




Functional MRI correlates of cognitive performance in patients with a clinically isolated syndrome suggestive of MS at presentation: an activation and connectivity study

Journal:	<i>Multiple Sclerosis Journal</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Forn, Cristina; Universitat Jaume i, Psicologia bàsica, clínica i psicobiologia Rocca, Maria Assunta; Scientific Institute Ospedale San Raffaele, Neuroimaging Research Unit, Department of Neuroscience Valsasina, Paola; Scientific Institute Ospedale San Raffaele, Neuroimaging Research Unit, Department of Neuroscience Bosca, Isabel; Hospital La Fe, Neurology Casanova, Bonaventura; Hospital La Fe, Neurology Sanjuan, Ana; Universitat Jaume I, Psicologia bàsica, clínica i psicobiologia Ávila, César; Universitat Jaume I, Departament de psicologia bàsica, clínica i psicobiologia Filippi, Massimo; Scientific Institute and University Ospedale San Raffaele, Neuroimaging Research Unit, Institute of Experimental Neurology, Division of Neuroscience
Keywords:	MRI, Multiple sclerosis, T2 lesions
Abstract:	Objective. To assess whether abnormalities on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) are related to cognitive function in patients at presentation with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) suggestive of MS. Methods. Eighteen CIS patients and 15 healthy controls (HC) performed an adapted fMRI version of the Paced Auditory Serial

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	<p>Addition Test (PASAT). According to their PASAT performance, CIS patients were divided into two groups: 10 with a low PASAT performance ($< 1SD$ from the mean value of HC) were considered "cognitive impairment" (CI); 8 patients were defined as "cognitively preserved" (CP). Between-group differences in the patterns of brain activations and effective connectivity were assessed.</p> <p>Results. During PASAT, compared to HC, CIS patients showed increased activations of the bilateral inferior parietal lobe (IPL), bilateral precuneus, bilateral middle frontal gyrus (MFG), left anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), left claustrum, right thalamus and right caudate nucleus. When CIS patients were analyzed, the CI group had a more significant activation of the bilateral IPL than HC and CP patients. Compared to CP patients, they also had more significant recruitment of the right superior parietal lobe, right cerebellum, left MFG and left ACC. The analysis of effective connectivity showed stronger connections between several regions of the right hemisphere involved in working memory function in CI patients vs. CP and HC.</p> <p>Conclusions. The observed differences in the patterns of cortical recruitment and connectivity in CI patients during PASAT performance are likely to be adaptive and have a role in limiting cognitive dysfunctions early in the course of MS.</p>



1
2
3 **Functional MRI correlates of cognitive performance in patients with a clinically**
4 **isolated syndrome suggestive of MS at presentation: an activation and connectivity**
5 **study**
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 C. Forn,^{1,2} M.A. Rocca,¹ P. Valsasina,¹ I. Boscá I,³ B. Casanova,³ A. Sanjuan,² C. Ávila,²
13 M. Filippi.¹
14

15
16 1. Neuroimaging Research Unit, Institute of Experimental Neurology, Division of
17 Neuroscience, Scientific Institute and “Vita-Salute” University, Hospital San Raffaele,
18 Milan, Italy.
19

20
21 2. Universitat Jaume I. Departament de Psicologia Bàsica, Clínica i Psicobiologia. Castelló
22 de la Plana. Spain.
23

24
25 3. Servicio de Neuroinmunología. Hospital La Fe. Valencia. Spain.
26
27

28
29 Corresponding Author:

30 Cristina Forn, PhD

31 forn@psb.uji.es

32
33 Dept. Psicologia Bàsica, Clínica i Psicobiologia

34
35 Campus Riu Sec

36
37 Fac. Ciències Humanes i Socials

38
39 Universitat Jaume I

40
41 E-12071 Castelló

42
43 SPAIN
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objective. To assess whether abnormalities on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) are related to cognitive function in patients at presentation with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) suggestive of MS.

Methods. Eighteen CIS patients and 15 healthy controls (HC) performed an adapted fMRI version of the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT). According to their PASAT performance, CIS patients were divided into two groups: 10 with a low PASAT performance ($< 1SD$ from the mean value of HC) were considered “cognitive impairment” (CI); 8 patients were defined as “cognitively preserved” (CP). Between-group differences in the patterns of brain activations and effective connectivity were assessed.

Results. During PASAT, compared to HC, CIS patients showed increased activations of the bilateral inferior parietal lobe (IPL), bilateral precuneus, bilateral middle frontal gyrus (MFG), left anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), left claustrum, right thalamus and right caudate nucleus. When CIS patients were analyzed, the CI group had a more significant activation of the bilateral IPL than HC and CP patients. Compared to CP patients, they also had more significant recruitment of the right superior parietal lobe, right cerebellum, left MFG and left ACC. The analysis of effective connectivity showed stronger connections between several regions of the right hemisphere involved in working memory function in CI patients vs. CP and HC.

Conclusions. The observed differences in the patterns of cortical recruitment and connectivity in CI patients during PASAT performance are likely to be adaptive and have a role in limiting cognitive dysfunctions early in the course of MS.

