



## Spaces with a d-Point-Discrete Weak Base

著者	LIU Chuan, LIN Shou, LUDWIG Lewis D.
journal or publication title	Tsukuba journal of mathematics
volume	32
number	1
page range	165-177
year	2008
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00144065">http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00144065</a>

## SPACES WITH A $\sigma$ -POINT-DISCRETE WEAK BASE

By

Chuan LIU, Shou LIN, and Lewis D. LUDWIG

**Abstract.** In this paper  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak bases are considered. Three necessary conditions that individually ensure that a space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base are given. We show that  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak bases are preserved by closed sequence-covering maps. It is shown that a space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $X^\omega$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. Conditions are given to ensure when a paratopological group with  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base is metrizable. Several open questions are posed.

### 1. Introduction

Metrization theorems have played a key role in the study of general topology. Many now classic metrization theorems involve the use of different types of bases. For example,

**THEOREM 1.1.** *The following are equivalent for a regular space  $X$ :*

- (1)  $X$  is a metrizable space;
- (2)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base [18] [23];
- (3)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite base [2];
- (4)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving base [3].

Besides these results, much more is known. For instance, there is a non-metrizable space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base [3]. On the other hand, it was shown

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C10; 54D35; 54D70; 54E18.

*Key words and phrases.* weak base; point-discrete families; compact-finite families; tightness; closed maps; metric spaces.

The second author is supported by the NSFC (No. 10571151).

Received July 10, 2007.

Revised October 3, 2007.

that a  $g$ -metrizable space (i.e., a regular space with a  $\sigma$ -locally finite weak base) if and only if it has a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving weak base [11]. It is still an open problem whether a regular space with a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base is  $g$ -metrizable [17]. It was proved that a space has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base if and only if it is a  $k$ -space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. It is still unknown whether a separable space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base has a countable weak base [12]. Thus some relations among special point-discrete families, compact-finite families and locally finite families are interesting.

In this paper, we continue this work by considering spaces with  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak bases. Spaces with  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak bases play an important role in this study, so in Section 3 we give three necessary conditions that individually ensure that a space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base (Theorem 3.1). In section 4, we show how  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak bases behave under certain types of mappings. In particular, we show that  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak bases are preserved by closed sequence-covering maps (Theorem 4.1). We provide a characterization of metrizable spaces by  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak bases (Theorem 5.4). In Section 6 we use  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak bases to provide necessary conditions to ensure that certain paratopological groups are metrizable. We close with several open questions in Section 7.

## 2. Necessary Preliminaries

We begin with some basic definitions. In this paper, all spaces are regular  $T_1$ , and all maps are continuous and onto. Readers may refer to Engelking [4] for unstated definitions and terminology.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a family of subsets of a space  $X$ .

- (1)  $\mathcal{B}$  is *point-discrete* (or *weakly hereditarily closure-preserving* [3]) if  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is closed discrete in  $X$ , whenever  $x_\alpha \in B_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in I$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}$  is *compact-finite* if any compact subset of  $X$  meets at most finitely many members of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

It is easy to see that each compact-finite family is point-discrete in a  $k$ -space.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $X$  be a topological space. For every  $x \in X$  let  $\mathcal{T}_x$  be a family of subsets of  $X$  containing  $x$ . If the collection satisfies

- (1) for every  $x \in X$  the intersections of finitely many members of  $\mathcal{T}_x$  belong to  $\mathcal{T}_x$  and

- (2)  $U \subset X$  is open in  $X$  if and only if  $x \in U$  implies  $x \in T \subset U$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{T}_x$

then it is called a *weak base* for  $X$ .

A topological space  $X$  is *weakly first-countable* if it has a weak base  $\{\mathcal{T}_x : x \in X\}$  such that each  $\mathcal{T}_x$  is countable. Each weakly first countable space is a sequential space [22] and each sequential space is a  $k$ -space [4]. A space is said to be a  *$g$ -metrizable* space if it has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite weak base [22].

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of subsets of a space  $X$ .

