Adaptive Dead-Time Compensation Strategy for Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Drive

Author(s)
Urasaki, Naomitsu; Senjyu, Tomonobu; Uezato, Katsumi; Funabashi, Toshihisa

Citation

Issue Date
2007-06

URL
http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/4588

Rights
(C)2007 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.
Adaptive Dead-Time Compensation Strategy for Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Drive

Naomitsu Urasaki, Member, IEEE, Tomonobu Senjyu, Senior Member, IEEE, Katsumi Uezato, and Toshihisa Funabashi, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper presents an adaptive dead-time compensation strategy to obtain fundamental phase voltage for inverter-fed vector-controlled permanent magnet synchronous motor drives. A phase dead-time compensation voltage (DTCV) to compensate the disturbance voltage due to undesirable characteristics of inverter, such as dead time, turn on/off time of switching devices, and on-voltages of switching devices and diode, is transformed into q-axis DTCV in the rotor reference frame. The relationship between q-axis DTCV and a dead-time compensation time (DTCT) is investigated. DTCT is identified online by using q-axis disturbance voltage, which is estimated by a disturbance observer. The amplitude of phase DTCV is adaptively determined according to the identified DTCT. The accuracy of identified DTCT is experimentally confirmed by calculating the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) between calculated active power and measured one. MAPE for adaptive DTCT is within 5% at any operating point and is less than that for the fixed DTCT.

Index Terms—Dead-time compensation voltage (DTCV), dead time compensation voltage, permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM), voltage source inverter.

I. INTRODUCTION

VOLTAGE source inverter fed permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) drives have widely been developed for the last decade. Several applications, such as rotor position sensorless drives, need an information of fundamental phase voltage [1], [2]. Since fundamental phase voltage cannot be detected from inverter output terminal, commanded voltage is usually used instead of the actual one. However, commanded voltage never agrees with the actual fundamental phase voltage due to undesirable characteristics of inverter, such as dead time, turn on/off time of switching devices, and on-voltages of switching devices and diodes. Dead time is vital to avoid short-circuit of inverter legs. Turn on/off time and on-voltages inevitably exist in practical devices. To cope with this problem, several authors have made attempt to compensate undesirable characteristics of inverter [3], [4]. In [3], a dead-time compensation voltage (DTCV) was introduced. DTCV is determined from the analytical result of the average error between ideal and actual inverter output voltages taking dead time into account. Although implementation of this method is easy, turn on/off time and on-voltages effects cannot be compensated. In [4], a dead time compensation time (DTCT) was introduced instead of dead time. DTCT equivalently includes turn on/off time and on-voltage components as well as dead time. Thus, this method can compensate not only dead time effect but also turn on/off time and on-voltages effects. Since DTCT is usually an unknown parameter, it is necessary to identify DTCT. In [4], DTCT is identified by a developed adaptive identification process in advance. Then, a fixed DTCT is utilized for dead time compensation strategy. However, the fixed DTCT is not always valid because DTCT varies with operating point [5].

To overcome this problem, an adaptive dead time compensation strategy using disturbance observer for PMSM drive has been proposed [6]. In this method, d−q axes DTCVs in the rotor reference frame are estimated online using the disturbance observer which is developed based on mathematical model of PMSM. Then, estimated d−q axes DTCVs are added to the d−q axes commanded voltages generated with current controller directly. Since fundamental frequency of d−q axes DTCV is six times of driving frequency, estimation delay occurs with increase in driving frequency. The estimation delay results in degradation of dead time compensation.

This paper presents an adaptive dead-time compensation strategy for inverter-fed vector-controlled PMSM drive. The relationship between DTCT and q-axis DTCV, when d-axis current is zero, is investigated. In this paper, it is assumed that q-axis DTCV is identical to the q-axis disturbance voltage due to undesirable characteristics of the inverter. The q-axis disturbance voltage is estimated by a disturbance observer. DTCT is calculated online using estimated q-axis disturbance voltage. An adaptive DTCT is utilized for deciding the amplitude of phase DTCV. The proposed method has an advantage that it is lightly affected to the estimation delay of disturbance observer because only the amplitude of phase DTCV is adjusted. In addition, only the q-axis disturbance observer is required for the adaptive dead time compensation strategy. Simulation and experimental results confirm the usefulness and validity of the proposed method.

