



Promoting effect of Pt addition to Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ catalyst for steam gasification of biomass

著者	Nishikawa Jin, Miyazawa Tomohisa, Nakamura Kazuya, Asadullah Mohammad, Kunimori Kimio, Tomishige Keiichi
journal or publication title	Catalysis communications
volume	9
number	2
page range	195-201
year	2008-02
権利	(C)2007 Elsevier B.V.
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2241/98207

doi: 10.1016/j.catcom.2007.05.045

Promoting effect of Pt addition to Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ catalyst for steam gasification of biomass

Jin Nishikawa, Tomohisa Miyazawa, Kazuya Nakamura, Mohammad Asadullah,
Kimio Kunimori, Keiichi Tomishige*

*Institute of Material Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1, Tennodai, Tsukuba,
Ibaraki 305-8573, Japan*

Corresponding author: Keiichi Tomishige

Tel + Fax: +81-298-53-5030, E-mail: tomi@tulip.sannet.ne.jp

Abstract

The modification of Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ with Pt can make the activation by H₂ reduction unnecessary, and this indicates that the Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ catalyst can be activated automatically by the compounds contained in tar. This can be explained by the enhancement of the Ni reducibility by the addition of Pt. The results of the temperature programmed reduction with H₂ also support this enhancement. Furthermore, the addition of 0.1% Pt to Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ (4wt% Ni, 30wt% CeO₂) enhanced the performance in the steam gasification of biomass, compared to Ni/Al₂O₃ and Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ in terms of low tar yield and high gas yield. This can be related to the Pt-Ni alloy formation indicated by the extended X-ray absorption fine structure analysis.

Keywords

Steam gasification, Steam reforming, Ni, Pt, Tar, Coke, Biomass, Activation, Reduction, Regeneration

Introduction

Conversion of biomasses to hydrogen and synthesis gas becomes more and more important in terms of the utilization of renewable sources [1]. In the non-catalytic gasification with air which is one of the conventional syngas and hydrogen production methods from biomass, high reaction temperature is required to the tar removal [2]. The application of metal catalysts in the biomass gasification system is an effective approach to reduce the tar content [2-4]. In most cases, commercially available, steam reforming Ni catalysts have been applied, and the problem of catalyst deactivation due to coke deposition has been pointed out [2-4]. Recently, our group has reported the gasification of cellulose [5-7] and biomass [8-13] with air using Rh/CeO₂/SiO₂. It is found that Rh/CeO₂/SiO₂ was much more effective catalyst for the gasification of biomass with air than conventional steam reforming Ni catalyst and dolomite. In particular, it also showed high resistance to coke deposition and high stability [5-13].

From the practical viewpoint, the gasification of biomass with air gives the gaseous fuel diluted with nitrogen, and this decreases the energy efficiency in the product gas usage. The biomasses can also be gasified with steam, and this corresponds to steam gasification or steam reforming. In these processes, the product gas is not diluted at all. This can enhance the total efficiency. It has been found that Rh/CeO₂/SiO₂ was also effective in the steam gasification of biomass [14, 15]. However, the catalyst has problems in high cost and limited availability originated from the usage of Rh. Developments of metal catalysts for steam gasification of model compounds of biomass [16-19] and biomass itself [20] have also been carried out. Recently, we have investigated various oxides supported Ni catalysts in the steam gasification. As a result, it was found that CeO₂ support plays an important role on the decrease of coke deposition [21]. Furthermore, Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ prepared by co-impregnation

method exhibited much higher performance than Ni/Al₂O₃ and Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ prepared by sequential impregnation in the steam gasification of biomass [22, 23]. In this communication, we report the additive effect of Pt to Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ prepared by co-impregnation method in the steam gasification of cedar wood, in particular, the effect of H₂ reduction pretreatment was also investigated.