Introduction

In about 85% of patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), the clinical onset of the disease is a clinically isolated syndrome (CIS), involving the optic nerve, brainstem or spinal cord. Approximately 50-80% of these patients already have visible lesions on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, consistent with prior disease activity [1].

It is known that cognitive deficits play an important role in MS and that they impact significantly on patient activities of daily living and quality of life [2]. However, relatively little is known about the prevalence of cognitive impairment at the onset of the disease in CIS patients. Recent studies in this clinical population have described the presence of cognitive deficits ranging from mild to moderate, depending on the criteria used to define such an impairment [3,4,5,6].

Cognitive dysfunction in MS involves several domains including information processing speed, attention, memory and frontal executive functions [7]. This heterogeneity is usually not observed in individuals with CIS, in whom only deficits of information processing speed and working memory have been described [5]. A characterization of the cognitive profile of CIS patients as well as the mechanisms underlying the preservation of some cognitive functions in this condition might improve our understanding of the development of cognitive impairment in MS. Indeed, it has been recently demonstrated that cognitive deficits in CIS patients have a prognostic value to predict subsequent conversion to definite MS [8].

In relation to this, a valuable tool is represented by functional MRI (fMRI). In the last few years, fMRI studies have disclosed differences in the patterns of brain recruitment between MS patients and healthy controls (HC) when performing various active tasks. Some of these studies have suggested that, at least in some cases, an altered pattern of brain recruitment might contribute to limit the clinical consequences of tissue damage

1
2
3 [9,10,11]. Brain reorganization has also been observed in CIS patients when performing
4 cognitive [12] and motor tasks [13]. To better characterize differences in the patterns of
5 brain activations between MS patients and HC, a few studies have also analyzed
6 functional/effective connectivity among different brain regions [14,15,16,17,18]. However,
7 only a seminal study has shown an increased connectivity of brain regions of the working
8 memory network in CIS compared to HC [19].

9
10 To examine possible early fMRI cognition-associated abnormalities, we studied a
11 sample of CIS patients using an adapted version of the Paced Auditory Serial Addition
12 Test (PASAT), which has been previously applied in several fMRI studies of patients with
13 definite MS [9,10,12]. This task is sensitive to abnormalities of working memory and
14 information processing speed. In addition, to characterize further the possible differences
15 in brain recruitment between CIS patients and HC, we also run an effective connectivity
16 analysis to obtain a more complete picture of the functional abnormalities associated to
17 cognitive performance in these patients.

18 **Materials and methods**

19 Participants

20 Eighteen right-handed patients at presentation with CIS suggestive of MS and
21 paraclinical evidence of dissemination in space [20] were recruited consecutively at the
22 Hospital Universitari La Fe and the Hospital Clinic Universitari MS Unit, Valencia, Spain.
23 All patients were examined within 3 months from the first clinical episode and were free of
24 steroids treatment for at least one month. Alternative neurological diseases were excluded
25 by appropriate investigations [21, 22]. Exclusion criteria were concomitant therapy with
26 antidepressants, psychoactive drugs, and a history of major medical, neurological or
27 psychiatric disorders as well as drug and alcohol abuse. Depression was excluded with the
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). There were 10 women and eight men, and their mean
4
5 age was 32.9 years (range = 18-47 years). Oligoclonal bands were found in the
6
7 cerebrospinal fluid of all patients. Disability was rated using the Expanded Disability
8
9 Status Scale (EDSS) Score [23]. Fifteen aged-matched right-handed individuals with no
10
11 history of neurological or psychiatric diseases served as controls.
12
13

14 15 Neuropsychological assessment

16
17 Following neurological assessment and prior to the MRI acquisition, all participants
18
19 were assessed by an experienced neuropsychologist, blinded to clinical and MRI findings.
20
21 This assessment included the Brief Repeatable of Neuropsychological test (BRB-N)
22
23 validated in a Spanish population [24], the Matrix subtest included in the Wechsler Adult
24
25 Intelligence Scale III Battery (WAIS III) [25], which was used to match groups in term of
26
27 their intelligence quotients (IQ).
28
29
30

31 32 MRI acquisition

33
34 Using a 3.0 Tesla scanner (Siemens Trio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany), the
35
36 following sequences of the brain were acquired from all participants: 1) axial T2-weighted
37
38 fast spin echo (TR/TE = 6740/97; ETL = 15; FOV = 250 × 250 mm; matrix size = 256 ×
39
40 256; 40 contiguous slices; slice thickness = 3 mm); 2) axial echo-planar imaging (TR/TE =
41
42 3000/50 ms; flip angle = 90°; FOV = 250 × 250 mm; matrix size = 64 × 64; 29 contiguous
43
44 slices, slice thickness = 4.5 mm) during PASAT task.
45
46
47

48 49 Experimental Design

50
51 Participants were instructed to perform the fMRI-adapted auditory PASAT task
52
53 [10]. PASAT consisted of a block design paradigm with 6 blocks of 1 min each: 3 for the
54
55 control condition and 3 for the active condition. In the PASAT task, a 1-digit number
56
57 between 1 and 9 was randomly presented every 3 s. During the control condition,
58
59 participants were instructed to repeat the last number heard. During the active condition,
60

