View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Polynuclear Complexes

Communications

DOI: 10.1002/anie.200500716

Carbon Dioxide Activation Assisted by a **Bis(chlorodimethylsilyl)cyclopentadienyl Titanium Compound****

David Santamaría, Jesús Cano, Pascual Royo,* Marta E. G. Mosquera, Tomás Cuenca, * Luis M. Frutos, and Obis Castaño

A great deal of interest has focused on the role of metal ions as the active centers in the fixation of CO₂ and its transformation.^[1] Activation of CO₂ by hydroxo and oxo metal complexes to afford metal hydrogencarbonato and carbonato species, respectively, is related to the function of the carbonic anhydrase metalloenzyme,^[2] which catalyzes the physiologically important hydration of CO₂ to hydrogencarbonate [Eq. (1)].

$$[M]-OH \text{ or } [M]-O-[M] \xrightarrow{CO_2} [M]-CO_3H \text{ or } [M]-CO_3-[M]$$
(1)

This type of reaction is common for the late-transition metals^[3] and is known for main-group organometallic species.^[4] Nevertheless, the carbonato derivatives reported for complexes of Group 4-6 metals are synthesized by alternative methods^[5] based on reactions of metal precursor compounds with carbonate salts X_2CO_3 (X = K, Bu₄N) and NH₄HCO₃ or by methods that involve the disproportionation of CO_2 .

Herein, we describe the use of the bis(chlorodimethylsilyl)cyclopentadienyl titanium(IV) compound 1,^[6] which was reported previously for the in situ activation of CO₂. The carbonato titanium(III) derivative 3 was serendipitously obtained when a dilute solution of 1 in wet toluene was exposed to air for several days (Scheme 1). This reaction proceeded in better yield (43% after purification) when a solution of 1 in toluene was treated with a saturated aqueous solution of CO_2 to give 3, which was isolated as an analytically pure and highly air-stable diamagnetic orange crystalline solid. However, hydrolysis of 1 carried out in the presence of NEt₃ resulted in no reaction with CO_2 and the μ -oxo

```
[*] D. Santamaría, Dr. J. Cano, Prof. P. Royo, Dr. M. E. G. Mosquera,
    Prof. T. Cuenca
    Departamento de Química Inorgánica
    Universidad de Alcalá
    Campus Universitario, 28871 Alcalá de Henares (Spain)
    Fax: (+34) 918-854-683
    E-mail: pascual.royo@uah.es
            tomas.cuenca@uah.es
    Dr. L. M. Frutos, Prof. O. Castaño
    Departamento de Ouímica-Física
    Universidad de Alcalá
    Campus Universitario, 28871 Alcalá de Henares (Spain)
[**] Financial Support by the Spanish MEC (project MAT2004-02614)
    and MCyT (project BQU2003-07281) is acknowledged.
    Supporting information for this article is available on the \ensuremath{\mathsf{WWW}}
```





Scheme 1. Possible reaction pathways for the synthesis of 2 and 3.

titanium(IV) derivative 2 and NEt₃·HCl being obtained (Scheme 1). The reaction of **1** with K_2CO_3 in THF or toluene afforded a mixture of unidentified compounds that did not contain 3.

Hydrolysis of the Group 4 metal/chloro complexes usually proceeds with initial transformation of the metal-chlorine bonds so that intermediate complexes are formed which contain rather uncommon, discrete terminal Group 4 metalhydroxo bonds.^[7] These species subsequently condense to give polynuclear compounds stabilized by µ-oxo bridges.^[8] We propose that the carbonato complex 3 results from the in situ formation of intermediate Si-OH/Ti-OH terminal bonds (see A and B in Scheme 1) and a further insertion reaction of CO₂ with simultaneous reduction to the highly stable titanium(III) compound 3. This mechanism of formation is consistent with the high stability of 3, which remains unaltered when left for weeks in air; with the formation of the μ -oxo complex 2 in the presence of a deprotonating agent; and also with the observed stability of 2, as it did not react with CO_2 to give 3 after several days at temperatures higher than 120°C.

The ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 25 °C) of complex **3** shows behavior expected for a C_{2h} -symmetric molecule with an A₂B spin system for the cyclopentadiene (Cp) protons and with two resonances of the two nonequivalent methyl groups of the four equivalent {SiMe₂} fragments (see Experimental Section). The resonances of the carbon atoms of the two equivalent bridging carbonato ligands are observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum as one signal at $\delta = 183.7$ ppm. The IR spectrum shows the characteristic ν (C–O) absorption of the carbonato ligand at 1375 cm⁻¹. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (CDCl₃, 25°C) of complex 2 show behavior expected for a disymmetric molecule with two resonances for the diastereotopic methyl groups of two equivalent {SiMe₂O} fragments rather than the singlet observed for the related symmetrical complex $[{TiCl_2[\mu-(OSiMe_2-\eta^5-C_5H_4)]_2}]^{[9]}$ reported previ-

5828

ously. The resonances for the SiMe₂Cl protons of **2** appear as two singlets with chemical shifts analogous to those observed for **1** and for $[TiCl_3(\eta^5-C_5H_4SiMe_2Cl)]$.^[9]

