

CORRECTION

Correction: Determinants of Acceptance and Subsequent Uptake of the HPV Vaccine in a Cohort in Eldoret, Kenya

The *PLOS ONE* Staff

There are errors in Tables [1](#), [2](#), and [3](#) of the published article. Please view the corrected tables here.



 OPEN ACCESS

Citation: The *PLOS ONE* Staff (2015) Correction: Determinants of Acceptance and Subsequent Uptake of the HPV Vaccine in a Cohort in Eldoret, Kenya. *PLoS ONE* 10(3): e0117761. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117761

Published: March 5, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 The PLOS ONE Staff. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics, perceived barriers and acceptance of the HPV vaccine; comparing respondents and non-respondents of the follow-up study.

| | TOTAL BASELINE (n = 287) | FOLLOW-UP RESPONDENTS (n = 256) | NON-RESPONDENTS (n = 31) | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS | | | | |
| | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | p-value |
| Participant age at baseline | 35 (32–40) | 35 (32–40) | 35 (39–40) | 0.43 |
| Range (years) | 21–59 | 21–59 | 23–56 | |
| Age of daughter at baseline | 12 (11–14) | 12 (11–14) | 12 (11–13) | 0.68 |
| Range (years) | 8–18 | 8–18 | 8–17 | |
| Years of education of participant | 8 (7–12) | 8 (7–12) | 8 (6–11) | 0.35 |
| Range (years)* | 0–13+ | 0–13+ | 0–13+ | |
| Housing characteristics** | 5 (4–5) | 5 (4–5) | 5 (4–6) | 0.04 |
| Range | 1–7 | 2–7 | 3–7 | |
| | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | p-value |
| Marital status of participant | | | | 0.85 |
| With partner | 217 (75.6) | 194 (75.8) | 23 (74.2) | |
| Without partner | 70 (24.4) | 62 (24.2) | 8 (25.8) | |
| Religious affiliation of participant | | | | 0.48 |
| Protestant | 226 (79.3) | 204 (80.3) | 22 (71.0) | |
| Catholic | 46 (16.1) | 39 (15.3) | 7 (22.6) | |
| Muslim | 13 (4.6) | 11 (4.3) | 2 (6.4) | |
| Origin of participant*** | | | | 0.98 |
| urban | 171 (60.2) | 153 (60.2) | 18 (60.0) | |
| rural—outside Kenya | 113 (39.8) | 101 (39.8) | 12 (40.0) | |
| Ever heard of cervical cancer? | | | | 0.37 |
| No—don't know | 117 (40.9) | 102 (40.0) | 15 (48.4) | |
| Yes | 169 (59.1) | 153 (60.0) | 16 (51.6) | |
| BASELINE BARRIERS: if you would decide not to vaccinate, why would that be? | | | | |
| Need more information? | | | | 0.40 |
| (strongly) disagree | 98 (34.6) | 84 (33.3) | 14 (45.2) | |
| neutral | 17 (6.0) | 15 (5.9) | 2 (6.4) | |
| (strongly) agree | 168 (59.4) | 153 (60.7) | 15 (48.4) | |
| Doubt the vaccine works? | | | | 0.36 |
| (strongly) disagree | 197 (70.1) | 174 (69.6) | 23 (74.2) | |
| neutral | 24 (8.5) | 20 (8.0) | 4 (12.9) | |
| (strongly) agree | 60 (21.3) | 56 (22.4) | 4 (12.9) | |
| Fear of side effects? | | | | 0.26 |
| (strongly) disagree | 149 (52.5) | 129 (51.0) | 20 (64.5) | |
| neutral | 27 (9.5) | 26 (10.3) | 1 (3.2) | |
| (strongly) agree | 108 (38.0) | 98 (38.7) | 10 (32.3) | |
| Fear of interference with fertility? | | | | 0.43 |
| (strongly) disagree | 171 (60.4) | 149 (59.1) | 22 (71.0) | |
| neutral | 45 (15.9) | 41 (16.3) | 4 (12.9) | |
| (strongly) agree | 67 (23.7) | 62 (24.6) | 5 (16.1) | |
| Afraid of unsafe administration? | | | | 0.07 |
| (strongly) disagree | 203 (71.7) | 177 (70.2) | 26 (83.9) | |