1
2
3 participants performed the PASAT task, which involved adding up the last two numbers
4
5 heard. Subjects were asked to give overt verbal responses, which were recorded by an
6
7 observer inside the scanner room. The stimuli for the tasks were presented using fMRI-
8
9 compatible headphones (VisuaStim, Resonance Technologies, Inc). The sound volume was
10
11 adjusted so that each participant could hear the stimuli of the PASAT test properly. Before
12
13 fMRI was performed, all subjects underwent a 10-minute practice session, which included
14
15 different stimuli presented in the scanner. Foam cushioning was used to immobilize their
16
17 head within the coil in order to minimize motion artifacts.
18
19
20

21 22 Statistical analysis of clinical and cognitive data

23
24 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Software Package (Statsoft,
25
26 Inc). Inter-group comparisons were made in two steps. First, HC and CIS patients were
27
28 compared in term of their neuropsychological performance. This analysis showed no
29
30 difference between the two groups. As a second step, and as previously described [12], CIS
31
32 patients were divided into two groups according to their performance at PASAT, based on
33
34 the strategy suggested by Sepulcre et al. [24]: patients with PASAT performance 1SD
35
36 below the mean of HC were considered as cognitively impaired (CI) (n = 10). One-way
37
38 ANOVAs and the Fisher LSD post-hoc test were used to analyze the differences among
39
40 the three groups for clinical and cognitive data.
41
42
43
44

45 46 MRI analysis

47
48 All MRI postprocessing was performed by a single experienced observer, who was
49
50 unaware of subjects' identity. T2 lesions were identified and T2 lesion load (LL) measured
51
52 using the Jim software (Version 4.0, Xinapse Systems, Northants, UK,
53
54 <http://www.xinapse.com>).
55
56 fMRI data were analyzed using the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM5) software
57
58 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Prior to the statistical
59
60

1
2
3 analysis, all images were reoriented according to the anterior-posterior commissural line,
4
5
6 realigned to the first one, spatially normalized into the Montreal Neurological Institute
7
8 (MNI) space, and smoothed with an 8 mm Gaussian kernel filter. SPM calculation was
9
10 based on the General Linear Model [26]. The motion parameters estimated during
11
12 realignment were included in the statistical analysis as regressors. First-level task-related
13
14 contrast images were created for each subject and then used in a second-level random-
15
16 effect analysis (one-sample t-test) to define the brain patterns of recruitment in each group
17
18 separately (controls and CIS patients), using a threshold of $p < 0.001$ family-wise error
19
20 (FDR) corrected, and a minimum cluster extent (k) of 20 contiguous voxels. Between-
21
22 group comparisons were assessed using a one-way ANOVA, with a threshold for
23
24 significance set at $p < 0.05$ FDR corrected, with a minimum cluster extent (k) of 20
25
26 contiguous voxels.
27
28
29
30

31 Effective connectivity analysis

32
33 DCM is a mathematical framework which allows to characterize effective
34
35 connectivity, defined as the causal influence that one brain region exerts over another one
36
37 [27]. It requires defining an *a priori* model, usually chosen among possible alternative
38
39 models using a Bayesian model selection procedure [28]. In our case, this model was
40
41 established based on brain areas known to be related to working memory, as shown by
42
43 several previous fMRI studies [9,10,12]. The areas selected were: the left (L) and right (R)
44
45 middle third of the middle frontal gyrus (MFG), the L and R inferior frontal gyrus (IFG),
46
47 the L and R anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and the L and R inferior parietal lobe (IPL).
48
49
50 Using the VOI toolbox of SPM5, time series (adjusted for the effect of interest) were
51
52 extracted from a spherical volume (5 mm radius) centered at the most significant voxel
53
54 within each cluster of the SPMt map, displaying in each subject the brain areas active
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 during the PASAT task at $p < 0.001$, uncorrected. We assumed that the effect of the task
4
5 entered the network via the activation of the L MFG.
6
7

8 The Bayesian model selection [28] was performed to test which of the two possible
9
10 DCM models, including the previous areas, fitted better the data of the two study groups.
11
12 The first DCM model (M1) assumed a bilinear interconnection between all the above
13
14 mentioned areas. The second (M2) was identical to the model previously proposed by Au
15
16 Duong et al. [19], and considered only the connections shown in Figure 1 as possible
17
18 connections. The comparison of the two models showed that the M2 model was superior to
19
20 M1 in both HC (Bayesian factor [BF] of M1 = 9.001×10^{-66} vs. BF of M2 = 1.111×10^{65}) and
21
22 CIS patients (BF of M1 = 1.705×10^{-11} vs. BF of M2 = 1.132×10^{112}). As a consequence, the
23
24 intrinsic connectivity coefficients of M2 were estimated for each subject using a Bayesian
25
26 approach.
27
28
29
30

31 A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to examine inter-group
32
33 differences in the strength of connectivity of the previously estimated DCM coefficients.
34
35
36
37

