



Document downloaded from the institutional repository of the University of Alcalá: <http://dspace.uah.es/dspace/>

This is a postprint version of the following published document:

Schulz, J.J., Cayuela, L., Echeverría, C., Salas, J. & Rey Benayas, J.M. 2010, "Monitoring land cover change of the dryland forest landscape of Central Chile (1975–2008)", *Applied Geography*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 436-447.

Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2009.12.003>

© 2009 Elsevier

Universidad
de Alcalá

(Article begins on next page)



This work is licensed under a
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives
4.0 International License.

1 **Abstract**

2 Land cover and its configuration in the landscape is a crucial component in the provision of
3 biodiversity and ecosystem services. In Mediterranean regions, natural landscapes mostly
4 covered by evergreen vegetation have been to a large extent transformed into cultural landscapes
5 since long time ago. We investigated land cover changes in Central Chile using multi-temporal
6 satellite imagery taken in 1975, 1985, 1999 and 2008. The major trends in this highly dynamic
7 landscape were reduction of dryland forest and conversion of shrubland to intensive land uses
8 such as farmland. The average net annual deforestation rate was -1.7%, and shrubland reduction
9 occurred at an annual rate of -0.7%; agriculture, urban areas and timber plantations increased at
10 annual rates of 1.1%, 2.7% and 3.2%, respectively, during the 1975-2008 period. Total forest and
11 shrubland loss rates were partly offset by passive revegetation. However, most of the areas that
12 were passively revegetated remained as shrubland and did not turn into forests due to a low
13 capacity of forest recovery. This resulted in a progressive loss and degradation of dryland forest
14 over the entire region. Overall, the documented land cover changes increase provisioning
15 services such as crops, cattle, and timber that are characteristic of cultural landscapes in the area
16 but may cause an irreversible loss of biodiversity and a depletion of other ecological services
17 provided by forests and shrubland. The implications for conservation of this area and the need
18 for territorial planning and adapted land use strategies are discussed.

19

20 **Keywords:** Deforestation; Mediterranean; Sclerophyllous forest; Remote sensing; Vegetation
21 recovery.

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Natural landscapes, i.e. those unaffected or hardly affected by human activities, are being
3 transformed into cultural landscapes throughout the world (Foley et al., 2005; Feranec et al.,
4 2010; López and Sierra, 2010). This transformation trades off the biodiversity and ecosystem
5 services which are characteristic of both types of landscapes, e.g. higher levels of biodiversity
6 and supporting and regulating services in natural landscapes versus higher levels of provisioning
7 services such as crop and timber production in cultural landscapes (Millenium Ecosystem
8 Assessment, 2005; Rey Benayas et al., 2009). As the characteristics of land cover have important
9 impacts on climate, biogeochemistry, hydrology and species diversity, land cover change has
10 been indicated as one of the high priority concerns for research and for the development of
11 strategies for sustainable management (Turner et al., 1993; Vitousek, 1994). In recent years,
12 special attention has been given to land use changes and dryland degradation (Reynolds et al.,
13 2007). Vegetation cover in these ecosystems with limited primary productivity plays a crucial
14 role in providing services such as climate and water regulation (Millennium Ecosystem
15 Assessment, 2005).

16
17 Mediterranean ecosystems are a particular type of dryland that account for less than 5% of the
18 Earth's surface but host about 20% of the world's plant species, many of which are endemic
19 (Cowling et al., 1996). Land degradation, i.e. the substantial decrease in the biological
20 productivity of the land system, resulting from human activities rather than natural events
21 (Johnson and Lewis, 2006) is an important issue in many Mediterranean regions (Conacher and
22 Sala, 1998; Geri et al., 2010). Loss of natural vegetation cover is often a precedent to soil erosion
23 and deterioration of the water storage capacity; these modifications of the land system may lead

1 to desertification due to longer term factors such as climate change, triggering short term
2 degradation of ecosystems by humans (Reynolds and Stafford-Smith, 2002). Nevertheless, in the
3 Mediterranean basin and California, the loss of vegetation cover has been partly counterbalanced
4 by vegetation recovery over the last decade (Carmel and Flather, 2004; Lasanta et al., 2006;
5 Mouillot et al., 2005; Pueyo and Beguería, 2007; Romero-Calcerrada and Perry, 2004), which
6 occurred mostly due to concentration of crop production and abandonment of less productive
7 farmland. The polarisation between more intensive and more extensive use of land has been
8 described as the main trend of current landscape changes (Antrop, 2003).

9
10 In Mediterranean Central Chile, however, this trend is less clear. Whereas land abandonment is
11 occurring in some areas as a result of soil degradation, threats to sclerophyllous forests and
12 shrublands, such as urban and agricultural expansion, cattle grazing, logging for firewood, and
13 introduction of alien species, still persist throughout the region. Some studies have described
14 vegetation degradation in Central Chile concerning disturbances of successional trajectories at a
15 rather local scale (e.g. Balduzzi et al., 1982, Fuentes et al., 1989; Holmgren, 2002) and pressures
16 on vegetation due to land occupation patterns (Ovalle, 1996). Common patterns of landscape
17 change throughout Central Chile, including the description of severe reduction of natural
18 vegetation, have been described rather qualitatively (e.g., Armesto et al., 2007; Aronson et al.,
19 1998). So far, these processes have not been mapped and quantified at a regional scale, and
20 change trajectories among land cover types have not been systematically evaluated and
21 explained.

22

23 To address the issue of gaining a systematic understanding of the magnitude of land cover

1 changes at the regional scale we considered the advantages of remote sensing data to detect,
2 measure and monitor land cover change due to this system's ability to capture an instantaneous
3 synoptic view of a large part of the Earth's surface and acquire repeated measurements of the
4 same area on a regular basis (Donoghue, 2002). Land cover detection and monitoring is
5 especially useful in those regions where there is a lack of available cartographic information with
6 sufficient spatial resolution to examine how humans change land cover and to provide a basis for
7 conservation and restoration planning. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has explored
8 the recent historical and current extent of land cover types, as well as the changes that have
9 occurred in Central Chile over a 33-year period (1975-2008). The main goal of this study is to
10 investigate the dynamics of land cover change, focussing on the dynamics of natural vegetation
11 cover as a result of land-use pressure, particularly a hypothesised expansion of cropland, pastures
12 and timber plantations. The specific scope of this paper is embedded in the dimension of land
13 change science that focuses on observation, monitoring, and land characterization (Turner et al.,
14 2007). We specifically address: (1) area change and change rate; (2) spatial distribution of
15 changes; (3) change trajectories of land cover types; and (4) accuracy assessment of change
16 detection. The information generated in this study will be a useful basis for analyzing underlying
17 causes of change and designing management strategies, as it identifies the spatio-temporal
18 patterns associated with landscape processes that might affect policy making, conservation and
19 restoration programs.