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

| | TOTAL BASELINE (n = 287) | FOLLOW-UP RESPONDENTS (n = 256) | NON-RESPONDENTS (n = 31) | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| neutral | 17 (6.0) | 14 (5.6) | (9.7) | |
| (strongly) agree | 63 (22.3) | 61 (24.2) | 2 (6.4) | |
| It might encourage unsafe sex | | | | 0.94 |
| (strongly) disagree | 238 (84.7) | 212 (84.8) | 26 (83.9) | |
| neutral | 23 (8.2) | 20 (8.0) | 3 (9.7) | |
| (strongly) agree | 20 (7.1) | 18 (7.2) | 2 (6.4) | |
| Daughter is too young for vaccine against an STI? | | | | 0.91 |
| (strongly) disagree | 250 (88.3) | 222 (88.1) | 28 (90.3) | |
| neutral | 9 (3.2) | 8 (3.2) | 1 (3.2) | |
| (strongly) agree | 24 (8.5) | 22 (8.7) | 2 (6.4) | |
| Partner won't approve? | | | | 0.70 |
| (strongly) disagree **** | 221 (78.4) | 196 (78.1) | 25 (80.6) | |
| neutral | 30 (10.6) | 28 (11.2) | 2 (6.4) | |
| (strongly) agree | 31 (11.0) | 27 (10.8) | 4 (12.9) | |
| Vaccination takes a lot of time | | | | 0.75 |
| (strongly) disagree | 275 (96.8) | 245 (96.8) | 30 (96.8) | |
| neutral | 6 (2.1) | 5 (2.0) | 1 (3.2) | |
| (strongly) agree | 3 (1.1) | 3 (1.2) | 0 (0.00) | |
| Inconvenience of 3 doses needed | | | | 0.08 |
| (strongly) disagree | 265 (96.0) | 236 (96.3) | 29 (93.5) | |
| neutral | 6 (2.2) | 6 (2.4) | 0 (0.0) | |
| (strongly) agree | 5 (1.8) | 3 (1.2) | 2 (6.4) | |
| BASELINE ACCEPTANCE | | | | |
| Would you vaccinate your daughter against cervical cancer? | | | | 0.69 |
| very unlikely | 6 (2.1) | 6 (2.3) | 0 (0.0) | |
| unlikely | 3 (1.0) | 3 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | |
| neutral | 25 (8.7) | 21 (8.2) | 4 (12.9) | |
| likely | 80 (27.9) | 73 (28.5) | 7 (22.6) | |
| very likely | 173 (60.3) | 153 (59.8) | 20 (64.5) | |

IQR = interquartile range

*13+: those who studied in higher education i.e. college (middle level) and/or university

**housing: continuous variable constructed by scoring aspects of the living place: material of the roof, walls and floors, and toilet and water facilities

*** women were asked where they had lived for most of the time up to 12 years of age

**** includes participants without a relationship

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117761.t001

Table 2. Bivariate logistic regression with acceptance and uptake of the HPV vaccine as outcomes.

