Phonons in Hubbard ladders studied within the framework of the one-loop renormalization group
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We study the effects of phonons in \(N\)-leg Hubbard ladders within the framework of a one-loop renormalization group. In particular, we explicitly demonstrate that the role of phonons changes qualitatively even in the simplest two-leg ladder, as compared-to the single-chain system where phonons always dominate. Our numerical results suggest that in the spin-gapped phase of the two-leg ladder, the opening of the spin gap by electron-electron interaction also drives the electron-phonon interaction to strong coupling, but in a subdominant fashion. Therefore, even though the inclusion of phonons does not alter the phase, their subdominant relevance strongly renormalizes some physical properties below the energy scale of the spin gap. This might shine some light on recent experiments showing an anomalous isotope effect in high-temperature superconductors.
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How relevant phonons are to the high-temperature superconductors has been an issue of considerable controversy. At one extreme, it is believed that phonons are irrelevant to the physics of the cuprates which is dictated by electronic correlations. At the other, phonons are thought to be the glue for the Cooper pairing. Recent experiments of angle-resolved photoemission experiments indicate the significance of electron-phonon coupling in the cuprates1–3 and spark the interests in the controversial role played by phonons again. This is particularly so in the isotope-ARPES experiment by Gweon et al.3 There, the effect of isotope substitution is significantly enhanced in the superconducting state where the spin gap has opened.

The purpose of this work is to shed some light on the effect of electron-phonon couplings in correlated electron systems. The challenge here is to do a calculation which is controlled when appropriate limits are taken, and at the same time is unbiased in the sense that no particular scenario is favored or implicitly assumed, e.g., by a certain choice of mean field. For the particular case of the high-\(T_c\) materials, no calculational method is currently available that would satisfy both criteria. In the broader context of correlated electron systems, however, we feel that the class of ladder systems especially lends itself to an investigation of the issues stated above: Only in ladder systems it is known that purely repulsive electronic interactions can lead to a spin-gapped phase (with approximate \(d\)-wave symmetry), in resemblance to scenarios that have been proposed for the superconducting cuprates. If anything, this choice of system will take the bias off the phonons as the driving mechanism behind such a phase, since it already exists without their help. Moreover, this nontrivial, strongly correlated phase with various competing order parameters can be accessed at arbitrarily weak strength of the electronic couplings, making it possible to study this problem by means of the perturbative renormalization group (RG).

While the equations we derive are valid for general \(N\)-leg Hubbard ladders, we focus on the simplest two-leg ladder in this paper. Our results demonstrate a clear distinction of the interplay between electronic and lattice degrees of freedom, depending on whether a finite spin gap develops in the ground states. In the spin-gapped phase, the electron-phonon couplings are always relevant. In particular, they are driven to large values at the energy scale of the spin gap, which is set by the electronic interactions. This happens even in the limit of infinitesimal bare values of electron-phonon couplings. In gapless phases, on the other hand, electron-phonon couplings are predicted to be irrelevant at least for sufficiently small bare strength. This dichotomy indeed bears some resemblance to the experiments on the cuprates.3 At the same time, it is found that the electron-phonon couplings, although relevant, remain subdominant compared to inter-electronic couplings. In simple terms, while the phonons do not drive the spin-gapped phase, they do dress it up. We note that this result is well distinct from that for the spin-gapped phase in the single chain, where even very weak attractive retarded couplings (resulting from electron-phonon interactions) will eventually dominate over the nonretarded (electronic) ones and play the essential role of generating the spin gap.5 Our findings in the Hubbard ladder thus seem to set an interesting balance between the two extremes mentioned previously. On the one hand, phonons are irrelevant in regard to the pairing mechanism. On the other, they do strongly influence what we observe in experiments, e.g., the isotope effects. While the above picture is suggestive for future explorations in the cuprates, we do warn the readers that our analysis is confined to ladder systems, which cannot be straightforwardly generalized to correlated systems in higher dimensions.

