## 九州工業大学学術機関リポジトリ

Kyushu Institute of Technology Academic Repository

| Title | Relativistic effects in neutron－deuteron elastic scattering |
| :---: | :--- |
| Author（s） | Witala，H；Golak，J；Glockle，W；Kamada，Hiroyuki |
| Issue Date | 2005 |
| URL | http：／hdl．handle．net／10228／669 |
| Rights | Copyright © 2005 A merican Physical Society |

# Relativistic effects in neutron-deuteron elastic scattering 

H. Witała and J. Golak<br>M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, PL-30059 Kraków, Poland<br>W. Glöckle<br>Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany<br>H. Kamada<br>Department of Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan

(Received 16 December 2004; published 19 May 2005)


#### Abstract

We solved the three-nucleon ( $3 N$ ) Faddeev equation including relativistic features at incoming neutron lab energies $E_{n}^{\text {lab }}=28,65,135$, and 250 MeV . Those features are relativistic kinematics, boost effects and Wigner spin rotations. As dynamical input a relativistic nucleon-nucleon ( $N N$ ) interaction exactly on-shell equivalent to the AV18 NN potential has been used. The effects of Wigner rotations for elastic scattering observables were found to be small. The boost effects are significant at higher energies. They diminish the transition matrix elements at higher energies and lead in spite of the increased relativistic phase-space factor as compared to the nonrelativistic one to rather small effects in the cross section, which are mostly restricted to the backward angles.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

High precision nucleon-nucleon $(N N)$ potentials such as AV18 [1], CDBonn [2], Nijm I, II, and 93 [3] describe the $N N$ data set up to about 350 MeV very well. When these forces are used to predict binding energies of three-nucleon ( $3 N$ ) systems they underestimate the experimental bindings of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ by about $0.5-1 \mathrm{MeV}[4,5]$. This missing binding energy can be cured by introducing a three-nucleon force (3NF) into the nuclear Hamiltonian [5].

The study of elastic nucleon-deuteron ( $N d$ ) scattering and nucleon induced deuteron breakup revealed a number of cases where the nonrelativistic description based on pairwise forces only is insufficient to explain the data. Generally, the studied discrepancies between a theory based on $N N$ potentials only and experiment become larger with increasing energy of the $3 N$ system. Adding now a 3 NF to the pairwise interactions leads in some cases to a better description of the data. The elastic $N d$ angular distribution in the region of its minimum and at backward angles is the best studied example [6,7]. The clear discrepancy in this angular regions at energies below $\approx 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ nucleon lab energy between a theory based on $N N$ potentials only and the cross section data can be removed by adding modern 3NFs to the nuclear Hamiltonian. Such a 3NF must be adjusted with each $N N$ potential separately to the experimental binding of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ [6-8]. At energies higher than $\approx 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ current 3 NFs only partially improve the description of cross section data and the remaining discrepancies, which increase with energy, indicate the possibility of relativistic effects. The need for a relativistic description of $3 N$ scattering was also raised when precise measurements of the total cross section for neutron-deuteron ( $n d$ ) scattering [9] were analyzed within the framework of nonrelativistic Faddeev calculations [10]. $N N$ forces alone were insufficient to describe the data above $\approx 100 \mathrm{MeV}$. The effects due to relativistic kinematics considered in

Ref. [10] were comparable at higher energies to the effects due to 3NFs. These indications show the importance of a study taking relativistic effects in the $3 N$ continuum into account.

The estimation of relativistic effects on the binding energy of three nucleons has been the focus of a lot of work. Basically two different aproaches have been followed: one is a manifestly covariant scheme linked to a field theoretical approach [11-13], the other one is based on relativistic quantum mechanics formulated on spacelike hypersurfaces in Minkowski space [14-17]. Within the second scheme the relativistic Hamiltonian for on-the-mass-shell particles consists of relativistic kinetic energies and two- and manybody interactions including their boost corrections, which are dictated by the Poincaré algebra [14-17]. The applications of these two types of approaches to the $3 N$ bound state have led to contradictory results. In the approach based on field theory, relativistic effects increase the triton binding energy, while in the approach based on relativistic Hamiltonians they decrease the triton binding energy. This requires further insights which is beyond the scope of this paper.

Due to the increased complexity of 3 N scattering calculations as compared to the bound state problem no results for the $3 N$ continuum including relativity are available. In order to extend the Hamiltonian scheme in equal time formulation to 3 N scattering one needs as a starting point the Lorentz boosted $N N$ potential which generates the $N N t$ matrix in a moving frame via a standard Lippmann-Schwinger equation. Such potentials have been worked out and applied to a $3 N$ bound state in [18]. The results obtained supported the relativistic effects found before in a relativistic quantum mechanics approach [19]. The starting point for a $N N$ potential in an arbitrary moving frame is the interaction in the two-nucleon c.m. system, which enters a relativistic $N N$ Schrödinger or Lippmann-Schwinger equation. It differs from the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation just by the relativistic form for the kinetic energy. The current realistic $N N$ potentials are defined and fitted in the context
of the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation. Up to now $N N$ potentials refitted with the same accuracy in the framework of the relativistic $N N$ Schrödinger equation do not exist. In [18] such refitting was omitted and an analytical scale transformation of momenta which relates $N N$ potentials in the nonrelativistic and relativistic Schrödinger equations in such a way, that exactly the same $N N$ phase shifts are obtained by both equations, was employed [20].

Though this transformation is not a substitute for a $N N$ potential with proper relativistic features it can serve as a first step to illustrate the effects of Lorentz boosts on $N N$ potentials. Such an approach was applied in [18] and we also will follow it in the present study to get the first estimation of relativistic effects in the $3 N$ continuum. In this first study we would like to find out what are the changes of elastic $n d$ scattering observables when the nonrelativistic form of the kinetic energy is replaced by the relativistic one and a proper treatment of boost effects and effects due to Wigner rotations of spin states is performed.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we lay out the relativistic features underlying our treatment for a relativized Faddeev equation in the $3 N$ continuum. This incorporates the definition of the boosted two-body force, the various two-and three-body states in general frames, the Wigner rotations and the singularity structure of the relativistic free $3 N$ propagator. Our manner to treat the $3 N$ Faddeev equation is guided by the lines presented in [21] for the nonrelativistic case. In Sec. III we focus on the relativistic $N N$ potential and discuss the quality of different approximations for the boosted potential. As a consequence, in this first study we restrict ourselves to the leading order relativistic term in the expansion of the boosted potential. In Sec. IV we apply our formulation based on a relativistic $N N$ interaction which is exactly on-shell equivalent to the nonrelativistic AV18 potential and solve the relativized $3 N$ Faddeev equation with different approximations for the boost. We show and discuss results for elastic $N d$ scattering. Section V contains a summary and outlook.

## II. FORMULATION

The nucleon-deuteron scattering with neutron and protons interacting through a $N N$ potential $V$ alone is described in terms of a breakup operator $T$ satisfying the Faddeev-type integral equation $[21,22]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T|\phi\rangle=t P|\phi\rangle+t P G_{0} T|\phi\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two-nucleon $(2 N) t$ matrix $t$ results from the interaction $V$ through the Lippmann-Schwinger equation. The permutation operator $P=P_{12} P_{23}+P_{13} P_{23}$ is given in terms of the transposition $P_{i j}$ which interchanges nucleons $i$ and $j$. The incoming state $|\phi\rangle=\left|\vec{q}_{0}\right\rangle\left|\phi_{d}\right\rangle$ describes the free nucleondeuteron motion with relative momentum $\vec{q}_{0}$ and the deuteron wave function $\left|\phi_{d}\right\rangle$. Finally $G_{0}$ is the free $3 N$ propagator. The physical picture underlying Eq. (1) is revealed after iteration which leads to a multiple scattering series for $T$.

The elastic $n d$ scattering transition operator $U$ is given in terms of $T$ by $[21,22]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=P G_{0}^{-1}+P T \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is our standard nonrelativistic formulation, which is equivalent to the nonrelativistic $3 N$ Schrödinger equation plus boundary conditions. The formal structure of these equations in the relativistic case remains the same but the ingredients change. As explained in [19] the relativistic $3 N$ Hamiltonian has the same form as the nonrelativistic one, only the momentum dependence of the kinetic energy changes and the relation of the pair interactions to the ones in their corresponding c.m. frames changes, too. Consequently all the formal steps leading to Eqs. (1) and (2) remain the same.

