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## 1 Spin-spin coupling

Below, our XMCD data are analyzed neglecting spinorbit coupling. We use a spin Hamiltonian describing the exchange coupling between the spins of the two ions and a Zeeman energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{spin}}=J \hat{s}_{1} \cdot \hat{s}_{2}-\mu_{\mathrm{B}} g_{s}\left(\hat{s}_{1}+\hat{s}_{2}\right) \cdot \vec{B} \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{s}_{1}$ and $\hat{s}_{2}$ are the spin operators $(S=1 / 2)$ at the ion sites. $J$ is the exchange coupling constant, $g_{s}$ the spin g-factor and $\vec{B}$ the magnetic field. The occupation of the eigenstates is then determined with Boltzmann statistics. Figure S1 shows the evolution of the average spin moment per Co ion as a function of temperature for different $J$ values. The best agreement with the data is obtained for $J=1.3 \mathrm{meV}$ (red curve). As stated in the manuscript, this analysis is incorrect albeit leading to a good fit.


Figure S1: Evolution of the spin moment per Co ion inferred from XMCD as a function of temperature (black dots). The colored curves are from the spin Hamiltonian with different $J$ as indicated in the legend.


Figure S2: XA spectra of di-Co powder (green line) and of $\approx 1$ ML di-Co on $\mathrm{Au}(111)$ (dashed black line) at room temperature $\mathbf{a}$ before and $\mathbf{b}$ after background subtraction and scaling. The spectra are averages of four sweeps. XA and XMCD spectra of $\approx 1$ ML di-Co on $\mathrm{Au}(111)$ acquired under $\mathbf{c}$ normal and $\mathbf{d}$ grazing incidence in a field of 5 T and at 1.5 K . Sum rules applied to the spectra yield $\mathbf{c}\left\langle m_{s}\right\rangle \approx 0,\left\langle m_{l}\right\rangle \approx 0.1 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathbf{d}\left\langle m_{s}\right\rangle \approx 0.2 \mu_{\mathrm{B}},\left\langle m_{l}\right\rangle \approx 0.3 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. The XMCD spectra were obtained from eight XA sweeps acquired with different photon helicities and field directions. As the measurements were carried out on different beamlines, the green spectra in $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}$ have been shifted by $\approx-2 \mathrm{eV}$ to match the dashed curve.

## 2 XAS and XMCD on di-Co on $\mathrm{Au}(111)$

Figure S 2 b shows that the x -ray absorption (XA) spectrum of $\approx 1$ ML di-Co on $\mathrm{Au}(111)$ (dashed curve) essentially exhibits the same features as that of di-Co powder. This suggests that the oxidation state and the spin states of the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion are not modified by the adsorption on $\mathrm{Au}(111)$. XMCD data from the 1 ML sample strongly depend on the photon incidence angle. Under normal incidence (magnetic field perpendicular to the surface), sum rules ${ }^{\text {S1-S3 }}$ lead to $\left\langle m_{s}\right\rangle \approx 0$, whereas under grazing incidence, we find $\left\langle m_{s}\right\rangle \approx 0.2 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. These results indicate that a magnetic field of 5 T is insufficient to rotate a fraction of the spins out of the molecular plane at 1.5 K , whereas a significant fraction may be aligned in-plane under similar conditions. The observations are consistent with the magnetic anisotropy inferred from our powder measurements and DFT calculations.

## 3 Simulation of the projected magnetic moment

The Hamiltonian of Equation 1 of the main manuscript is diagonalized in the $\left|m_{1}, S_{1, z}, m_{2}, S_{2, z}\right\rangle$ basis leading to $N$ eigenvalues $E_{i}$ and eigenvectors $V_{i}(N=100$ with $L_{1}=L_{2}=2$ and $\left.S_{1}=S_{2}=1 / 2\right)$. The expectation value of an operator $\hat{X}$ at a given temperature reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle X\rangle=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\exp \left(\frac{E_{i}-E_{0}}{k_{B} T}\right)}{Z} V_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{X} V_{i}, \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant and $Z$ the partition function.

XMCD is sensitive to the projection of the spin and orbital momenta on the photon-incidence axis (equivalent to the magnetic field axis in the experiment). For polar and azimuthal angles $\theta$ and $\phi$ between $\vec{B}$ and the $z$ axis of a molecule, the measured spin moment reads

$$
m_{s}(\theta, \phi)=2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sin \theta \cos \phi  \tag{S3}\\
\sin \theta \sin \phi \\
\cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle S_{1, x}\right\rangle+\left\langle S_{2, x}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle S_{1, y}\right\rangle+\left\langle S_{2, y}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle S_{1, z}\right\rangle+\left\langle S_{2, z}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right) .
$$

As molecules in powder are randomly oriented, the moment effectively measured is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle m_{s}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi} m_{s}(\theta, \phi) d \theta d \phi  \tag{S4}\\
=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi / 2} m_{s}(\theta, \phi) d \theta
\end{gather*}
$$

Equivalent considerations give the average orbital moment $\left\langle m_{l}\right\rangle$. Simulations integrated over the polar angle $\theta$ have been carried out to reproduce the temperaturedependent measurements shown in Figure 2 of the main manuscript.