Experimental

Catalyst

Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ catalyst was prepared by co-impregnation method. An Al₂O₃ support (JRC-ALO-1) was impregnated with the aqueous solution of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) and Ce(NH₄)₂(NO₃)₆ (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) mixture by the incipient wetness method. Before the impregnation, Al₂O₃ was calcined in air at 1473 K to transform from γ -Al₂O₃ to α -Al₂O₃. The sample was dried at 383 K for 12 h followed by the calcination at 773 K for 3 h under air atmosphere. The Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ catalyst modified with Pt was also prepared, and the modification method was as follows: calcined Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ was impregnated with an aqueous solution of Pt(NO₂)₂(NH₃)₂ (Soekawa Chemical Co.,Ltd.). Subsequently, the sample was dried at 383 K for 12 h, and calcined again at 773 K in the air for 3 h. The catalyst obtained here is denoted as Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃. The Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst was prepared as a reference by incipient wetness method using the Al₂O₃ and the aqueous solution of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O. After the loading of Ni, the catalyst was dried at 383 K for 12 h, and calcined at 773 K for 3 h under air atmosphere. All these catalysts were sieved to granules with the size of 0.16–0.35 mm. The loading amount of Ni was 4 wt%, and that of CeO₂ was 30 wt%. The loading amount of Pt was 0.1 wt%. As a reference, we also prepared

Pt/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ with the same loading amount as above, and the preparation method was also similar to that of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ except for the introduction of Ni.

Biomass

Cedar wood was ground with a ball mill to about 0.1–0.3 mm size. The moisture content of the cedar wood was 9.2%. The dry-based composition by weight was C 51.1 %, H 5.9 %, O 42.5 %, N 0.1 %, and ash 0.3 %. The elemental analysis was carried out by the Japan Institute of Energy.

Activity test of steam gasification of biomass

Steam gasification of biomass was carried out in a laboratory-scale continuous feeding dual-bed reactor. The reactor contained the primary bed for pyrolysis of biomass and accumulation of solid products in pyrolysis reaction such as char and ash. The products in the gas phase at reaction temperature can include tar and they were introduced to the secondary catalyst bed. The structure of the reactor has already been described in our previous reports, and the details of the procedure for catalytic performance evaluation in the steam gasification of cedar have been also shown [22, 23]. The biomass feeder consisted of a conical glass vessel with a screw valve at the bottom, allowing continuous feeding of biomass particles by vibrating the vessel with an electric vibrator. Nitrogen was used for transporting the biomass particles to the primary bed.

Feeding rate of gases, steam and biomass are described in each result. In the reaction, carbon-containing solid byproducts were observed. Here, the solid carbon deposited on the catalysts is called coke. The method to determine coke and char amount was the same as that in our previous report [22, 23]. The reaction temperature was controlled by the thermocouple

positioned outside the reactor. The tests were carried out under atmospheric pressure by using 1 g of catalyst. We evaluated the catalytic performance over the catalysts with or without H₂ reduction pretreatment. In order to remove any solid and liquid materials contained in the product gas, the effluent gas went through a filter and an iced water condenser and it was collected by a syringe and analyzed by a gas chromatograph (GC). The concentrations of CO, CO₂, CH₄ and C₂ (ethane and ethylene) were determined by FID-GC equipped with a methanator and that of H₂ was determined by TCD-GC. The flow rate of the gas was measured by a soap membrane meter. The carbon-based conversion to gas (C-conversion) was calculated by “A/B × 100”, where A represents the formation rate of CO + CO₂ + CH₄ and B represents the total carbon supplying rate contained in the biomass. The yields of coke and char were calculated by (total amount of CO₂+CO in the combustion)/(total carbon amount in fed biomass). As a result, we can measure the yield of gaseous products and solid products (coke and char). On the other hand, it is difficult to determine the tar amount precisely. This is because tar is easily condensable in the reactor systems and a part of tar can not be collected. Therefore, we estimated the tar yield by subtraction of gaseous and solid products yields from the total. The yield of tar was defined as (100–C-conv. (%)–coke yield (%)–char yield (%)). In addition, we used the formation rate of CO + H₂ + 4CH₄ for the comparison, where 4 times of CH₄ is based on the reaction formula of CH₄ + H₂O → CO + 3H₂. This is because the yield of the flammable gas is related to the energy efficiency in the utilization of biomass for power generation as well as the chemical utilization of produced synthesis gas. In our experiments, catalysts were used repeatedly. After the procedure of coke combustion, the same catalyst was applied to another activity test at different reaction temperature.