The relativistic kinetic energy of three equal mass nucleons in their c.m. system can conveniently be presented by introducing the free two-body mass operator. Let $\vec{k}$ and $-\vec{k}$ be the momenta in one of the two-body subsystems, then $2 \omega(\vec{k}) \equiv 2 \sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{k}^{2}}$ is the momentum dependent $2 N$ mass operator and the $3 N$ kinetic energy can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\sqrt{[2 \omega(\vec{k})]^{2}+\vec{q}^{2}}+\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{q}^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{q}$ is the momentum of the third particle and $-\vec{q}$ the total momentum of the chosen two-body subsystem ( $m$ is the nucleon mass). Any two-body subsystem can be chosen. As introduced in [23] the pair forces in the relativistic 3 N Hamiltonian living in moving frames are chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\vec{q}) \equiv \sqrt{[2 \omega(\vec{k})+v]^{2}+\vec{q}^{2}}-\sqrt{[2 \omega(\vec{k})]^{2}+\vec{q}^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(\vec{q})$ for $\vec{q}=0$ reduces to the potential $v$ defined in the $2 N$ c.m. system. Note that also in that system the relativistic kinetic energy of the two nucleons has to be chosen, which together with $v$ defines the interacting two-nucleon mass operator occuring in Eq. (4).

Let us now firstly regard the $2 N$ subsystem. The standard nonrelativistic $2 N$ Lippmann-Schwinger equation turns now into a relativistic one, which in a general frame reads

$$
\begin{align*}
t\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)= & V\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)+\int d^{3} k^{\prime \prime} \\
& \times \frac{V\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime \prime} ; \vec{q}\right) t\left(\vec{k}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)}{\sqrt{\left[2 \omega\left(\vec{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\vec{q}^{2}}-\sqrt{\left[2 \omega\left(\vec{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\vec{q}^{2}}+i \epsilon} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

We refer to $t\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)$ as the boosted $2 N t$ matrix like we talk of the boosted $2 N$ potential in Eq. (4).

Using Eq. (4) the relativistic $2 N$ Schrödinger equation for the deuteron in a moving frame can be cast into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{d}(\vec{k})= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{d}^{2}+\vec{q}_{0}^{2}}-\sqrt{[2 \omega(\vec{k})]^{2}+\vec{q}_{0}^{2}}} \\
& \times \int d^{3} k^{\prime} V\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}_{0}\right) \phi_{d}\left(\vec{k}^{\prime}\right), \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sqrt{M_{d}^{2}+\vec{q}_{0}^{2}}$ is the energy of the deuteron in motion and $M_{d}$ its rest mass. This equation is a good check for the correct numerical implementation of the boosted potential $V(\vec{q})$ as will be used below.

The new relativistic ingredients in Eqs. (1) and (2) will therefore be the boosted $t$ operator and the relativistic 3 N propagator

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}=\frac{1}{E+i \epsilon-H_{0}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}$ is given in Eq. (3) and $E$ is the total $3 N$ c.m. energy expressed in terms of the initial neutron momentum $\vec{q}_{0}$ relative to the deuteron

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sqrt{M_{d}^{2}+\vec{q}_{0}^{2}}+\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{q}_{0}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Currently Eq. (1) in its nonrelativistic form is numerically solved for any $N N$ interaction using a momentum space partial wave decomposition. Details are presented in Ref. [21]. This turns Eq. (1) into a coupled set of two-dimensional integral equations. As we show now, in the relativistic case we can keep the same formal structure, though the kinematics and the momentum representation of the permutation operator $P$ is more complex and boosted $t$ operators as well as Wigner rotations will appear.

In the nonrelativistic case the partial wave projected momentum space basis is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p q(l s) j\left(\lambda \frac{1}{2}\right) I J\left(t \frac{1}{2}\right) T\right\rangle, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are the magnitudes of standard Jacobi momenta (see [24]) and ( $l s$ ) $j$ two-body quantum numbers with obvious meaning, ( $\lambda 1 / 2$ )I refer to the third nucleon (described by the momentum q), $J$ is the total $3 N$ angular momentum, and the rest are isospin quantum numbers. This is now to be generalized to the relativistic case.

We regard firstly the two-nucleon system and replace the nonrelativistic relative two-nucleon momentum $\vec{p}$ by $\vec{k}$, where $\vec{k}$ and $-\vec{k}$ are related to general momenta of two nucleons, say $\vec{p}_{2}$ and $\vec{p}_{3}$, by a Lorentz boost:

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{k} & \equiv \vec{k}\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{p}_{2}-\vec{p}_{3}-\vec{p}_{23} \frac{E_{2}-E_{3}}{E_{23}+\sqrt{E_{23}^{2}-\vec{p}_{23}^{2}}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

with $E_{i}=\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{p}_{i}^{2}}, E_{23}=E_{2}+E_{3}$ and $\vec{p}_{23}=\vec{p}_{2}+\vec{p}_{3}$. This is a relativistic generalization of the nonrelativistic relative momentum $\vec{p}$.

The individual momentum state with momentum $\vec{k}$ for an on-the-mass-shell particle with mass $m$ is defined in terms of the state in the rest frame as $[17,25]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U[\beta(k)]|\overrightarrow{0} \mu\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})}{m}}|\vec{k} \mu\rangle . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $U(\beta(k))$ is the unitary operator related to the special (along $\vec{k}$ ) boost matrix $\beta(k), \mu$ the spin magnetic quantum number, and $k=\left[\omega_{m}(\vec{k}), \vec{k}\right]$ with $\omega_{m}(\vec{k})=\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{k}^{2}}$. Note that by definition $\mu$ does not change. The $4 \times 4$ matrix $\beta(k)$ is given in the Appendix.

A following unitary operation related to the general boost $\Lambda$ leads to the well-known Wigner rotation [17,25]:

$$
\begin{align*}
U(\Lambda)|\vec{k} \mu\rangle & =\sqrt{\frac{m}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})}} U(\Lambda) U[\beta(k)]|\overrightarrow{0} \mu\rangle \\
& =U\left[\beta\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right] U\left[\beta^{-1}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \Lambda \beta(k)\right]|\overrightarrow{0} \mu\rangle \sqrt{\frac{m}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The argument in the second unitary operator is a rotation matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\Lambda, \vec{k}) \equiv \beta^{-1}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \Lambda \beta(k) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and often denoted as Wigner rotation. Further the on shell momenta $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ are related by $k^{\prime}=\Lambda k$.
$R(\Lambda, \vec{k})$ being a rotation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\Lambda)|\vec{k} \mu\rangle=U\left[\beta\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right] \sum_{\mu^{\prime}} D_{\mu^{\prime} \mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}[R(\Lambda, \vec{k})]\left|\overrightarrow{0} \mu^{\prime}\right\rangle \sqrt{\frac{m}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using Eq. (11) again to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\Lambda)|\vec{k} \mu\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{m}\left(\vec{k}^{\prime}\right)}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})}} \sum_{\mu^{\prime}} D_{\mu^{\prime} \mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}[R(\Lambda, \vec{k})]\left|\vec{k}^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $D_{\mu^{\prime} \mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are the standard $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Wigner $D$ matrices [26] and their arguments Euler angles which are related to $R$ as shown below.