## 4 Mixing of $d$ orbitals

As explained in the manuscript, we constructed a Hamiltonian $H_{\text {Hyb }}$ mixing and shifting $\left|l, m, s_{z}\right\rangle$ states, where the parameters have been adjusted to approximately reproduce the PDOS inferred from DFT calculations. The spin-orbit Hamiltonian $H_{\text {SO }}$ was then added to $H_{\mathrm{Hyb}}$ and the corresponding eigenstates calculated. The projection of the eigenstates $(|n\rangle$ with $n=1, . ., 10)$ on the $d$ orbitals are shown in Table S1 along with the energy and orbital moments of the states.

Table S1: Composition of the eigenstates with SOC. $E$ and $\left\langle\mu_{x}\right\rangle$ are the energy and the orbital magnetic moment along $x$, respectively. Components with coefficients smaller than 0.01 are neglected for clarity. For example, the fourth state $|4\rangle=0.11\left|d_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}^{\uparrow}\right\rangle+0.28\left|d_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}^{\uparrow}\right\rangle+0.94 i\left|d_{\mathrm{yz}}^{\uparrow}\right\rangle-0.16\left|d_{\mathrm{xz}}^{\downarrow}\right\rangle+0.01 i\left|d_{\mathrm{xy}}^{\downarrow}\right\rangle$ has an energy of -0.435 eV with respect to the Fermi level and an orbital momentum $\langle 4| \hat{l}_{x}|4\rangle=-1.14$.

| State | $\|1\rangle$ | $\|2\rangle$ | $\|3\rangle$ | $\|4\rangle$ | $\|5\rangle$ | $\|6\rangle$ | $\|7\rangle$ | $\|8\rangle$ | $\|9\rangle$ | $\|10\rangle$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{eV})$ | -0.747 | -0.630 | -0.614 | -0.435 | -0.290 | -0.147 | -0.045 | 0.596 | 1.953 | 2.492 |
| $\left\langle\mu_{x}\right\rangle\left(\mu_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.95 | -1.14 | -0.07 | -0.07 | -0.31 | 0.38 | -0.02 | 0.02 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}^{\uparrow}$ | -0.99 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0 | -0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.02 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}^{\uparrow}$ | 0 | 0.99 | 0 | 0 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.09 | -0.03 | 0.01 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}^{\uparrow}$ | -0.02 | 0 | -0.95 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}^{\uparrow}$ | $-0.12 i$ | 0 | $-0.30 i$ | $0.94 i$ | 0 | $-0.13 i$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.01 i$ |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{\top}$ | 0 | $0.01 i$ | 0 | 0 | $-0.03 i$ | 0 | 0 | $0.02 i$ | $-1.00 i$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}^{\downarrow}$ | 0 | -0.10 | 0 | 0 | -0.99 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}^{\downarrow}$ | -0.06 | 0 | 0.10 | -0.16 | 0 | 0.98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}^{\downarrow}$ | 0 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.99 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}^{\downarrow}$ | 0 | $0.02 i$ | 0 | 0 | $0.03 i$ | 0 | $0.09 i$ | $0.99 i$ | $0.02 i$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{\downarrow}$ | $-0.02 i$ | 0 | 0 | $0.01 i$ | 0 | $-0.01 i$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1.00 i$ |

## 5 Manipulation of tert-butyl groups

Table S 2 shows the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy as a function of the number of abstracted methyl groups. The calculations confirm the experimentally observed trend of reduced anisotropy energy with the number of manipulations (see for instance $\Delta E_{y}$ ).

Table S2: Influence of methyl abstraction on the magnetic properties. $E_{\mathrm{FM}}-E_{\mathrm{AF}}$ is the energy difference between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic alignments of the spins. $E_{i}$ is the energy cost when the spins are along the $i$ axis. All energies are given in meV.

| removed <br> methyls | $E_{\mathrm{FM}}-E_{\mathrm{AF}}$ | $E_{x}$ | $E_{y}$ | $E_{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 4.17 | 3.08 |
| 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 3.29 | 3.45 |
| 2 | 1.9 | 0 | 2.72 | 2.55 |

## 6 Spatial extent of the magnetic excitations

Figure S 3 shows the evolution of the $d I / d V$ spectra along the Co-Co axis of a molecule (red squares). Magnetic excitations are only observed in the vicinity of the Co ions (spectra in red), while the spectra acquired at other positions are essentially featureless (spectra in black). This set of data highlights the central role of the Co ions for the magnetic properties.


Figure S3: a STM image of a di-Co complex with a superimposed molecular model ( 2 nm wide, $V=100 \mathrm{mV}$, $I=100 \mathrm{pA}) . \mathbf{b d I} / \mathrm{dV}$ spectra acquired at the positions of the red squares in a (bottom to top spectra correspond to left to right squares). The spectra highlighted in red exhibit steps due to magnetic excitation. The feedback was opened at $V=50 \mathrm{mV}, I=500 \mathrm{pA}$ and the spectra are vertically shifted for clarity.