38 Results

39 Demographic, clinical, neuropsychological and conventional MRI findings

40
41 Table 1 summarizes the main demographic, clinical and conventional MRI findings
42
43 from CIS patients as well as their performance at PASAT. Table 2 presents the main
44
45 demographic, neuropsychological, and conventional MRI characteristics of the three study
46
47 groups.
48
49
50

51 EDSS scores ($p = 0.001$) and T2 LL ($p = 0.03$) were significantly different between
52
53 CP and CI patients. CI patients did more errors at the behavioral PASAT task compared to
54
55 HC ($p = 0.04$) and CP patients ($p = 0.001$). Similar results were obtained when analyzing
56
57 the fMRI PASAT test ($p = 0.04$ vs. HC, and $p = 0.002$ vs. CP patients).
58
59
60

fMRI findings

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

a) *Within-group activations.* The results of within-group activations during the PASAT are summarized in Table 3 and illustrated in Figure 2. Both HC and CIS patients showed a recruitment of several areas in the frontal and parietal lobes, bilaterally, as well as areas that are part of the working memory network, bilaterally.

b) *Between-group comparisons.* During PASAT, compared to HC, CIS patients experienced a more significant recruitment of the bilateral IPL, bilateral precuneus, bilateral MFG, left ACC, left claustrum, right thalamus and right caudate nucleus. When CIS patients were analyzed according to their PASAT performance, the CI group had a more significant activation of the bilateral IPL than HC and CP patients. Compared to CP patients, they also had a more significant activation of the right superior parietal lobe, right cerebellum, left MFG and left ACC (Table 4, Figure 3). No areas were significantly more activated in CP patients *vs.* HC and CI patients.

c) *Analysis of effective connectivity.* Inter-group differences in the strength of connectivity coefficients are summarized in Table 5 and Figure 1. Compared to both HC and CP patients, CI patients had an increased connectivity between the: 1) R IFG and R IPL ($p = 0.002$ *vs.* HC, and $p = 0.0005$ *vs.* CP patients), 2) L IPL and R IPL ($p = 0.008$ *vs.* HC, and $p = 0.0005$ *vs.* CP patients), and 3) R IPL and R IFG ($p = 0.003$ *vs.* HC, and $p = 0.01$ *vs.* CP patients). Compared to CP, CI patients also experienced an increased connectivity between the: R ACC and R IPL ($p = 0.009$), and R MFG and R IFG ($p = 0.01$).

Discussion

This study extends our knowledge about the functional changes which can occur in patients at presentation with a CIS suggestive of MS. To detect subtle changes in cognitive

1
2
3 functions, we used an adapted version of the PASAT, a task that assesses information
4
5 processing speed and working memory, since these functions are known to be altered in
6
7 these patients [5].
8
9

10
11 In line with the results of previous studies [9,10,12], when compared to HC, CIS
12
13 patients showed an increased recruitment of several regions mainly located in the frontal
14
15 and parietal lobes, bilaterally. All of these regions contribute to different aspects of
16
17 working memory processing and the majority of them have been demonstrated to have a
18
19 load-dependent activity during working memory tasks [29,30].
20
21

22
23 Notably, when CIS patients were subdivided into CI and CP according to their
24
25 PASAT performance, significant differences emerged between the study groups, not only
26
27 in the patterns of cortical recruitment, but also in the strengths of functional connections
28
29 among different brain areas. Compared to the other two groups, CI patients experienced an
30
31 increased recruitment of areas located in the IPL, bilaterally, which are known to be
32
33 involved in the maintenance and manipulation of a working memory information [31].
34
35 Compared to CP patients, they also experienced an additional recruitment of regions
36
37 located in the fronto-parietal lobes and cerebellum, which is known to be involved in high-
38
39 level cognitive functions and conflict adaptation [32]. It is worth noting that, in order to be
40
41 able to detect early markers of cognitive dysfunction in CIS, we defined as CI those
42
43 patients with 1 SD difference in PASAT performance with respect to the mean of HC
44
45 (while the cut-off usually applied is 2 or 1.5 SD). Our results indicate that, even in patients
46
47 with such a subclinical impairment, an increased pattern of cortical recruitment does occur,
48
49 probably reflecting an adaptive mechanism likely contributing to limit the clinical
50
51 consequences of disease-related tissue injury.
52
53
54
55
56

57
58 The analysis of effective connectivity, which was based on the model of brain
59
60 interconnections for PASAT performance previously proposed by Au Duong et al. [19],