Now we apply a general boost to the noninteracting two nucleon state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\vec{k} ; \overrightarrow{0} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle \equiv\left|\vec{k} \mu_{2},-\vec{k} \mu_{3}\right\rangle . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the notation to the left we changed from the two individual momenta to the relative momentum $\vec{k}$ and the total two-nucleon momentum zero. Since the two nucleons are noninteracting $U(\Lambda)$ acting on a two-body system is a tensor product

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\Lambda)=U_{2}(\Lambda) U_{3}(\Lambda) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on the two spaces of nucleons 2 and 3. We choose $\Lambda$ as $\beta(P)$, where $P=\left(P_{0}, \vec{P}\right) \equiv\left[\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{2}\right)+\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{3}\right), \vec{p}_{2}+\vec{p}_{3}\right]$. That boost matrix $\beta(P)$ maps $\vec{k}$ into $\vec{p}_{2}$ and $-\vec{k}$ into $\vec{p}_{3}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& U[\beta(P)]\left|\vec{k} ; \overrightarrow{0} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle \equiv U_{2}[\beta(P)]\left|\vec{k} \mu_{2}\right\rangle U_{3}[\beta(P)]\left|-\vec{k} \mu_{3}\right\rangle \\
& = \\
& \quad \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{2}\right)}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})}} \sum_{\mu_{2}^{\prime}} D_{\mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P), \vec{k}]\}\left|\vec{p}_{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{3}\right)}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})}}  \tag{18}\\
& \quad \times \sum_{\mu_{3}^{\prime}} D_{\mu_{3}^{\prime} \mu_{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P),-\vec{k}]\}\left|\vec{p}_{3} \mu_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Of course $\vec{p}_{2}$ and $\vec{p}_{3}$ are given by the inverse relation to Eq. (10) and the related expression for $-\vec{k}$.

On the other hand the noninteracting two-nucleon system with total momentum zero can be considered as one object with a mass $M_{0}=2 \omega_{m}(\vec{k})$ and therefore according to the general relation (11) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
U[\beta(P)]\left|\vec{k} ; \overrightarrow{0} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{M_{0}}(\vec{P})}{M_{0}}}\left|\vec{k} ; \vec{P} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we end up with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\vec{k} ; \vec{P} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle= & \left|\frac{\partial\left(\vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3}\right)}{\partial(\vec{P} \vec{k})}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu_{2}^{\prime}} D_{\mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P), \vec{k}]\} \\
& \times \sum_{\mu_{3}^{\prime}} D_{\mu_{3}^{\prime} \mu_{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P),-\vec{k}]\}\left|\vec{p}_{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \vec{p}_{3} \mu_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial\left(\vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3}\right)}{\partial(\vec{P} \vec{k})}\right|=\frac{M_{0}}{\omega_{M_{0}}(\vec{P})} \frac{\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{2}\right)}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})} \frac{\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{3}\right)}{\omega_{m}(\vec{k})} \equiv N^{2}\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jacobian for the Lorentz transformation from $\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)$ to $(\vec{P}, \vec{k})$.

This relation generalizes the one used in [19] and [18] from the spinless case to the one with spin. In the nonrelativistic case the $D$ matrices reduce to Kronecker symbols and the Jacobian is one. Thus $\left|\vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle$ equals directly $\left|\vec{k} \vec{P} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle$, where $\vec{k}$ equals the nonrelativistic relative momentum.

The next step is the transition to partial waves. Firstly one defines the two-body orbital angular momentum states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k l ; \overrightarrow{0} \mu_{l} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle \equiv \int d \hat{k} Y_{\mu_{l}}^{l}(\hat{k})\left|\vec{k} ; \overrightarrow{0} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we couple with the total spin $s$ in the two-nucleon c.m. system to the total angular momentum $j$ and its magnetic
quantum number $\mu$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
|(l s) j k \mu ; \overrightarrow{0}\rangle \equiv & \sum_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3}} \sum_{\mu_{s} \mu_{l}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3} \right\rvert\, s \mu_{s}\right) \\
& \times\left(l \mu_{l} s \mu_{s} \mid j \mu\right)\left|k l ; \overrightarrow{0} \mu_{l} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right\rangle \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The special boost $\beta(P)$ leads then to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U[\beta(P)]|(l s) j k \mu ; \overrightarrow{0}\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{M_{0}}(\vec{P})}{M_{0}}}|(l s) j k \mu ; \vec{P}\rangle \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applied individually leads finally to

$$
\begin{align*}
&|(l s) j k \mu ; \vec{P}\rangle \\
&=\left|\frac{\partial\left(\vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3}\right)}{\partial(\vec{P} \vec{k})}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3}} \sum_{\mu_{s} \mu_{l}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3} \right\rvert\, s \mu_{s}\right)\left(l \mu_{l} s \mu_{s} \mid j \mu\right) \\
& \times \int d \hat{k} Y_{\mu_{l}}^{l}(\hat{k}) \sum_{\mu_{2}^{\prime}} D_{\mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P), \vec{k}]\} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\mu_{3}^{\prime}} D_{\mu_{3}^{\prime} \mu_{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P),-\vec{k}]\}\left|\vec{p}_{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \vec{p}_{3} \mu_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the connection of the partial wave projected twonucleon state with internal momentum k and total momentum $\vec{P}$ to arbitrary individual momentum and spin states.

Another requisite is the determination of the Euler angles ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) in the spin $1 / 2 D$ matrices. According to Eq. (13) the two $4 \times 4$ matrices

$$
\begin{align*}
R[\beta(P), \vec{k}] & =\beta^{-1}\left(p_{2}\right) \beta(P) \beta\left[\omega_{m}(\vec{k}), \vec{k}\right], \\
R[\beta(P),-\vec{k}] & =\beta^{-1}\left(p_{3}\right) \beta(P) \beta\left[\omega_{m}(\vec{k}),-\vec{k}\right] \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

representing rotations have the structure

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & M
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M$ is the unitary $3 \times 3$ matrix for the Wigner rotation. It has generally the form [26]

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma-\sin \alpha \sin \gamma & \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma+\cos \alpha \sin \gamma & -\sin \beta \cos \gamma  \tag{27}\\
-\cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma-\sin \alpha \cos \gamma & -\sin \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma+\cos \alpha \cos \gamma & \sin \beta \sin \gamma \\
\cos \alpha \sin \beta & \sin \alpha \sin \beta & \cos \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

This determines the three Euler angles. The matrix $M$ related to the first equation in Eq. (26) is given in the Appendix.

It remains to add the third free particle whose momentum $\vec{p}_{1}$ together with the total two-nucleon momentum $\vec{P}$ adds up to zero in the $3 N \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}$. system.

Sticking to our standard nonrelativistic notation we denote the orbital angular momentum of that third particle by $\lambda$ and couple it with its spin to its total angular momentum $I$. Then the $3 N$ partial wave state is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|k q=p_{1} \alpha\right\rangle \equiv & \left|k p_{1}(l s) j\left(\lambda \frac{1}{2}\right) I(j I) J M\right\rangle\left|\left(t \frac{1}{2}\right) T M_{T}\right\rangle \\
= & N\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right) \sum_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{s}} \sum_{\mu_{l} \mu_{2} \mu^{\prime}{ }_{3}} \sum_{\mu_{1} \mu_{\lambda} \mu_{I} \mu}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3} \right\rvert\, s \mu_{s}\right) \\
& \times\left(l \mu_{l} s \mu_{s} \mid j \mu\right)\left(\left.\lambda \mu_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{1} \right\rvert\, I \mu_{I}\right)\left(j \mu I \mu_{I} \mid J M\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times \int d \hat{p}_{1} Y_{\mu_{\lambda}}^{\lambda}\left(\hat{p}_{1}\right) \int d \hat{k} Y_{\mu_{l}}^{l}(\hat{k}) D_{\mu_{2} \mu_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P), \vec{k}]\} \\
& \times D_{\mu_{3}^{\prime} \mu_{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{R[\beta(P),-\vec{k}]\}\left|\vec{p}_{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \vec{p}_{3} \mu_{3}^{\prime} \vec{p}_{1} \mu_{1}\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

In that expression $\vec{p}_{2}$ and $\vec{p}_{3}$ are functions of $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{q}=-\vec{p}_{1}$.

