



**British
Geological Survey**

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

User Guide Mining Hazard (not including coal) in Great Britain (version 5).

Open Report OR/10/046



Contents

Contents	2
Introduction	3
Background.....	3
What the dataset shows.....	3
<i>Class Descriptions</i>	3
<i>Who would benefit from the dataset?</i>	5
<i>Field descriptions</i>	6
How was the dataset created.....	7
Technical information.....	7
Coverage	7
Data history.....	7
Limitations.....	8
Contact information.....	9

Introduction

Underground extraction of minerals and rocks has taken place in Britain for more than 5000 years. A broad range of different raw materials have been extracted, from precious metals such as gold and silver, to sandstone and gypsum using both surface and underground methods.

The voids resulting from past underground mining activity pose a possible hazard. The Mining Hazard (not including coal) in Great Britain data layer draws together a diverse range of material derived from geology, which constrains distribution, supplemented by literature searches for historic locations and expert knowledge to assemble, interpret, and organise this information. Mining of coal is specifically excluded from this data set and enquiries on past coal mining should be directed to the Coal Authority.

The information for the various sources has been compiled into a digital format using GIS to create a series of seven separate data layers reflecting distinct categories of mining. Each of these is the result of extensive research, specialist understanding and development and application of an appropriate method of data capture.

Information relating to individual polygons is stored in an attribute table and the classifications used are described below.

Background

The dataset combines the geology, which constrains the distribution of the potential hazard, with records obtained through extensive literature searches for historic locations. Our expert knowledge has been used to assemble, interpret, and organise this information.

In the current version, all categories of mining are merged to form a single layer indicating the likelihood of past underground mining. It does not categorise the risk of surface collapse and no account is taken of any past remediation that may have been undertaken, mining method used or age of workings all of which can mitigate surface impact.

Mining of coal is specifically excluded from this dataset and enquiries on past coal mining should be directed to the Coal Authority.

What the dataset shows

The voids resulting from past underground mining activity pose a possible hazard. Former underground workings, particularly where shallow, may collapse and cause surface settlement. The British Geological Survey dataset provides information on the potential for hazards resulting from past underground (non coal) mining.

Class Descriptions

The classes used to differentiate the areas with different degrees of the likelihood the existence of underground workings resulting from non-coal mining activities are described below.

They are based on a combination of geological factors relating to the known distribution of mineral veins and other commodities that have been mined in

the UK supplement by information on known and suspected locations of workings.

This is not an assessment of mining instability but identifies the likelihood of the existence past non-coal mining at any particular point. It does not attempt to classify a risk of instability and, even where undermined, the workings may be stable and present no risk of subsidence or be at such a depth that even if collapse has occurred, the surface will not be affected. Even in areas designated with the highest probability specific sites may not necessarily be undermined. The user is advised to seek further advice on the existence of known workings and, if present, their potential impact on surface stability.

Past remedial treatment of sites which may have stabilised previously unstable situations have not been considered during the development of this system for which it is assumed that all locations are un-remediated. The impacts of mining methods, such as roof collapse behind longwall workings, where surface impacts occur within a few years of the mining activities following which surface effects are minimal, have also not been considered. So some high rated areas that have been extensively mined in the past will have an E rating but may not have any surface stability issues as a result of this mining.

Unclassified

Where unclassified, this means that there is no known hazard from underground mine workings because the rock types present are such that no commodities or metal ores have been worked by underground mining methods. It should be noted, however, that there is always the possibility of the existence of other sub-surface excavations, such as wells, cess pits, follies, air raid shelters/bunkers and other military structures etc. that could affect surface ground stability but which are outside the scope of this dataset. However, you should still find out whether or not a Coal Authority mining search is required in the area.

Rare (A)

Hazards because of underground mine workings are rare. The rock types present in this area are such that minor mineral veins may be present within them on which it is possible that there have been attempts to work these by underground methods and/or it is possible that small scale underground extraction of other materials may have occurred. All such occurrences are likely to be restricted in size and infrequent. It should be noted, however, that there is always the possibility of the existence of other sub-surface excavations, such as wells, cess pits, follies, air raid shelters/bunkers and other military structures etc. that could affect surface ground stability but which are outside the scope of this dataset. However, you should still find out whether or not a Coal Authority mining search is required in the area.