1
2
3 allowed us to characterize better the pattern of fMRI abnormalities in CIS patients. In
4
5 particular, compared to CP, CI patients experienced stronger connections between the R
6
7 MFG and R IFG and between the R ACC and R IPL. They also had an increased
8
9 connectivity between the R IFG and R IPL and between the L and R IPL compared to both
10
11 CP patients and healthy controls, thus indicating an increased task demand for the R
12
13 hemisphere in these patients. The notion that an increased recruitment of areas of the R
14
15 hemisphere has a critical role for cognitive performance of MS patients agrees with
16
17 previous activation [33,9,34] and connectivity [14] studies performed in patients with CIS
18
19 and RRMS, as well as with several studies of the motor network (for a review, see Filippi
20
21 and Rocca [36], which showed that a recruitment of homologous regions of the two
22
23 hemispheres is one of the main compensatory mechanisms, at least at the earliest clinical
24
25 phases of the disease. Differently from previous studies, which found abnormalities of
26
27 brain recruitment in patients without overt clinical impairment [37, 38] our analysis did
28
29 not reveal any abnormality of activation and connectivity between CP CIS patients and
30
31 HC. Clearly, we can not exclude that our study was underpowered to detect such a
32
33 difference, considering the relatively small size of this group.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 In this study, we carefully selected a cohort of *de novo* CIS patients that were
42
43 studied within two months from the diagnosis. Even using such a strict criterion,
44
45 differences among CIS patients were found using fMRI. This is in line with the results of a
46
47 previous study of the motor system [38] which showed an increased recruitment of several
48
49 areas of the motor network in CIS patients assessed within three months from the onset of
50
51 the clinical symptomatology. Interestingly, compared to CP, CI patients also had a higher
52
53 EDSS score and T2 lesion load. These results strengthen the notion that CIS patients are a
54
55 heterogeneous population and call for a comprehensive characterization of functional and
56
57 structural MRI abnormalities in patients at disease onset also in the perspective of
58
59
60

1
2
3 identifying those patients at a higher risk of evolution to definite MS. Indeed, a seminal
4
5 study showed that an abnormal pattern of cortical recruitment at disease onset could
6
7 contribute to identify those CIS patients evolving to definite MS after one year [39].
8
9

10 In conclusion, our observations contribute further to support the notion of plasticity
11
12 processes in CIS patients, which might counteract the cognitive consequences of diffuse
13
14 tissue damage and allow, at least temporarily, a preservation of their cognitive capabilities.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

1
2
3 **Acknowledgments.** This research has been supported by the following grants: Alicia
4
5 Koplowitz Foundation; CSD2007-00012 (Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme), SEJ2007-
6
7 65929/PSIC and PSI2010-20168 from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education; the
8
9 Dávalos Foundation Grant and P1·1A2010-07 from Bancaixa.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

References

1. Filippi, M (2001) Non-conventional MR techniques to monitor the evolution of multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Sci.* 22: 195-200.
2. Chiaravalloti, ND, DeLuca, J. Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2008; 7:1139-51.
3. Feinstein, A, Kartsounis, LD, Miller, DH, Youl, BD, Ron, MA. Clinically isolated lesions of the type seen in multiple sclerosis: A cognitive, psychiatric, and MRI follow up study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992; 55:869-76.
4. Achiron, A, Barak, Y. Cognitive impairment in probable multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2003; 74:443-46.
5. Feuillet, L, Reuter, F, Audoin, B, Malikova, I, Barrau, K, Ali Cherif, A, et al. Early cognitive impairment in patients with clinically isolated syndrome suggestive of multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2007; 13:124-27.
6. Potagas, C Giogkaraki, E, Koutsis, G, Mandellos, D, Tsirempolou, E, Sfagos, C, et al. Cognitive impairment in different MS subtypes and clinically isolated syndromes. *J Neurol Sci* 2008; 267:100-106.
7. Calabrese, P. Neuropsychology of multiple sclerosis: An overview. *J Neurol.* 2006; Suppl 2:II18-21.
8. Zipoli, V, Goretti, B, Hakiki, B, Siracusa, G, Sorbi, S, Portaccio, E, et al. Cognitive impairment predicts conversion to multiple sclerosis in clinically isolated syndromes. *Mult Scler* 2010; 16:62-7.
9. Audoin, B, Ibarrola, D, Ranjeva, JP, Confort-Gouny, S, Malikova I, Ali-Chérif A, et al. Compensatory cortical activation observed by fMRI during a cognitive task at the earliest stage of MS. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2003; 20:51-8.

1
2
3 10. Forn, C, Barros-Loscertales, A, Escudero, J, Belloch, V, Campos, S, Parcet, MA et al.
4
5 Cortical reorganization during PASAT task in MS patients with preserved working
6
7 memory functions. *Neuroimage* 2006; 31:686-691.
8
9

10 11. Forn, C, Barros-Loscertales, A, Escudero, J, Benlloch, V, Campos, S, Parcet, MA, et
11
12 al. Compensatory activations in patients with multiple sclerosis during preserved
13
14 performance on the auditory n-back task. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2007; 28:424-430.
15
16

17 12. Audoin, B, Van Au Duong, M, Ranjeva, J, Ibarrola, D, Malikova, I, Confort-Gouny, S,
18
19 et al. Magnetic resonance study of the influence of tissue damage and cortical
20
21 reorganization on PASAT performance at the earliest stage of multiple sclerosis. *Hum*
22
23 *Brain Mapp* 2005; 24:216-28.
24
25

26 13. Filippi, M, Rocca, MA, Mezzapesa, DM, Ghezzi, A, Falini, A, Martinelli, V, et al.
27
28 Simple and complex movement-associated functional MRI changes in patients at
29
30 presentation with clinically isolated syndromes suggestive of multiple sclerosis. *Hum*
31
32 *Brain Mapp* 2004; 2:108-17.
33
34