Catalyst characterization

Chemisorption of H₂ was carried out in a high-vacuum system by a volumetric method. Before H₂ adsorption measurement, the catalysts were treated in H₂ at 773 K for 0.5 h. H₂ adsorption was performed at room temperature. Gas pressure at adsorption equilibrium was about 1.1 kPa. The sample weight was about 0.2 g. The dead volume of the apparatus was about 60 cm³. Dispersion was calculated on the basis of the total H₂ adsorption.

Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) with H₂ was performed in fixed-bed flow reactor. The TPR profile of each sample was recorded from room temperature to 973 K under a flow of 5.0% H₂/Ar. The flow rate of 5.0% H₂/Ar was 30 ml/min, and the catalyst weight was 50 mg. The heating rate was 10 K/min and the temperature was maintained at 973 K for 30 min after it reached 973 K. The consumption of H₂ was monitored continuously with a TCD gas chromatograph equipped with frozen acetone trap in order to remove H₂O from the effluent gas.

The Pt L₃-edge EXAFS was measured at the BL-9C station of the Photon Factory at the High Energy Accelerator Research Organization in Tsukuba, Japan (Proposal No. 2004G280). The storage ring was operated at 2.5 GeV with a ring current of 300 - 450 mA. A Si(111) single crystal was used to obtain monochromatic X-ray beam. The monochromator was detuned to 60% of the maximum intensity to avoid higher harmonics in the X-ray beam. Two ion chambers filled with N₂ and 15% Ar diluted with N₂ were used to detect I_0 and I , respectively. The samples for the EXAFS measurement were prepared by pressing catalyst powder of 200 mg, and the thickness of the samples was chosen to be 1.5 mm (10 mm ϕ) to give edge jump of 0.05. The sample was reduced with H₂ at 773 K for 0.5 h. After the pretreatment, the samples were transferred to the measurement cell without exposing the sample disk to air using a glove box filled with nitrogen. EXAFS data were

collected in a transmission mode at room temperature. For EXAFS analysis, the oscillation was first extracted from the EXAFS data by a spline smoothing method [24]. The oscillation was normalized by the edge height around 50 eV. The Fourier transformation of the k^3 -weighted EXAFS oscillation from k space to r space was performed to obtain a radial distribution function. The inversely Fourier filtered data were analyzed by a usual curve fitting method [25, 26]. For the curve fitting analysis, the empirical phase shift and amplitude functions for the Pt-Pt bond were extracted from the data for Pt foil. Theoretical functions for the Pt-Ni bond were calculated using the FEFF8.2 program [27]. The analyses of EXAFS data were performed using the “REX2000” program (RIGAKU Co. Version: 2.3.3).

Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows catalytic performance in the steam gasification of biomass over Ni/Al₂O₃, Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ with H₂ reduction. We carried out the activity test for 15 min. The formation of H₂, CO, CO₂ and CH₄ was observed, and their formation rates were almost stable during 15 min, although the coke deposition was observed as shown in figure 1. Generally speaking, coke deposition is one of the common and serious problems in the syngas production process [28-30]. Since the amount of coke represented by the weight ratio to the catalyst can be estimated to be at most 4 wt%, it is interpreted that the coke amount did not reach the level to cause the catalyst deactivation in the present case. Therefore, the average formation rates of these products for 15 min was applied, and they were represented in the results. On all these three catalysts, the yield of gas increased, in contrast, the yield of coke and char decreased with increasing reaction temperature. The difference in the catalyst performance among the catalysts is larger at lower reaction temperature. For example, the tar

yield on Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ at 823 K was almost zero, and this indicates high activity of the steam reforming of tar. The order of the activity was as follows: Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ > Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ > Ni/Al₂O₃. The promoting effect of CeO₂ on Ni/Al₂O₃ can be caused by the strong interaction between Ni and CeO₂, which is effectively formed by the co-impregnation preparation method [22, 23]. One possible explanation of the role of this interaction is that CeO₂ can supply oxygen atom to the adsorbed species on Ni metal surface. It is expected that the carbonaceous reaction intermediate species adsorbed on Ni metal surface can react with oxygen atom supplied from neighboring CeO₂ [22, 23], where steam can compensate oxygen atom on CeO₂. Another and more important point is that Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ has higher activity than Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ and Pt/CeO₂/Al₂O₃. Pt/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ showed much lower performance in the steam gasification of biomass than Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ because of much lower Pt loading than Ni. The synergetic effect between Pt and Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ was clearly observed.