- (1)  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *network* for  $X$  if for every  $x \in X$  and any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $x \in P \subset U$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *cs-network* for  $X$  [6] if whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence converging to a point  $x \in U$  with  $U$  open in  $X$ , then  $\{x_n : n \geq m\} \cup \{x\} \subset P \subset U$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a  *$k$ -network* for  $X$  [5] if whenever  $K \subset U$  with  $K$  compact and  $U$  open in  $X$ , there exists  $\mathcal{P}'$  of finitely many members of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}' \subset U$ .

If a space has a weak base, this also forms a *cs-network* for the space [22]. Each point-countable *cs-network* of a sequential space is a  *$k$ -network* [8]. A weak base for a space need not form  *$k$ -network* [11].

DEFINITION 2.4. The *tightness* of a point  $x$  in a space  $X$  is the smallest cardinal number  $\mathbf{m} \geq \omega$  with the property that if  $x \in \bar{C}$ , then there is  $C_0 \subset C$  such that  $|C_0| \leq \mathbf{m}$  and  $x \in \bar{C}_0$ ; this cardinal number is denoted by  $t(x, X)$ . The tightness of a space  $X$  is the supremum of all numbers  $t(x, X)$  for  $x \in X$ ; this cardinal number is denoted by  $t(X)$  [4].

Each sequential space has countable tightness.

### 3. Spaces with $\sigma$ -compact-finite Weak Bases

In this section we consider under what conditions a space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base. Before we present the main results of the section we recall the following two results [9].

LEMMA 3.1. *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ :*

- (1)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base;
- (2)  $X$  is a  $k$ -space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base;
- (3)  $X$  is a weakly first countable space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base;
- (4)  $X \times S$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base, here  $S$  is a non-trivial convergent sequence.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a point-discrete family of a space  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a subset of a weak base at some  $x \in X$  and there is a non-trivial sequence converging to  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  is finite.*

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $X$  be a space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. Then  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) **(CH)**  $t(X) \leq \omega$ ;
- (2) Each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set and  $t(X) \leq \omega$ ;
- (3)  $|X| < \aleph_\omega$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 3.1, we only need to show that  $X$  is weakly first countable. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a weak base for  $X$ . Here  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : x \in X\}$  is point-discrete for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a weak base at  $x$  in  $X$  for each  $x \in X$ . Fix a non-isolated point  $x_0 \in X$ .

(1) Assume **CH** and  $t(X) \leq \omega$ . Since  $x_0 \in \overline{X \setminus \{x_0\}}$ , there is a countable subset  $B \subset X \setminus \{x_0\}$  with  $x_0 \in \overline{B}$ .  $\overline{B}$  is a separable subspace with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base, hence  $\overline{B}$  is  $g$ -metrizable [12, Theorem 2.8] under **CH**. Therefore, there is a non-trivial sequence in  $\overline{B} \setminus \{x_0\}$  converging to  $x_0$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(n)$  is finite for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Thus,  $X$  is weakly first countable at  $x_0$ .

(2) Assume that each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set and  $t(X) \leq \omega$ . Let  $\{U_n\}$  be a sequence of open neighborhoods of  $x_0$  in  $X$  with  $\{x_0\} = \bigcap\{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  and each  $\overline{U_{n+1}} \subset U_n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}(n)$ , since  $x_0$  is a non-isolated point in  $X$  and  $P$  is a weak neighborhood of  $x_0$ ,  $(P \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$ . Thus pick  $x(P, n) \in (P \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap U_n$ . Let  $Y = \{x_0\} \cup \{x(P, n) : P \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}(n), n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Then  $Y$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and  $x_0$  is the unique non-isolated point of  $Y$ . So  $\mathcal{B}|_Y$  is not only a weak base for  $Y$ , but also is a base for  $Y$  [11]. Hence  $Y$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base and  $t(Y) \leq \omega$ , and  $Y$  is metrizable [14, Theorem 2.1]. Thus there is a non-trivial sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset Y \setminus \{x_0\}$  converging to  $x_0$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(n)$  is finite for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Therefore,  $X$  is weakly first-countable at  $x_0$ .