II. PMSM DRIVE SYSTEM

A. Definition of Coordinate Axes

Fig. 1 shows definition of coordinate axes. The a-b-c axes are defined as the flux orientation of each phase current. The d-axis is defined as the permanent magnet flux orientation. The q-axis is defined as the advanced direction by 90° from d-axis. The d-q axes are synchronized with the rotor of PMSM.
B. System Configuration

Fig. 2 shows typical vector-controlled PMSM drive system. The proposed dead time compensator is indicated by dashed block. The detail of this compensator is discussed in Section IV. Since PMSM obtains excitation from permanent magnet on the rotor, the $d$-axis current, which is excitation component is set at zero in the typical vector-controlled PMSM drive. In Section III, DTCT when $d$-axis current is zero is formulated.

III. DEAD-TIME COMPENSATION

A. Formulation of DTCV

Fig. 3(a) shows the channel flow of $a$-phase current for positive direction ($i_a > 0$). The $a$-phase current $i_a$ flows through switching device $S_a^+$ during on-period $T_{on}$. On other way, it flows through diode $D_a^-$ during both off-period $T_{off}$ and dead time $T_d$. Thus, $a$-phase inverter output voltage $v_{AN}$ for dead time period is equal to that for off-period. The relationship between $a$-phase ideal and actual inverter output voltages for $i_a > 0$ is shown in Fig. 3(b). Due to dead time $T_d$, actual voltage becomes $v_{AN}^{dt/tn}$. In addition, due to turn on time $t_{on}$ and turn off time $t_{off}$ of switching device $S_a^+$, actual voltage becomes $v_{AN}^{dt/tn/on}$. Furthermore, due to on-voltages $v_S$ of switching device $S_a^+$ and $v_D$ of diode $D_a^-$, actual voltage becomes $v_{AN}^{dt/tn/on}$. As a result, the difference between ideal and actual inverter output voltages becomes $\Delta v_{AN} = v_{AN}^{ideal} - v_{AN}^{dt/tn/on}$.

Fig. 4(a) shows the channel flow of $a$-phase current for negative direction ($i_a < 0$). The $a$-phase current $i_a$ flows through diode $D_a^-$ during both on-period $T_{on}$ and dead time $T_d$. On other way, it flows through switching device $S_a^-$ during off-period $T_{off}$. Thus, $a$-phase inverter output voltage $v_{AN}$ for dead time period is equal to that for on-period. The relationship between $a$-phase ideal and actual inverter output voltages for $i_a < 0$ is shown in Fig. 4(b). From a similar analysis, the difference between ideal and actual inverter output voltages becomes $\Delta v_{AN} = v_{AN}^{ideal} - v_{AN}^{dt/tn/on}$.

To compensate undesirable characteristics of inverter, a DTCV is added to the commanded voltage generated with current controller in the vector-controlled PMSM drive system. Since DTCV corresponds to an average value of $\Delta v_{AN}$ over switching period $T_s$, $a$-phase DTCV $v_{com}^{a}$ is derived from the above analytical result as

$$v_{com}^{a} = \frac{T_c}{T_s} V_{dc} sgn(i_a)$$

(1)
where \( T_c, T_s, \) and \( V_{dc} \) are DTCT, switching period, and dc-link voltage, respectively. DTCT is defined as

\[
T_c = T_d + t_{on} - t_{off} + \frac{V_{on}}{V_{dc}} T_s
\]  

(2)

where \( T_d, t_{on}, t_{off}, \) and \( V_{on} \) are dead time, turn on time, turn off time, and average on-voltage, respectively. The average on-voltage \( V_{on} \) is defined as

\[
V_{on} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{t_{on}}{T_s} v_S + \frac{t_{on}}{T_s} v_D, & (i_a > 0) \\
\frac{t_{off}}{T_s} v_S + \frac{t_{on}}{T_s} v_D, & (i_a < 0)
\end{cases}
\]  

(3)

where \( v_D, v_S \) are on-voltages of diodes and switching devices and \( T_{on}, T_{off} \) are on-period and off-period of the upper-arm of inverter leg, respectively. It is noted that both average on-voltages for positive and negative current directions are almost same because on-period \( T_{on} \) for \( i_a > 0 \) is almost identical to off-period \( T_{off} \) for \( i_a < 0 \), and vice versa. Similarly, \( b \)-phase and \( c \)-phase DTCVs are also derived.