20

21

1 **2. Material and methods**

2 **2.1. Study area**

3 The study area is located in the Mediterranean bioclimatic zone of Central Chile (Amigo and
4 Ramírez, 1998) and extends over 13,175 km², covering parts of the Valparaíso, Libertador
5 Bernardo O'Higgins and Metropolitan administrative regions (Figure 1). The area includes
6 characteristic landscapes of the Mediterranean Zone, like parts of the coastal plains, the coastal
7 mountain range and the Central Valley. The rationale for defining the boundaries of the study
8 area based on the bioclimatic zone was that vegetation has a similar response to biotic and
9 abiotic factors. For example, vegetation recovery is constrained within this bioclimatic area by
10 water availability. Additional criteria used for defining the study area were that it shares a
11 relatively common pattern of land use and that it concentrates a large population that may put
12 high pressure on natural resources.

13 {Figure 1}

14 Altogether the area is characterised by dry summers and wet winters with strong inter-annual
15 variability due to the El Niño – Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon. The mean annual
16 temperature is 13.2°C, and the mean annual precipitation is 531 mm. Temperature and moisture
17 patterns are primarily a function of topography (Badano et al., 2005), with elevations ranging
18 from sea level to 2260 m in the coastal mountain range. The climatic variability and varied
19 topography result in a spatially heterogeneous mosaic of vegetation. At present, *Acacia caven*
20 shrubland is predominant and covers most of the lower hill slopes, whereas evergreen
21 sclerophyllous forest remains in drainage corridors and on steeper slopes with southern aspects.

22

1 The pre-Columbian vegetation of Central Chile is described to have been dense and diverse, with
2 a predominance of evergreen sclerophyllous trees and shrubs (Balduzzi et al., 1982). Historical
3 records indicate that Central Chile has experienced profound landscape transformations since the
4 mid-sixteenth century resulting from logging, agriculture expansion and livestock overgrazing
5 (Vogiatzakis et al., 2006). Land use is mostly concentrated in flat valleys, where the major
6 agricultural activities are vineyard and fruit cultivation as well as corn and wheat cropping. Two
7 of the most important uses of native forest resources by local communities are extraction of
8 fuelwood and extensive livestock husbandry. Conversions to commercial timber plantations with
9 exotic species like *Pinus radiata* and *Eucalyptus globulus* have also occurred in the flat coastal
10 zone since the 1970s (Aronson et al., 1998).

11
12 The study area is home to circa 5.2 million inhabitants, which represents around 34% of the
13 Chilean population. The population increased by 53% between 1970 and 2002, and the
14 percentage of urban population as compared to rural population remained high (93% urban
15 population in 1970 and 96% in 2002). The region is acknowledged as one of the world's 25
16 biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al., 2000) and is home to approximately 2400 plant species, 23%
17 of which are endemic (Cowling et al., 1996).

18

19 **2.2. Multi-temporal land cover classification**

20 Land cover change was evaluated with a post-classification procedure in order to obtain a matrix
21 of change directions among land cover classes (Lu et al., 2004). A time series of four pairs of
22 unprocessed Landsat images (path 233, row 83, and path 233, row 84) for the years 1975 (MSS),
23 1985 (TM), 1999 (ETM+), and 2008 (TM) was used. Each pair comprised two neighbouring

1 scenes from the same date taken under relatively clear sky conditions (< 10% cloud cover). Due
2 to a prevailing cloud cover in the southern coastal range of the study area, it was impossible to
3 obtain pairs of images from the same month for the whole 33-year period. To avoid major
4 differences in phenology, all images obtained were taken during the dry season (image dates
5 from November to March) and in La Niña years. As fluctuations in precipitation are relevant for
6 the spectral response of biomass, all selected scenes represent similar drought conditions and
7 correspond closely to mean monthly values throughout the study period. All images were pre-
8 processed, including geometric, atmospheric and topographic corrections (Appendix A).

9
10 Nineteen land cover classes were initially defined. Four hundred and ninety-eight field points
11 were taken with a GPS in order to train the spectral signature of the selected land cover classes in
12 a supervised classification scheme. Informal interviews to land owners and land managers were
13 conducted during field survey to obtain information on previous and current land cover and land
14 use. This information was complemented with high-resolution imagery obtained from Google
15 Earth to account for areas with restricted accessibility. To train the classification, a region
16 growing approach with the “seed” function (PCI, 2000) was used. This approach starts with a set
17 of “seed” points and appends neighbouring pixels to the seeds that have same spectral properties.
18 Training areas for the spectral signatures of older images were selected in those sites where land
19 cover remained unchanged or by using areas with similar spectral characteristics.

20
21 Signature separability of the initial classes for all images was evaluated using the Bhattacharyya
22 distance. Based on this distance, classes were iteratively merged until reasonably high signature
23 separability was achieved. Specific separability values for forest vs. timber plantations and for

1 urban vs. bareland remained low (values of Bhattacharyya distance > 1.3), but these classes were
2 not merged due to their importance for land planning. The iterative process of selecting
3 consistent land cover classes throughout the time series resulted in eight final land cover classes
4 (Table 1). The average separability for all signatures reached a value of Bhattacharyya distance $>$
5 1.9, indicating good overall separability (PCI, 2000).

6
7 Classification of the resulting eight land cover classes was performed using the maximum
8 likelihood algorithm. This procedure has proven to be a robust and consistent classifier for multi-
9 date classifications (e.g. Yuan et al., 2005 et al., Wu et al. 2006, Shalaby & Tateishi 2007). To
10 better discriminate between forests and timber plantations as well as between the bareland and
11 urban classes, post-classification processing was applied using ancillary data (Appendix A). The
12 MSS classification was re-sampled to a 30 x 30 m pixel size to allow multi-temporal comparison
13 with the rest of the series. Pre-processing and classification of remote sensing data was
14 performed with PCI 7.0 (2000). Post-classification procedures were performed with ArcMap 9.2
15 (ESRI, 2006).

16

17 **2.3. Analysis of land cover change**

18 The extent of the original satellite images varied slightly and there were areas of shadows in the
19 1975 scenes and clouds in the 1985 scenes. Therefore areas without data were subtracted from
20 the whole time series before comparisons, and change calculations were made. To account for
21 these differences, a mask was created containing all pixels with no data from any of the four
22 classifications. This mask was applied to the entire set of time series.