The amount of H₂ adsorption is listed in Table 1. Compared to Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ showed larger amount of H₂ adsorption, and this can be related to high performance of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃. In addition, Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ had higher performance than Ni/Al₂O₃, on which H₂ adsorption amount was larger than that on Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ due to the covering Ni with CeO₂ over Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃. This can be related to high performance caused by the interaction between Ni and CeO₂.

Figure 2 shows catalytic performance in the steam gasification of biomass over the catalysts without H₂ reduction. It is clearly seen that Ni/Al₂O₃ without reduction pretreatment showed much lower activity than that with reduction, and this means that H₂ reduction is necessary for the activation of Ni/Al₂O₃ and it is impossible to activate Ni/Al₂O₃ by the compounds contained in tar even at 923 K. In contrast, in the case of Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃,

the difference between the catalyst with and without H₂ reduction became much smaller, however, at 823 K, the yield of coke and tar on the catalyst can be decreased by the H₂ reduction pretreatment. On the other hand, Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ showed very high activity even without H₂ reduction, and this indicates that H₂ reduction is not required for the activation of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃. And this also means that Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ can be activated by the compounds contained in the tar. It is suggested that the activation by tar compounds can be related to the catalyst reducibility. Therefore, catalysts were characterized by TPR. Figure 3 shows the TPR profiles of the calcined catalysts. On Ni/Al₂O₃, the H₂ consumption was observed in the temperature range between 630 K and 840 K. This can be assigned to the reduction of NiO interacted with α -Al₂O₃ surface [31]. On Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, the H₂ consumption peak was shifted to lower temperature, and this can be due to the reduction of NiO interacted with CeO₂ on the basis of the reported results [21-23]. This is also supported by the results that the Ni-based reduction degree exceeded 100%, which corresponds to the reduction of CeO₂ together with Ni. In the case of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, a sharp H₂ consumption peak appeared at about 523 K. For the assignment of this reduction peak on Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, the TPR profile of Pt/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ was also obtained. Only a small amount of H₂ consumption was observed on Pt/CeO₂/Al₂O₃. From the comparison between Pt/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ and Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, the sharp peak at 523 K can be assigned to the reduction of NiO promoted by the presence of Pt, and strong interaction between Pt and Ni is expected like the alloy formation as mentioned below. This sharp peak corresponds to the reduction of about 25% Ni. In addition, a broad peak of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ was shifted to a little higher temperature than that of Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃. This phenomenon is due to the loss of 25% Ni reduction from the broad peak by the promoting effect of Pt. In fact, the Ni-based reduction degree exceeded 100%, which corresponds to the reduction of CeO₂ together with

Ni like Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ and the temperature was clearly higher than Ni/Al₂O₃. The Ni species with high Pt-promoting reducibility can play important role on the activation of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ by tar compounds as a reductant at lower temperature. This result can contribute to the facilitation of the catalyst regeneration in the steam gasification of biomass, where catalysts can be deactivated by the deposited coke in a practical case. In this case, the catalysts should be regenerated by the combustion of coke, and the catalysts can be fully oxidized after this coke removal procedure. If the catalysts can be activated again without H₂ pretreatment, the practical operation will become easier. In fact, catalysts were used repeatedly in our experiments. This means that the same catalyst was applied to another activity test at different reaction temperature after the procedure of coke combustion. In this case, the coke combustion corresponds to the procedure for the catalyst regeneration in the practical case, and in this sense, the results contain the catalytic performance of regenerated catalysts.

Figure 4 shows the results of Pt *L*₃-edge EXAFS of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ after H₂ reduction at 773 K. In the FT spectra in Figure 4 (b), the peak of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ was observed at shorter distance than the Pt-Pt bond in Pt foil. The EXAFS spectrum of the Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ can be fitted by the single Pt-Ni bond, and the coordination number and the bond distance was determined to be 7.3 and 0.252 nm, respectively. The bond distance is close to that of the Ni-Ni bond (0.249 nm) in Ni metal, and this suggests the formation of Pt-Ni alloy phase where the Pt atoms can partly substitute Ni atoms in Ni metal phase. These structural properties are supported by the previous report on the alloy formation of Pt-Ni [32]. Furthermore, it has been reported that the Pt-Ni alloy formation enhanced the catalytic activity in methane reforming [33], and this report can support the present result.