For the evaluation of the partial wave representation of the permutation operator $P$ we need the projection of that state $\left|k q=p_{1} \alpha\right\rangle$ onto $\left\langle\vec{p}_{1}^{\prime} \mu_{1}^{\prime} \vec{p}_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \vec{p}_{3}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime}\right|$. Doing that one encounters $\left(\vec{P}=-\vec{p}_{1}\right)$

$$
\delta\left[\vec{p}_{2}^{\prime}-\vec{p}_{2}(\vec{k}, \vec{P})\right] \delta\left[\vec{p}_{3}^{\prime}-\vec{p}_{3}(\vec{k}, \vec{P})\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{\left|\frac{\partial\left(\vec{p}_{2}^{\prime}, \vec{p}_{3}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial(\vec{k}, \vec{P})}\right|} \delta\left[\vec{k}-\vec{k}\left(\vec{p}_{2}^{\prime}, \vec{p}_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right] \delta\left(\vec{P}-\vec{p}_{2}^{\prime}-\vec{p}_{3}^{\prime}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is verified for instance by integrating both sides over $\vec{p}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\vec{p}_{3}^{\prime}$ and by converting the integral on the right hand side to an integral over $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{P}$. Thus using Eq. (28) one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle\vec{p}_{1} m_{1}\right. \vec{p}_{2} m_{2} \vec{p}_{3} m_{3}|k q \alpha\rangle \\
&= \delta\left(\vec{p}_{1}+\vec{p}_{2}+\vec{p}_{3}\right) \frac{1}{N\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)} \frac{\delta\left(q-p_{1}\right)}{q p_{1}} \frac{\delta\left[k-k\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)\right]}{k k\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{s}} \sum_{\mu_{l} \mu_{\lambda} \mu_{I} \mu}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3} \right\rvert\, s \mu_{s}\right)\left(l \mu_{l} s \mu_{s} \mid j \mu\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\left.\lambda \mu_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} m_{1} \right\rvert\, I \mu_{I}\right)\left(j \mu I \mu_{I} \mid J M\right) Y_{\mu_{\lambda}}^{\lambda}\left(\hat{p}_{1}\right) Y_{\mu_{l} l}^{l}\left[\hat{k}\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \times D_{m_{2} \mu_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)\right], \vec{k}\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \quad \times D_{m_{3} \mu_{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)\right],-\vec{k}\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the basic expression needed for the evaluation of the partial wave representation of the permutation operator $P$. Equipped with that, projecting Eq. (1) onto the basis states (28) one encounters like in the nonrelativistic notation [24]

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{1}\langle k q \alpha| P\left|k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{1} & ={ }_{1}\left\langle k q \alpha \mid k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}+{ }_{1}\left\langle k q \alpha \mid k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{3} \\
& =2{ }_{1}\left\langle k q \alpha \mid k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

This is evaluated by inserting the complete basis of states $\left|\vec{p}_{1} \mu_{1} \vec{p}_{2} \mu_{2} \vec{p}_{3} \mu_{3}\right\rangle$ and using Eq. (30). The result is worked out in the Appendix. It can be expressed in a form which resembles closely the one appearing in the nonrelativistic regime [22,24]

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{1}\langle k q \alpha| P\left|k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{1}= & \int_{-1}^{1} d x \frac{\delta\left(k-\pi_{1}\right)}{k^{l+2}} \frac{\delta\left(k^{\prime}-\pi_{2}\right)}{k^{l^{\prime}+2}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{N_{1}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)} \frac{1}{N_{2}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)} \\
& \times G_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where all ingredients are given in the Appendix.
It remains to regard the free propagator adjacent to the permutation operator $P$ in Eq. (1). Since only momenta are involved in the propagator the convenient formal steps outlaid in [27] can be shown in a momentum vector notation thereby simplifying the notation. Let $|\vec{k}, \vec{q}\rangle_{1}$ denote the $3 N$ state expressed in vector momenta analogous to Eq. (20) and neglecting spin and isospin degrees of freedom. The index 1 indicates as above that the $2 N$ subsystem (23) has been chosen which is described by the internal momentum $\vec{k}$. Similarily an index 2 indicates the choice of the (31) subsystem. Then one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{1}\langle\vec{k} \vec{q}| G_{0} P_{12} P_{23}\left|\vec{k}^{\prime} \vec{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{1} \equiv & { }_{1}\langle\vec{k} \vec{q}| G_{0}\left|\vec{k}^{\prime} \vec{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2} \\
= & \int \prod d \vec{p}_{i 1}\langle\vec{k} \vec{q}| G_{0}\left|\vec{p}_{1} \vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3}\right\rangle \delta\left(\vec{p}_{1}+\vec{p}_{2}+\vec{p}_{3}\right)\left\langle\vec{p}_{1} \vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3} \mid \vec{k}^{\prime} \vec{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2} \\
= & \int \prod d \vec{p}_{i} \frac{1}{E+i \epsilon-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{p}_{1}^{2}}-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{p}_{2}^{2}}-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{p}_{3}^{2}}} 1\left\langle\vec{k} \vec{q} \mid \vec{p}_{1} \vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3}\right\rangle \\
& \times \delta\left(\vec{p}_{1}+\vec{p}_{2}+\vec{p}_{3}\right)\left\langle\vec{p}_{1} \vec{p}_{2} \vec{p}_{3} \mid \vec{k}^{\prime} \vec{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2} \\
= & \int \prod d \vec{p}_{i} \frac{1}{E+i \epsilon-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{p}_{1}^{2}}-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{p}_{2}^{2}}-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{p}_{3}^{2}}} \delta\left(\vec{q}-\vec{p}_{1}\right) \delta\left[\vec{k}-\vec{k}\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)\right] \\
& \times \frac{1}{N\left(\vec{p}_{2}, \vec{p}_{3}\right)} \delta\left(\vec{p}_{1}+\vec{p}_{2}+\vec{p}_{3}\right) \delta\left(\vec{q}^{\prime}-\vec{p}_{2}\right) \delta\left[\vec{k}^{\prime}-\vec{k}\left(\vec{p}_{3}, \vec{p}_{1}\right)\right] \frac{1}{N\left(\vec{p}_{3}, \vec{p}_{1}\right)} \\
= & \frac{1}{E+i \epsilon-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{q}^{2}}-\sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{q}^{\prime 2}}-\sqrt{m^{2}+\left(\vec{q}+\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \delta\left[\vec{k}-\vec{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right]} \\
& \times \frac{1}{N\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)} \delta\left[\vec{k}^{\prime}-\vec{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right] \frac{1}{N\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

We recognize that the free propagator depends on $q, q^{\prime}$ and $x \equiv \hat{q} \cdot \hat{q}^{\prime}$ like in the nonrelativistic case. After partial wave decomposition there arises an integration over the interval $[-1,1]$ for $x$. This leads to the well-known logarithmic singularities, which have been well studied for the nonrelativistic free propagator. That nonrelativistic propagator results simply by expanding the square roots in Eq. (33) and keeping the leading terms. Like in the nonrelativistic case it is now convenient to put the free propagator into the form $\propto 1 /\left(x_{0}-\right.$ $x+i \epsilon$ ). A simple algebra leads in obvious notation to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{E+i \epsilon-E_{\vec{q}}-E_{\vec{q}^{\prime}}-E_{\vec{q}+\vec{q}^{\prime}}}=\frac{A}{x_{0}-x}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{E-E_{\vec{q}}-E_{\vec{q}^{\prime}}+E_{\vec{q}+\vec{q}^{\prime}}}{2 q q^{\prime}}, \\
& x_{0}=\frac{\left(E-E_{\vec{q}}-E_{\vec{q}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-m^{2}-q^{2}-q^{\prime 2}}{2 q q^{\prime}} . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Altogether we end up with the infinite system of coupled integral equations analogous to the one in the nonrelativistic case [21,22]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle k q \alpha| T(E)|\phi\rangle= & \langle k q \alpha| t P|\phi\rangle+\sum_{\alpha^{\prime}} \sum_{l_{\bar{\alpha}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d q^{\prime} q^{\prime 2} \int_{-1}^{1} d x \\
& \times \frac{\left\langle k l_{\alpha}\right| t^{(\alpha)}\left(E-\sqrt{m^{2}+q^{2}}\right)\left|\pi_{1} l_{\bar{\alpha}}\right\rangle}{\pi_{1}^{l_{\bar{\alpha}}}} \\
& \times \frac{G_{\bar{\alpha} \alpha^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)}{N_{1}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right) N_{2}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)} \frac{\left\langle\pi_{2} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right| T(E)|\phi\rangle}{\pi_{2} l_{\alpha^{\prime}}} \\
& \times \frac{A}{x_{0}+i \epsilon-x} . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

The geometrical coefficients $G_{\bar{\alpha} \alpha^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)$, the coefficients $N_{1}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)$ and $N_{2}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)$, and the momenta $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ stem from the matrix element $\langle k q \alpha| P\left|k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle$ of the permutation operator [Eqs. (C6) and (C7)]. The quantum numbers in the set $\bar{\alpha}$ differ from those in $\alpha$ only in the orbital angular momentum $l$ of the pair.

