

1 *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 329, No. 3-4, 2006, pp. 363-367

2
3 Particle Swarm Optimization Training Algorithm for ANNs in Stage Prediction of Shing
4 Mun River

5
6 K.W. Chau

7 Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
8 Hunghom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

9
10 **Abstract**

11 An accurate water stage prediction allows the pertinent authority to issue a forewarning of the
12 impending flood and to implement early evacuation measures when required. Existing
13 methods including rainfall-runoff modeling or statistical techniques entail exogenous input
14 together with a number of assumptions. The use of artificial neural networks (ANN) has been
15 shown to be a cost-effective technique. But their training, usually with back-propagation
16 algorithm or other gradient algorithms, is featured with certain drawbacks such as very slow
17 convergence and easy entrapment in a local minimum. In this paper, a particle swarm
18 optimization model is adopted to train perceptrons. The approach is applied to predict water
19 levels in Shing Mun River of Hong Kong with different lead times on the basis of the
20 upstream gauging stations or stage/time history at the specific station. It is shown that the
21 PSO technique can act as an alternative training algorithm for ANNs.

22
23 **Introduction**

24
25 Flooding is a type of natural disaster that has been occurring, but can only be mitigated rather
26 than completely solved. Prediction of river stages becomes an important research topic in
27 hydrologic engineering. An accurate water stage prediction allows the pertinent authority to
28 issue a forewarning of the impending flood and to implement early evacuation measures
29 when required. Currently, environmental prediction and modeling includes a variety of
30 approaches, such as rainfall-runoff modeling or statistical techniques such as autoregressive
31 moving-average models (Box et al., 1976), which entail exogenous input together with a
32 number of assumptions. Conventional numerical modeling addresses the physical problem by
33 solving a highly coupled, non-linear, partial differential equation set. However, physical
34 processes affecting flooding occurrence are highly complex and uncertain, and are difficult to
35 be captured in some form of deterministic or statistical model.

36
37 During the past decade, artificial neural networks (ANNs), and in particular, feed forward
38 backward propagation perceptrons, were widely applied in different fields (Chau and Cheng,

39 2002). It was claimed that the multi-layer perceptrons can be trained with non-linear transfers
40 to approximate and accurately generalize virtually any smooth, measurable function whilst
41 taking no prior assumptions concerning the data distribution (Rumelhart et al., 1986). Several
42 characteristics, including built-in dynamism in forecasting, data-error tolerance, and lack of
43 requirements of any exogenous input, render ANNs attractive for use in river stage prediction
44 in hydrologic engineering. Thirumalaiah and Deo (1998) depicted the use of a conjugate
45 gradient ANN in real-time forecasting of water levels, with verification of untrained data.
46 Liang et al. (2000) demonstrated that a feed forward ANN is a highly suitable flow prediction
47 tool yielding a very high degree of water level prediction accuracy in Bangladesh. Luk et al.
48 (2000) studied optimal model lag and spatial inputs to artificial neural network for rainfall
49 forecasting. Lekkas et al. (2001) compared ANNs with transfer functions in a flow routing
50 application. Balkhair (2002) determined aquifer parameters for large diameter wells using
51 neural network approach. Bazartseren et al. (2003) showed that both ANN and neuro-fuzzy
52 systems outperformed the linear statistical models for short-term water level predictions on
53 two different river reaches in Germany. Riad et al. (2004) developed and used a multilayer
54 perceptron ANN to model the rainfall-runoff relationship, in a catchment located in a
55 semiarid climate in Morocco. Sarangi and Bhattacharya (2005) compared several ANN and
56 regression models for sediment loss prediction from Banha watershed in India. Although the
57 back propagation (BP) algorithm is commonly used in recent years to perform the training
58 task, some drawbacks are often encountered in the use of this gradient-based method. They
59 include: the training convergence speed is very slow and easy entrapment in a local minimum.
60 Haykin (1999) discussed several data-driven optimization training algorithms, such as
61 Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm and scaled conjugate gradient algorithm, which may
62 overcome these drawbacks. Rogers et al. (1995) used the genetic algorithm for optimal
63 field-scale groundwater remediation together with ANN. Kumar et al. (2004) employed the
64 Bayesian regularization for neural network training in order to improve the performance in
65 pulse radar detection. The PSO technique can act as an alternative training algorithm for
66 ANNs that can be used for hydrologic applications.