23

1 To calculate the extent of each land cover class, we analysed classified maps using ArcGIS 9.2
2 (ESRI, 2006) and its extension Spatial Analyst. A cross-tabulation procedure between the
3 classifications was processed with IDRISI Andes (Clark Labs, 2006); area change, gains, losses
4 and persistence were calculated as proposed by Pontius et al. (2004). Analysis of change via
5 cross-tabulation is a statistical method to identify signals of systematic processes within a land
6 change pattern (Pontius et al., 2004). Systematic transitions among classes were calculated and
7 examined through the off-diagonal entries of the cross-tabulation matrix. Analysis and mapping
8 of the spatial distribution of transitions, persistence, gains and losses were elaborated with the
9 IDRISI Extension Land Change Modeler (Clark Labs, 2006). To create a map of persistence and
10 changes for the study area, binary change/no change maps were processed for each period. The
11 three resulting maps were added to obtain a map of persistence and change occurrence (1, 2 or 3)
12 for the whole study period.

13
14 The annual rate of change for each class was calculated with the formula proposed by Puyravaud
15 (2003):

$$16 \quad r = (1 / (t_2 - t_1)) \times \ln (A_2 / A_1),$$

17
18
19 where A_2 and A_1 are the class area at the end and the beginning, respectively, of the period being
20 evaluated, and t is the number of years spanning that period.

21 22 **2.4. Accuracy assessment**

1 Accuracy assessment involves identifying a set of sample locations (ground verification points)
2 that are visited in the field. The land cover found in the field is then compared to that mapped in
3 the image for the same location by means of confusion matrices. Validation of the 1999 ETM+
4 and 2008 TM land cover maps was accomplished using 280 independent ground control points.
5 For plantations, only points from stands older than 12 years were considered. The 1975 MSS and
6 1985 TM land cover maps were verified based on interpretation of the ground control points that
7 had not changed over time (219 and 255 points, respectively) using expert knowledge. For the
8 1975 MSS land cover maps, an additional map of plantations dated 1970 (INFOR, 1970) was
9 georeferenced and used to identify control points for this class. Classification accuracy was first
10 validated after maximum likelihood classification and then again after post-classification
11 modifications using cartographic information in GIS. Overall accuracy and Cohen's Kappa Index
12 of Agreement (KIA) were calculated for each classification (Lu et al., 2004; Shao, 2008).
13 Confusion matrices were processed using the Arc View Extension Kappa Tools 2.1a (Jenness
14 and Wyne, 2006).

15

16 **3. Results**

17 **3.1. Area change and change rates of land cover types**

18 Over the whole study period, shrubland was the predominant land cover type, although it
19 declined at an annual rate of -0.7%, from 43.3% of the study area in 1975 to 33.9% in 2008
20 (Figure 2). Forest showed the largest decline in relation to its area, with only about 58%
21 (113,605 ha) of its extent in 1975 (195,773 ha) remaining in 2008, and an annual decline of -
22 1.7%. Pasture declined slightly at an annual rate of -0.2%, with around 94% (169,216 ha) of the
23 1975 extent (178,232 ha) remaining in 2008.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Other land cover types experienced an overall expansion. Thus, agriculture increased annually by 1.1% and expanded to 144% (265,102 ha) of the area occupied in 1975. Bareland reached 157% of its 1975 extent in 2008, with an annual growth rate of 1.4%. A large increase was detected for urban areas as well, with an annual growth rate of 2.7%; these areas occupied 5.6% of the study area in 2008, 241% of its 1975 extent. Timber plantation cover increased by over 288% in 2008 compared to the area in 1975; although the annual change rate was the highest among all classes (3.2%) for the 1999 to 2008 period, timber plantations covered only 3.4% of the study area in 2008 (Figure 2).

{Figure 2}

Land cover changes did not occur at equal rates during all time intervals (Figure 3). Between 1975 and 1985, forest experienced a strong loss at an annual rate of -3.7%. This annual rate declined to -0.3% and -1.5% for the 1985-1999 and 1999-2008 periods, respectively. Overall, forest losses during the three study periods were offset by about one third by forest gains. During the period of highest forest loss (1975-85), shrubland cover increased at an annual rate of 0.2%. From 1985 to 1999, the amount of shrubland decreased at an annual rate of -0.6%, reaching a maximum annual loss of 2.0% during the 1999 to 2008 period. Overall shrubland losses during the three study periods were offset by shrubland gains by about two thirds. Nonetheless, half of these offsets came from forest to shrubland conversion and should therefore not be considered vegetation gain.

Agriculture rose very slightly between 1975 and 1985, but expanded at annual rates of 1.7% and 1.2% during the 1985-1999 and 1999-2008 periods, respectively. Urban areas spread at an

1 annual rate of 5.7% between 1975 and 1985 and continued expanding at annual rates of 1.0%
2 and 2.0% during the 1985-1999 and 1999-2008 periods, respectively. Bareland increased at
3 annual rates of 3.3% and 4.0% during the 1975-1985 and 1999-2008 periods, respectively, but
4 decreased at an annual rate of -1.7% during the 1985-1999 period. Gains and losses in pasture
5 cover were high but compensated for each other over the whole study period, resulting in annual
6 rates of -1.3%, 1.1%, and -0.9% during the periods 1975-1985, 1985-1999 and 1999-2008,
7 respectively. The area of timber plantations remained relatively stable between 1975 and 1999,
8 but experienced an important expansion between 1999 and 2008, with an annual rate of 10.6%.

9 {Figure 3}

10

11 **3.2. Spatial distribution of changes**

12 The spatial distribution of intensity and patterns of land cover changes and persistence is shown
13 in Figure 4. During the entire study period, we found that 28.2% of the study area was subject to
14 only one change, 31.7% was subject to two changes, 16.8% changed in all three time periods,
15 and only 23.3% of the pixels remained unchanged. The majority of the unchanged pixels (1975-
16 2008) were shrubland (42.5%) and agriculture (25.3%), followed by forest (11.1%), urban
17 (8.2%) and pasture (6.6%). An extent of 2.5% of the study area was identified as permanent
18 bareland.