(3) Assume  $|X| < \aleph_\omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . We only need to show that  $|\mathcal{B}_{x_0}| \leq \aleph_0$ .

First, prove that  $|\mathcal{B}_{x_0}| < \aleph_\omega$ . Suppose not,  $|\mathcal{B}_{x_0}| \geq \aleph_\omega$ . We write  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(n) = \{B_\alpha(n) : \alpha \in I_n\}$  with  $I_n$  well-order for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Choose  $x_\alpha(n) \in B_\alpha(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in I_n$  by inductive method as follows. First, take a point  $x_0(1) \in B_0(1)$ . Assume  $x_\alpha(n) \in B_\alpha(n)$  have been selected for each  $n < k$ ,  $\alpha \in I_n$  or  $n = k$ ,  $\alpha < \gamma$ , where  $x_\alpha(n) \neq x_\beta(n)$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ;  $x_\alpha(i) \neq x_\beta(j)$  if  $i \neq j$ ;  $x_\alpha(n) \neq x_0$  for  $\alpha \in I_n$ ,  $n < k$  or  $\alpha \in I_k$ ,  $\alpha < \gamma$ . Let  $U = X \setminus (\bigcup \{x_\alpha(n) : n < k, \alpha \in I_n\} \cup \{x_\alpha(k) : \alpha < \gamma\})$ . Then  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $x_0$ , and we can pick  $x_\gamma(k) \in U \cap B_\gamma(k) \setminus \{x_0\}$ . This completes the inductive choice. Next, let  $A = \{x_\alpha(n) : n \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha \in I_n\}$ . Then  $|A| = |\mathcal{B}_{x_0}| \geq \aleph_\omega$ . On the other hand,  $|A| \leq |X| < \aleph_\omega$ , this is a contradiction. Hence  $|\mathcal{B}_{x_0}| < \aleph_\omega$ .

Now we prove that  $|\mathcal{B}_{x_0}| \leq \aleph_0$ . Suppose  $|\mathcal{B}_{x_0}| = \aleph_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $|\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(m)| = \aleph_n$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . We rewrite that  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(m) = \{B_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_n\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0} = \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_n\}$ . Since  $x_0$  is a non-isolated point,  $B_\alpha \cap C_\alpha \neq \{x_0\}$  for each  $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}(m)$  and  $C_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}$ . Thus pick  $x_\alpha \in B_\alpha \cap C_\alpha \setminus \{x_0\}$  for each  $\alpha < \aleph_n$ . Then  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_n\}$  is a closed discrete subset in  $X$  since  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}(m)$  is point-discrete. On other other hand,  $x_0 \in \overline{\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_n\}}$  because  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  is a weak base at  $x_0$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $X$  is weakly first-countable.  $\square$

We now improve part (3) of Lemma 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *A space  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base if and only if it is a weakly first countable space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete cs-network.*

**PROOF.** We only need to show sufficiency. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a cs-network for  $X$ . Here  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is point-discrete for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $X$  is sequential, we may assume  $\mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$  and each  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is closed under finite intersection, in fact, if  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is point-discrete, then  $\{\bigcap \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in [\mathcal{B}_n]^{<\omega}\}$  is point-discrete if  $X$  is sequential. For each  $x \in X$ , if  $x$  is an isolated point, then  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $x$  is not an isolated point, let  $\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_n : x \in B, \text{ and } B \text{ contains a non-trivial sequence converging to } x\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{B}_n(x)| < \omega$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Suppose not, then consider an infinite subset  $\{B_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \mathcal{B}_n(x)$ . For each  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\{x_i(m)\}_i \subset B_m$  be a non-trivial sequence converging to  $x$ . There is  $i_m \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\{x_i(m) : i \geq i_k\}$  only meets finitely many  $B_k$ 's. Otherwise, there is a subsequence  $\{x_{i_j}\}_j$  of  $\{x_i(m)\}_i$  such that each distinct  $x_{i_j}$  belong to a distinct  $B_k$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_n(x)$  is point-discrete,  $\{x_{i_j} : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is closed discrete, hence  $X$  has a closed copy of the sequential fan  $S_\omega$ , this is a contradiction since  $S_\omega$  is not weakly first countable. Thus  $|\mathcal{B}_n(x)| < \omega$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_x = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}_n(x) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_x$  is a countable *cs*-network at  $x$  for  $X$ . Since  $X$  is weakly first-countable, there is a subfamily  $\mathcal{P}_x \subset \mathcal{B}_x$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_x$  is a weak base at  $x$  [8, Lemma 7(3)]. Hence  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X, x \text{ is not an isolated point}\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \text{ is an isolated point}\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base of  $X$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 3.1. There exists a weakly first countable space  $X$  with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete  $k$ -network such that  $X$  has not any  $\sigma$ -point-discrete *cs*-network.