67
68 Particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm, with capability to optimize complex numerical
69 functions, is initially developed as a tool for modeling social behavior (Kennedy and Eberhart,
70 1995 and Kennedy, 1997). Moreover, it is recognized as an evolutionary technique under the
71 domain of computational intelligence (Clerc and Kennedy, 2002). In this paper, a PSO-based
72 neural network approach for river stage prediction is developed by adopting PSO to train
73 multi-layer perceptrons. It is then used to predict real-time water levels in the Shing Mun
74 River of Hong Kong with different lead times on the basis of the upstream gauging stations or
75 stage/time history at the specific station.

76

77 **Multi-layer Feed-forward Perceptron**

78

79 A multi-layer feed-forward perceptron represents a nonlinear mapping between input vector
80 and output vector through a system of simple interconnected neurons to every node in the
81 next and previous layer (Rumelhart et al., 1986). The output of a neuron is scaled by the
82 connecting weight and fed forward to become an input through a nonlinear activation
83 function to the neurons in the next layer of network. In the course of training, the perceptron
84 is repeatedly presented with the training data. The weights in the network are then adjusted
85 until the errors between the target and the predicted outputs are small enough, or a
86 pre-determined number of epochs is passed. The perceptron is then validated by an input
87 vector not belonging to the training pairs. The training processes of ANN are usually
88 complex and high dimensional problems.

89

90 **Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)**

91

92 Lying somewhere between evolutionary programming and genetic algorithms, PSO is an
93 optimization paradigm that mimics the ability of human societies to process knowledge. It
94 has roots in two main component methodologies: artificial life (such as bird flocking, fish
95 schooling and swarming); and, evolutionary computation (Clerc and Kennedy, 2002).

96

97 *PSO Algorithm*

98 The principle of PSO algorithm is founded on the assumption that potential solutions will be
99 flown through hyperspace with acceleration towards more optimum solutions. It is a
100 populated search method for optimization of nonlinear functions resembling the movement of
101 organisms in a bird flock or fish school. Candidate solutions to the problem are termed
102 particles or individuals. Instead of employing genetic operators, the evolution of generations
103 of a population of these individuals in such a system is by cooperation and competition
104 among the individuals themselves. In essence, each particle adjusts its flying based on the
105 flying experiences of both itself and its companions. During the process, it keeps track of its
106 coordinates in hyperspace which are associated with its previous best fitness solution, and
107 also of its counterpart corresponding to the overall best value acquired thus far by any other
108 particle in the population.

109

110 In the algorithm, vectors are taken as representation of particles since most optimization
111 problems are convenient for such variable presentations. The population is responding to the
112 quality factors of the previous best individual values and the previous best group values. The
113 allocation of responses between the individual and group values ensures a diversity of
114 response. Its major advantages are the relatively simple and computationally inexpensive

115 coding and its adaptability corresponding to the change of the best group value. The
 116 stochastic PSO algorithm has been found to be able to find the global optimum with a large
 117 probability and high convergence rate (Clerc and Kennedy, 2002). Hence, it is adopted to
 118 train the multi-layer perceptrons, within which matrices learning problems are dealt with.