Highly Unlikely (B)

Hazards because of underground mine workings may occur. The rock types present in these areas are such that small mineral veins may be present on which it is possible that small scale mining has been undertaken and/or it is possible that limited underground extraction of other materials may have occurred. All such occurrences are likely to be of minor localised extent and infrequent. It should be noted, however, that there is always the possibility of

the existence of other sub-surface excavations, such as wells, cess pits, follies, air raid shelters/bunkers and other military structures etc. that could affect surface ground stability but which are outside the scope of this dataset. However, you should still find out whether or not a Coal Authority mining search is required in the area.

Unlikely (C)

Hazards because of underground mine workings are unlikely. The rock types present in these areas are such that mineral veins may be present on which it is possible that mining has been undertaken and/or it is possible that small scale underground extraction of other materials may have occurred. All such occurrences are likely to be of localised extent and infrequent. It should be noted, however, that there is always the possibility of the existence of other sub-surface excavations, such as wells, cess pits, follies, air raid shelters/bunkers and other military structures etc. that could affect surface ground stability but which are outside the scope of this dataset. However, you should still find out whether or not a Coal Authority mining search is required in the area.

Likely (D)

Hazards because of underground mine workings are likely. These are areas known or suspected to contain underground mining for minerals and/or other materials. In the case of mineral veins these are areas within 500m of mapped mineral veins within which it is likely that mining activities may have occurred and subsidiary veins explored and exploited. It should be noted, however, that there is always the possibility of the existence of other sub-surface excavations, such as wells, cess pits, follies, air raid shelters/bunkers and other military structures etc. that could affect surface ground stability but which are outside the scope of this dataset. However, you should still find out whether or not a Coal Authority mining search is required in the area.

Highly Likely (E)

Hazards because of underground mine workings are highly likely. These are areas known or suspected to contain underground mining for minerals and/or other materials where workings are likely to be extensive. In the case of mineral veins these are areas within 200m of mapped mineral veins within which it is likely that mining activities may have occurred. It should be noted, however, that there is always the possibility of the existence of other sub-surface excavations, such as wells, cess pits, follies, air raid shelters/bunkers and other military structures etc. that could affect surface ground stability but which are outside the scope of this dataset. However, you should still find out whether or not a Coal Authority mining search is required in the area.

Who would benefit from the dataset?

The Mining Hazard (not including coal) dataset provides essential information for planners and developers working in areas where former shallow underground mine workings are a potential hazard.

Mining hazards may lead to financial loss for anyone involved in the ownership or management of property, including developers, householders and local government. These costs could include increased insurance premiums, depressed house prices and, in some cases, engineering works to

stabilise land or property. Armed with knowledge about potential hazards, preventative measures can be put in place to alleviate the impact of the hazard to people and property. The cost of such prevention may be very low, and is often many times lower than the repair bill following ground movement.

Field descriptions

Field name	Field description
SHAPE	Necessary for the ESRI shapefile format indicating polygon data
NAME	This is the site name where available. For some data types for example building stones the sites specific names e.g. Bethel, Draycott-in-the-Moor, Ewe Crag this has been given. For other materials the localities are much more general and many not have details of the site name. This is the case for the majority of localities in which case this field is null. Where more than one name is given e.g. Dalry/Glenarnoch it indicates working of more than one resource type. See Note 1
CLASS	The value in this field represents the overall mining hazard rating for each polygon. It represents the highest hazard value assigned to a polygon regardless of the mining type i.e. a polygon with a building stone rating of B and a vein mineral rating of E is given a class E rating See Note 2
LEGEND	Brief description of classes, for more detailed explanation see section 2.4.
COMMODITY	Where information is available on the commodity worked it is recorded here e.g. Bath stone, limestone, brine. Note 1 and Note 3
VERSION	Mining Hazard not Including Coal for Great Britain v5
Note 1	Where more than one commodity occurs at a location they are both shown e.g. Vein minerals/Building stone. The order also applies to the Name field i.e. Name = Dalry/Glenarnoch Group = Vein Minerals/Building stone Dalry is therefore a vein minerals location whilst Glenarnoch is the building stone name.
Note 2	If a site lies within a rated polygon, it does not necessarily indicate the presence of mining, rather the likelihood of past mining to have occurred. In these cases it is recommended that further enquiries are made regarding the potential for mining related hazard.
Note 3	Where no information is available a description of 'Not available' it indicates that no value has been found.

How was the dataset created

The Mining Hazard (not including coal) GB dataset considered some 50 mineral commodities which were sub divided into seven general categories with shared characteristics:

1. Vein Minerals includes copper, lead, zinc, tin.
2. Chalk
3. Oil shale
4. Building stone including limestone, sand, sandstone, slate.
5. Bedded ores including iron ores (haematite), manganese, sulphides.
6. Evaporites including gypsum, anhydrite, potash, salt.
7. Other commodities including ball clay, black marble, jet, graphite, chert.