We now turn to the rigorous renormalization group analysis of ladder systems. In this Communication, we consider the effect of phonons in the \(N\)-chain Hubbard ladder by in-
cluding retarded interactions into the RG treatment. In the following we shall assume general incommensurate band filling and weak interactions. Under these conditions, the effective field theory for the $N$-chain ladder is a $2N$-species massless Dirac theory with four-fermion interactions. The Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_I$ of the effective theory is given by \[ \mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{ia} \psi_{Ria}^\dagger(-iv_{i\alpha}) \psi_{Lia} + \psi_{Lia}^\dagger(iv_{i\alpha}) \psi_{Ria}, \]

\[ \mathcal{H}_I = \sum_{ijab} f_{ijab}^\dagger \psi_{Ria} \psi_{Rja}^\dagger \psi_{Lja} \psi_{Lia} + \sum_{ijab} f_{ijab} \psi_{Lia}^\dagger \psi_{Lja} \psi_{Rja}^\dagger \psi_{Ria} + c_{ij}^\dagger \psi_{Ria} \psi_{Rja}^\dagger \psi_{Lja} \psi_{Lia} + c_{ij} \psi_{Lia}^\dagger \psi_{Lja} \psi_{Rja}^\dagger \psi_{Ria}, \]

where open boundary conditions transverse to the chain direction are assumed, and $R$ and $L$ stand for right and left moving electrons, respectively, $i$ and $j$ are the band indices, and $\alpha, \beta$ are the spin indices. The superscripts $l$ and $s$ of the interaction parameters refer to large and small momentum cutoffs above $z$ and $d$, respectively.

The induced hopping $f_{ijab}$ and on-site coupling $c_{ij}$ are related to the bare parameters $f_{ijab}^\dagger$ and $c_{ij}$ by

\[ f_{ijab}^\dagger = f_{ijab} + c_{ij} \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab}, \quad c_{ij} = c_{ij} - f_{ijab} \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab}, \]

where $ \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} = c_{ij}^\dagger s + \delta_{ij} f_{ijab}^\dagger s$ for notational convenience. In the above $\partial_l = dl/dl$, with $l$ being the logarithm of the ratio between the bare momentum cutoff $\Lambda_0$ and the running cutoff $\Lambda$. Dimensionless couplings $g_{ij} = g_{ij}/\sigma (u_i + u_j)$ have been introduced with $g = f^\dagger, f^\dagger, c^\dagger, c s$ and $\alpha_{ij,k} = (u_i + u_j)/(2u_i + u_j)$. The numerical integration of Eq. (2) shows that quite generally for the $N$-chain system, there exists a scale $l_\alpha$ where the RG flows diverge due to the opening of spin or charge gaps in some/all partially filled bands. To ensure the applicability of the perturbative results, the flows are usually terminated at a scale $\ell_c$ (close to but less than $l_\alpha$), where the largest couplings become of order 1. Physically, the scale $\ell_c$ is identified with the energy scale at which charge/spin gaps open.

To account for the effects of phonons we include retarded four-fermion interactions in Eq. (1). The latter are effective interactions which are formally identical to those displayed in Eq. (1), except that a cutoff $\omega_D$ is imposed for frequency transfer at the retarded vertices. By standard momentum shell RG (Ref. 14), one can derive RG equations for both nonretarded and retarded couplings before the momentum cutoff $\Lambda$ reaches $\omega_D/l$ at a scale $l = l_D$, using the method of Refs. 10–13. Below this scale, the retarded couplings are then regarded as additive renormalizations to the nonretarded ones.

For $l < l_D$, the following flow equations are obtained for the retarded interactions:

\[ \partial_l \tilde{f}_{ij} = -4f_{ij}^2 - 2f_{ij} \tilde{f}_{ij}^2 - 2f_{ij}^2 - 4c_{ij}^2 + 2c_{ij}^2 \tilde{c}_{ij}^2 - 2(c_{ij}^2)^2, \]

\[ \partial_l \tilde{c}_{ij} = -4f_{ij}^2 c_{ij} - 4f_{ij} c_{ij}^2 - 4f_{ij}^2 c_{ij}^2 - 2f_{ij}^2 c_{ij}^2 + 2f_{ij}^2 c_{ij}^2, \]

where the nonretarded flow equations (2) remain unaltered. In the above, we use the notation $\tilde{g}$ for the retarded version of the dimensionless coupling constant $g$. Note that the retarded couplings with small momentum transfer $\tilde{f}_{ij}, \tilde{c}_{ij}$ do not flow at one-loop order.