35 14. Cader, S, Cifelli, A, Abu-Omar, Y, Palace, J, Matthews, PM. Reduced brain functional
36
37 reserve and altered functional connectivity in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 2006;
38
39 129:527-37.
40
41

42 15. Bonzano,L, Pardini, M, Mancardi, GL, Pizzorno, M, Roccatagliata, L. Structural
43
44 connectivity influences brain activation during PVSAT in multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage*
45
46 2009; 44:9-15.
47
48

49 16. Dineen, RA, Vilisaar, J, Hlinka, J, Bradshaw, CM, Morgan, PS, Constantinescu, CS, et
50
51 al. Disconnection as a mechanism for cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. *Brain*
52
53 2009; 132:239-49.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 17. Rocca, MA, Absinta, M, Valsasina, P, Ciccarelli, O, Marino, S, Rovira, A, et al.
4
5 Abnormal connectivity of the sensorimotor network in patients with MS: A multicenter
6
7 fMRI study. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2009; 30:2412-25.
8
9
10 18. Bonnet, MC, Allard, M, Dilharreguy, B, Deloire, M, Petry, KG, Brochet, B. Cognitive
11
12 compensation failure in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2010; 75:1241-48.
13
14
15 19. Au Duong, MV, Boulanouar, K, Audoin, B, Treseras, S, Ibarrola, D, Malikova, I, et al.
16
17 Modulation of effective connectivity inside the working memory network in patients at the
18
19 earliest stage of multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage* 2005; 24:533-38.
20
21
22 20. Montalban, X, Tintoré, M, Swanton, J, Barkhof, F, Fazekas, F, Filippi, M, et al. MRI
23
24 criteria for MS in patients with clinically isolated syndromes. *Neurology* 2010; 74:427-34.
25
26
27 21. Polman, CH, Reingold, SC, Edan, G, Filippi, M, Hartung, HP, Kappos, L. et al.
28
29 Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2005 revisions to the "McDonald Criteria." *Ann*
30
31 *Neurol.* 2005; 58: 840- 46.
32
33
34 22. Charil, A, Yousry, TA, Rovaris, M, Barkhof, F, De Stefano, N, Fazekas, F, et al. MRI
35
36 and the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: Expanding the concept of "no better explanation".
37
38 *Lancet Neurol* 2006; 5:841-52.
39
40
41 23. Kurtzke, JF Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: An expanded disability
42
43 status scale (EDSS). *Neurology* 1983; 33:1444-52.
44
45
46 24. Sepulcre, J, Vannotti, S, Hernández, R, Sandoval, G, Cáceres, F, Garcea, O, et al.
47
48 Cognitive impairment in patients with multiple sclerosis using the brief repeatable battery-
49
50 neuropsychology test. *Mult Scler* 2006; 12:187-95.
51
52
53 25. Wechsler, D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III. Madrid, Spain: TEA; 2001.
54
55
56 26. Friston, KJ, Penny, W, David, O. Modeling brain responses. *Int. Rev. Neurobiology*
57
58 2005; 66:89-124.
59
60

- 1
2
3 27. Friston, KJ, Harrison, L, Penny, W. Dynamic causal modelling. *Neuroimage* 2003;
4 19:1273-1302.
5
6
7
8 28. Penny, WD, Stephan, KE, Mechelli, A, Friston, KJ. Comparing dynamic causal
9 models. *Neuroimage* 2004; 22:1157-72.
10
11
12 29. Braver, TS, Cohen, JD, Nystrom, LE, Jonides, J, Smith, EE, Noll, DC. A parametric
13 study of prefrontal cortex involvement in human working memory. *Neuroimage*, 1997, 5:
14 49-62.
15
16
17
18
19 30. Cohen, J.D., Perlstein, WM., Braver, TS, Nystrom, LE, Noll, DC, Jonides, J, Smith,
20 EE. Temporal dynamics of brain activation during a working memory task. *Nature*, 1997;
21 386: 604–608.
22
23
24
25
26
27 31. Collette, F, Van der Linden, M. Brain imaging of the central executive component of
28 working memory. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2002; 26:105–125.
29
30
31 32. Akshoomoff, NA, Courchesne, E. A new role for the cerebellum in cognitive
32 operations. *Behav Neurosci*, 1992; 106: 731-738.
33
34
35
36 33. Staffen, W, Mair, A, Zauner, H, Unterrainer, J, Niederhofer, H, Kutzelnigg, A, Ritter,
37 S, Golaszewski, S, Iglseder, B, Lauder, G. Cognitive function and fMRI in patients with
38 multiple sclerosis: evidence for compensatory cortical activation during attention task.
39 *Brain* 2002; 125: 1275-1282.
40
41
42 34. Hillary, FG, Chiaravalloti, ND, Ricker, JH, Steffener, J, Bly, BM, Lange, G, Liu, WC,
43 Kalnin, AJ, DeLuca, J. An investigation of working memory rehearsal in multiple sclerosis
44 using fMRI. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*; 2003; 25:965-978.
45
46
47
48
49 35. Filippi, M, Rocca, MA. Cortical reorganization in patients with MS. *J Neurol*
50 *Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004; 75: 1087-1089.
51
52
53
54
55 36. Rocca, MA, Matthews, PM, Caputo, D, Ghezzi, A, Falini, A, Scotti, G, Comi, G,
56 Filippi, M. Evidence for widespread movement-associated functional MRI changes in
57 patients with PPMS. *Neurology*. 2002; 5: 866-872.
58
59
60