As mentioned earlier the main problem of treating Eq. (36) is caused by the singularities of the free propagator $G_{0}$ which occur in the region of $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ values for which $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqslant 1$. In addition at $q=q_{0}$ there is the singularity of the $2 N t$ matrix which occurs in the ${ }^{3} S_{1}-{ }^{3} D_{1}$ partial wave state, where the deuteron bound state exists. The method to treat this singularity is described in detail in [21]. It amounts to separate all channels $\alpha$ which are "deuteron"-like (angular momentum quantum numbers $l=0$ or $2, s=1$ and $j=1$ ) from the others. In the "deuteron"-like channels one separates the bound state pole and treats it by subtraction [21]. Similarly, the treatment of the $G_{0}$ singularities follows the nonrelativistic case as described in detail in Ref. [21]. The only difference is that the relativistic boundaries of the $q, q^{\prime}$-values at which $\left|x_{0}\right|=1$ differ from the nonrelativistic boundaries. Equation (36) is solved by generating its Neumann series, which is then summed up by the Padé method.

Due to short-range nature of the $N N$ force it can be considered negligible beyond a certain value $j_{\text {max }}$ of the total
angular momentum in the two nucleon subsystem. Generally with increasing energy $j_{\max }$ will also increase. For $j>j_{\max }$ we put the $t$ matrix to be zero, which yields a finite number of coupled channels for each total angular momentum $J$ and total parity $\pi=(-)^{l+\lambda}$ of the $3 N$ system. To achieve converged results at our energies we used all partial wave states with total angular momenta of the $2 N$ subsystem up to $j_{\max }=5$ and took into account all total angular momenta of the $3 N$ system up to $J=25 / 2$. This leads to a system of up to 143 coupled integral equations in two continuous variables for a given $J$ and parity.

## III. THE BOOSTED POTENTIAL

As dynamical input we used a relativistic interaction $v$, which is defined as partner of the relativistic kinetic energy, generated from the nonrelativistic $N N$ potential AV18 according to the analytical prescription of Ref. [20]. For the convenience of the reader we repeat the main points of this transformation. Having a $N N$ potential $v^{n r}$ which provides a nonrelativistic $t$ matrix $t^{n r}$ obeying the Lippmann-Schwinger equation with the nonrelativistic form of the free propagator one can apply an analytical transformation of momenta to obtain an exactly on-shell equivalent relativistic potential $v^{r l}$ which provides the corresponding relativistic $t$ matrix $t^{r l}$. This $t$ matrix obeys the Lippmann-Schwinger equation with a relativistic form of the free propagator. This analytical transformation for the potentials is [20]

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{h\left(k_{n r}\right)} v^{(n r)}\left(\vec{k}_{n r}, \vec{k}_{n r}^{\prime}\right) \frac{1}{h\left(k_{n r}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{n r} \equiv\left|\vec{k}_{n r}\right| & =\sqrt{2 m} \sqrt{\sqrt{\vec{k}^{2}+m^{2}}-m} \\
h\left(k_{n r}\right) & =\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{k_{n r}^{2}}{2 m^{2}}\right) \sqrt{1+\frac{k_{n r}^{2}}{4 m^{2}}}} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

In Ref. [18] it was shown that the explicit calculation of the matrix elements $V\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)$ according to Eq. (4) for the boosted potential requires the knowledge of the $N N$ bound state wave function and the half-shell $N N t$ matrices in the $2 N \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}$. system. In this first study we do not treat the boosted potential matrix element in all its complexity as given in Ref. [18] but restrict ourselves to the leading order term in a $q / \omega$ and $v / \omega$ expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)=v\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime}\right)\left[1-\frac{\vec{q}^{2}}{8 \sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{k}^{2}} \sqrt{m^{2}+\left(\vec{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is therefore important to check the quality of such an approximation. To that aim we calculated the deuteron wave function $\phi_{d}(\vec{k})$ for the deuteron moving with momentum $\vec{q}$ using Eq. (6). This wave function depends only on the $2 N \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}$. relative momentum $\vec{k}$ inside the deuteron and is thus independent from the total momentum $\vec{q}$.

We show in Fig. 1 the binding energy $E_{d}$ and the $D$-state probability $P_{D}$ defined through Eq. (6) as a function of the initial nucleon lab energy using the approximation given


FIG. 1. The deuteron binding energy $E_{d}$ and the $D$-state probability $P_{D}$. The values for the deuteron at rest are given by the solid horizontal lines. At different incoming nucleon lab energies, related to the relative momentum $q_{0}$ in Eq. (6), the approximations given in Eqs. (40), (41), and (39) provide results which are shown as the dashed-, dotted-, and dashed-dotted lines, respectively. The calculations have been done with the AV18 potential.
in Eq. (39). In addition, the results for two more drastic approximations are given. In the first one the boost effects are neglected completely

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)=v\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime}\right), \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the second one the $k$ dependence of the first order relativistic correction term is omitted

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime} ; \vec{q}\right)=v\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\prime}\right)\left(1-\frac{\vec{q}^{2}}{8 m^{2}}\right) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the boost effects are fully taken into account the solution of Eq. (6) must provide exactly the deuteron binding energy and the $D$-state probability equal to the values for the deuteron at rest. It is seen in Fig. 1 that neglecting the boost totally or omitting the $k$ dependence of the first order term is a poor approximation, especially at the higher energies. In contrast, the approximation given in Eq. (39) appears acceptable, even for the strongest boosts. Relying on that result we have chosen the expression (39) for the boosted potential in the following investigations. However, we would like to stress that a good description of the deuteron properties cannot be taken as a proof that under this simple approximation all boost effects are taken into account. Only a full-fledged calculation
with the exact boosted potential (as given in Ref. [18]) can ensure that.

## IV. RESULTS

The solution of the $3 N$ relativized Faddeev equation including Wigner spin rotations increase the computer time drastically. This is caused by the calculation of the permutation matrix elements for the high partial waves. Therefore to study the effects of the Wigner spin rotations on the elastic scattering observables we restricted ourselves to the $j<2$ partial wave states. We checked that to get converged results for the permutation matrix elements one has to take into account the expansion coefficients $a_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$ of Eq. (C4) with $L, L^{\prime}$ up to $L, L^{\prime} \leqslant 2$. Those coefficients were obtained by numerical integrations over the directions $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{q}^{\prime}$ with 23 Gaussian points for the polar and azimuthal angles. We found that the changes of the cross sections due to Wigner spin rotations are small and stay under $1 \%$. For spin observables these changes are slightly larger but they do not exceed 5\% with the exception of angular regions around zero crossings and small values of the observables. In this study of elastic scattering restricted to $j<2$ no indications on a possible energy dependence of the changes in observables due to Wigner spin rotations have been found. Thus when performing the fully converged calculations with $j \leqslant 5$ and $J \leqslant 25 / 2$ we neglected the Wigner spin rotations completely. This might be different for breakup observables, which deserves another investigation. Also including higher partial wave states, which are important at higher energies, might change the above conclusion about the importance of Wigner spin rotations. However, due to the computational complexity of the permutation matrix elements, it is presently not possible for us to extend that study to higher partial waves.