119

120 *Adaptation to Network Training*

121 A three-layered preceptron is chosen for this application case. Here, $W^{[1]}$ and $W^{[2]}$ represent
 122 the connection weight matrix between the input layer and the hidden layer, and that between
 123 the hidden layer and the output layer, respectively. When a PSO is employed to train the
 124 multi-layer preceptrons, the i -th particle is denoted by

$$W_i = \{W_i^{[1]}, W_i^{[2]}\} \quad (1)$$

125

126 The position representing the previous best fitness value of any particle is recorded and
 127 denoted by

$$P_i = \{P_i^{[1]}, P_i^{[2]}\} \quad (2)$$

128

129 If, among all the particles in the current population, the index of the best particle is
 130 represented by the symbol b , then the best matrix is denoted by

$$P_b = \{P_b^{[1]}, P_b^{[2]}\} \quad (3)$$

131

132 The velocity of particle i is denoted by

$$V_i = \{V_i^{[1]}, V_i^{[2]}\} \quad (4)$$

133

134 If m and n represent the index of matrix row and column, respectively, the manipulation of
 135 the particles are as follows

$$V_i^{[j]}(m, n) = V_i^{[j]}(m, n) + \{r\alpha[P_i^{[j]}(m, n) - W_i^{[j]}(m, n)] + s\beta[P_b^{[j]}(m, n) - W_i^{[j]}(m, n)]\}/t \quad (5)$$

136 and

$$W_i^{[j]} = W_i^{[j]} + V_i^{[j]}t \quad (6)$$

137 where $j = 1, 2$; $m = 1, \dots, M_j$; $n = 1, \dots, N_j$; M_j and N_j are the row and column sizes of the
 138 matrices W , P , and V ; r and s are positive constants; α and β are random numbers in the
 139 range from 0 to 1; t is the time step between observations and is often taken as unity; V'' and
 140 W'' represent the new values. Equation (5) is employed to compute the new velocity of the
 141 particle based on its previous velocity and the distances of its current position from the best
 142 experiences both in its own and as a group. In the context of the social behavior, the
 143 cognition part, i.e., the second element on the right hand side of equation (5), represents the
 144 private thinking of the particle itself whilst the social part, i.e., the third element on the right
 145 hand side of equation (5), denotes the collaboration among the particles as a group. Equation
 146 (6) then determines the new position according to the new velocity.

147
 148 The fitness of the i -th particle is expressed in term of an output mean squared error of the
 149 neural networks as follows

$$f(W_i) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{k=1}^S \left[\sum_{l=1}^O \{t_{kl} - p_{kl}(W_i)\}^2 \right] \quad (7)$$

150 where f is the fitness value, t_{kl} is the target output; p_{kl} is the predicted output based on W_i ; S is
 151 the number of training set samples; and, O is the number of output neurons.

152

153 **The Study Area**

154

155 The model is applied to study the potential flood hazards in the Shing Mun River network,
 156 Hong Kong. Details regarding the location map of the Shing Mun River and its tributary
 157 nullahs can be found in Chau and Lee (1991a and 1991b) and Chau and Chen (2001). The
 158 main conveyance channel is of trapezoidal shape with side slope of 1 in 1.5 along most length.
 159 The three minor streams, i.e., the Tin Sam, Fo Tan and Siu Lek Yuen nullahs, form tributaries
 160 of the river. Surface water from an extensive catchment with an area of approximately 5200
 161 ha flows into Sha Tin Hoi via the Shing Mun River. The maximum daily runoff as a
 162 percentage of the annual flow is typically less than 5% (Chau and Lee, 1991a & 1991b).

163

164 In this study, water levels at Fo Tan are forecasted with a lead time of 1 and 2 days based on
 165 the measured daily levels there and at the upstream station (Tin Sam) with a distance about 2
 166 km apart. The data available at these locations pertain to continuous stages from 1999 to 2002,
 167 in the form of daily water levels. The first two years' data are used for training whilst the
 168 final year data are used to validate the network results. It is ensured that the data series
 169 chosen for training and validation comprised both high and low discharge periods of the year
 170 and also rapid changes in water stages.

171

172 Two separate models are developed. The perceptron has an input layer with one neuron, a

173 hidden layer with three neurons, and output layer with one neuron. Similar to Thirumalaiah
174 and Deo (1998), the input neuron represents the water stage at the current day whilst the
175 output node denotes the water stage after 1 day or 2 days. This approach is found to improve
176 the results than its counterpart when the output layer has two neurons with both 1-day and
177 2-days ahead forecast. During the training stage, the single input neuron represents time
178 series information of water stages. The number of nodes in the hidden layer is set by trial and
179 error during the course of training to whatever size leads to the most accurate predictions.