### IV. Online Identification of DTCT

#### A. \( d-q \) Axes DTCV

Fig. 6 shows the numerical results of DTCV for inverter fed PMSM drive. In these numerical results, the rotor speed of PMSM is 1500 r/min (driving frequency is 50 Hz). The
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Fig. 6. Numerical result of DTCV when $d$-axis current is zero [50 Hz (1500 r/min), 2.0 A]. (a) $a$-phase current. (b) $a$-phase DTCV. (c) Electrical angle of rotor position. (d) $d-q$ axes DTCVs.

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$v^\text{com}_d$</th>
<th>$v^\text{com}_q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 &lt; $\theta_r$ &lt; $\frac{\pi}{3}$</td>
<td>$-V^\text{max}_\text{com} \cos(\theta_r + \frac{\pi}{3})$</td>
<td>$V^\text{max}_\text{com} \sin(\theta_r + \frac{\pi}{3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{\pi}{3}$ &lt; $\theta_r$ &lt; $\frac{2\pi}{3}$</td>
<td>$-V^\text{max}_\text{com} \cos(\theta_r)$</td>
<td>$V^\text{max}_\text{com} \sin(\theta_r)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{\pi}{3}$ &lt; $\theta_r$ &lt; $\frac{2\pi}{3}$</td>
<td>$V^\text{max}_\text{com} \cos(\theta_r + \frac{\pi}{3})$</td>
<td>$-V^\text{max}_\text{com} \sin(\theta_r + \frac{\pi}{3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{2\pi}{3}$ &lt; $\theta_r$ &lt; $\frac{4\pi}{3}$</td>
<td>$V^\text{max}_\text{com} \cos(\theta_r)$</td>
<td>$-V^\text{max}_\text{com} \sin(\theta_r)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Fig. 6(d), $q$-axis DTCV $v^\text{com}_q$ is a dc voltage with pulsation. The maximum value of $q$-axis DTCV is given as

$$V^\text{com}_\text{max} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} T_c T_s V_{dc}.$$  

(5)

It is noted that maximum value $V^\text{com}_\text{max}$ is proportional to DTCT $T_c$ as long as both switching period $T_s$ and dc-link voltage $V_{dc}$ are constants. In other words, $V^\text{com}_\text{max}$ varies with $T_c$. On the other hand, $d$-axis DTCV $v^\text{com}_d$ is an ac voltage. Its amplitude corresponds to $V^\text{com}_\text{max}/2$. The $d-q$ axes DTCVs vary every $\pi/3$ rad on rotor position, i.e., fundamental frequency of $d-q$ axes DTCVs is six times of driving frequency.

Table II summarizes theoretical $d-q$ axes DTCVs for rotor position for $i_d = 0$. Average $q$-axis DTCV over $\pi/3$ rad is derived from Table II as

$$V^\text{com}_\text{ave} = \frac{3}{\pi} V^\text{com}_\text{max} \int_0^{\pi/3} \sin(\theta_r + \pi/3) d\theta_r = \frac{3}{\pi} V^\text{com}_\text{max}.$$  

(6)

DTCT $T_c$ is identified based on the information of average $q$-axis DTCV.