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{3}^{[10]}$ was determined by X-ray diffraction (Figure 1), which indicates a square-base pyramid coordination for the titanium center. The {Ti₂O₂} core is planar, with the two carbonato ligands and the four Si atoms also located in a second plane (maximum deviation = 0.0447 Å) with the dihedral angle between the planes at 128°. The Ti–Ti distance (3.2901(9) Å) is longer than that expected for a conventional Ti–Ti bond (ca. 2.68–2.85 Å).^[11]



Figure 1. ORTEP view of **3**, with 30% probability ellipsoids. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]. Ti-Ti 3.2901(9), C1-O2 1.353(3), C1-O9 1.357(3), C1-O1 1.407(3), Ti1-O1 2.0495(14); O2-C1-O9 116.9(2), O2-C1-O1 121.6(2), O9-C1-O1 121.4(2), Cl2-Ti1-Cl1 89.21(3).

To clarify the nature of the $Ti(d^1)$ – $Ti(d^1)$ electron coupling and, consequently, the diamagnetism of the molecule, we carried out a theoretical investigation of the electronic properties of 3. Single-determinant wave functions (Hartree-Fock), as well as DFT methods,^[12] failed to explain the stability of the singlet state of this molecule.^[13] This fact reflects the importance of the correlation energy in the study of the d1-d1 electron coupling. Only by including the correlation energy^[14] through the second-order-perturbation method (MP2)^[15] is it possible to explain the high stability of the singlet species, which is $57.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the triplet state (49.2 kcal mol⁻¹, as obtained from the X-ray crystal structure), and hence its diamagnetic character. The d¹-d¹ electron coupling basically arose from the in-phase interacting d_{z^2} -like orbitals located in each Ti atom (Figure 2). This in-phase orbital interaction collects almost all of the electronic density between the two Ti atoms,^[16] as it is the principal interaction responsible for the spin pairing. Also,



Figure 2. Depiction of the highest-occupied molecular orbitals showing the Ti–Ti in-phase orbital interaction at two different wave-function amplitudes (0.04 and 0.02 (left and right, respectively)). Minor contributions from the two annular oxygen atoms are present.

minor contributions from the two bridging oxygen atoms increase the strength of the interaction (Figure 2).

The Ti–Ti in-phase orbital interaction is strong enough to provide a high stability to the singlet species, as this state is only populated at room temperature. An S_0/T_1 intersystem crossing should not be an efficient path to populate the triplet state, as a result of low spin-orbit coupling^[17] as well as the high S_0-T_1 energy gap.

In summary, we have demonstrated that a bis(chlorodimethylsilyl)cyclopentadienyl titanium(IV) derivative can fix CO₂ and transform it into a carbonato ligand with simultaneous reduction to give an air-stable diamagnetic titanium(III) compound. A theoretical investigation of the electronic properties of the molecule demonstrates the high stability of the singlet versus the triplet state and justifies the diamagnetism exhibited by the carbonato complex **3**.

Experimental Section

2: Distilled and degassed water (27 µL, 1.49 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.42 mL, 2.96 mmol) were added to a solution of **1** (0.6 g, 1.48 mmol) in toluene (50 mL). The cloudy reaction mixture was stirred over 24 h at room temperature and then filtered to give a paleorange solution. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was extracted with hexane. The resulting solution was concentrated to 20 mL and cooled to -35 °C to give a light-orange solid which was isolated by filtration and identified as **2** (0.58 g, 0.827 mmol) 56% yield. Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₁₈H₃₀O₂Si₄Ti₂Cl₆: C 30.92, H 4.32; found: C 30.75, H 4.48; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.55, 0.60, 0.78, 0.83 (4 s,4×6H, SiMe₂), 7.06, 7.19, 7.35 ppm (3 m, 3×2 H, C₅H₃); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.2, 0.6, 2.5, 2.9 (4×SiMe₂), 127.4, 130.7, 134.0 (C₅H₃), 133.7, 135.4 ppm (C_{ipsor} C₅H₃).

3: An excess of distilled and degassed water (67 µL, 3.70 mmol) was added to a pale-yellow solution of 1 (0.3 g, 0.74 mmol) in toluene (200 mL) saturated with CO2. Formation of the same white solid suspension described above was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred over 42 h at room temperature. After that time, the reaction mixture changed to pale orange. The solvent was removed to give an orange solid that was extracted with a mixture of toluene/pentane and isolated as an orange crystalline solid identified as 3 (0.27 g, 0.086 mmol) 43% yield. Single crystals of 3 suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were grown from a solution of CHCl₃. Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₂₂H₃₂O₆Si₄Ti₂Cl₁₀: C 27.67, H 3.38; found: C 27.87, H 3.44; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.51, 0.60 (2s, 2 \times 12 \text{ H}, 100 \text{ Hz})$ SiMe₂), 6.88 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 7.88 ppm (m, 4H, C₅H₃); 13 C NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = -1.39, -0.50 \text{ (SiMe}_2), 135.6, 136.5 \text{ (C}_5\text{H}_3),$ 141.4 (C_{ipso}, C₅H₃), 183.7 ppm (CO₃); IR: $\tilde{\nu} = 3218$, 2961 (C-H (C₅H₃)), 1375 (C=O (CO₃²⁻)), 1262, 1221 (Si-CH₃ (SiMe₂)), 834, 800 (Ti-O-Ti), 676 cm^{-1} (Si-Cp ligand).