19

20 The most intense change dynamics were located in the coastal zone, where frequent exchanges
21 between pasture and shrubland, as well as between pasture, bareland and agricultural areas
22 (particularly rotations between pastures, herbaceous crops and fallow cycles), were found.
23 Timber plantations, generally located in the flat coastal zone, increased and showed relatively

1 high spatial variability due to rotations between plantations and logged areas at the north-west
2 coast and further south on the coastal plains. In mountainous areas, changes were less frequent
3 and mainly consisted of the conversion of forest to shrubland and, to a lesser extent, shrubland to
4 forest. Agriculture expanded across the entire study area, particularly in the flat valleys from the
5 coast to around Santiago. Although the bottoms of some valleys remained as agriculture
6 throughout the 33 years, an increase in agriculture occurred in the foothills at the expense of
7 shrubland and pasture. The increase in urban areas was related to the rapid growth of Chile's
8 capital, Santiago, and the urban agglomeration of major cities located in the northwest part of the
9 study area. Expansion of urban areas was characterised by only one change throughout the three
10 time periods and an aggregated spatial pattern.

11 {Figure 4}

12

13 **3.3. Change trajectories among land cover types**

14 The most consistent trend of inter-class change between 1975 and 2008 was a progressive loss of
15 natural vegetation cover (Figure 5). Between 1975 and 1985, the major changes were a
16 conversion of forest to shrubland (50,351 ha) and of shrubland to bareland (21,689 ha), pasture
17 (14,022 ha) and urban areas (9,356 ha, Figure 5). Between 1985 and 1999, the major
18 contributions to net change were the conversion of shrubland to agriculture (40,338 ha) and
19 pasture (18,726 ha). Shrubbyland regained some area from bareland (14,647 ha), whereas bareland
20 contributed to a gain in agriculture (12,589 ha). Between 1999 and 2008, the foremost change
21 was a loss of shrubbyland that contributed to increases in bareland (42,132 ha), agriculture (19,356
22 ha) and timber plantations (14,973 ha). In contrast to previous periods, shrubbyland regained a
23 small area from forests (9,968 ha, Figure 5).

1 {Figure 5}

2

3 **3.4. Accuracy assessment**

4 Classification accuracy increased notably after applying post-classification procedures, from
5 overall agreements of 56.2%, 60.8%, 60.9%, and 72.3% to 65.8%, 77.3%, 78.9%, and 89.8% for
6 the 1975 MSS, 1985 TM, 1999 ETM+, and 2008 TM images, respectively (results not shown).
7 Cohen's Kappa Index of Agreement (KIA) was 63.4%, 73.8%, 75.8% and 88.3% for the set of
8 post-processed images.

9

10 **4. Discussion**

11 **4.1. Patterns of landscape change**

12 Human interactions with ecosystems are inherently dynamic and complex, and any
13 categorisation of these is an oversimplification. However, there is little hope of understanding
14 these interactions without such simplifications (Ellis and Ramankutty, 2008). Working at the
15 broad scale of this study has the advantage of providing general trends at the regional scale that
16 are useful for landscape planning and serve as a basis for analyzing drivers of land cover change.
17 However, it has the disadvantage of lower detectability of pattern and processes at the scale of
18 land use units in the real world (e.g. a field). Nevertheless, informal interviews that were
19 conducted accompanying the field survey give an important complementary source of
20 information to interpret detected changes at this regional scale and reinforce that observed
21 changes followed similar ground level land use patterns throughout the study area.

22

1 Our analysis of land cover change in Mediterranean Central Chile reveals a general trend of a
2 continuous reduction in natural vegetation, i.e. forest and shrubland cover, that in turn has led to
3 an increase in provisioning ecosystem services such as food and timber production. This process
4 takes place as a progressive modification from forest to shrubland vegetation, and a highly
5 dynamic conversion between shrubland and human-induced types of land cover. Nevertheless,
6 deforestation rates in this region are relatively low compared to rates in temperate forests in
7 south-central Chile (Echeverria et al., 2006). This is probably due to the fact that Central Chile
8 has been densely populated since the times of colonisation and major conversions of forest cover
9 had taken place long before the 1970s (Conacher and Sala, 1998).

10

11 However, a relatively high amount of shrubland, the predominant vegetation cover in this
12 semiarid landscape, was lost as a consequence of conversions to intensive land uses, chiefly
13 expanding agriculture and timber plantations and, to a lesser extent, urbanization. This can be
14 explained by an increase in local demand due to population growth and an open market policy
15 initiated after Chile's economic crisis at the beginning of the 1970s (Camus, 2000; Silva, 2004).
16 Agriculture and forestry thereafter became the most important competitive producers (Camus,
17 2000). The strong increase in agriculture has been stimulated by a combination of market
18 liberalisation, incentives for new export-oriented crops, introduction of new irrigation
19 technologies, and improvements in road infrastructure (Valdés and Foster, 2005). The expansion
20 of timber plantations was mostly a result of a government subsidy for tree-planting initiated in
21 1974 (Decree 701), which stimulated the planting of *Pinus radiata* and *Eucalyptus globulus*
22 (Aronson et al., 1998). In the case of Central Chile, the rate of increase of timber plantations was
23 the highest of all classes in the 1999 to 2008 period. However, the expansion of timber

1 plantations did not result in major conversions of forest, as it did in southern Chile (Echeverria et
2 al., 2006). Rapid expansion of urban areas, chiefly in the 1975-1985 period, coincided with the
3 abolishment of the urban limits by the Ministry of Housing and Urbanism (Decree 420) in 1979
4 and the liberalisation of the urban land market, both until 1985 (Kusnetzoff, 1987).

5
6 In our study region, forest loss patterns consisted mainly of the conversion of forest to shrubland
7 and the reduction of forest to remnants located on steep hills, where intensive use by humans is
8 constrained by topography. The transformation of forest to shrubland has been described as a
9 continuous degradation of sclerophyllous forest, mostly driven by permanent grazing pressure,
10 firewood collection and charcoal production (Armesto et al., 2007; Balduzzi et al., 1982; Fuentes
11 et al., 1986; Rundel, 1999). In addition, successional recovery of forest is largely constrained by
12 water availability, soil erosion, lack of seed banks, disturbance by human-induced fires and
13 limited regeneration capacities of forest species as compared to shrubland species (Armesto et
14 al., 2007; Balduzzi et al., 1982; Conacher and Sala, 1998; Fuentes et al., 1986; Jimenez and
15 Armesto, 1992, Montenegro et al., 2004; Rundel, 1999). This is evidenced in our analysis by the
16 large proportion of shrubland that remained unchanged over the whole study period. It has been
17 argued that the loss of forest and the change toward the predominance of shrubland cover
18 represent a shift to an alternative ecosystem state (Holmgren, 2002). In contrast to deforestation
19 patterns in other dry forest regions, no direct conversion from forest to agriculture (Izquierdo and
20 Grau, 2009) or *vice versa* (e.g., Lasanta-Martínez et al., 2005) occurred in the study area.

21
22 We detected forest recovery through succession (on about 2.7% of the study area) over the whole
23 study period as other authors have documented in other Mediterranean areas (Serra et al., 2008).