B. Identification of DTCT

Phase DTCV corresponds to the average value of the difference between ideal and actual inverter output voltages over switching period. It is important for dead-time compensation strategy to determine DTCV adequately. As can be seen from (1), the amplitude of phase DTCV is proportional to DTCT $T_c$ as long as both switching period $T_s$ and dc-link voltage $V_{dc}$ are constants. DTCT is usually an unknown parameter. Furthermore, DTCT varies with operating point as indicated in Section III. Then, DTCT is identified online.
Rearranging (5) gives the analytical equation of DTCT as

$$T_e = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} \frac{v_{\text{ave}}}{V_{\text{dc}}} T_s. \quad (7)$$

Since dc-link voltage $V_{\text{dc}}$ and switching period $T_s$ are known parameters, only the maximum $q$-axis DTCV $V_{\text{ave}}$ is estimated for the sake of calculation of DTCT. DTCT is identified by the following procedure. It is assumed that undesirable characteristics of inverter yield $d$-$q$ axes disturbance voltages $(v_{d}^{\text{dt}}, v_{q}^{\text{dt}})$ in current control loop on the vector-controlled PMSM drive system. Since $q$-axis disturbance voltage $v_{q}^{\text{dt}}$ to be compensated corresponds to $q$-axis DTCV $v_{q}^{\text{com}}$, its maximum value is regarded as $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$. A disturbance observer estimates $q$-axis disturbance voltage $v_{q}^{\text{dt}}$. The maximum value of estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage is regarded as $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$. However, it is difficult to detect the reliable maximum value of $q$-axis disturbance voltage when estimated $q$-axis voltage is unsettled. In order to overcome this problem, DTCT is identified based on average $q$-axis DTCV $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$ instead of maximum $q$-axis DTCV $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$. The relationship between DTCT $T_e$ and average $q$-axis DTCV $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$ is derived from (6) and (7) as

$$T_e = \frac{\pi \sqrt{6}}{3} \frac{V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}}{V_{\text{dc}}} T_s. \quad (8)$$

Regarding the average value of estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage as $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$ gives the identification equation of DTCT as

$$\hat{T}_e = \frac{\pi \sqrt{6}}{3} \frac{V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}}{V_{\text{dc}}} T_s. \quad (9)$$

As can be seen from Table II, $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$ can be estimated using $d$-axis disturbance voltage because it is also related to $d$-axis DTCV $v_{d}^{\text{com}}$. However, the maximum value of estimated $d$-axis disturbance voltage in high speed region does not agree with that of $d$-axis DTCV any longer because the fundamental frequency of $d$-axis disturbance voltage exceeds the bandwidth of disturbance observer. In addition, since the average value of $d$-axis DTCV is theoretically equal to zero, the average value of estimated $d$-axis voltage has no use for identification of DTCT. In contrast, (9) hardly suffers from increase of driving frequency because $q$-axis disturbance voltage is a dc voltage and its average value is utilized for calculation of DTCT. For above reasons, DTCT is calculated using $q$-axis disturbance voltage.

### C. Estimation of $q$-Axis Disturbance Voltage

This paper focuses on dead time compensation for the vector-controlled PMSM. Disturbance observer for $q$-axis disturbance voltage is derived from the voltage equation of PMSM in the rotor reference frame. The voltage equation is given as

$$\begin{align*}
v_d &= R_i d + L p i_d + v_{\text{dd}} \\
v_q &= R_i q + L p i_q + v_{\text{qd}}
\end{align*} \quad (10)$$

where $R, L,$ and $p$ are armature resistance, armature inductance, and differential operator, respectively. Decoupling voltages are defined as

$$\begin{align*}
v_{\text{dd}} &= -\omega_c L_i q \\
v_{\text{qd}} &= \omega_c (L_i d + K_e)
\end{align*} \quad (11)$$

where $\omega_c$ and $K_e$ are electrical angular velocity and electromotive force (emf) constant, respectively.

The commanded voltage generated with current controller without dead time compensation strategy increases by disturbance voltages $(v_{d}^{\text{dt}}, v_{q}^{\text{dt}})$ to reduce current error against the disturbance voltage. The voltage equation for current control loop is expressed as

$$\begin{align*}
v_d &= R_i d + L p i_d + v_{\text{dd}} + v_{d}^{\text{dt}} \\
v_q &= R_i q + L p i_q + v_{\text{qd}} + v_{q}^{\text{dt}}
\end{align*} \quad (12)$$

where superscript “∗” denotes commanded value. As a result, commanded voltage disagrees with actual one, i.e., (12) disagrees with (10).