PROOF. A space  $X$  having the properties was constructed Burke, Engelking, and Lutzer [3, Example 9.8]. Let  $Z$  be the topological sum of the closed unit interval  $[0, 1] = \mathbf{I}$  and the family  $\{S(x) : x \in \mathbf{I}\}$  of  $2^\omega$  non-trivial convergent sequence  $S(x)$ . Let  $X$  be the space obtained from  $Z$  by identifying the limit point of  $S(x)$  with  $x \in \mathbf{I}$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{I}$ . Then  $X$  is a quotient and compact image of a metric space, hence  $X$  is a weakly first countable space.

Next, a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete  $k$ -network for  $X$  is given as follows. Assume that  $S(x) = \{x\} \cup \{(x, 1/n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{I}$ , and denote  $X$  by  $\mathbf{I} \cup \{(x, 1/n) : x \in \mathbf{I}, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Let  $S_n(x) = \{(x, 1/i) : i \geq n\}$  for each  $x \in X$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_1$  be a countable base for  $\mathbf{I}$  with respect to the usual topology,  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{\{x\} : x \in X \setminus \mathbf{I}\}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{S_{n-2}(x) : x \in \mathbf{I}\}$  for each  $n > 2$ . Then  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete  $k$ -network for  $X$ .

It was shown that  $X$  has no point-countable weak base [8, Remark 14(2)]. Then  $X$  has no  $\sigma$ -point-discrete *cs*-network by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

#### 4. Some Mapping Theorems

In this section we discuss some mapping properties of spaces with  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak bases. It is known that spaces with a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base are not preserved by perfect maps. For example, let  $S_2$  be the Arens' space and  $S_\omega$  the sequential fan. There is a perfect map  $f : S_2 \rightarrow S_\omega$ , however  $S_2$  is a  $g$ -metrizable space and  $S_\omega$  is not weakly first countable [24].

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *sequence-covering map* if whenever  $\{y_n\}$  is a convergent sequence in  $Y$  there is a convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $x_n \in f^{-1}(y_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  [21].  $f$  is called a *compact-covering map* if whenever  $L$  is compact in  $Y$  there is a compact subset  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $f(K) = L$  [4]. Recently, the first author proved that closed, irreducible and sequence-covering maps preserve  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak bases [11]. This result is sharpened by the following.

**THEOREM 4.1.**  *$\sigma$ -compact-finite weak bases are preserved by closed sequence-covering maps.*

**PROOF.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed sequence-covering map and  $X$  have a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base. Since each image of a space with a point-countable weak base under a closed and sequence-covering map is weakly first countable [11, Lemma 3.1],  $Y$  is weakly first countable. It is easy to check that spaces with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete  $cs$ -network are preserved by closed sequence-covering maps. Hence  $Y$  is a space with a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Each closed map on a space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base is compact-covering under **CH**.*

**PROOF.** Under **CH**, let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed map and  $X$  have a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. Assume that  $L$  is a compact subset in  $Y$ . We first show that  $L$  is metrizable. Since  $f$  is a closed map and  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete network, then  $Y$  also has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete network. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a network for  $Y$ , where each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is point-discrete. For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , put  $D_n = \{y \in Y : \mathcal{P}_n \text{ is not point finite at } y\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{P \setminus D_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\} \cup \{\{y\} : y \in D_n\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is compact-finite in  $Y$ , and  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a network for  $Y$  by the work of the first author [10, Proposition 2]. Thus  $L$  has a countable network and  $L$  is metrizable [4].