1
2
3 38. Filippi, M, Rocca, MA, Mezzapesa, DM, Ghezzi, A, Falini, A, Martinelli, V, Scotti, G,
4 Comi, G. Simple and complex movement-associated functional MRI changes in patients at
5 presentation with clinically isolated síndromes suggestive of multiple sclerosis. Hum Brain
6 Mapp. 2004; 21: 108-117.
7
8
9

10
11
12 39. Rocca, MA, Mezzapesa, DM, Ghezzi, A, Falini, A, Martinelli, V, Scotti, G, et al. A
13 widespread pattern of cortical activations in patients at presentation with clinically isolated
14 symptoms is associated with evolution to definite multiple sclerosis. Am J Neuroradiol
15 2005; 26:1136-39.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Individual characteristics of patients at presentation with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) suggestive of multiple sclerosis (MS).

Patient	Age	Clinical syndrome	EDSS score	T ₂ lesion load mm ³	PASAT score
1	41	Hemispheric	0	1495	58
2	23	Spinal cord syndrome	3.5	9628	45
3	23	Spinal cord syndrome	2.0	2153	45
4	34	Hemispheric	0	203	49
5	32	Hemispheric	2.0	220	45
6	25	Spinal cord syndrome	0	336	52
7	28	Hemispheric	0	688	49
8	18	Brainstem syndrome	1.5	1106	56
9	41	Optic neuritis	1.0	615	49
10	45	Optic neuritis	1.5	230	39
11	28	Optic neuritis	1.5	7825	34
12	38	Brainstem syndrome	1.5	1911	39
13	29	Brainstem syndrome	2.0	5367	35
14	31	Brainstem syndrome	1.5	3498	45
15	38	Spinal cord syndrome	2.0	2707	34
16	47	Spinal cord syndrome	1.5	277	49
17	28	Spinal cord syndrome	1.0	379	35
18	43	Optic neuritis	1.0	210	50

List of abbreviations: EDSS=Expanded Disability Status Scale; PASAT=Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test.

Table 2. Main demographic, clinical, neuropsychological and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) characteristics of the three study groups.

Variable	HC (n=15)	CP patients (n=8)	CI patients (n=10)	Group effect
Mean Age (SD) (years)	32.3 (7.2)	34.9 (10.9)	31.5 (7.01)	F= 0.4, p=0.66
Median EDSS (range)	-	0.5 (0-1.5)	1.75 (1.0-3.5)	-
Educational level (SD) (years)	12.9 (2.5)	12.6 (2.9)	12.5 (2.1)	F= 0.06, p=0.93
Matrix (WAIS III) (SD)	18.6 (1.8)	19.5 (2.7)	18.6 (3.2)	F=0.34, p=0.7
SRT long term storage (SD)	46.6 (9.0)	44.1 (11.2)	52.8 (9.7)	F= 2.91, p=0.06
SRT consistence long term retrieval (SD)	37.1 (8.0)	40.2 (13.2)	42.8 (14.1)	F=0.74, p=0.48
SRT delayed recall (SD)	8.0 (1.4)	8.8 (1.7)	9.4 (1.8)	F=2.02, p=0.14
10/36 SPART long term storage (SD)	21.7 (3.9)	21.5 (5.1)	20.6 (3.4)	F= 0.24, p=0.78
10/36 SPART delayed recall (SD)	8.2 (1.9)	7.7 (2.4)	7.7 (1.3)	F= 0.25, p=0.77
SDMT (SD)	58.9 (10.8)	56.1 (12.3)	49.4 (10.6)	F=2.18, p=0.12
WLGT (SD)	25.6 (7.1)	25.2 (4.4)	22.6 (4.5)	F= 0.85, p=0.43
PASAT 3 seconds (SD)	46.1 (8.4)	51.8 (3.4)	40.2 (4.7)	F=6.62, p=0.004
BDI (SD)	4.1 (1.1)	5.5 (7.6)	5.8 (4.1)	F=0.36, p=0.69
PASAT fMRI correct responses	87.5 (10.5)	96.7 (2.37)	76.3 (19.81)	F=5.53, p=0.009
T2 lesion load mm ³ (SD)	-	615.1 (470.9)	3392.0 (3262.1)	F=5.63, p=0.03

List of abbreviations: HC= Healthy controls, CI=Cognitively impaired; CP=cognitively preserved; EDSS=Expanded Disability Status Scale; WAIS=Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III Adult; SRT=Selective reminding test; SPART=Spatial Recall test; SDMT=Symbol digit modalities test; WLGT=word list generation test; PASAT=Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test; BDI=Beck depression inventory; functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI).

Table 3. Areas significantly activated during the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT) in Healthy Controls (HC) and Clinically Isolated Syndromes (CIS) patients (one-sample t test in each group; $p < 0.001$, FDR-corrected, $k=20$).