In contrast, the voltage equation for current control loop with the dead time compensation strategy is given as

$$\begin{align*}
v_d^* &= R_i d + L p i_d + v_{\text{dd}} + v_{d}^{\text{dt}} \\
v_q^* &= R_i q + L p i_q + v_{\text{qd}} + v_{q}^{\text{dt}}
\end{align*} \quad (13)$$

As can be seen from (13), commanded voltages $(v_d^*, v_q^*)$ correspond to actual one $(v_d, v_q)$ provided that $d$-$q$ axes DTCVs $(v_{d}^{\text{com}}, v_{q}^{\text{com}})$ agree with $d$-$q$ axes disturbance voltages $(v_{d}^{\text{dt}}, v_{q}^{\text{dt}})$.

In this paper, only $q$-axis disturbance observer is developed because $q$-axis disturbance voltage is utilized for identification of DTCT. Assuming that $q$-axis disturbance voltage seldom changes during control period, i.e., $\dot{v}_{q}^{\text{dt}} = 0$, the state equation and output equation of PMSM taking disturbance voltage into account are expressed as

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= d \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\dot{i}_q}{v_{q}^{\text{dt}}} \\
\frac{\dot{v}_{q}^{\text{dt}}}{v_{q}^{\text{dt}}}
\end{array} \right] \\
&= \left[ \begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{N}{L} & -\frac{1}{L} \\
0 & \frac{1}{L}
\end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\dot{i}_q}{v_{q}^{\text{dt}}} \\
\frac{\dot{v}_{q}^{\text{dt}}}{v_{q}^{\text{dt}}}
\end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{L} \\
0
\end{array} \right] (v_q - v_{qd}) (14)
\end{align*}$$

where $v_q = v_q^* + v_{q}^{\text{com}}$, $x$ and $y$ are state vector and output vector, respectively. From (14) and (15), disturbance observer is derived as

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= d \left[ \frac{\dot{i}_q}{v_{q}^{\text{dt}}} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
-G_1 - \frac{8}{L} - \frac{1}{L} \\
-G_2 - 0
\end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c}
G_1 \\
G_2
\end{array} \right] i_q + \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{L} \\
0
\end{array} \right] (v_q - v_{qd}) (16)
\end{align*}$$

where $G_1 = -(R/L + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$, $G_2 = -L\gamma_1\gamma_2$, $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are observer poles.

The part framed by dashed line in Fig. 2 is the proposed dead-time compensator. Disturbance observer estimates $q$-axis disturbance voltage $v_{q}^{\text{dt}}$ with the use of $q$-axis current $i_q$ and $q$-axis voltage $v_q^*$. Then, estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage is averaged by the following procedure illustrated in Fig. 7.

1) Estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage $v_{q}^{\text{dt}}$ is integrated during the interval from $t_k$ to $t_{k+1}$, Here, sampling points $t_k$ and $t_{k+1}$ mean first and second zero cross points of $a$-phase current $i_a$, respectively.
2) At sampling point $t_{k+n_1}$, integrated $q$-axis disturbance voltage is averaged as

$$V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}} = \frac{\sum v_q^{\text{int}}}{n_1}. \quad (17)$$

3) Average value $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$ is utilized for identification of DTCT during the interval from $t_{k+n_1}$ to $t_{k+n_2}$.

4) $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$ is updated at sampling point $t_{k+n_2}$ and utilized during the interval from $t_{k+n_2}$ to $t_{k+n_3}$, sequentially.

DTCT $T_c$ is calculated from (9) using estimated average $q$-axis disturbance voltage $V_{\text{ave}}^{\text{com}}$. Then, $a$-phase DTCV $v_a^{\text{com}}$ is calculated from (1). Similarly, $b$-phase and $c$-phase DTCVs ($v_b^{\text{com}}, v_c^{\text{com}}$) are also calculated. After these DTCVs are added to commanded voltages ($v_a^*, v_b^*, v_c^*$), corrected voltages ($v_a', v_b', v_c'$) are utilized for PWM inverter.

V. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Table III shows the specifications of tested PMSM employed in simulation and experiment. Two PI controllers are utilized for speed controller (SC) and current controller (CC) in the vector-controlled PMSM drive system indicated in Fig. 2. Control parameters are shown in Table IV. The pole of observer has been selected carefully because it affects the performance of disturbance observer. In this case, the actual value of $q$-axis disturbance voltage cannot be obtained, while the actual value of $q$-axis current can be obtained. For this reason, the poles of observer are selected based on the accuracy of estimated $q$-axis current. Fig. 8 shows the estimation results of $q$-axis current for various poles $\gamma = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2$. For $\gamma = -1000$, estimation is poor, i.e., estimated current pulsates. For $\gamma = -2000$, $q$-axis current is estimated without serious delay. For $\gamma = -3000$, $q$-axis current is estimated well, that is, high-frequency components are also estimated. However, high-frequency components are not required in the proposed method because estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage is averaged. For system stability point of view, the pole of observer has been conservatively selected at $-2000$.

Fig. 9 shows the simulation result for the proposed dead-time compensation strategy. The rotor speed of PMSM is 300 r/min (driving frequency is 10 Hz). The load torque is 0.18 N·m (36.5% of the rated torque). The $d$-axis current $i_d$ is controlled at zero. In this simulation, the desired DTCT $T_c$ is set at 3 $\mu$s. Fig. 9(a) shows $q$-axis disturbance voltage $v_q^{\text{int}}$ estimated by disturbance observer. Although estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage (solid line) practically agrees with ideal $q$-axis DTCV (dashed line), the maximum value of estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage is extremely greater than that of ideal $q$-axis DTCV in some
instants. An extreme value results in improper estimation of the maximum value of q-axis disturbance voltage. As a result, DTCT is improperly identified. To overcome this problem, the average value of estimated q-axis disturbance voltage is utilized for identification of DTCT. The average value of estimated q-axis disturbance voltage is shown in Fig. 9(b). As can be confirmed from Fig. 9(b), there is not prominent error between the average values of q-axis disturbance voltage and ideal q-axis DTCV. Fig. 9(c) shows q-axis DTCV estimated by the method illustrated in Fig. 7.

Fig. 10 shows a-phase current with/without dead time compensation strategy. Distortion of phase current is suppressed by applying the proposed dead time compensation strategy.

Fig. 11 shows a-phase commanded voltage with/without the proposed dead time compensation strategy. In order to confirm that commanded voltage quantitatively agrees with fundamental phase voltage of inverter, the commanded voltage is compared with output voltage when we use an ideal power source (sinusoidal source). Commanded voltage without dead-time compensation strategy disagrees with ideal output voltage because the commanded voltage is adjusted so as to reduce current error against disturbance voltage due to undesirable characteristics of inverter in feedback current control loop. Although the effect of disturbance voltage is somewhat suppressed by applying feedback current control, the waveform of commanded voltage is not sinusoidal any longer. In contrast, commanded voltage with the proposed dead-time compensation strategy almost agrees with ideal output voltage. In other words, since the proposed dead time compensator performs well, it is not necessary that current controller reacts against disturbance voltage. As a result, waveform of commanded voltage is sinusoidal.

Fig. 12 shows the experimental results for the proposed dead-time compensation strategy illustrated in Fig. 2. Most of the parts of the system shown in Fig. 2 are implemented in a DSP TMS320C32. The operating conditions are same as the simulation. Fig. 12(a) shows the estimation result of q-axis disturbance voltage. It is confirmed from this figure that estimated q-axis disturbance voltage is dc voltage with pulsation. The fundamental frequency of this pulsation is six times of driving frequency as ideal q-axis DTCV. Estimated q-axis disturbance voltage is averaged as shown in Fig. 12(b). DTCT is calculated from (9) using estimated average q-axis DTCV. Then, the amplitude of a-phase DTCV is decided as shown in Fig. 12(c).

As can be seen from Fig. 13, distortion of a-phase current is suppressed by applying the proposed dead-time compensation strategy. The a-phase commanded voltage for the proposed dead time compensation strategy is compared with commanded voltage without dead-time compensation strategy in Fig. 14. Although this experimental result resembles the simulation result shown in Fig. 11, quantitative evaluations are impossible from this figure because the fundamental output voltage of inverter
is not available in this experiment. In order to evaluate performance of the proposed dead-time compensation strategy, commanded voltage is utilized for calculation of active power and the calculated active power is compared with measured active power. The detail of this result is discussed at the end of this section.