Now,  $L$  is a compact metric space, so it is separable. Take  $D \subset L$  such that  $|D| \leq \omega$  and  $\bar{D} = L$ . For each  $y \in D$ , pick  $x_y \in f^{-1}(y)$ . Let  $E = \{x_y : y \in D\}$ . Then  $|E| \leq \omega$ , and  $\bar{E}$  is separable. By the work of the first author [12, Theorem 2.8],  $\bar{E}$  has a countable weak base under **CH**, so  $\bar{E}$  is a paracompact space. Since  $f$  is a closed map, we have

$$f(\bar{E}) = \overline{f(E)} = \bar{D} = L.$$

It is well known that a closed map on a paracompact space is a compact-covering [4], hence there exists a compact subset  $K$  with  $K \subset \bar{E}$  such that  $f(K) = L$ .  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 4.1.** A closed map on spaces with a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite  $cs$ -network need not be compact-covering.

**PROOF.** There is a closed map  $f$  from a space  $X$  onto the one-point compactification of the discrete space of cardinality  $\omega_1$  such that each compact



subset of  $X$  is finite. Then  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite  $cs$ -network, but  $f$  is not compact-covering [20, Example 1].  $\square$

## 5. Metrization Theorems

In this section some metrization theorems are given for spaces with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base. A space  $X$  is called a  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn space if for every  $x \in \bar{U}$  with  $U$  open in  $X$  there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset U$  converging to  $x$  in  $X$  [15].

Each Fréchet-Urysohn space is  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn. But a  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn space need not be a  $k$ -space or a space with a countable tightness [15]. The next result shows that a  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn space is metrizable if it has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *A space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if it is a  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X$  be a  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. First, we prove that  $X$  is weakly first-countable. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base as the proof of Theorem 3.1. Fix a non-isolated point  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in \overline{X \setminus \{x\}}$ . Since  $X$  is  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn, there is a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X \setminus \{x\}$  converging to  $x$ .  $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$  is finite for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  by Lemma 3.2. Thus  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a countable weak base of  $x$ .

It is straightforward to prove that a  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Urysohn, weakly first-countable space is first-countable [15]. Thus  $X$  is first-countable. By Lemma 3.1,  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base. It is not difficult to show that a compact-finite family in a first-countable space is locally-finite [2]. Thus  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally-finite weak base. A first-countable space with a  $\sigma$ -locally-finite weak base is metrizable [22, Theorem 1.13].  $\square$

We can prove the following by the similar method in Theorem 3.1(3).

**THEOREM 5.2.** *If  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base, then  $\chi(X)^1 \leq |X|$ .*

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Let  $X$  be a space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base. If for any non-isolated point  $x \in X$ , there is a subset  $A \subset X$  such that  $|A| < \aleph_\omega$  and  $x \in \overline{A \setminus \{x\}}$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

---

<sup>1</sup> $\chi(X)$  denotes the character of  $X$ .

PROOF. Considering the proof of Theorem 3.1(3),  $X$  is first-countable. Then  $X$  is metrizable by Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let  $X$  have a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base. Then  $X$  is metrizable if  $t(X) < \aleph_\omega$ , in particular,  $|X| < \aleph_\omega$ .*

THEOREM 5.4. *If  $X^\omega$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

PROOF. We can assume that  $|X| > 1$ .  $X$  contains  $D = \{0, 1\}$  as a closed copy. Since  $X^\omega \times X^\omega$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base and  $X^\omega \times S$  is a closed subset of  $X^\omega \times X^\omega$ , where  $S$  is a non-trivial convergent sequence in  $D^\omega$ ,  $X^\omega \times S$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. By Lemma 3.1,  $X^\omega$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base, hence it is a sequential space. Since every point-countable weak base is a point-countable  $k$ -network [8],  $X$  has a point-countable  $k$ -network and  $X^\omega$  is a sequential space, then  $X$  has a point-countable base [16, Theorem 3.8], hence  $X$  is metrizable by Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

It is natural to ask the following question: If  $X^n$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , is  $X$  metrizable? The answer is no.