## $7 \quad$ Fits of $d I / d V$ spectra

Differential-conductance spectra were fitted with temperature-broadened step functions and Frota functions at the positions of the steps ${ }^{S 4}$ in addition to a cubic background:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma= & \sigma_{0}+a V+b V^{2}+c V^{3}  \tag{S5}\\
& +h_{0}^{-} \Theta\left(\frac{E_{0}+e V}{k_{B} T}\right)+h_{0}^{+} \Theta\left(\frac{E_{0}-e V}{k_{B} T}\right) \\
& +h_{K}^{-} g\left(E_{0}+e V, \Gamma_{K}\right)+h_{K}^{+} g\left(E_{0}-e V, \Gamma_{K}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Theta$ is a temperature-broadened step function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x)=\frac{1+(x-1) \exp (x)}{(\exp (x)-1)^{2}} \tag{S6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g$ the Frota function: ${ }^{\text {S5,S6 }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(E, \Gamma_{K}\right)=\Im\left[i e^{i \phi} \sqrt{\frac{i \Gamma_{K}}{E+i \Gamma_{K}}}\right] \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure S4: a $d I / d V$ spectrum acquired atop a $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion (black) along with a fit with Equation S5 (red) giving $E_{0}=8.78 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{meV}, \Gamma_{K}=2.60 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{meV}$, and $T=9.44 \pm 0.16 \mathrm{~K}$. The green, blue and gray curves respectively show the spin-excitation, Frota, and background components of the fit. The vertical solid line indicates the energy $E_{0}$, while the dashed vertical line highlights the effective energy of the step. The spectrum is acquired at a relative height $\Delta=-290 \mathrm{pm}$. b Spin-excitation energy $E_{0}$ extracted from $d I / d V$ spectra taken at various relative heights $\Delta z$ above a Co center. The confidence intervals inferred from the fits are smaller than the size of the points. The height reference $\Delta z=0$ is obtained when tunneling with $V=40 \mathrm{mV}$ and $I=100 \mathrm{pA} . \Delta z=-80,-280,-320 \mathrm{pm}$ respectively correspond to initial currents of $I=0.5,130,550 \mathrm{nA}$ $(V=40 \mathrm{meV})$. c $E_{0}$ versus $\Delta z^{\prime}$ acquired over another molecule with a different tip. The height reference $\Delta z^{\prime}=0$ is obtained when tunneling with $V=50 \mathrm{mV}$ and $I=100 \mathrm{pA}$.

Fits of $d I / d V$ spectra with Equation $S 5$ reproduce the main structures of the spectra. An example is shown in Figure S4a ( $d I / d V$ in black, fit in red). The additional curves in gray, green, and blue, respectively, represent the contributions of the background, the temperaturebroadened step functions, and the Frota functions.

When Frota-like overshoots are present, the positions of the $d I / d V$ steps (example shown by dotted vertical line) do not directly correspond to the magneticexcitation energy $E_{0}$ (solid vertical line). As evident from Figure S4a, the Frota contributions effectively re-
duce the gap width. When the amplitudes of the two Frota functions differ, the gap actually becomes asymmetric about the Fermi level.

Spectra were acquired atop a $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion for different relative heights. The spectra of Figure 4 of the main manuscript, along with other intermediate measurements, have been fitted using Equation S5. The resulting $E_{0}$ are plotted in Figure S 4 b as a function of the relative height $\Delta z$. We observe that values of $E_{0}$ drastically decrease from $\Delta z=-280$ to -320 pm as the tip approaches the Co ion.

Although the decrease of $E_{0}$ for $\Delta z=-300 \mathrm{pm}$ is relatively clear, the apparent increase of $E_{0}$ from $\Delta z=$ 0 to -100 pm (Figure S4b) should be interpreted with caution. Similar data acquired over another molecule with a different tip suggest that $E_{0}$ is constant between $\delta z=-110$ and -50 pm (Figure S4c). The difference between the data sets indicates that the uncertainty of $E_{0}$ is likely on the order of $\pm 1 \mathrm{meV}$ and hence larger than the confidence intervals of the adjustable model parameters $(\approx \pm 0.1 \mathrm{meV})$.

## 8 Influence of the spin-orbit coupling constant

The excitation energies in $d I / d V$ spectra for tunneling conditions close to $\Delta z=0$ vary from $\approx 7$ to 11 meV from molecule to molecule. The different spectra can be reproduced by adjusting $\zeta$ without significantly affecting the temperature evolutions of $\left\langle\mu_{\text {spin }}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mu_{\text {orb }}\right\rangle$ (Figure S5).


Figure S5: Simulated $d I / d V$ spectra using Equation 2 of the main manuscript with $J=3 \mathrm{meV}$ and $\zeta=$ $-6,-8,-10 \mathrm{meV}$. Simulated temperature dependencies of $\mathbf{b}\left\langle\mu_{\text {spin }}\right\rangle$ and $\mathbf{c}\left\langle\mu_{\text {orb }}\right\rangle$ using the same parameters as in $\mathbf{a}$.
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