1 Nonetheless, the latter process has been halted, as mentioned above, by different physical and
2 ecological factors. Thus, shrubland acts as a highly dynamic compartment with large gains and
3 losses over the three study periods as compared to other land cover changes. Bidirectional
4 changes (i.e., gains and losses) in shrubland cover resulted in the following spatial patterns: (1)
5 exchanges between shrubland and agriculture, agriculture and pasture, and pasture and shrubland
6 took place in small patches scattered throughout the relatively flat areas and partly explain the
7 high dynamics detected in the studied landscapes; (2) such exchanges resulted in a net loss of
8 shrubland due to land use intensification and agglomeration; and (3) from 1999 onward, these
9 patterns have spread to hillslopes, as indicated by the appearance of relatively large continuous
10 patches of newly cleared bareland and agriculture on the lower hillslopes, which were formerly
11 covered by shrublands. This was motivated by important governmental subsidies to encourage
12 irrigation schemes (Maletta, 2000) and a collapse of the capacity of the flat areas to sustain the
13 increasing expansion of agricultural land.

14

15 **4.2. Consequences of land cover change: Implications for landscape planning and** 16 **management**

17 Vegetation loss and degradation reduce precipitation infiltration and runoff regulation, which
18 promotes soil erosion and has a negative impact on ground water recharge (Conacher and Sala,
19 1998; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). In addition, vegetation cover is highly
20 correlated with water balance and regional climate regulation (Feddema et al., 2005; Foley et al.,
21 2005; Pielke, 2005). Changes in land use by humans and the resulting alterations in surface
22 features and biogeophysical processes influence weather and climate more immediately than the
23 carbon cycle (Bonan, 2008; Pielke, 2005). Land use decisions therefore have consequences for

1 the structure and function of ecosystems and affect environmental goods and services; these
2 decisions also affect humans in ways that go beyond the immediate land use situation (Turner et
3 al., 2007). The continuous degradation of the vegetation cover could have a strong impact on
4 human livelihood and well-being in Central Chile as well as other dryland landscapes, as there
5 are increasing water demands for agriculture (Cai et al., 2008) and human consumption due to
6 large population increases.

7
8 Environmental problems like degradation, loss of biodiversity and decreases in productivity
9 accumulate over the long term and have non-linear effects on regional to global scales (DeFries
10 et al., 2000; Foley et al, 2005). Consequently, strategies for adapted land use, including the
11 optimisation of the spatial configuration of uses and restoration of the natural vegetation cover
12 should be developed quickly. Vegetation cover within the landscape mosaic must be carefully
13 considered in planning to sustain habitat and regulation functions and enhance the productive
14 capacities of the landscape. Strategies should go beyond preservation within protected areas and
15 logging restrictions along rivers and streams (Turner et al., 2007). For instance, Rey Benayas et
16 al. (2008) proposed the “woodland-islet in agricultural seas” model to conciliate agricultural
17 production and conservation or restoration of native woodlands. Closer monitoring is needed for
18 cattle grazing stocks to establish guidelines for an adapted carrying capacity, as cattle graze on
19 pastures, shrubland and in forests, which are all mainly private land and do not have adequate
20 use restrictions. The repercussions of firewood extraction and charcoal production have hardly
21 been quantified in Central Chile, but we know that firewood and derived charcoal provided
22 around 18% of the national energy supply between 1990 and 2007, while firewood consumption
23 doubled in this period (CNE, 2008). Some estimates by Dubroeuq and Livenais (2004) in

1 northern Chile show that the impact of firewood extraction on vegetation cover should not be
2 underestimated.

3

4 Apart from the need for land use planning, restoration and rehabilitation are important issues in
5 drylands (Le Houerou, 2000; Vallejo et al., 2006). Holmgren and Scheffer (2001) postulated that
6 there might be a window of opportunity for passive restoration through the exclusion of
7 herbivores in ENSO years due to higher water availability, which Gutierrez et al. (2007) have
8 experimentally shown for drier zones further north in Chile. It could be especially interesting to
9 use this strategy to establish buffer zones and corridors between remaining old growth forest,
10 which were detected in this study as stable forest areas. Land use planning to ensure the long-
11 term maintenance of landscape functions that are of common societal concern has not yet been
12 established in Chile, where territorial planning legislation mainly focuses on urban areas,
13 infrastructure and industrial development. Recently, some efforts have been made in landscape
14 planning for protected areas (Oltremari and Thelen, 2003). Also, forms of adaptive and
15 multifunctional land use like mixed agroforestry systems should be encouraged as an alternative
16 to monoculture cropping and crop pasture rotations (Aronson, et al., 1998; Ovalle et al., 2006).

17

18 **5. Conclusion**

19 Several uncertainty factors underlie the classification of satellite imagery into land cover types,
20 and such classification is never completely accurate (Shao, 2008). However, this work has
21 quantified estimations about the extent of and characterized the changes in the land cover of
22 Mediterranean Central Chile, as well as in assessing the dynamics and stepwise vegetation cover
23 loss that has taken place over the last 33 years. Our case study provides further evidence of how

1 Mediterranean regions show a constant transformation of their ecological systems. This analysis
2 illustrates how natural vegetation cover tends to diminish in a very subtle and slow fashion due
3 to passive revegetation that partly counterbalances vegetation loss. Nevertheless, forest cover is
4 being degraded, and many areas do not recover, but remain as shrubland. Shrubland, in turn, is
5 lost to intensive land uses like agriculture and timber plantations. Land use changes in
6 Mediterranean regions must not always be interpreted as the loss of a specific set of ecological
7 conditions based on values established in the world of the West, but as a change in the ecosystem
8 services that dynamic land cover types provide to humans in culturally distinct regions.
9 However, our interviews conducted during the field survey revealed a high awareness of local
10 population regarding the benefits that forests provide and the consequences of forest loss,
11 including reduced water provision and erosion problems. The successful identification of change
12 trajectories provides a critical component for land use, conservation and restoration planning in
13 dry landscapes. The investigation of regional dynamics provides a basis for future analysis of
14 drivers and circumstances that enhance change or stability of land cover.

15

16

17 **6. Acknowledgements**

18 This work was financed by the European Commission, REFORLAN Project, INCO Contract
19 CT2006-032132. The manuscript benefited from useful comments provided by M. González-
20 Espinosa, R. M. Navarro Cerrillo, and an anonymous reviewer. Maps of plantations from 1970
21 (INFOR, 1970) were provided by the Ibero-American Institut, Berlin.