We will assume in the following that Eqs. (3) remain valid until the energy scale of the electronic spin/charge gaps is reached. Physically, this corresponds to the assumption that the Debye scale $\omega_D$ is of the order of the electronic gaps or less. The evolution of the interactions will then be governed by the coupled set (2) and (3) of nonlinear differential equations as higher-energy electronic excitations are recursively integrated out. Since the nonretarded flow equations (2) are unaffected by the retarded couplings, we may regard their solutions as known. Thus, all we need to do is to substitute them into Eqs. (3) and solve for the renormalized retarded interaction parameters. It is rather remarkable that the RG equations (3) for retarded couplings are completely decoupled by introducing the following linear combinations of the original couplings, $\tilde{c}_{ij} = \tilde{f}_{ij} \pm \tilde{c}_{ij}$. After the change of coupling basis, the RG equations take the simple decoupled form.

\[ \partial_l \tilde{h}_{ij} = \rho_{ij} - 2(\tilde{h}_{ij})^2, \]

where $\rho_{ij} = (2f_{ij} - 4f_{ij}^2) \pm (2c_{ij}^2 - 4c_{ij}^2)$. Note that, since $\tilde{c}_{ii} = 0$, the label $\pm$ in Eq. (4) is redundant for $i = j$ and thus will be dropped. Omitting indices, the solution of Eq. (4) is of the form

\[ \tilde{h}(l) = M(l) \left( \int_0^l dl' 2 M(l') + \frac{1}{\tilde{h}(0)} \right)^{-1}, \]

where $M(l) = \exp(f_{ij}^2 dl / \rho(l'))$. In the vicinity of the divergent scale $l_\alpha$ where the nonretarded interactions diverge, the coefficient function $\rho(l)$ diverges as
\[ \rho(l) \sim \frac{\beta}{l_{d}-l}, \]  

where the coefficient \( \beta \) depends on the subscript \( ij \) and the superscript \( \pm \) of \( \rho \). Because of the above divergence the retarded couplings will be driven to large values. Given Eq. (6), the following three cases need to be distinguished:

1. \( \beta \gg 1 \). The integral over \( M \) in Eq. (5) diverges. The retarded couplings will then diverge as \( (l_{d}-l)^{-1} \) with \( l_{d} \ll l_{d} \). In the interesting case where the retarded interaction is attractive \( \bar{h}(0) < 0 \) (which is realized for \( \bar{h}_{ij} \) and \( \bar{h}_{ii} \)), the quantity in the parenthesis in Eq. (5) vanishes before the scale \( l_{d} \) is reached, and hence \( l_{d} \ll l_{d} \). In this case, retarded couplings eventually dominate over nonretarded ones, so long as \( \omega_{p} \) is sufficiently small (compared with gaps in the system). For \( \bar{h}(0) > 0 \) we have \( l_{d} = l_{d} \).  

2. \( 0 < \beta < 1 \). A finite critical initial coupling

\[ \bar{h}_{c} = - \left( \int_{0}^{l_{d}} dl \frac{2M(l)}{l} \right)^{-1} < 0 \]  

can be identified. For \( \bar{h}(0) < \bar{h}_{c} < 0 \), the behavior is similar to case (1) with \( l_{d} < l_{d} \). For \( \bar{h}(0) > \bar{h}_{c} \), \( \bar{h}(l) \) diverges as \( (l_{d}-l)^{-\beta} \).

This implies that \( \bar{h} \) is still relevant, but is ultimately subdominant compared to the nonretarded couplings, which diverge as \( (l_{d}-l)^{-1} \).

3. \( \beta < 0 \). Again, the behavior for \( \bar{h}(0) < \bar{h}_{c} \) is as in case (1) with \( l_{d} < l_{d} \), but otherwise \( \bar{h}(l) \) is irrelevant and vanishes as \( (l_{d}-l)^{\beta} \).

At each point of the C1S0 phase of the pure Hubbard ladder, the retarded couplings may now be classified according to the above scheme. We shall now do so for the two-leg ladder. The presentation of the results is greatly simplified by the fact that the parameter \( \beta \) in Eq. (6) only depends on the ratio of the two Fermi velocities \( v_{1}/v_{2} \), where the indices 1 and 2 refer to the majority (bonding) and minority (antibonding) bands, respectively.