A methodology was devised for each category based on the local geological factors, expert knowledge and detailed research from literature. The resulting spatial and geological data was processed using ArcGIS software.

Once the seven individual layers were defined they were then merged together to produce a single data layer. It should be noted that in bringing together the separate data layer normalisation of the hazard ratings was applied to ensure consistency in the identification of potential mining hazard.

Technical information

The Mining Hazard (not including coal) dataset has been developed at 1: 50 000 scale and is not suitable for use at larger scales. Care must be taken when using the dataset at different scales because of the accuracy of the underlying geological map data from which the dataset is partially derived.

All spatial searches against the data should be done with a minimum 50m buffer.

Source of Information

Polygon outlines derived from BGS 1:50,000 scale DiGMapGB (the digital geological map of Great Britain) data, plus additional information derived from expert knowledge and literature to define areas of potential hazard from past underground mining activity.

Data Structure

The data was created as vector polygons and are available in a range of GIS formats; including ESRI shape file formats (.shp), ArcInfo Coverages and MapInfo (.tab) are available.

The standard data supplied to customers has polygons or areas in a single layer or theme.

Coverage

Data coverage includes England, Scotland and Wales.

Data history

The original version of the Mining Hazard (not including coal) GB V1 was released in February 2009.

Since then work has continued to develop the dataset resulting in the release of this new version release as Mining Hazard (not including coal) GB V5. The main enhancements which have been made prior to the release of version 5 are:

- Inclusion of more building stone locations.
- Re-working of the chalk methodology to provide improved coverage
- Re-design of the vein minerals methodology to produce a more focused and representative extent for this set of commodities.

Note: In 2008 BGS introduced its new versioning system whereby the version number of the dataset relates to the version of DiGMapGB-50 base data, the original version of Mining Hazard (not including coal) was released as version 1 but to comply with this naming practice it has jumped from Mining Hazard (not including coal) version 1 to version 5.

Limitations

- Most geological maps were originally fitted to a particular topographic base and care must be taken in interpretation, for example when the geological data are draped over a more recent topography. All spatial searches against the data should be done with a minimum 50 m buffer.
- The observations made in the production of this data are according to the prevailing understanding of the subject at the time. The quality of such observations may be affected by subsequent advances in knowledge, improved methods of interpretation, and access to new source of information.
- Raw data may have been transcribed from analogue to digital format, or may have been acquired by means of automated techniques. Although such processes are subjected to quality control to ensure reliability where possible, some raw data may have been processed without human intervention and may in consequence contain undetected errors.
- Data may be compiled from the disparate sources of information at the BGS's disposal, including material donated to the BGS by third parties, and may not have been subject to any verification or other quality control process.
- Data, information and related records which have been donated to the BGS have been produced for a specific purpose, and that may affect the type and completeness of the data recorded and any interpretation. The nature and purpose of data collection, and the age of the resultant material may render it unsuitable for certain applications/uses. You must verify the suitability of the material for your intended usage.
- The data, information and related records supplied by the BGS should not be taken as a substitute for specialist interpretations, professional advice and/or detailed site investigations. You must seek professional advice before making technical interpretations on the basis of the materials provided.
- If a report or other output is produced for you on the basis of data you have provided to the BGS, or your own data input into a BGS system,

please do not rely on it as a source of information about other areas or geological features, as the report may omit important details.

- Digital data should be used that are fit for purpose and at an appropriate scale, normally at about the same scale as their original compilation, in the case of MiningHazardNotIncludingCoalGB_v1, 1: 50 000, and should not, for example, be enlarged and used at 1: 10 000 scale.
- If customers are uncertain about the use of particular data they should seek professional advice. They may consult the BGS contacts listed at the end of this document on technical matters, licensing arrangements, or geological aspects including the appropriateness and limitations of the data.
- Although there are a number of sites affected by underground mining where remediation has occurred including parts of the Northwich salt field, Barrow-on Soar, Coalbrookdale, Dudley and Bury St Edmunds, the impact of this remediation work is not considered in this assessment and all ratings are given as if localities are unremediated.

Contact information

For all data and licensing enquiries please contact:

Central Enquiries

British Geological Survey

Kingsley Dunham Centre

Keyworth

Nottingham

NG12 5GG

Direct tel: +44(0)115 936 3143

Fax: +44(0)115 9363150

Email: enquiries@bgs.ac.uk