1 7. References

- 2
- 3 Amigo, J., Ramírez, C. (1998). A bioclimatic classification of Chile: woodland
4 communities in the temperate zone. *Plant Ecol.* 136(1), 9-26.
- 5 Antrop, M. (2005). Why landscapes of the past are important for the future. *Landscape*
6 *Urban Plan.* 70(1-2), 21-34.
- 7 Armesto, J. J., Arroyo, K., Mary, T., Hinojosa, L. F. (2007). The Mediterranean
8 environment of Central Chile. In: Velben, T. T., Young, K. R., Orme, A. R. (Eds.) *The*
9 *Physical Geography of South America.* Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 184–
10 199.
- 11 Aronson, J., Del Pozo, A., Ovalle, C. Avendaño, J., Lavin, A., Etienne, M. (1998). Land
12 use changes and conflicts in Central Chile. In: Rundel, P.W., Montenegro, G., Jaksic, F.
13 (Eds.) *Landscape Disturbance and Biodiversity in Mediterranean-type Ecosystem.*
14 Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 155-68.
- 15 Badano, E. I., Cavieres, L. A., Molina-Montenegro, M. A., Quiroz, C. L. (2005). Slope
16 aspect influences plant association patterns in the Mediterranean matorral of central
17 Chile. *J. Arid Environ.* 62(1), 93-108.
- 18 Balduzzi, A., Tomaselli, R., Serey, I., Villaseñor, R. (1982). Degradation of the
19 mediterranean type of vegetation in central Chile. *Ecol. Médit.* 7, 223-240.
- 20 Bonan, G. B. (2008). Forests and climate change: Forcings, feedbacks, and the climate
21 benefits of rorests. *Science* 320(5882), 1444-1449.
- 22 Cai, X., Ringler, C., You, J. Y. (2008). Substitution between water and other agricultural

1 inputs: Implications for water conservation in a River Basin context. *Ecol. Econ.* 66(1),
2 38-50.

3 Camus, P., 2000. Innovación agroproductiva y ordenamiento del territorio. El caso del
4 desarrollo forestal chileno. *Scripta Nova.* 69(85). Retrieved January 26, 2009 from:
5 <http://www.ub.es/geocrit/sn-69-85.htm>

6 Carmel, Y., Flather, C. H. (2004). Comparing landscape scale vegetation dynamics
7 following recent disturbance in climatically similar sites in California and the
8 Mediterranean basin. *Landscape Ecol.* 19(6), 573-590.

9 Chavez, P. S. (1996). Image-based atmospheric corrections. Revisited and improved.
10 *Photogramm. Eng. Rem. S.* 62, 1025-1036.

11 Civco, D. L. (1989). Topographic normalization of Landsat Thematic Mapper digital
12 imagery. *Photogramm. Eng. Rem. S.* 55, 1303-1309.

13 CNE (2008). Encuestas balance de energía a empresas del sector. Comisión Nacional de Energía.
14 Retrieved January 26, 2009 from: <http://www.cne.cl/estadisticas/anuario/index.html>

15 Clark Labs (2006). IDRISI Andes (Version 15.00), Worcester: Clark Labs, Clark University.

16 Conacher, A. J., Sala, M. (1998). *Land degradation in Mediterranean environments of the world:
17 nature and extent, causes and solutions.* John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chichester.

18 CONAF, CONAMA, BIRF, Universidad Austral de Chile, Pontificia Universidad Católica
19 de Chile, Universidad Católica de Temuco (1999). Catastro y evaluación de los recursos
20 vegetacionales nativos de Chile. Informe nacional con variables ambientales.
21 Corporación Nacional Forestal, Comisión Nacional de Medio Ambiente, Santiago de
22 Chile. Retrieved March 29, 2009 from http://www.conaf.cl/cd_uso_suelos/nacional.pdf

23 Cowling, R. M., Rundel, P. W., Lamont, B. B., Kalin Arroyo, M., Arianoutsou, M. (1996).

1 Plant diversity in Mediterranean-climate regions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 11(9), 362-366.

2 DeFries, R. S., Foley, J. A., Asner, G. P. (2004). Land-use choices: balancing human
3 needs and ecosystem function. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 2(5), 249-257.

4 Donoghue, D. N. M. (2002). Remote sensing: environmental change. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*,
5 26(1), 144-151.

6 Dubroeuq, D., Livenais, P. (2004). Land cover and land use changes in relation to social
7 evolution—a case study from Northern Chile. *J. Arid Environ.* 56(2), 193-211.

8 Echeverria, C., Coomes, D., Salas, J., Rey-Benayas, J. M., Lara, A., Newton, A. (2006).
9 Rapid deforestation and fragmentation of Chilean Temperate Forests. *Biol. Conserv.*
10 130(4), 481-494.

11 Ellis, E. C., Ramankutty, N. (2008). Putting people in the map: anthropogenic biomes of
12 the world. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 6(8), 439–447.

13 ESRI (2006). ArcGIS (Version 9.2). Environmental Systems Research Institute Inc, Redlands.

14 Feddema, J. J., Oleson, K. W., Bonan, G. B., Mearns, L. O., Buja, L. E., Meehl, G. A.,
15 Washington, W. M. (2005). The importance of land-cover change in simulating future
16 climates. *Science* 310(5754), 1674-1678.

17 Feranec, J., Jaffrain, G., Soukup, T., Hazeu, G. (2010). Determining changes and flows in
18 European landscapes 1990–2000 using CORINE land cover data. *Appl. Geog.* 30(1), 19-
19 35.

20 Foley, J. A., DeFries, R., Asner, G. P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S. R., Chapin,
21 F. S., Coe, M. T., Daily, G. C., Gibbs, H. K., Helkowski, J. H., Holloway, T., Howard,
22 E. A., Kucharik, C. J., Monfreda, C., Patz, J. A., Prentice, C., Ramankutty, N., Snyder,
23 P. K. (2005). Global consequences of land use. *Science* 309(5734), 570-574.