The \( \beta \) parameters of the retarded couplings according to Eq. (6) are displayed in Fig. 1. As is readily seen, below \( v_{1}/v_{2} \approx 6 \) in the C1S0 phase, the \( \beta \) values for the most relevant retarded couplings behave according to the second case discussed above. The critical initial values, \( \bar{h}_{c} \), for these couplings defined in Eq. (7) are of the order of the bare electronic on-site repulsion \( U \) (Ref. 16). In materials where the bare retarded couplings are small compared to \( U \), the majority of the fully spin-gapped C1S0 phase (Fig. 2) will then have retarded couplings diverge subdominantly, implying that they will not surpass their electronic counterparts. This finding is dramatically different from the conventional wisdom drawn from strictly one-dimensional systems,12,13 where even very weak retarded couplings will dominate the nonretarded ones and dictate the phase. On the other hand, the effects of phonons are, though less dominant, by no means negligible in the present scenario. This is particularly true for physical effects, such as the isotope effect, that primarily depend on the strength of the electron-phonon interaction: The renormalization of electronic properties due to phonons will be considerably stronger under circumstances where the electronic interactions are relevant and open up gaps in the spectrum. This is best demonstrated by comparing the behavior described above for the C1S0 phase to that found in the C2S2 phase, where electronic interactions are irrelevant. Note that, in the C2S2 phase (no spin gap), the quantity \( \rho(l) \) will not show the behavior displayed in Eq. (6), but rather approach a constant value as \( l \rightarrow \infty \). In this case, even though...
the retarded couplings may formally diverge for \(\rho(\infty) > 0\), the energy scale associated with this divergence vanishes as a power of the bare electron-phonon couplings.\(^1\) They are thus irrelevant when the cutoff \(\nu_0\) is taken into account, below which Eqs. (3) are not valid. Again we note that this dichotomy between gapped and gapless phases is paralleled by the experiment of Ref. 3, where the reported isotope effect is much more pronounced for temperatures and in regions of \(k\) space where a \(d\)-wave superconducting gap prevails.

The presence of phonons also has an influence on the spin gaps. First, we point out that in simple models the bare value of \(\tilde{h}_{12}^-\) is usually zero owing to time-reversal symmetry. From Eq. (4), \(\tilde{h}_{12}^-\) will then remain zero within one-loop RG. \(\tilde{h}_{12}^+\) is irrelevant for small initial values due to the negative sign of the corresponding \(\beta\) parameter (Fig. 1). Under these conditions the relevant retarded couplings are \emph{intraband} couplings. The latter become large and negative under RG, whereas their electronic, initially repulsive, counterparts change sign under RG and eventually also flow to large negative values. Hence attractive retarded interactions tend to enhance the effects of electronic interaction in causing the spin gap. In addition, the increase of attractive interactions at large momentum transfer due to electron-phonon coupling will tend to enhance charge-density wave (CDW) correlations, similar to the case of the single-chain system.

In a slim regime of the phase diagram (above \(v_1/v_2 \approx 6\)), the \(\beta\) value of \(\tilde{h}_{11}\) is larger than 1, such that the first case mentioned above applies. In this narrow regime, the phonons take over the lead as in the single chain. This change can be roughly understood as follows: Due to the large mismatch in Fermi velocities, the two bands decouple as two independent single-chain systems. In fact, in the regime above \(v_1/v_2 \sim 8\) (often misidentified as C2S1 phase in the literature), \(\beta_{\tilde{h}_{11}} = 3/2\), which is the same as in the spin-gapped phase of a single chain. It is a bit tricky to determine the phase in this regime. Naively, at the cutoff scale \(l=l_c\), the dominant retarded coupling \(\tilde{h}_{11}(l_c)\) reaches order 1 and opens up the spin gap in the bonding band, while all other retarded and nonretarded couplings remain small. It is tempting to reach the wrong conclusion that the phase C2S1 is revived. Upon more careful analysis,\(^\text{15,16}\) the subdominant couplings \(\tilde{h}_{22}/c_{12}^1\) also develop a minor spin gap in the antibonding band. In addition, the subdominant coupling \(c_{12}^1 > 0\) pins the relative phases between two bands and leads to the “\(d\)-wave” pairing symmetry. Therefore, the final phase is again the same C1S0, except the spin gap in the bonding band is much larger than the others.

To conclude, we have developed a general RG scheme to analyze the effects of phonons in the correlated \(N\)-leg ladder. In particular, we demonstrate that the phonon-mediated attractive interaction, although divergent, remains subdominant compared to the electron-electron interactions in the two-leg ladder. This result is particularly interesting when compared to that for the Luther-Emery phase of a single chain, where phonon effects can be even more profound. The general tendency suggested by these findings is that as one moves away from a purely one-dimensional chain, the essential part in determining the phase diagram is played by instantaneous interelectronic interactions. Phonons may, however, inject an important bias when two competing electronic divergences are equal in strength. At the same time, the results obtained here highlight that electron-phonon couplings may have an enhanced influence on quantitative aspects, in particular for measurements that probe energies below the spin gap set by electronic interactions.
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