Figure 5 shows the results of Ni *K*-edge EXAFS analysis of three Ni catalysts (Ni/Al₂O₃, Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃) after the H₂ reduction at 773 K. The curve fitting results are listed in Table 2. All the peaks at around 0.21 nm shown in Fig. 5 (b) can be assigned to the Ni-Ni bond, and it is found that the CN of Ni-Ni bond on Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ was almost the same as that on Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ and smaller than that on Ni/Al₂O₃. As shown in Table 2, Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ can be fitted without the Ni-Pt bond in the Ni *K*-edge EXAFS analysis, although it can be fitted by only Pt-Ni bond in the Pt *L*₃-edge EXAFS analysis. This can be explained by the very low molar ratio of Pt/Ni=1/75. It is concluded that the metal particle size of Ni on Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ can be almost the same as that on Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, and the average particle size of Ni can be estimated to be 7.0±0.9 nm [22, 34]. Therefore, in the promoting effect of Pt, the change of metal size can be excluded. The promoting effect of Pt is to enhance the catalytic activity by the Pt-Ni alloy formation and the catalyst reducibility by the property of Pt itself.

Conclusions

Additive effect of Pt to Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ catalysts prepared by the co-impregnation method was investigated in the steam gasification of biomass comparing to Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ and Ni/Al₂O₃. On these three catalysts with H₂ reduction pretreatment, the performance was Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ > Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ > Ni/Al₂O₃. The EXAFS analysis indicates the Pt-Ni alloy formation on the Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃, and this can be related to high performance in the steam gasification of biomass. Furthermore, Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ and Ni/Al₂O₃ showed lower performance without H₂ reduction. In contrast, the performance of Pt/Ni/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ without H₂ reduction was as high as that with H₂ reduction, and this means that the catalyst can be activated easily with the compounds contained in the tar. According to the profiles of

the temperature programmed reduction with H₂, the addition of Pt promotes the reduction of Ni, and this can be related to the addition of Pt makes the reduction pretreatment unnecessary.

References

- [1] A. V. Bridgwater, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 116 (1994) 5.
- [2] L. Devi, K. J. Ptasinski, F. J. J. G. Janssen, *Biomass Bioenergy* 24 (2003) 125.
- [3] D. Sutton, B. Kelleher, J.R.H. Ross, *Fuel Proc. Technol.* 73 (2001) 155.
- [4] K. Tomishige, M. Asadullah, K. Kunimori, *Catal. Today* 89 (2004) 389.
- [5] M. Asadullah, K. Tomishige, K. Fujimoto, *Catal. Commun.* 2 (2001) 63.
- [6] M. Asadullah, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, M. Yamada, K. Tomishige, *J. Catal.* 208 (2002) 255.
- [7] M. Asadullah, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, M. Yamada, K. Tomishige, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36 (2002) 4476.
- [8] M. Asadullah, T. Miyazawa, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 246 (2003) 103.
- [9] M. Asadullah, T. Miyazawa, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, M. Yamada, K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 255 (2003) 169.
- [10] M. Asadullah, T. Miyazawa, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, S. Koyama, K. Tomishige, *Biomass Bioenergy* 26 (2004) 269.
- [11] M. Asadullah, T. Miyazawa, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, M. Yamada, K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 267 (2004) 95.
- [12] K. Tomishige, T. Miyazawa, T. Kimura, K. Kunimori, *Catal. Commun.* 6 (2005) 37.
- [13] K. Tomishige, T. Miyazawa, T. Kimura, K. Kunimori, N. Koizumi, M. Yamada, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 60 (2005) 299.

