	5	NL	.3554	.5538	
1977	6	AL	.4554	.4398	2009	6	AL	.5273	.4491	

Table 1. BABEs, World Series, 1946-2009

¹Numbers in italics indicate that the winner's BABE was greater than the loser's BABE (occurred 21 times, 11 AL winners, 10 NL winners). ²The 1994-95 strike caused the cancellation of the 1994 World Series.

Table 2. Difference between BABEs,
by Length of Series, 1946-2009

Group 1	Group 2	Averag Group 1	e BABE Group 2	p-value on difference ¹
4-game series				
Winner Winner, 1946-1976 Winner, 1977-2009	Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009	.3533 .3124 .3825	.4965 .4262 .5467	<.001 .015 <.001
5-game series				
Winner Winner, 1946-1976 Winner, 1977-2009	Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009	.3611 .3644 .3585	.4576 .4476 .4659	<.001 .042 .002
6-game series				
Winner Winner, 1946-1976 Winner, 1977-2009	Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009	.4494 .4297 .4572	.4381 .4380 .4382	.738 .356 .788
7-game series				
Winner Winner, 1946-1976 Winner, 1977-2009	Loser Loser, 1946-1976 Loser, 1977-2009	.4199 .4149 .4292	.4381 .4240 .4648	.092 .293 .084

¹Paired one-tailed *t*-tests under the alternative hypothesis: Average of Group 1 <Average of Group 2.

Group 1	Group 2	Averag Group 1	e BABE Group 2	p-value on difference ¹	
Gomo winnor	Como losor	2528	4000	< 001	
Winner 1046 1076	Losar 1046 1076	.3336	.4909	<.001	
Winner, 1940-1970 Winner, 1977-2009	Loser, 1940-1970 Loser, 1977-2009	.3380	.5078	<.001	
	, 				
Winner, 1946-1976	Winner, 1977-2009	.3386	.3696	.012	
AL Winner, 1946-1976	AL Winner, 1977-2009	.3325	.3759	.010	
NL Winner, 1946-1976	NL Winner, 1977-2009	.3453	.3614	.381	
Loser, 1946-1976	Loser, 1977-2009	.4747	.5078	.009	
AL Loser, 1946-1976	AL Loser, 1977-2009	.4710	.5016	.113	
NL Loser, 1946-1976	NL Loser, 1977-2009	.4781	.5125	.042	
Home Win	Road Win	4036	3990	750	
AL Home Win	NL Home Win	3981	4099	570	
AL Road Win	NL Road Win	.3973	.4011	.853	
Homa Loss	Pood Loss	4424	1131	045	
	NI Home Loss	.4424	.4434	.945	
AL Road Loss	NL Road Loss	.4197	.4003	.705	
AL Road Win,	NL Road Win,				
1946-1976	1946-1976	.3809	.3881	.801	
AL Road Win,	NL Road Win,				
1977-2009	1977-2009	.3966	.4270	.323	
AL Road Loss.	NL Road Loss,				
1946-1976	1946-1976	.4327	.3907	.129	
AL Road Loss.	NL Road Loss.			-	
1977-2009	1977-2009	.4469	.4972	.033	

Table 3. Difference between BABEs, Individual Games in World Series, 1946-2009

¹For the first three comparisons involving winners (Group 1) and Losers (Group 2), see footnote 1 in Table 2. All other *p*-values are based on a two-tailed *t*-test.

Reference

1. Allen St. John, "By the Numbers," *The Wall Street Journal*, August 13, 2004, p. W5.

Footnotes

- 1. The first mention of BABE appears in [1].
- 2. As for baseball's other Babe, Ruth's career began in 1914 as a left-handed pitcher for the Boston Red Sox. In six years on the mound at Fenway Park (before that now infamous sale to the New York Yankees), Babe won 89 regular season games and three World Series games (one win in 1916 and two wins in 1918).
- 3. Between 1946 and 1976, four rule changes were introduced into baseball that would be expected to affect batting and pitching performance statistics. In 1950, the strike zone was narrowed. In 1963, the strike zone was widened. In 1969, the strike zone was again narrowed. In 1973, the American League introduced the Designated Hitter rule but the National League did not.
- 4. The BABE of the game winner was greater than that of the loser on eight occasions in Game 1, twelve in Game 2, thirteen in Game 3, and fifteen in Game 4. (All four games were contested 63 times between 1946 and 2009.) The BABE of the winner exceeded that of the loser eight times in Game 5 (of 51 times Game 5 was contested) and three times each in Games 6 (40) and 7 (26).
- 5. BABE was equal to zero only once, in Don Larsen's perfect game (Game 5) in the 1956 World Series. The highest value of BABE was .8837 in Game 5 of the 1991 World Series, when the Atlanta Braves (the ultimate series loser) defeated the Minnesota Twins 14-5.