Fig. 15 shows the simulation result for the identification of DTCT at various operating points. In this simulation, the desired DTCT is set at 3 $\mu$s. DTCT can be identified within range of 3.0% (average error is 1.8%) regardless of operating point. Identified DTCT tends to be slightly greater than desired DTCT because averaging of estimated $q$-axis disturbance voltage cannot perfectly clear off extreme values. Nevertheless, it is acceptable error range in this system.

Fig. 16 shows the experimental results for the identification of DTCT at various operating points. In our experimental setup, a generator coupled with tested PMSM is utilized as load system. Load torque for low speed region is limited due to low output power of the generator. As expected earlier, identified DTCT tends to increase with decreasing rotor speed. On the other
Fig. 17. Comparison between calculated and measured active power for various operating points: (a) 300 r/min, (b) 500 r/min, (c) 1000 r/min, and (d) 1500 r/min.

In order to evaluate the proposed dead-time compensation strategy quantitatively, the active power calculated with the use of commanded voltage is compared with the active power measured with the help of digital power meter WT230. The calculated active power is obtained from

\[ P_{\text{cin}} = v^*_{id}i_d + v^*_{iq}i_q \]  

where \( v^*_{id} \) and \( v^*_{iq} \) are d-q axes commanded voltages and \( i_d \) and \( i_q \) are the d-q axes currents.

Fig. 17 shows the MAPE of calculated active power for various DTCT conditions. MAPE is defined as

\[ E_p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \frac{|P_{\text{cin}} - P_{\text{m}}|}{P_{\text{m}}} \right) \times 100 \]  

where \( P_{\text{cin}}, P_{\text{m}}, \) and \( N \) denote calculated active power, measured active power, and numbers of data, respectively. In order to confirm the validity of the proposed method, MAPE for adaptive DTCT is compared with that for both non-DTCT (\( T_c = 0 \mu s \)) and fixed DTCT (\( T_c = 3 \mu s \)).

MAPE for non-DTCT is the largest for any operating point. It is noted that the value of MAPE for non-DTCT is inscribed in this figure because it exceeds the scale of vertical axis. Comparison between adaptive DTCT and fixed DTCT offers the following remarks.

1) MAPE for adaptive DTCT is generally less than that for fixed DTCT.

2) Remarkable differences of MAPE appears at the operating point in which identified DTCT deviates from 3 \( \mu \)s. For example, MAPE for adaptive DTCT is much less than that for fixed DTCT at operating point (300 r/min, 0.8 A). On the other hand, MAPE for adaptive DTCT is almost same as MAPE for fixed DTCT at 1500 r/min because identified DTCT at this operating point is close to 3 \( \mu \)s.

The fact that MAPE for adaptive DTCT is within 5% confirms the validity of the proposed dead time compensation strategy.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed the adaptive dead-time compensation strategy for typical vector-controlled PMSM drive in which d-axis current is set at zero. In the first phase, it has been pointed out that the DTCT that includes dead time, turn on/off time of switching devices, and on-voltage components of switching devices and diodes varies with operating point. In the second phase, the relationship between DTCT and q-axis DTCV in the rotor reference frame has been analyzed and the analytical equation of DTCT has been derived. DTCT is identified online with the use of estimated q-axis disturbance voltage in current control loop in the vector-controlled PMSM drive system with the assumption that q-axis DTCV corresponds to q-axis disturbance voltage to be compensated. Identified DTCT is adaptively utilized for the dead time compensation strategy. In order to confirm the validity of the proposed dead time compensation strategy, simulation and experimental results have been performed. Commanded voltage with the proposed dead time compensation strategy agrees with ideal output voltage in the simulation. The active power calculated with the use of commanded voltage agrees with the active power measured with digital power meter within 5% MAPE in experimentation. Furthermore, MAPE for adaptive DTCT is much less than that for fixed DTCT in low-speed region. The accuracy of the armature resistance is also required to obtain fundamental phase voltage. The next research goal is to compensate the effect of armature resistance variation in low-speed region.
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