180

181 20,000 training epochs are adopted as the stopping criteria. The sigmoid function is adopted
182 at the hidden and output nodes. All source data are normalized into the range between 0 and 1,
183 by using the maximum and minimum values of the variable over the whole data sets. In the
184 PSO-based perceptron, the number of population is set to be 40 whilst the maximum and
185 minimum velocity values are 0.25 and -0.25 respectively. These values are obtained by trial
186 and error. In order to evaluate the performance of the model in longer-term forecast, a third
187 model with 7-days ahead forecast is also tried.

188

189 **Results and Discussions**

190

191 The PSO-based multi-layer ANN is evaluated along with a commonly used standard
192 BP-based network. In order to furnish a comparable initial state, the training process of the
193 BP-based perceptron commences from the best initial population of the corresponding
194 PSO-based perceptron. Three goodness-of-fit measures, namely, the coefficient of efficiency
195 (R^2), which is $1 - \frac{\text{sum of squared errors}}{\text{total sum of squares}}$, root mean
196 squared error (RMSE) and mean relative error (MRE) are adopted to evaluate the model
197 performance. Table 1 and Table 2 show comparisons of the results of network for the two
198 different perceptrons based on data at the same station and at different station, respectively. It
199 can be observed that the PSO-based perceptron exhibits better performance in the training
200 process as well as better prediction ability in the validation process than those by the
201 BP-based perceptron. Moreover, forecasting at Fo Tan made by using the data collected at the
202 upstream station (Tin Sam) is generally better compared to the data collected at the same
203 location. This can possibly be explained by the lead time required for the flow to travel from
204 upstream section to downstream section and the correlation between the water stages at the
205 two locations.

206

207 **Conclusions**

208

209 This paper presents a PSO-based perceptron approach for real-time prediction of water stage
210 in a river with different lead times on the basis of the upstream gauging stations or stage/time

211 history at the specific station. It is shown from the training and verification simulation that
212 the water stage prediction results are more accurate when compared with the commonly used
213 BP-based perceptron. Moreover, forecasting at Fo Tan made by using the data collected at the
214 upstream station is generally better compared to the data collected at the same location. The
215 initial result shows that the PSO technique can act as an alternative training algorithm for
216 ANNs that can be used for hydrologic applications. Since it might not be able to draw
217 concrete conclusions from this pilot study, more rigorous testing on more complex problems
218 will be performed in future works.

219

220 **Acknowledgement**

221

222 This research was supported by the Central Research Grant of Hong Kong Polytechnic
223 University (G-T592).

224

225 **References**

226

227 Balkhair, K.S., Aquifer parameters determination for large diameter wells using neural
228 network approach. *Journal of Hydrology* **265(1-4)** (2002) 118-128

229

230 Bazartseren, B., Hildebrandt, G., Holz, K. -P., Short-term water level prediction using neural
231 networks and neuro-fuzzy approach. *Neurocomputing* **55(3-4)** (2003) 439-450

232

233 Box, G.E.P., Jenkins, G.M., *Time Series Analysis Forecasting and Control*. Holden-Day, San
234 Francisco (1976)

235

236 Chau, K.W., Chen, W., A Fifth Generation Numerical Modelling System in Coastal Zone.
237 *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **25(10)** (2001) 887-900

238

239 Chau, K.W., Cheng, C.T., Real-time Prediction of Water Stage with Artificial Neural
240 Network Approach. *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, **2557** (2002) 715-715

241

242 Chau, K.W., Lee, J.H.W., Mathematical Modelling of Shing Mun River Network. *Advances in*
243 *Water Resources* **14(3)** (1991a) 106-112

244

245 Chau, K.W., Lee, J.H.W., A Microcomputer Model for Flood Prediction with Applications.
246 *Microcomputers in Civil Engineering* **6(2)** (1991b) 109-121