In Fig. 2 we show our results for the nd elastic scattering cross sections at four energies together with experimental $p d$ data. In addition to the nonrelativistic prediction, based on the solution of the 3 N Faddeev equation with the nonrelativistic form of the free propagator $G_{0}$ and partial wave states constructed with standard Jacobi momenta, also our relativistic results using the approximations according to the Eqs. (40), (41), and (39) for the boosted potential matrix elements are presented. Thereby the other relativistic features in Eq. (36) have been kept. It is only the total neglection of the boost effect in $V(\vec{q})$ which leads to a clearly visible deviation from the nonrelativistic results. Taking the boost effect into account according to the approximations (41) and (39) reduces the effect drastically and only at the largest angles deviations from the nonrelativistic results are discernible. This is better seen in Fig. 3, where the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \equiv \frac{\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)^{\mathrm{rel}}-\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)^{\mathrm{nrel}}}{\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)^{\mathrm{nrel}}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressed in percentage is shown for the three approximations. Thus significant effects of relativity occur at higher energies and they are restricted to the backward angle region $\left(\theta_{\text {c.m. }} \geqslant 160^{\circ}\right)$. They increase the nonrelativistic cross sections


FIG. 2. The differential cross sections for $N d$ elastic scattering at various energies. The solid line is the result of the nonrelativistic Faddeev calculation with the AV18 potential. The relativistic predictions based on the approximations (40), (41), and (39) for the boosted potential keeping all other relativistic features unchanged are shown by the dashed, dotted, and dashed-dotted lines. The $p d$ data at $28,65,135$, and 250 MeV are from Ref. [7,28-30], respectively.
by up to $2 \%, 6 \%, 5-15 \%$, and $10-23 \%$, for $28,65,135$, and 250 MeV , respectively. For $\theta_{\text {c.m. }}<160^{\circ}$ the effects of relativity are much smaller. At 250 MeV where they are largest, they increase the nonrelativistic cross section by no more than $\approx 5 \%$ in the minimum around $\theta_{\text {c.m. }}=130^{\circ}$. At forward angles the
$\Delta$ [\%]


FIG. 3. The relative deviation $\Delta$ from Eq. (42) for the three different relativistic approximations to the boosted potential $V(\vec{q})$. For the description of the lines see Fig. 2.
largest effects are at $\theta_{\text {c.m. }} \approx 40^{\circ}$ where relativity reduces the nonrelativistic cross section by up to $\approx 5 \%$.

In Ref. [10] the $n d$ total cross section has been investigated in a nonrelativistic scheme. Beyond that a very first step into relativity has been done using the optical theorem. In our notation it reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}}=-\frac{2}{|\vec{j}|} \operatorname{Im} \sum_{\mu_{n}, \mu_{d}}\left\langle\phi \mu_{n}, \mu_{d}\right| U\left|\phi \mu_{n}, \mu_{d}\right\rangle . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can investigate the changes in both ingredients on the right hand side due to relativity, whereas in [10] only the kinematical flux quantity $|\vec{j}|$ has been considered. The ratio of the relativistic to the nonrelativistic flux is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\vec{j}|^{\mathrm{nrel}}}{|\vec{j}|^{\mathrm{rel}}}=\frac{E_{n} E_{d}}{q_{0}^{\mathrm{rel}}\left(E_{n}+E_{d}\right)} / \frac{m_{d} m_{n}}{q_{0}^{\mathrm{nrel}}\left(m_{d}+m_{n}\right)}, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}\left(E_{d}\right)$ is the neutron (deuteron) energy in the c.m. system and $q_{0}^{\text {rel, nrel }}$ the relativistic or nonrelativistic relative momentum in the c.m. system. In [10] only that ratio was considered which led to an increase in the total cross section by $3(7) \%$ at 100 (250) MeV. Now allowing also for a change of the nuclear matrix element $\langle\phi| U|\phi\rangle$ [using the approximate boosted potential given in Eq. (39)] the total cross section (not shown) is slightly smaller than the nonrelativistic one. In other words, the changes in $\langle\phi| U|\phi\rangle$ outweigh the kinematical effect, which by itself increases the total cross section.

Now we come back to the elastic cross section which for the sake of completeness and clarity is shown. In our notation it has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{(d \sigma)^{\mathrm{el}, \text { rel }}}{d \hat{q}^{\prime}}= & (2 \pi)^{4}\left(\frac{E_{n} E_{d}}{E_{n}+E_{d}}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\times \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\mu_{n}^{\prime}, \mu_{d}^{\prime}, \mu_{n}, \mu_{d}}\left|\left\langle\phi^{\prime} \mu_{n}^{\prime}, \mu_{d}^{\prime}\right| U\right| \phi \mu_{n}, \mu_{d}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

The kinematical factor in the bracket reduces to $2 m / 3$ in the nonrelativistic case. Again we can regard the ratio of the relativistic and nonrelativistic differential cross sections. They are of different type compared to the ratio of the total cross sections. Both ingredients, the kinematical factor and the nuclear matrix element enter now squared. It turned out as we have seen in Figs. 2 and 3 that also in this case the dynamical effects caused by the decrease of the nuclear matrix element compensates the increase of the kinematical factor for most angles. Only at very backward angles a slight increase remains.

Finally in Figs. 4 and 5 we compare relativistic and nonrelativistic predictions for the deuteron vector analyzing power $i T_{11}$. Again the three approximations to the boosted potential $V(\vec{q})$ are shown. It turns out that the relativistic effects are relatively small and they stay below $\approx 5 \%$ in the angular regions outside of zero crossings. Other spin observables in elastic scattering behave similarly and are not shown.

## V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We numerically solved the $3 N$ Faddeev equation for $n d$ scattering including relativistic features at the neutron lab


FIG. 4. The deuteron vector analyzing power $i T_{11}$ in $N d$ elastic scattering. For description of lines see Fig. 2. The pd data at $28,65,135$, and 250 MeV are from Ref. [7,28,31,32], respectively. The $p d$ data from [32] were taken at 190 MeV .
energies $E_{n}^{\mathrm{lab}}=28,65,135$, and 250 MeV . The relativistic features are the relativistic form of the free propagator and the change of the $N N$ potential caused by the boost of the $2 N$ subsystem. In addition these boosts also induce Wigner spin rotations. For the momentum space basis we used the relative momentum of two free nucleons in their c.m. system together with their total momentum which in the $3 N \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}$. system is the negative momentum of the spectator nucleon. Such a choice of momenta is adequate for relativistic kinematics


FIG. 5. The same as in Fig. 3 but for the deuteron vector analyzing power $i T_{11}$.
and allows to generalize the nonrelativistic approach used to solve the nonrelativistic $3 N$ Faddeev equation to the relativistic case in a more or less straightforward manner. That relative momentum in the two-nucleon subsystem is a generalization of the standard nonrelativistic Jacobi momentum $\vec{p}$. The inclusion of the nucleon spins leads automatically to Wigner spin rotations in the context of boosting the 2 N c.m. subsystems. The momentum partial wave basis, a generalization of the nonrelativistic one, is, however, now more complex. As dynamical input we took the nonrelativistic $N N$ potential AV18 and generated in the $2 N$ c.m. system an exactly on-shell equivalent relativistic interaction $v$ using an analytical scale transformation of momenta. We checked by looking at the deuteron properties that in our energy range the boost effects for this potential could be sufficiently well incorporated by restricting the exact expression to the leading order terms in a $q / \omega$ and $v / \omega$ expansion. Future calculations performed with exactly boosted potential should verify the quality of this approximation for other partial wave states.

We found that in the studied energy range the effects of Wigner spin rotations are practically negligible for the cross section and analyzing powers. Due to the computational complexity of the permutation matrix elements, this conclusion is based on a study limited to $j<2$ partial waves. Future studies have to be extended to include higher partial waves. Relativistic effects for the cross section appear at higher energies and they are restricted only to the very backward angles where relativity increases the nonrelativistic cross section. At other angles the effects are small. In spite of the fact that the relativistic phase-space factor increases with energy faster than the nonrelativistic one, the relativistic nuclear matrix element outweighs this increase and leads for the cross section in a wide angular range to a relatively small relativistic effect. Also for spin observables (analyzing powers, spin correlation coefficients, and spin transfer coefficients, not shown) no drastic changes due to relativity have been found.