EXAMPLE 5.1. There exists a non-metrizable space  $X$  such that  $X^n$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

PROOF. Burke, Engelking, and Lutzer created a space  $X$  which is non-metrizable with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base as follows [3, Example 9]. Let  $A$  be the set of all ordinals having cardinality less than  $\aleph_\omega$  and let  $Z = \{0, 1\}^A$ . For each  $z \in Z$  and  $\alpha < \aleph_\omega$ , put  $z(\alpha) = \pi_\alpha(z)$ , here  $\pi_\alpha : Z \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is the projection onto the  $\alpha$ -th coordinate. Let  $X = \{s\} \cup \{z \in Z : \{\alpha \in A : z(\alpha) = 0\} \in A^{<\omega}\}$ , here  $s \in Z$  with  $s(\alpha) = 0$  for each  $\alpha < \aleph_\omega$ . We now endow  $X$  with a topology. Let each point in  $X \setminus \{s\}$  is isolated. The basic neighborhood of  $s$  is of form  $\{B \cap X : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basic neighborhood base at  $s$  in the product space  $Z$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let

$$\mathcal{B}_1(n) = \{\{z\} : z \in X \setminus \{s\}, |\{\alpha \in A : z(\alpha) = 0\}| = n\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2(n) = \{B \cap X : B \in \mathcal{B}, \Gamma(B) \subset [0, \omega_n]\},$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma(B) = \{\alpha \in A : \pi_\alpha(B) = \{0\}\}.$$

It was shown that  $\mathcal{B}' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\mathcal{B}_1(n) \cup \mathcal{B}_2(n))$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base for  $X$  [3].

Fix  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . We prove that  $\{\prod_{i \leq k} \mathcal{B}_m(j_i) : m = 1, 2, j_i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete base for  $X^k$ . If  $p \in \overline{B \setminus \{p\}}$  for a subset  $B \subset X^k$ , there exists  $m \leq k$  such that  $\pi_m(p) = s$ . Since  $s \in \overline{\pi_m(B) \setminus \{s\}}$ , then  $|\pi_m(B)| = \aleph_\omega$  by Theorem 5.3. Note that  $|\mathcal{B}_2(n)| < \aleph_\omega$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $\prod_{i \leq k} \mathcal{B}_m(j_i)$  is a point-discrete family.  $\square$

It is known that  $(S_2)^n$  has a countable weak base for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

## 6. Paratopological Groups with A $\sigma$ -point-discrete Weak Base

Next, an application for paratopological groups is given. We first recall some compact-type properties. Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space.  $X$  is called *Ohio complete* if in every Hausdorff compactification  $bX$  of  $X$  there exists a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $Z$  such that  $X \subset Z$  and every  $y \in Z \setminus X$  is separated from  $X$  by a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Z$ .  $X$  is of *countable type* if every compact subspace  $P$  of  $X$  is contained in a compact subspace  $F \subset X$  that has a countable base of open neighborhoods of  $X$ . It is known that locally compact spaces are  $p$ -spaces and  $p$ -spaces are Ohio complete spaces [1, 4].

A *paratopological group*  $G$  is a group  $G$  with a topology such that the product mapping of  $G \times G$  into  $G$  is jointly continuous.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a paratopological group with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base and  $bX \setminus X$  be Ohio complete. Then  $G$  is a metrizable space.*

**PROOF.** If  $G$  is locally compact, then  $G$  has a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base by Lemma 3.1, thus each compact subspace of  $G$  is metrizable. Hence  $G$  is first-countable, and it is metrizable by Theorem 5.1.

If  $G$  is not locally compact, then  $G$  is nowhere locally compact because  $G$  is homogeneous. It follows that the remainder,  $X = bG \setminus G$ , is dense in  $bG$ . Hence  $bG$  is also a Hausdorff compactification of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is Ohio complete, there is a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $Y$  of  $bG$  such that  $X \subset Y$  and every  $y \in Y \setminus X$  can be separated from  $X$  by a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Y$ .