247

248 Clerc, M., Kennedy, J., The Particle Swarm—Explosion, Stability, and Convergence in a

249 Multidimensional Complex Space. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* **6(1)**
 250 (2002) 58-73
 251
 252 Haykin, S., *Neural Networks, a Comprehensive Foundation*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River
 253 (1999)
 254
 255 Kennedy, J., Eberhart, R., *Particle Swarm Optimization*. Proceedings of the 1995 IEEE
 256 International Conference on Neural Networks. Perth (1995) 1942-1948
 257
 258 Kennedy, J., *The Particle Swarm: Social Adaptation of Knowledge*. Proceedings of the 1997
 259 International Conference on Evolutionary Computation. Indianapolis (1997) 303-308
 260
 261 Kumar, P., Merchant, S.N., Desai, U.B., Improving performance in pulse radar detection
 262 using Bayesian regularization for neural network training. *Digital Signal Processing* **14(5)**
 263 (2004) 438-448
 264
 265 Lekkas, D.F., Imrie, C.E., Lees, M.J., Improved Non-Linear Transfer Function and Neural
 266 Network Methods of Flow Routing for Real-Time Forecasting. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*
 267 **3(3)** (2001) 153-164
 268
 269 Liong, S.Y., Lim, W.H., Paudyal, G.N., River Stage Forecasting in Bangladesh: Neural
 270 Network Approach. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, ASCE* **14(1)** (2000) 1-8
 271
 272 Luk, K.C., Ball, J. E., Sharma, A., A study of optimal model lag and spatial inputs to
 273 artificial neural network for rainfall forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology* **227(1-4)** 2000 56-65
 274
 275 Riad, S., Mania, J., Bouchaou, L., Najjar, Y., Rainfall-runoff model using an artificial neural
 276 network approach. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **40(7-8)** (2004) 839-846
 277
 278 Rogers, L.L., Dowla, F.U., Johnson, V.M., Optimal Field-Scale Groundwater Remediation
 279 Using Neural Networks and the Genetic Algorithm. *Environmental Science and Technology*
 280 **29(5)** (1995) 1145-1155
 281
 282 Rumelhart, D.E., Hinton, E., Williams, J., Learning Internal Representation by Error
 283 Propagation. *Parallel Distributed Processing* **1** (1986) 318-362
 284
 285 Sarangi, A., Bhattacharya, A.K., Comparison of Artificial Neural Network and regression
 286 models for sediment loss prediction from Banha watershed in India. *Agricultural Water*

- 287 Management **78(3)** (2005) 195-208
- 288
- 289 Thirumalaiah, K., Deo, M.C., River Stage Forecasting Using Artificial Neural Networks.
- 290 Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, ASCE **3(1)** (1998) 26-32

291 **Table 1.** Results for forecasting at Fo Tan based on data at the same station

292

Algorithm	Lead time (days)	Training			Validation		
		Goodness-of-fit Measure					
		R ²	RMSE	MRE	R ²	RMSE	MRE
BP-based	1	0.96	0.16	0.09	0.96	0.21	0.12
	2	0.93	0.24	0.15	0.92	0.29	0.24
	7	0.89	0.35	0.27	0.88	0.43	0.38
PSO-based	1	0.99	0.08	0.04	0.99	0.12	0.06
	2	0.99	0.14	0.07	0.98	0.16	0.09
	7	0.95	0.25	0.18	0.92	0.32	0.21

293

294

295 **Table 2.** Results for forecasting at Fo Tan based on data at Tin Sam (upstream of Fo Tan)

296

Algorithm	Lead time (days)	Training			Validation		
		Goodness-of-fit Measure					
		R ²	RMSE	MRE	R ²	RMSE	MRE
BP-based	1	0.97	0.14	0.07	0.96	0.16	0.10
	2	0.94	0.21	0.12	0.93	0.24	0.20
	7	0.91	0.30	0.22	0.89	0.41	0.32
PSO-based	1	0.99	0.07	0.04	0.99	0.09	0.05
	2	0.99	0.11	0.06	0.98	0.14	0.08
	7	0.96	0.22	0.16	0.93	0.29	0.18

297