Received: February 25, 2005 Published online: August 4, 2005

Keywords: ab initio calculations · carbon dioxide fixation · silicon · titanium

- a) D. H. Gibson, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 2063; b) W. Leitner, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *153*, 257; c) X. Ling, J. R. Moss *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *181*, 27.
- [2] a) G. Parkin, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 699; b) S. Schenk, J. Kesselmeier, E. Anders, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 3091; c) H. Strasdeit, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 730; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5828-5830

Communications

2001, 40, 707; d) J. T. Cullen, T. W. Lane, F. M. M. Morel, R. M. Sherrell, *Nature* **1999**, 402, 165.

- [3] a) N. Kitajima, S. Hikichi, M. Tanaka, Y. Morooka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5496; b) A. Escuer, R. Vicente, S. B. Kumar, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1997, 403; c) R. A. Allred, L. H. McAlexander, A. M. Arif, L. M. Berreau, Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 6790; d) C. Bergquist, T. Fillebeen, M. M. Morlok, G. Parkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6189; e) E. García-España, P. Gavina, J. Latorre, C. Soriano, B. A. Verdejo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5082.
- [4] J. Beckmann, D. Dakternieks, A. Duthie, N. A. Lewcenko, C. Mitchell, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 6851; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6683.
- [5] a) T. C. W. Mak, P. J. Li, C. M. Zheng, K. Y. Huang, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1986, 1597; b) M. C. Suen, G. W. Tseng, J. D. Chen, T. C. Keng, J. C. Wang, Chem. Commun. 1999, 1185; c) F. A. Cotton, C. Lin, C. A. Murillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2670; d) G. Fachinetti, C. Floriani, A. Chiesivilla, C. Guastini, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1767; e) V. V. Burlakov, F. M. Dolgushin, A. I. Yanovsky, Y. T. Struchkov, V. B. Shur, U. Rosenthal, U. Thewalt, J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 522, 241.
- [6] M. Sudupe, J. Cano, P. Royo, E. Herdtweck, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 3074.
- [7] a) V. Ugrinova, G. A. Ellis, S. N. Brown, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 468; b) R. Bortolin, V. Patel, I. Munday, N. J. Taylor, A. J. Carty, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1985, 456.
- [8] G. Hidalgo, M. A. Pellinghelli, P. Royo, R. Serrano, A. Tiripicchio, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1990, 1118.
- [9] S. Ciruelos, T. Cuenca, P. Gómez-Sal, A. Manzanero, P. Royo, Organometallics 1995, 14, 177.
- [10] Crystal data for **3**: (C₁₁H₁₆Cl₅O₃Si₂Ti), $M_r = 477.57$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 10.5230(3), b = 9.5400(10), c = 19.787(3) Å, $\beta = 96.016(6)^\circ$, V = 1975.5(4) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.606 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, F(000) = 964, Mo_{Ka} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), $\mu = 1.236 \text{ mm}^{-1}$; crystal dimensions $0.51 \times 0.36 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$. R_1 ($F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$) = 0.0311, $wR_2(F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)) = 0.0703$, $R_1(F^2) = 0.0452$, $wR_2(F^2) = 0.0741$). Final-difference Fourier maps showed no peaks higher than 0.537 nor deeper than -0.701 e Å⁻³. CCDC-262845 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam. ac.uk/data request/cif.
- [11] a) A. Decker, D. Fenske, K. Maczek, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 3025; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 2863; b) A. Roth, C. Floriani, A. Chiesivilla, C. Guastini, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6823.
- [12] Single point from the X-ray structure as well as geometrical optimization with the Hartree–Fock (HF) and B3Lyp methods with the 3-21G(d) basis set were performed by using the Gaussian 98 suite of programs (see ref. [23]).
- [13] All the theoretical calculations were made with: Gaussian 98, (Revision A.7): M. J. Frisch, et al. (see Supporting Information).
- [14] Multiconfigurational CASSCF(2,2)/LANL2DZ calculations describe only qualitatively (not quantitatively) the energy of the singlet and triplet states, whereas geometrical optimization at this level gives a very accurate structure compared with the Xray data (see Supporting Information).
- [15] Moller–Plesset up to second-order calculation (MP2) with a LANL2DZ basis set were used to determine the energetics by using the optimized CASSCF(2,2)/LANL2DZ geometry.
- [16] The calculated difference of the density matrix elements between the S_0 and T_1 states reflects a high depopulation of the Ti–Ti shared density in the excitation to T_1 (approximately 0.8 e; see Supporting Information).
- [17] Spin-orbit coupling calculated at CASSCF(2,2)/3-21G(d) for the S_0 equilibrium geometry is equal to 16.80 cm⁻¹.