Case 1:  $X = Y$ .

$X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $bG$ . Then  $G$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact subspace of  $bG$ , thus it is a Lindelöf space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. Thus  $G$  is weakly first-countable [9, Corollary 2], hence it is first-countable [19]. So  $G$  is metrizable.

Case 2:  $Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset$ .

Fix  $y \in Y \setminus X$ . There exists a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $P$  of  $Y$  such that  $y \in P \subset Y \setminus X$ . Since  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $bG$ ,  $P$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $bG$ . There is a sequence  $\{U_n\}$  of open subsets of  $bG$  with  $y \in P = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} U_n$ . Thus, we can find a sequence  $\{V_n\}$  of open subsets of  $bG$  such that  $y \in V_n \subset U_n$  and  $\bar{V}_{n+1} \subset V_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  because  $bG$  is regular. Let  $K = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \bar{V}_n$ . Then  $K$  is a compact  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $bG$ . Hence  $K$  is metrizable, and  $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a neighborhood base of  $K$  in  $bG$ . So  $G$  is first-countable at  $y$  [4, 3.1.E], thus  $G$  is metrizable.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact paratopological group with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base and  $bG \setminus G$  be a  $p$ -space. Then  $G$  is a separable metrizable space.*

**PROOF.** Since each  $p$ -space is Ohio complete [1],  $G$  is metrizable.  $G$  is nowhere locally compact, and  $bG$  is a Hausdorff compactification of  $bG \setminus G$ . Also, a  $p$ -space is of countable type.  $G$  is Lindelöf by [7], hence  $G$  is a separable metrizable space.  $\square$

**THEOREM 6.2.** *Let  $G$  be a paratopological group with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base. Then  $G$  is metrizable if  $Y = bG \setminus G$  is not pseudocompact.*

**PROOF.** If  $G$  is locally compact, then  $G$  is first-countable in view of the proof of Theorem 6.1, hence  $G$  is metrizable.

If  $G$  is a non-locally compact paratopological group, then  $Y = bG \setminus G$  is dense in  $bG$ . Since  $Y$  is not pseudocompact, there exists an infinite disjoint family  $\xi = \{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  of non-empty open sets in  $Y$  such that  $\xi$  is discrete in  $Y$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , find an open subset  $V_n$  of  $bG$  such that  $U_n = V_n \cap Y$ .  $\eta = \{V_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  does not have limit points in  $Y$  since  $\xi$  is discrete and  $\bar{V}_n = \bar{U}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $K$  be the all limit points of the family  $\eta$  in  $bG$ . Then  $K$  is closed in  $bG$  and  $K \subset G$ . Since  $bG$  is compact,  $K$  is a nonempty compact subset of  $bG$ .  $G$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base,  $K$  is metrizable. Fix  $x \in K$ ,  $K$  has a countable base at  $x$ . Pick a decreasing family  $\{W_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  of open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $bG$  such that  $\bigcap \{\bar{W}_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \cap K = \{x\}$ . Since  $x \in K$ , we may define an increasing sequence of integers  $n_k$  with  $x_{n_k} \in W_{n_k} \cap V_{n_k}$ . Since  $bG$  is compact,  $\{x_{n_k} : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$  has a cluster point, clearly,  $x$  is the unique limit point of  $\{x_{n_k} : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ , hence  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$ .

By Lemma 3.2,  $G$  is weakly first countable at  $x$ . Hence  $G$  is first countable since  $G$  is a paratopological group, and  $G$  is metrizable by Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

## 7. Questions

We close with several questions that are natural extensions of this work.

QUESTION 7.1. Let  $X$  have a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete (weak) base, is every point of  $X$  a  $G_\delta$ -set?

QUESTION 7.2. Let  $X$  be a pseudocompact (or ccc) space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete (weak) base, is  $X$  metrizable?

REMARK: If the answer for Question 7.1 is positive, then a pseudocompact space with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete weak base is metrizable.

QUESTION 7.3. Let  $X$  have a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete (weak) base, is  $X$  normal, meta-Lindelöf?