MNI=Montreal Neurologic Institute.

HC				CIS patients			
MNI Coordinates	Anatomical localization	Cluster size	Voxel z score	MNI Coordinates	Anatomical localization	Cluster size	Voxel z score
				9 15 45	Right cingulated gyrus	2917	5.21
-9 12 48	Left medial frontal gyrus	2596	6.16	-9 18 45	Left cingulate gyrus		5.43
-51 15 30	Left middle frontal gyrus		5.89	27 -3 51	Right middle frontal gyrus		4.98
39 -30 -9	Right temporal lobe, subgyral	32	4.79	-6 9 48	Left medial frontal gyrus		5.21
-51 -30 -3	Left middle temporal gryus	47	3.88	-36 -6 42	Left precentral gyrus		5.10
30 -54 36	Right parietal lobe, subgyral	192	4.62	-36 36 21	Left middle frontal gyrus		5.05
			4.43	-45 15 6	Left inferior frontal gyrus		4.73
30 -54 48	Right inferior parietal lobe		4.43	18 -54 51	Right parietal lobe, precuneus		5.35
-27 -66 39	Left parietal lobe, precuneus	691	5.84	39 -39 39	Right inferior parietal lobe		5.27
-39 -45 42	Left inferior parietal lobe		5.70	-39 -42 42	Left inferior parietal lobe	948	5.93
27 -69 12	Right occipital lobe, subgyral	53	4.59	-24 -51 42	Left parietal lobe, subgyral		5.64
-30 -63 12	Left occipital lobe, subgyral	21	4.26	-9 -84 0	Left occipital lobe, lingual gyrus	112	4.27
12 -75 -21	Right cerebellum, decline	642	5.09	9 -72 -21	Right cerebellum, decline	352	4.93
-39 -66 -27	Left cerebellum, decline	42	4.47	-33 -63 -30	Left cerebellum, decline	38	4.60

Table 4. Areas showing significant activation differences between groups ($p < 0.05$, FDR-corrected), $k = 20$ voxels.


CIS vs. HC				
MNI Coordinates	Anatomical localization	Cluster size	Voxel z score	
-39 -42 41	Left inferior parietal lobe	377	5.15	
-24 -48 36	Left cingulate gyrus		4.29	
-18 -59 47	Left precuneus		3.97	
-24 -33 43	Left cingulate gyrus		3.88	
-27 -53 52	Left precuneus		3.50	
27 -50 52	Right precuneus	529	4.63	
42 -39 38	Right inferior parietal lobe		4.12	
15 -59 53	Right precuneus		3.67	
12 -20 15	Right thalamus		3.63	
-21 5 44	Left cingulate gyrus	100	4.56	
-27 18 5	Left claustrum	52	4.02	
21 18 18	Right caudate	34	4.01	
-45 2 41	Left middle frontal gyrus	46	3.98	
-45 25 26	Left middle frontal gyrus	34	3.97	
-50 19 27	Left middle frontal gyrus		3.85	
-6 17 43	Left medial frontal gyrus	28	3.82	
33 -3 47	Right middle frontal gyrus	31	3.74	
CI patients vs. HC				
33 -45 35	Right inferior parietal lobe	44	3.69	
-39 -45 38	Left inferior parietal lobe	36	3.61	
-45 -42 44	Left inferior parietal lobe		3.56	
CI patients vs. CP patients				
30 32 1	Right inferior frontal gyrus	22	4.79	
-39 -45 38	Left inferior parietal lobe	143	4.63	
33 -54 36	Right inferior parietal lobe	214	4.36	
33 -65 47	Right superior parietal lobe		4.26	
36 -42 35	Right inferior parietal lobe		3.59	
-36 42 23	Left middle frontal gyrus	25	3.83	
3 -53 -5	Right cerebellum, culmen	41	3.81	
-6 20 43	Left cingulate gyrus	35	3.76	

List of abbreviations: HC= Healthy controls; CI=Cognitively impaired; CP=cognitively preserved; MNI=Montreal Neurologic Institute.




A: Cognitive model of working memory used for dynamic causal modeling (DCM) analysis from Au Duong et al. [19]. B: Inter-group differences in effective connectivity in the working memory network during the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT). Dotted black lines show differences between cognitively impaired (CI) patients compared to cognitive preserved (CP) patients. Dashed black lines show differences between CI patients compared to both healthy controls (HC) and CP patients. See text for further results.

254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Areas showing activations during the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT) in healthy controls (A) and patients at presentation with a clinically isolated syndrome suggestive of multiple sclerosis (B). Both groups recruited regions located in the frontal and parietal lobes as well as areas that are part of the working memory network, bilaterally ($p < 0.001$ FDR-corrected, $k = 20$). Images are in neurological convention (left is left).
254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)



A: Areas showing increased activations in patients at presentation with a clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) compared to healthy controls (HC); B: cognitively impaired (CI) patients compared to cognitive preserved (CP) CIS patients; and C: CI patients compared to HC during the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT) ($p < 0.05$ FDR-corrected, $k = 20$). Images are in neurological convention (left is left)
254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)