The comparison of our nonrelativistic theory with existing cross section data exhibits at the higher energies clear discrepancies. According to our presented results effects due to relativity are significant only in the region of very backward angles where they increase the cross section. They are relatively small in the region of the cross section minimum around $\theta_{\text {c.m. }} \approx 130^{\circ}$, where the discrepancies between the theory based on pairwise forces only and data are largest. At lower energies (up to about $\approx 135 \mathrm{MeV}$ ) this discrepancy can be removed when current three-nucleon forces (3NFs), mostly of $2 \pi$-exchange character [33,34], are included in the nuclear Hamiltonian. At the higher energies, however, a significant part of the discrepancy remains and increases further with increasing energy. This indicates that additional $3 N$ forces should be added to the $2 \pi$-exchange type forces. Natural candidates in the traditional meson-exchange picture are exchanges like $\pi-\rho$ and $\rho-\rho$. This has to be expected since in $\chi$ PT [35] in the order in which nonvanishing 3NF's appear the first time there are three topologies of forces, the $2 \pi$-exchange, a one-pion exchange between one nucleon and a two-nucleon contact interaction and a pure $3 N$ contact interaction. They are of the same order and have to be kept together. Therefore it appears very worthwhile to persue a
strategy adding in the traditional meson exchange picture further $3 N$ forces. Our results here showing that relativistic effects based on relativistic kinematics and boost effects of the $N N$ force are small support the usefulness of high energy elastic $N d$ scattering to study $3 N$ force properties.
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## APPENDIX A: THE SPECIAL BOOST MATRIX $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{k})$

The special Lorentz transformation $\beta(k)=\beta\left\{\left[\omega_{m}(\vec{k}), \vec{k}\right]\right\}$ is defined by $\beta(k)(m, \overrightarrow{0})=k$. It has the following matrix elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{i j}=\delta_{i j}+\frac{k_{i} k_{j}}{m\left[m+\omega_{m}(\vec{k})\right]} \quad(i, j=1,2,3) \\
& \beta_{\mu 0}=\beta_{0 \mu}=\frac{k_{\mu}}{m} \quad(\mu=0,1,2,3) \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B: THE MATRICES OF WIGNER ROTATION

By straightforward calculation, the $3 \times 3$ matrix $M$ related to the first equation in Eq. (26) is presented. The
four-momentum $P$ is such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\beta(P)\left[\omega_{m}(\vec{k}), \vec{k}\right)\right] & =\left[\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{2}\right), \vec{p}_{2}\right], \\
\left.\beta(P)\left[\omega_{m}(\vec{k}),-\vec{k}\right)\right] & =\left[\omega_{m}\left(\vec{p}_{3}\right), \vec{p}_{3}\right] . \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain $(i, j=1,2,3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i j}=\delta_{i j}+f_{1} k_{i} k_{j}+f_{2} P_{i} k_{j}+f_{3} k_{i} P_{j}+f_{4} P_{i} P_{j} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the four scalar functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$, and $f_{4}$ depend on the momenta $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{P}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1} & =\frac{-E_{0}+M_{0}}{\left(m+\frac{M_{0}}{2}\right)\left(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{P}+\frac{E_{0} M_{0}}{2}+m M_{0}\right)}  \tag{B3}\\
f_{2} & =\frac{2\left[4 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{P}+\left(E_{0}+M_{0}\right)\left(2 m+M_{0}\right)\right]}{\left(E_{0}+M_{0}\right)\left(2 m+M_{0}\right)\left[2 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{P}+\left(E_{0}+2 m\right) M_{0}\right]}  \tag{B4}\\
f_{3} & =\frac{-2}{2 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{P}+\left(E_{0}+2 m\right) M_{0}},  \tag{B5}\\
f_{4} & =\frac{2 m}{\left(E_{0}+M_{0}\right)\left[2 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{P}+\left(E_{0}+2 m\right) M_{0}\right]} \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $M_{0}$ and $E_{0}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}=2 \sqrt{m^{2}+\vec{k}^{2}} \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=\sqrt{M_{0}^{2}+\vec{P}^{2}} \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $M$ related to the second equation in Eq. (26) results by replacing $\vec{k}$ by $-\vec{k}$. Clearly for $\vec{P}=0$ there is no rotation. If one expands $M_{i j}$ in terms of the components $P_{i}$ up to second order, a straightforward calculation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\sqrt{\frac{4 k_{3}^{2}}{M_{0}^{2}\left(2 m+M_{0}\right)^{2}} \vec{P}^{2}-\frac{8 k_{3} P_{3} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{P}}{M_{0}^{2}\left(2 m+M_{0}\right)}+\frac{M_{0}-2 m}{M_{0}^{2}\left(2 m+M_{0}\right)} P_{3}^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\vec{P}^{2}\right) \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is useful numerically in the determination of the Euler angles $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$. For $\beta=0$ only the combination $(\alpha+\gamma)$ occurs and one can put for instance $\alpha=0$.

## APPENDIX C: PERMUTATION OPERATOR

Using Eq. (30) twice for the bra state ${ }_{1}\langle k q \alpha|$ and the ket state $\left|k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}$ one gets for the matrix element of the permutation operator in our partial wave basis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{ }_{1}\langle k q \alpha| P\left|k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{1}= 2{ }_{1}\left\langle k q \alpha \mid k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=2 \sum_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} \sum_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{s}} \sum_{\mu_{l} \mu_{\lambda} \mu_{I} \mu^{\prime}} \sum_{\mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} \mu_{s^{\prime}}} \sum_{\mu_{\prime^{\prime}} \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \mu_{I^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}}}\left(\left.\lambda \mu_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} m_{1} \right\rvert\, I \mu_{I}\right)\left(j \mu I \mu_{I} \mid J M\right) \\
& \times\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3} \right\rvert\, s \mu_{s}\right)\left(l \mu_{l} s \mu_{s} \mid j \mu\right)\left(\left.\lambda^{\prime} \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2} m_{2} \right\rvert\, I^{\prime} \mu_{I^{\prime}}\right)\left(j^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} I^{\prime} \mu_{I^{\prime}} \mid J M\right)\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3}^{\prime} \right\rvert\, s^{\prime} \mu_{s^{\prime}}\right)\left(l^{\prime} \mu_{l^{\prime}} s^{\prime} \mu_{s^{\prime}} \mid j^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times \int d \hat{q} d \hat{q}^{\prime} \frac{1}{N\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)} \frac{1}{N\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)} \frac{\delta\left[k-\left|\vec{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}\right)\right|\right]}{k^{2}} \frac{\delta\left[k^{\prime}-\left|\vec{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right|\right]}{k^{\prime 2}} \\
& 054001-10
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\times Y_{\mu_{2}}^{\lambda *}(\hat{q}) Y_{\mu_{l}}^{l *} \hat{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right] Y_{\mu_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(\hat{q}^{\prime}\right) Y_{\mu_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{l^{\prime}}\left[\hat{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right] D_{m_{2} \mu_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2} *}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right], \vec{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \times D_{m_{3} \mu_{3}}^{\frac{1}{2} *}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right],-\vec{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right) D_{m_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right], \vec{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \times D_{m_{1} \mu_{3}^{\prime}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right],-\vec{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right\}\right)_{1}\left\langle\left(t \frac{1}{2}\right) T \left\lvert\,\left(t^{\prime} \frac{1}{2}\right) T\right.\right\rangle_{2}, \tag{C1}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right) & \equiv \vec{q}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \vec{q}\left[1+y_{1}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)\right] \\
\vec{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right) & \equiv-\vec{q}-\frac{1}{2} \vec{q}^{\prime}\left[1+y_{2}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)\right] \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. (C2) occur

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)=\frac{E_{\vec{q}^{\prime}}-E_{\vec{q}+\vec{q}^{\prime}}}{E_{\vec{q}^{\prime}}+E_{\vec{q}+\vec{q}^{\prime}}+\sqrt{\left(E_{\vec{q}^{\prime}}+E_{\vec{q}+\vec{q}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-\vec{q}^{2}}} \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x=\hat{q} \cdot \hat{q}^{\prime}, y_{2}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)=y_{1}\left(q^{\prime}, q, x\right)$ and $E_{\vec{q}} \equiv \omega_{m}(\vec{q})$.
Expanding the product of the four $D$ matrices in Eq. (C1) into spherical harmonics