- [14]M. Asadullah, T. Miyazawa, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, K. Tomishige, *Energy Fuels* 17 (2003) 842.
- [15]K. Tomishige, T. Miyazawa, M. Asadullah, S. Ito, K. Kunimori, *J. Jpn. Petrol. Inst.* 46 (2003) 322.
- [16]D. Wang, D. Montane, E. Chornet, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 143 (1996) 245.
- [17]D.N. Bangala, N. Abatzoglou, E. Chornet, *AIChE J.* 44 (1998) 927.
- [18]T. Furusawa, A. Tsutsumi, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 278 (2005) 207.
- [19]T. Furusawa, A. Tsutsumi, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 278 (2005) 195.
- [20]L. Garcia, A. Benedicto, E. Romeo, M. L. Salvador, J. Arauzo, R. Bilbao, *Energy Fuels* 16 (2002) 1222.
- [21]T. Miyazawa, T. Kimura, J. Nishikawa, S. Kado, K. Kunimori, K. Tomishige, *Catal. Today* 115 (2006) 254.
- [22]T. Kimura, T. Miyazawa, J. Nishikawa, T. Miyao, S. Naito, K. Okumura, K. Kunimori, K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 68 (2006) 160.
- [23]K. Tomishige, T. Kimura, J. Nishikawa, T. Miyazawa, K. Kunimori, *Catal. Commun.* 8 (2007) 1074.
- [24]J.W. Cook, D.E. Sayers, *J. Appl. Phys.* 52 (1981) 5024.
- [25]K. Okumura, J. Amano, N. Yasunobu, M. Niwa, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 104 (2000) 1050.
- [26]K. Okumura, S. Matsumoto, N. Nishiaki, M. Niwa, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 40 (2003) 151.
- [27]A.L. Ankudinov, B. Ravel, J.J. Rehr, S.D. Conradson, *Phys. Rev. B* 58 (1998) 7565.
- [28]K. Tomishige, Y. Himeno, Y. Matsuo, Y. Yoshinaga, Y. Fujimoto, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 39 (2000) 1891.
- [29]K. Tomishige, Y.G. Chen, K. Fujimoto, *J. Catal.* 181 (1999) 91.

- [30]C.H. Bartholomew, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 24 (1982) 67.
- [31]B.T. Li, R. Watanabe, K. Maruyama, M. Nurunnabi, K. Kunimori, K. Tomishige, Appl. Catal. A:Gen. 290 (2005) 36.
- [32]B.T. Li, S. Kado, Y. Mukainakano, T. Miyazawa, T. Miyao, S. Naito, K. Okumura, K. Kunimori, K. Tomishige, J. Catal., 245 (2007) 144.
- [33]Y.G. Chen, K. Tomishige, K. Yokoyama, K. Fujimoto, Appl. Catal. A:Gen. 165 (1997) 335
- [34]R.B. Greigor, F.W. Lytle, J. Catal. 63 (1980) 476.

Table 1. Results of TPR and H₂ adsorption on various catalysts.

Catalysts	Loading amount / 10 ⁻³ mol g ⁻¹ -cat		H ₂ adsorption H/Ni ^{a)}	H ₂ consumption ^{b)} / 10 ⁻³ mol g ⁻¹ -cat	Ni-based reduction degree ^{c)} / %	Reduction degree of CeO ₂ ^{d)} / %	BET Surface Area/ m ² g ⁻¹
	Ni	Pt					
Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	0.68	0	0.066	0.71	104	-	9
Ni/CeO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	0.68	0	0.058	1.01	156	46	13
Pt/Ni/CeO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	0.68	0.005	0.084	0.97	142	34	15

a) Total hydrogen adsorption at 298 K.

b) H₂ consumption in TPR profiles shown in Figure 3.

c) Assuming that Ni²⁺+H₂→Ni⁰+2H⁺

d) H₂ consumption over 100% Ni-based reduction degree was assigned to the reduction of CeO₂, assuming that 2CeO₂+H₂→Ce₂O₃+H₂O.

Table 2 Curve fitting results of Ni-*K* edge EXAFS of various Ni catalysts after reduced at 773 K.

Catalyst	Shells	CN^a	$R / 10^{-1} \text{ nm}^b$	$\sigma / 10^{-1} \text{ nm}^c$	$\Delta E_0 / \text{eV}^d$	$R_f / \%^e$
Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	Ni-Ni	11.3±0.2	2.49±0.001	0.065±0.002	1.0±0.3	0.3
Ni/CeO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	Ni-Ni	9.4±0.3	2.48±0.002	0.077±0.003	-0.8±0.4	0.4
Pt/Ni/CeO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	Ni-Ni	9.3±0.2	2.49±0.002	0.078±0.003	-0.7±0.4	1.0
Ni Foil	Ni-Ni	12.0	2.49	0.06	0	

^aCoordination number. ^bBond distance. ^cDebye-Waller factor. ^dDifference in the origin of photoelectron energy between the reference and the sample. ^eResidual factor.