- 1 Fuentes, E. R., Hoffmann, A. J., Poiani, A., Alliende, M. C. (1986). Vegetation change in large
2 clearings: patterns in the Chilean matorral. *Oecologia* 68(3), 358-366.
- 3 Fuentes, E. R., Avilés, R., Segura, A. (1989). Landscape change under indirect effects of
4 human use: the savanna of central Chile. *Landscape Ecol.* 2(2), 73-80.
- 5 Geri, F., Amici, V., Rocchini, D. (2010). Human activity impact on the heterogeneity of a
6 Mediterranean landscape. *Appl. Geog.* 29, doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2009.10.006.
- 7 Gutiérrez, J. R., Holmgren, M., Manrique, R., Squeo, F. A. (2007). Reduced herbivore
8 pressure under rainy ENSO conditions could facilitate dryland reforestation. *J. Arid*
9 *Environ.* 68(2), 322-330.
- 10 Holmgren, M., Scheffer, M. (2001). El Niño as a window of opportunity for the restoration
11 of degraded arid ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 4(2), 151-159.
- 12 Holmgren, M. (2002). Exotic herbivores as drivers of plant invasion and switch to ecosystem
13 alternative states. *Biol. Invasions* 4(1), 25-33.
- 14 INFOR (1970). Plano de las plantaciones forestales de la zona central de Chile, Provincias
15 de Aconcagua, Vaparaíso, Santiago, O'Higgins, Colchagua, Curico y Talca. Instituto
16 Forestal & Instituto Geográfico Militar, Santiago de Chile.
- 17 IGM (1994). Levantamiento aerofotogramétrico en base a carta regular 1:50000, (2nd ed.).
18 Instituto Geográfico Militar, Santiago de Chile.
- 19 Izquierdo, A. E., Grau, H. R. (2009). Agriculture adjustment, land-use transition and
20 protected areas in Northwestern Argentina. *J. Environ. Manage.* 90(2), 858-865.
- 21 Jimenez, H. E., Armesto, J. J. (1992). Importance of the soil seed bank of disturbed sites
22 in Chilean matorral in early secondary succession. *J. Veg. Sci.* 3(5), 579-586.
- 23 Jenness, J., Wynne, J. J. (2006). Kappa analysis extension for ArcView 3.x. (Version 2.1),

- 1 Flagstaff: Jenness Enterprises. Retrieved January 26, 2009 from
2 http://www.jennessent.com/arcview/kappa_stats.htm
- 3 Johnson, D. L., Lewis, L. A. (2006). *Land Degradation: Creation and Destruction*. Rowman and
4 Littlefield, New York.
- 5 Kusnetzoff, F. (1987). Urban and Housing Policies under Chile's Military Dictatorship 1973-
6 1985. *Lat. Am. Perspect.* 14(2), 157-186.
- 7 Lasanta, T., González-Hidalgo, J. C., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Sferi, E. (2006). Using
8 landscape ecology to evaluate an alternative management scenario in abandoned
9 Mediterranean mountain areas. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 78(1-2), 101-114.
- 10 Lasanta-Martínez, T., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Cuadrat-Prats, J. M. (2005). Mountain
11 Mediterranean landscape evolution caused by the abandonment of traditional primary
12 activities: a study of the Spanish Central Pyrenees. *Appl. Geogr.* 25(1), 47-65.
- 13 Le Houerou, H. N. (2000). Restoration and rehabilitation of arid and semiarid
14 Mediterranean ecosystems in North Africa and West Asia: A review. *Arid Soil Res.*
15 *Rehab.* 14, 3-14.
- 16 López, S., Sierra, R. (2010). Agricultural change in the Pastaza River Basin: A spatially explicit
17 model of native Amazonian cultivation. *Appl. Geog.* 29,
18 [doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2009.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2009.10.004).
- 19 Lu, D., Mausel, P., Brondizio, E., Moran, E. (2004). Change detection techniques. *Int. J.*
20 *Remote Sens.* 25(12), 2365-2407.
- 21 Maletta, H.E. (2001). Recent Latin American developments in irrigation. *Social Science*
22 *Research Network*. doi:10.2139/ssrn.347680
- 23 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being. Current state*

1 *and trends*. Island Press, Washington, D.C.

2 Montenegro, G., Ginocchio, R., Segura, A., Keely, J. E., Gómez, M. (2004). Fire regimes
3 and vegetation responses in two Mediterranean-climate regions. *Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat.* 77,
4 455-464.

5 Mouillot, F., Ratte, J.-P. Joffre, R., Mouillot, D., Rambal, S. (2005). Long-term forest
6 dynamic after land abandonment in a fire prone Mediterranean landscape (central
7 Corsica, France). *Landscape Ecol.* 20(1), 101-112.

8 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B., Kent, J. (2000).
9 Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403, 853-858.

10 Oltremari, J. V., Thelen, K. D. (2003). Planificación de áreas silvestres protegidas.
11 Comision Nacional del Medio Ambiente & FAO. Editorial Salesianos, Santiago, Chile.

12 Ovalle, C., Avendaño, J., Aronson, J., Del Pozo, A. (1996). Land occupation patterns and
13 vegetation structure in the anthropogenic savannas (espinales) of central Chile. *Forest*
14 *Ecol. Manag.* 86(1-3), 129-139.

15 Ovalle C., Del Pozo, A., Casado, M. A., Acosta, B., de Miguel, J. M. (2006). Consequences of
16 landscape heterogeneity on grassland diversity and productivity in the Espinal
17 agroforestry system of central Chile. *Landscape Ecol.* 21(4), 585-594.

18 PCI (2000). PCI Works (Version 7.0). PCI Geomatics, Richmond Hill.

19 Pielke, R. A. (2005). Land use and climate change. *Science* 310(5795), 1625-1626.

20 Pontius Jr. R. G., Shusasand, E., McEachern, M. (2004). Detecting important categorical
21 land changes while accounting for persistence. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 101(2-3), 251-268.

22 Pueyo, J., Beguería, S. (2007). Modeling the rate of secondary succession after farmland
23 abandonment in a Mediterranean mountain area. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 83(4), 245-254.

- 1 Puyravaud, J. P. (2003). Standardizing the calculation of the annual rate of deforestation.
2 *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 177(1-3), 593-596.
- 3 Rey Benayas, J. M., Bullock, J., Newton, A. C. (2008). Creating woodland islets to reconcile
4 ecological restoration, conservation, and agricultural land use. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 6(6),
5 329-336.
- 6 Rey Benayas, J. M., Newton, A. C., Díaz, A., Bullock, J., (2009). Enhancement of Biodiversity
7 and. Ecosystem Services by Ecological. Restoration: A Meta-Analysis. *Science*
8 325(5944), 1121-1124.
- 9 Reynolds, J. F., Maestre, F. T., Kemp, P. R., Stafford-Smith, D. M., Lambin, E. (2007).
10 Natural and human dimensions of land degradation in drylands: Causes and
11 consequences. In: Canadell, J. G., Pataki, D. E., Pitelka, L. F. (Eds.) *Terrestrial*
12 *Ecosystems in a Changing World (Global Change - The IGBP Series)*. Springer, Berlin
13 Heidelberg, pp. 247-257.
- 14 Reynolds, J. F., Stafford Smith, D. M. (2002). Do Humans Cause Deserts? In: Reynolds, J. F.,
15 Stafford Smith, D. M. (Eds.) *Global Desertification: Do Humans Cause Deserts?* Dahlem
16 University Press, pp. 1-22.
- 17 Romero-Calcerrada, R., Perry, G. L. W. (2004). The role of land abandonment in landscape
18 dynamics in the SPA `Encinares del río Alberche y Cofio, Central Spain, 1984-1999.
19 *Landscape Urban Plan.* 66(4), 217-232.
- 20 Rundel, P. W. (1999). Disturbance in Mediterranean climate shrublands and woodlands. In:
21 Walker, L. R. (Ed.) *Ecosystems of Disturbed Ground*. Elsevier, New York, pp. 271- 285.