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m_{3}} & D_{m_{2} \mu_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2} *}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right], \vec{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \times D_{m_{3} \mu_{3}}^{\frac{1}{2} *}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right],-\vec{k}\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \times D_{m_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right], \vec{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \times D_{m_{1} \mu_{3}^{\prime}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(R\left\{\beta\left[P\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right],-\vec{k}\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& =\sum_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}} a_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) Y_{L M}^{*}(\hat{q}) Y_{L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}\left(\hat{q}^{\prime}\right) \tag{C4}
\end{align*}
$$

and performing the integrations over $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{q}^{\prime}$ in Eq. (C1) leads to the following expression for the matrix element of the
permutation operator $P$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{1}\langle k q \alpha| P\left|k^{\prime} q^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle_{1}= & \int_{-1}^{1} d x \frac{\delta\left(k-\pi_{1}\right)}{k^{l+2}} \frac{\delta\left(k^{\prime}-\pi_{2}\right)}{k^{l^{\prime}+2}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{N_{1}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)} \frac{1}{N_{2}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)} \\
& \times G_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right) \tag{C5}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right)= & \sum_{k} P_{k}(x) \sum_{l_{1}+l_{2}=l} \sum_{l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}=l^{\prime}} q^{l_{2}+l_{2}^{\prime}} q^{l_{1}+l_{1}^{\prime}}\left(1+y_{1}\right)^{l_{2}} \\
& \times\left(1+y_{2}\right)^{l_{1}^{\prime}} g_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{k l_{1} l_{2} l_{2}^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \tag{C6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{1} & =\sqrt{q^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{4} q^{2}\left(1+y_{1}\right)^{2}+q q^{\prime} x\left(1+y_{1}\right)} \\
\pi_{2} & =\sqrt{q^{2}+\frac{1}{4} q^{\prime 2}\left(1+y_{2}\right)^{2}+q q^{\prime} x\left(1+y_{2}\right)}  \tag{C7}\\
N_{1}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right) & \equiv N\left(\vec{q}^{\prime},-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}\right) \\
N_{2}\left(q, q^{\prime}, x\right) & \equiv N\left(-\vec{q}-\vec{q}^{\prime}, \vec{q}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The geometrical coefficients $g_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{k l_{1} l_{2} l_{1}^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{k l_{1} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)= & \sum_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime}} \sum_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}} \sum_{m_{1} m_{2}} a_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times A_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(J^{\pi} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} k l_{1} l_{2} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}\right), \quad(\mathrm{C} 8)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{1}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(J^{\pi} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} k l_{1} l_{2} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi}(-1)^{t^{\prime}} \delta_{T T^{\prime}} \delta_{M_{T} M_{T^{\prime}}} \sqrt{\hat{t} \hat{t}^{\prime}}\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & t \\
1 / 2 & T & t^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}(-1)^{k} \hat{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{l_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{l_{1}^{\prime}}(-1)^{l^{\prime}} \sqrt{\hat{\lambda} \hat{\lambda}^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \times \sqrt{\frac{\left(\hat{l^{\prime}}\right)!}{\left(2 l_{1}^{\prime}\right)!\left(2 l_{2}^{\prime}\right)!}} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{l})!}{\left(\hat{\left.l_{1}\right)!\left(\hat{l}_{2}\right)!}\right.} \frac{\sqrt{\hat{L} \hat{L}^{\prime}}}{\sqrt{\hat{l}_{2} \hat{l}_{1}}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3} \right\rvert\, s \mu_{2}+\mu_{3}\right)\left(\left.\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{3}^{\prime} \right\rvert\, s^{\prime} \mu_{2}^{\prime}+\mu_{3}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{c_{1}}\left(L 0 \lambda 0 \mid c_{1} 0\right) \sum_{c_{1}^{\prime}}\left(L^{\prime} 0 \lambda^{\prime} 0 \mid c_{1}^{\prime} 0\right)} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\mu_{\lambda}}\left(L M \lambda \mu_{\lambda} \mid c_{1} M+\mu_{\lambda}\right)(-1)^{M+\mu_{\lambda}}\left(\left.\lambda \mu_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} m_{1} \right\rvert\, I \mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}\right) \sum_{\mu}\left(j \mu I \mu_{\lambda}+m_{1} \mid J \mu+\mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(l \mu-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3} s \mu_{2}+\mu_{3} \mid j \mu\right) \sum_{\mu^{\prime}}\left(j^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} I^{\prime} \mu+\mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}-\mu^{\prime} \mid J \mu+\mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}\right)\left(l^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}-\mu_{2}^{\prime}-\mu_{3}^{\prime} s^{\prime} \mu_{2}^{\prime}+\mu_{3}^{\prime} \mid j^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\left.\lambda^{\prime} \mu+\mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}-\mu^{\prime}-m_{2} \frac{1}{2} m_{2} \right\rvert\, I^{\prime} \mu+\mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}-\mu^{\prime}\right)\left(L^{\prime} M^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \mu+\mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}-\mu^{\prime}-m_{2} \mid c_{1}^{\prime} \mu+\mu_{\lambda}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+m_{1}-\mu^{\prime}-m_{2}+M^{\prime}\right) \sum_{f_{1}}\left(k 0 l_{1}^{\prime} 0 \mid f_{1} 0\right) \sqrt{\hat{f}_{1}}\left(c_{1}^{\prime} 0 f_{1} 0 \mid l_{1} 0\right) \sum_{f_{2}}\left(k 0 l_{2}^{\prime} 0 \mid f_{2} 0\right) \sqrt{\hat{f}_{2}}\left(c_{1} 0 f_{2} 0 \mid l_{2} 0\right)\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{2} & f_{1} & l^{\prime} \\
l_{1}^{\prime} & l_{2}^{\prime} & k
\end{array}\right\} \\
& 054001-11
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times \sum_{m_{l_{1}}}\left(l_{1} m_{l_{1}} l_{2} \mu-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}-m_{l_{1}} \mid l \mu-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}\right)\left(c_{1}-M-\mu_{\lambda} f_{2} \mu-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}-m_{l_{1}}+M+\mu_{\lambda} \mid l_{2} \mu-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}-m_{l_{1}}\right) \\
& \times\left(f_{1} m_{f_{1}} f_{2} m_{f_{2}} \mid l^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}-\mu_{2}^{\prime}-\mu_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(c_{1}^{\prime} \mu+\mu_{\lambda}+m_{1}-\mu^{\prime}-m_{2}+M^{\prime} f_{1} m_{f_{1}} \mid l_{1} m_{l_{1}}\right) . \tag{C9}
\end{align*}
$$

We used our standard notation $\hat{l} \equiv 2 l+1$. The coefficients $A_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{\mu_{1}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}}\left(J^{\pi} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} k l_{1} l_{2} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are real and obey the following symmetry property:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{L-M L^{\prime}-M^{\prime}}^{-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}-\mu_{3}^{\prime}-m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{2}}\left(J^{\pi} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} k l_{1} l_{2} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=A_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3}^{\prime} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(J^{\pi} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} k l_{1} l_{2} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{C10}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof involves in addition to the definition (C9) the properties $l_{1}+l_{2}=l, l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}=l^{\prime}$ and the parity conservation: $(-1)^{l+\lambda}=(-1)^{l^{\prime}+\lambda^{\prime}}$. The coefficients $a_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$,
defined in Eq. (C4), are complex and obey

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{L M L^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{* \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{3}^{\prime} m_{1} m_{2}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)= & (-1)^{M+M^{\prime}+m_{2}-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}-\mu_{2}^{\prime}+m_{1}-\mu_{3}^{\prime}+1} \\
& \times a_{L-M L^{\prime}-M^{\prime}}^{-\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}-\mu_{3}^{\prime}-m_{1}^{\prime-m_{2}}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) . \tag{C11}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain Eq. (C11) one uses the relation $D_{m m^{\prime}}^{j *}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=$ $(-1)^{m-m^{\prime}} D_{-m-m^{\prime}}^{j}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. The two last Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in Eq. (C9) provide the condition that the phase factor in Eq. (C11) is one. Thus the geometrical coefficients $g_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{k l_{1} l_{2} l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$ are real.
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