QUESTION 7.4. Let  $G$  be a topological (or paratopological) group with a  $\sigma$ -point-discrete (weak) base, is  $G$  metrizable?

QUESTION 7.5. Are spaces with a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base preserved by open and closed maps?

REMARK: If each point of the domain of the map is a  $G_\delta$ -set, then the answer to the above question is positive.

## References

- [ 1 ] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, Remainders in compactifications and generalized metrizability properties, *Topology Appl.*, **150** (2005), 79–90.
- [ 2 ] J. R. Boone, Some characterizations of paracompactness in  $k$ -spaces, *Fund. Math.*, **72** (1971), 145–153.
- [ 3 ] D. Burke, R. Engelking and D. Lutzer, Hereditarily closure-preserving collections and metrization, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51** (1975), 483–488.
- [ 4 ] R. Engelking, *General Topology* (revised and completed edition), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [ 5 ] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, *Pacific J. Math.*, **113** (1984), 303–332.
- [ 6 ] J. A. Guthrie, A characterization of  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, *General Topology Appl.*, **1** (1971), 105–110.
- [ 7 ] M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell, Some properties of compactifications, *Duke Math. J.*, **25** (1958), 83–106.
- [ 8 ] S. Lin and Y. Tanaka, Point-countable  $k$ -networks, closed maps, and related results, *Topology Appl.*, **59** (1994), 79–86.
- [ 9 ] S. Lin, L. Yan, A note on spaces with a  $\sigma$ -compact-finite weak base, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **28** (2004), 85–91.

- [10] C. Liu, Spaces with a  $\sigma$ -compact finite  $k$ -network, *Questions Answers in General Topology*, **10** (1992), 81–87.
- [11] C. Liu, On weak bases, *Topology Appl.*, **150** (2005), 91–99.
- [12] C. Liu, Notes on  $g$ -metrizable spaces, *Topology Proc.*, **29** (2005), 207–215.
- [13] C. Liu, A note on paratopological groups, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **47** (2006), 633–640.
- [14] C. Liu and L. Ludwig, Nagata-Smirnov revisited: Spaces with  $\sigma$ -point-discrete bases, *Top. Proc.*, **29** (2005), 559–565.
- [15] C. Liu and L. Ludwig,  $\kappa$ -Fréchet-Uryshon spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, **31** (2005), 391–401.
- [16] C. Liu and Y. Tanaka, Star-countable  $k$ -networks, compact-countable  $k$ -networks, and related results, *Houston J. Math.*, **24** (1998), 655–670.
- [17] C. Liu and Y. Tanaka, Spaces and mappings: special networks, In: E. Pearl ed. *Open Problems in Topology II*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 2007, 23–34.
- [18] J. Nagata, On a necessary and sufficient condition of metrizability, *J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ. Ser. A. Math.*, **1** (1950), 93–100.
- [19] P. Nyikos, Metrizable and the Fréchet-Uryshon property in topological groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1981), 793–801.
- [20] M. Sakai, Remarks on spaces with special type of  $k$ -networks, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **21** (1997), 443–448.
- [21] F. Siwiec, Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings, *General Topology Appl.*, **1** (1971), 143–154.
- [22] F. Siwiec, On defining a space by a weak base, *Pacific J. Math.*, **52** (1974), 233–245.
- [23] Smirnov, Yu. M., On the metrization of topological spaces, *Uspehi Matem. Nauk* **6** (1951), no. 6, 100–111.
- [24] Y. Tanaka, Products of CW-complexes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **86** (1982), 503–507.

(Liu)Department of Mathematics  
Ohio University Zanesville Campus  
Zanesville, OH 43701, USA  
E-mail address: liucl@ohio.edu

(Lin)Department of Mathematics  
Zhangzhou Normal University  
Zhangzhou 363000, P. R. China  
Institute of Mathematics  
Ningde Teachers' College  
Ningde, Fujian 352100, P. R. China  
E-mail address: linshou@public.ndppt.fj.cn

(Ludwig)Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Denison University  
Granville, OH 43023, USA  
E-mail address: ludwigl@denison.edu