Fourier transform range: 30-142 nm⁻¹, Fourier filtering range: 0.138-0.295 nm.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Catalytic performance in steam gasification of biomass over the catalysts with H₂ reduction.

Conditions: biomass; 60 mg/min (H₂O 9.2 %, C 2191 μmol/min; H 3543 μmol/min; O 1475 μmol/min), N₂ flow rate; 60 cc/min, (added H₂O)/C=0.5 (steam flow rate 1110 μmol/min), reaction time; 15 min, H₂ reduction 773 K, 30 min.

Figure 2. Catalytic performance in steam gasification of biomass over the catalysts without H₂ reduction.

Conditions: biomass; 60 mg/min (H₂O 9.2 %, C 2191 μmol/min; H 3543 μmol/min; O 1475 μmol/min), N₂ flow rate; 60 cc/min, (added H₂O)/C=0.5 (steam flow rate 1110 μmol/min), reaction time; 15 min.

Figure 3. TPR profiles of the catalysts.

TPR conditions: heating rate 10 K/min, Room temperature to 973 K, and the temperature was maintained at 973 K for 30 min. Flow rate of 5% H₂/Ar : 30 ml/min

Sample weight : 50 mg-cat.

Figure 4. Results of Pt L₃-edge EXAFS analysis of the catalysts reduced at 773 K.

(a) k^3 -weighted EXAFS oscillations, Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line), and calculated data (dotted line). Fourier filtering range : 0.160-0.267 nm.

(b) Fourier transforms of k^3 -weighted Pt L₃-edge EXAFS, FT range : 30-128 nm⁻¹.

Figure 5. Results of Ni K-edge EXAFS analysis of reduced catalysts.

(a) k^3 -weighted EXAFS oscillations.

(b) Fourier transform of k^3 -weighted Ni K-edge EXAFS. FT range : 30-142 nm⁻¹.

(c) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line). Fourier filtering range : 0.138-0.295 nm.




Figure 1. Catalytic performance in steam gasification of biomass over the catalysts with H₂ reduction.

Conditions: biomass; 60 mg/min (H₂O 9.2 %, C 2191 μmol/min; H 3543 μmol/min; O 1475 μmol/min), N₂ flow rate; 60 cc/min, (added H₂O)/C=0.5 (steam flow rate 1110 μmol/min), reaction time; 15 min. H₂ reduction 773 K, 30 min.




Figure 2. Catalytic performance in steam gasification of biomass over the catalysts without H₂ reduction.

Conditions: biomass; 60 mg/min (H₂O 9.2 %, C 2191 μmol/min; H 3543 μmol/min; O 1475 μmol/min), N₂ flow rate; 60 cc/min, (added H₂O)/C=0.5 (steam flow rate 1110 μmol/min), reaction time; 15 min.




Figure 3. TPR profiles of the catalysts.

TPR conditions: heating rate 10 K/min, Room temperature to 973 K, and the temperature was maintained at 973 K for 30 min. Flow rate of 5% H₂/Ar : 30 ml/min

Sample weight : 50 mg-cat.

Ni-based reduction degree was based on the assumption of $\text{Ni}^{2+} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ni}^0 + 2\text{H}^+$, and the contribution of Pt was neglected.




Figure 4. Results of Pt L_3 -edge EXAFS analysis of the catalysts reduced at 773 K.
 (a) k^3 -weighted EXAFS oscillations, Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line), and calculated data (dotted line). Fourier filtering range : 0.160-0.267 nm.
 (b) Fourier transforms of k^3 -weighted Pt L_3 -edge EXAFS, FT range : 30-128 nm⁻¹.




Figure 5. Results of Ni *K*-edge EXAFS analysis of reduced catalysts.

(a) k^3 -weighted EXAFS oscillations.

(b) Fourier transform of k^3 -weighted Ni *K*-edge EXAFS

FT range : 30-142 nm^{-1} .

(c) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line)

Fourier filtering range : 0.138-0.295 nm.