- 1 Serra, P., Pons, X., Saurí, D. (2008). Land-cover and land-use change in a Mediterranean
2 landscape: A spatial analysis of driving forces integrating biophysical and human factors.
3 *Appl. Geog.* 28(3), 189-209.
- 4 Silva, E. (2004). The political economy of forest policy in Mexico and Chile. *Singapore J.*
5 *Trop. Geo.* 25, 261-280.
- 6 Shao, G., Wu, J. (2008). On the accuracy of landscape pattern analysis using remote sensing
7 data. *Landscape Ecol.* 23(5), 505-511.
- 8 Teillet, P. M., Guindon, B., Goodeonugh, D. G. (1982). On the slope-aspect correction of
9 multispectral scanner data. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* 8, 84-106.
- 10 Turner, B. L., Moss, R. H., Skole, D. L. (1993). *Relating land use and global land-*
11 *cover change: A proposal for an IGBP-HDP core project. Report from the IGBP-HDP*
12 *Working Group on Land-Use/Land-Cover Change. Joint publication of the International*
13 *Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (Report No. 24) and the Human Dimensions of Global*
14 *Environmental Change Programme (Report No. 5).* Royal Swedish Academy of
15 Sciences, Stockholm.
- 16 Turner, B. L. II., Lambin, E. F., Reenberg, A. (2007). The emergence of land change science for
17 global environmental change and sustainability. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104(52), 20666-
18 20671.
- 19 Valdés, A., Foster, W. (2005). *Externalidades de la Agricultura Chilena.* Ediciones Universidad
20 Católica de Chile, Santiago de Chile.
- 21 Vallejo, R., Aronson, J., Pausas, J. G., Cortina, J. (2006). Restoration of Mediterranean
22 woodlands. In: van Andel, J., Aronson, J. (Eds.) *Restoration Ecology: The New Frontier.*
23 Blackwell Publishing, Malden, pp. 193-207.

1 Vitousek, P. M. (1994). Beyond global warming: ecology and global change. *Ecology* 75(7),
2 1861-1876.

3 Vogiatzakis, I. N., Mannion, A. M., Griffiths, G. H. (2006). Mediterranean ecosystems:
4 problems and tools for conservation. *Prog. Phys. Geog.* 30(2), 175-200.

5 Yuan F., Sawaya, K. E., Loeffelholz, B. C., Bauer, M. E. (2005). Land cover classification
6 and change analysis of the Twin Cities (Minnesota) Metropolitan Area by multitemporal
7 Landsat remote sensing. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 98(2-3), 317-328.

1 **Figure captions**

2 **Figure 1.** Location of the study area in Central Chile, between 33°51'00" - 34°07' 55" S and
3 71°22'00" - 71°00'48" W.

4

5 **Figure 2.** Land cover maps of the study area in Central Chile for the years 1975, 1985, 1999 and
6 2008 and comparison of the respective extents of land cover classes by percentage of study area
7 (study area = 1.265.204 ha).

8

9 **Figure 3.** Net change (i.e., gains minus losses), gains and losses for each land cover class as a
10 percentage of the study area for the periods 1975-1985, 1985-1999 and 1999-2008 and for the
11 whole study period, 1975-2008.

12

13 **Figure 4.** Distribution of persistent pixels (i.e., those that never changed land cover type) and of
14 pixels that showed one, two or three changes across the three periods analysed from 1975 to
15 2008.

16

17 **Figure 5.** Major change trajectories and their contributions to net change in percentage of the
18 study area (thick lines correspond to net change >3.2%, intermediate lines correspond to net
19 changes between 1.6-3.2%, and thin lines correspond to net change <1.6%; only net
20 contributions to change > 10,000 hectares or 0.8% of the study area are represented).

Fig. 1. Location of the study area in Central Chile, between 33°51'00''–34°07'55''S and 71°22'00''–71°00'48''W.




Table 1. Description of major land cover types defined in this study.

Class	Description
Forest	75–100% canopy cover, advanced stage of succession of sclerophyllous forest with species like <i>Cryptocarya alba</i> , <i>Peumus boldus</i> , <i>Quillaja saponaria</i> , <i>Lithrea caustica</i> and deciduous forest, mainly <i>Nothofagus macrocarpa</i> and <i>Ribes punctatum</i>
Shrubland	25–75% cover of shrub species, such as <i>Acacia caven</i> , <i>Maytenus boaria</i> , <i>Prosopis chilensis</i> , <i>Trevoa trinervis</i> , <i>Colliguaja odorifera</i>
Agriculture	Rainfed and irrigated agriculture, wine yards, fruit orchards
Urban	Urban and industrial areas
Bareland	Rocks, beach and dunes, bare river beds, permanently degraded land, newly cleared land
Water	Rivers, lakes, water reservoirs
Pasture	Grassland with less than 25% shrub cover
Plantation	Timber plantations with <i>Pinus</i> and <i>Eucalyptus</i> in advanced growth stage

Fig. 2. Land cover maps of the study area in Central Chile for the years 1975, 1985, 1999 and 2008 and comparison of the respective extents of land cover classes by percentage of study area (study area $\frac{1}{4}$ 1,265,204 ha).




Fig. 3. Net change (i.e. gains minus losses), gains and losses for each land cover class as a percentage of the study area for the periods 1975–1985, 1985–1999 and 1999–2008 and for the whole study period, 1975–2008.




Fig. 4. Distribution of persistent pixels (i.e. those that never changed land cover type) and of pixels that showed one, two or three changes across the three periods analysed from 1975 to 2008.




Fig. 5. Major change trajectories and their contributions to net change in percentage of the study area (thick lines correspond to net change >3.2%, intermediate lines correspond to net changes between 1.6% and 3.2%, and thin lines correspond to net change <1.6%; only net contributions to change >10,000 ha or 0.8% of the study area are represented).

