Title	Some Oscillation and Asymptotic Properties for Linear Differential Equations
Author(s)	STAIKOS, V.A.; PHILOS, Ch.G.
Citation	Bulletin of the Faculty of Science, Ibaraki University. Series A, Mathematics, 8: 25-30
Issue Date	1976
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10109/2879
Rights	

このリポジトリに収録されているコンテンツの著作権は、それぞれの著作権者に帰属 します。引用、転載、複製等される場合は、著作権法を遵守してください。

お問合せ先

茨城大学学術企画部学術情報課(図書館) 情報支援係 http://www.lib.ibaraki.ac.jp/toiawase/toiawase.html

## Some Oscillation and Asymptotic Properties for Linear Differential Equations

V. A. STAIKOS\* and Ch. G. PHILOS\*

In this paper we consider the *n*-th order (n>1) general ordinary differential equation

(E) 
$$(r_{n-1}(t)(r_{n-2}(t)(\cdots(r_1(t)(r_0(t)x(t))')'\cdots)')')' + a(t)x(t) = b(t), \quad t \ge t_0$$

where the functions  $r_i$  (i=0, 1, ..., n-1) are positive at least on the interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ . The continuity of the functions a, b and  $r_i$  (i=0, 1, 2, ..., n-1) as well as sufficient smoothness to guarantee the existence of solutions of (E) on an infinite subinterval of  $[t_0, \infty)$  will be assumed without mention. In what follows the term "solution" is always used only for such solutions x(t) of (E) which are defined for all large t. The oscillatory character is considered in the usual sense, i. e. a continuous real-valued function which is defined on an interval of the form  $[T, \infty)$  is called *oscillatory* if it has no last zero, and otherwise it is called *nonoscillatory*.

We give here some conditions to ensure that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$$

for all oscillatory solutions of the equation (E). However for b=0, the same conditions guarantee that all eventually nontrivial solutions of the differential equation

(E)<sub>0</sub> 
$$(r_{n-1}(t)(r_{n-2}(t)(\cdots(r_1(t)(r_0(t)x(t))')'\cdots)')')' + a(t)x(t) = 0$$

are nonoscillatory. The technique used is an adaptation of that of Singh [3] which concerns the particular case  $r_0 = 1$ ,  $r_1 = r$  and  $r_2 = \cdots = r_{n-1} = 1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Consider the differential equation (E) subject to the conditions:

$$\lim \inf r_0(t) > 0,$$

(A) 
$$\int_{s_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{1}(s_{1})} \int_{s_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{2}(s_{2})} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} |a(s)| ds \, ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_{2} ds_{1} < \infty$$

Received January 24, 1976.

\* Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece.

and

(B) 
$$\int_{r_1(s_1)}^{\infty} \int_{s_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} |b(s)| \, ds \, ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 \, ds_1 < \infty$$

Then for every oscillatory solution x of the differential equation (E),

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)=0.$$

**PROOF.** Let x be an oscillatory solution of the differential equation (E). Without loss of generality we suppose that x is a solution of (E) on the whole interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ .

Consider the functions  $D_r^{(k)}x$  (k=0, 1, ..., n-1) which are defined on the interval  $[t_0, \infty)$  as follows:

$$D_r^{(0)}x = r_0 x$$

and

$$D_r^{(k)} x = r_k (D_r^{(k-1)} x)'$$
 (k=1, 2,..., n-1).

Now, we assume that

(1) 
$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup|(D_r^{(0)}x)(t)| > d$$

for some d>0. Moreover, because of condition (R<sub>0</sub>), there exists a constant c>0 with

(2) 
$$r_0(t) \ge c$$
 for every  $t \ge t_0$ .

Thus, by conditions (A) and (B), we have that for some  $T \ge t_0$ ,

(3) 
$$\int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{1}(s_{1})} \int_{s_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{2}(s_{2})} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} |a(s)| ds \ ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_{2} ds_{1} \leq \frac{c}{2}$$

and

(4) 
$$\int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{1}(s_{1})} \int_{s_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{2}(s_{2})} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} |b(s)| \, ds \, ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_{2} \, ds_{1} \leq \frac{d}{2} \, .$$

Since the solution x is oscillatory, the same holds for the functions  $D_r^{(k)}x$  (k=0, 1, ..., n-1) and consequently we can choose  $\tau_1 > \tau_2 > \cdots > \tau_{n-1} > t_1 > T$ 

26

with

$$(D_r^{(0)}x)(t_1)=0$$

and

$$(D_r^{(k)}x)(\tau_k)=0 \qquad (k=1, 2, ..., n-1).$$

Furthermore, we consider, by (1), a  $T_0 > \tau_1$  with

(5)  $|(D_r^{(0)}x)(T_0)| > d$ 

and next a  $t_2 > T_0$  with

$$(D_r^{(0)}x)(t_2)=0.$$

Now, on repeated integration from equation (E) we have

$$\pm (D_r^{(0)}x)(t) + \int_t^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{\tau_1} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\tau_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \\ \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\tau_{n-1}} a(s)x(s)ds \, ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 = \\ = \int_t^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{\tau_1} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\tau_{n-2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \\ \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\tau_{n-1}} b(s)ds \, ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 ds_1$$

for every  $t \in [t_1, t_2]$  and consequently, since  $t_2 > \tau_1 > \tau_2 > \cdots > \tau_{n-1} > t_1 > T$ , we get

$$|(D_{r}^{(0)}x)(t)| \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{1}(s_{1})} \int_{s_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{2}(s_{2})} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{2}} |a(s)| |x(s)| ds ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_{2} ds_{1} + \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{1}(s_{1})} \int_{s_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{2}(s_{2})} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_{2}} |b(s)| ds ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_{2} ds_{1}.$$

This immediately gives

$$l \leq \frac{M}{M^*} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_2} |a(s)| ds \ ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 ds_1$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{M^*} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_2} |b(s)| ds \ ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 ds_1,$ 

where  $M = \max_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} |x(t)|$  and  $M^* = \max_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} |(D_r^{(0)}x)(t)|$ .

Thus, by virtue of (3) and (4), we obtain

$$1 \leq \frac{M}{M^*} \cdot \frac{c}{2} + \frac{d}{2M^*}.$$

But, by (5) and (2), we have

 $M^* > d$  and  $M^* \ge c \cdot M$ 

and consequently the contradiction

$$1 \leq \frac{M}{M^*} \cdot \frac{c}{2} + \frac{d}{2M^*} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1.$$

We have just proved that (1) fails and hence

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |(D_r^{(0)}x)(t)| = 0$$

which, by condition  $(R_0)$ , gives

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0.$$

**THEOREM 2.** Consider the differential equation  $(E)_0$  subject to the conditions  $(\mathbf{R}_0)$  and (A).

Then every eventually nontrivial solution of the differential equation  $(E)_0$  is nonoscillatory.

**PROOF.** Let x be an eventually nontrivial oscillatory solution of  $(E)_0$  on the whole interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can consider c and T satisfying (2) and (3). Similarly, we can choose again  $\tau_1 > \tau_2 > \cdots > \tau_{n-1} > t_1 > T$  in exactly the same way. Next, since x is eventually nontrivial and oscillatory,  $T_0$  and  $t_2$  can be chosen so that  $t_2 > T_0 > \tau_1$  and

 $|(D_r^{(0)}x)(T_0)| > 0$  and  $(D_r^{(0)}x)(t_2) = 0$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$|(D_r^{(0)}x)(t)| \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_2} |a(s)| |x(s)| ds \, ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 ds_1$$

for every  $t \in [t_1, t_2]$  and hence

$$M^* \leq M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_1(s_1)} \int_{s_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_2(s_2)} \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{t_2} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s_{n-1})} \int_{s_{n-1}}^{t_2} |a(s)| \, ds \, ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 \,,$$

where  $M = \max_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} |x(t)|$  and  $M^* = \max_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} |(D_r^{(0)}x)(t)|$ .

Therefore, by (2) and (3), we have

$$Mc \leq M^* \leq M\frac{c}{2}$$

and consequently  $M^*=0$ , which is a contradiction since by the definition of  $T_0$ ,

$$M^* \ge |(D_r^{(0)}x)(T_0)| > 0.$$

We shall now clarify the importance of Theorems 1 and 2 by applying them in the particular case where for some integer  $m, 1 \le m \le n-1$ , we have

 $r_i = 1$  for  $j \neq n - m$  and  $r_{n-m} = r$ .

More precisely, we give two corollaries concerning the differential equation

(E<sub>m</sub>) 
$$[r(t)x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + a(t)x(t) = b(t)$$

COROLLARY 1. Consider the differential equation  $(E_m)$  subject to the conditions:

(A<sub>m</sub>) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n-m-1}}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{m-1} |a(s)| ds dt < \infty$$

and

(B<sub>m</sub>) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n-m-1}}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{m-1} |b(s)| \, ds \, dt < \infty \, .$$

Then for every oscillatory solution x of the differential equation  $(E_m)$ ,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)=0.$$

**PROOF.** We have the formula

$$\int_{u}^{\infty}\int_{v}^{\infty}(s-v)^{k}p(s)ds dv = \int_{u}^{\infty}\frac{(s-u)^{k+1}}{k+1}p(s)ds$$

where p is a continuous nonnegative function on  $[u, \infty)$  and k a nonnegative integer. By this formula, it is a matter of elementary calculus to see that in the considered case the conditions (A) and (B) follow from  $(A_m)$  and  $(B_m)$  respectively.

COROLLARY 2. Consider the differential equation  $(E_m)_0$ .

$$[r(t)x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + a(t)x(t) = 0,$$

subject to the condition  $(A_m)$ .

Then every eventually nontrivial solution of the differential equation  $(E_m)_0$  is nonoscillatory.

29

**REMARK.** The above corollaries 1 and 2 are generalizations of the results in [3] still in the particular case m=n-1. ([1], [2])

## References

- A.G. Kartsatos, Oscillation properties of solutions of even order differential equations, Bull. Fac. Sci., Ibaraki Univ. 2 (1969), 9-14.
- [2] T. Kusano and H. Onose, Nonoscillation theorems for differential equations with deviating arguments, Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [3] Bhagat Singh, Forced oscillations in general ordinary differential equations, Tamkang J. Math. 6 (1975), 5-11.