| VARIABLE | BASELINE ACCEPTANCE | | | UPTAKE | | | | |
|--|---------------------|----------------|---------|-------------|-----|---------------|--------|--------------|
| | n | Acceptance (%) | AOR | [95% CI] | n | Uptake (%) | AOR | [95% CI] |
| BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | | |
| Participant age at baseline | 286 | | 1.05* | [1.01–1.08] | 254 | | 1.01 | [0.97–1.04] |
| Age of daughter at baseline | 285 | | 1.14 | [0.85–1.54] | 253 | | 1.03 | [0.86–1.23] |
| Years of education of participant | 279 | | 0.10 | [0.88–1.23] | 247 | | 1.05 | [0.99–1.11] |
| Housing | 287 | | 0.81 | [0.61–1.08] | 255 | | 1.12 | [0.74–1.70] |
| Marital status of participant | 287 | | | | 255 | | | |
| With partner | | 188/217 (86.6) | | | | 57/193 (29.5) | | |
| Without partner | | 65/70 (92.9) | 0.53 | [0.15–1.84] | | 22/62 (35.5) | 0.75 | [0.43–1.32] |
| Religion of participant | 285 | | | | 253 | | | |
| Protestant | | 202/226 (89.4) | | | | 58/203 (28.6) | | |
| Catholic | | 41/46 (89.1) | 1.07 | [0.23–4.88] | | 17/39 (43.6) | 1.92* | [1.19–3.09] |
| Muslim | | 8/13 (61.5) | 0.20 | [0.04–1.09] | | 4/11 (36.4) | 1.42 | [0.48–4.18] |
| Origin of participant | 284 | | | | 253 | | | |
| Urban | | 155/171 (90.6) | | | | 56/153 (36.6) | | |
| Rural—outside Kenya | | 96/113 (85.0) | 0.61 | [0.19–1.94] | | 22/100 (22.0) | 0.48 | [0.21–1.10] |
| Ever heard of cervical cancer? | 286 | | | | 254 | | | |
| No—don't know | | 107/117 (91.4) | | | | 24/102 (23.5) | | |
| Yes | | 145/169 (85.8) | 0.55 | [0.22–1.37] | | 55/152 (36.2) | 1.93* | [1.16–3.19] |
| BASELINE BARRIERS: if you would decide not to vaccinate, why would that be? | | | | | | | | |
| Need for more information? | 283 | | 1.00 | [0.84–1.20] | 251 | | 1.02 | [0.89–1.17] |
| Doubt the vaccine works? | 281 | | 0.75* | [0.59–0.97] | 249 | | 0.98 | [0.76–1.26] |
| Fear of side effect? | 284 | | 0.69* | [0.52–0.91] | 252 | | 1.00 | [1.76–1.31] |
| Fear of interference with fertility? | 283 | | 0.71* | [0.53–0.96] | 251 | | 0.94 | [0.71–1.25] |
| Afraid of unsafe administration (i.e. using unclean needles) | 283 | | 0.76** | [0.64–0.91] | 251 | | 1.04 | [0.78–1.40] |
| It might encourage unsafe sex | 282 | | 0.80 | [0.53–1.20] | 250 | | 0.81 | [0.52–1.26] |
| Daughter is too young for vaccine against an STI? | 283 | | 0.54** | [0.38–0.76] | 251 | | 0.94 | [0.61–1.43] |
| Partner won't approve? ^o | 282 | | 0.44*** | [0.31–0.61] | 250 | | 0.87 | [0.68–1.09] |
| Vaccination takes a lot of time | 284 | | 0.51 | [0.24–1.12] | 252 | | 0.86 | [0.60–1.21] |
| Inconvenience: 3 doses needed | 276 | | 0.67 | [0.36–1.24] | 244 | | 0.94 | [0.57–1.55] |
| ACCEPTANCE—WELL-INFORMED | | | | | | | | |
| Would you vaccinate your daughter? (Baseline) | | | | | 255 | | | |
| neutral—(very) unlikely | | | | | | 5/30 (16.7) | - | |
| (very) likely | | | | | | 74/225 (32.9) | 2.57* | [1.11–5.94] |
| Were you well-informed about the cervical cancer vaccination program? (at follow-up) | | | | | 235 | | | |
| No | | | | | | 10/88 (11.4) | - | |
| Yes | | | | | | 68/147 (46.3) | 6.37** | [2.21–18.36] |

AOR: adjusted odds ratio—CI: confidence interval

^o participants without a relationship are included in category 'strongly disagree'

* p<0.05

** p<0.01

*** p<0.001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117761.t002

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression with acceptance and uptake of the HPV vaccine as outcomes.

| | ACCEPTANCE—AOR [95% CI] | | | UPTAKE—AOR [95% CI] | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Model 1 n = 270 | Model 2 n = 278 | Model 3 n = 280 | Model 1 n = 239 | Model 2 n = 246 | Model 3 n = 247 | Model 4 n = 227 |
| ACCEPTANCE—WELL-INFORMED | | | | | | | |
| Would you vaccinate your daughter? (at baseline) (very likely (ref: neutr.–(very) unlikely) | | | | | | | 3.36 [0.80–14.1] |
| Were you well-informed about the vaccination program? (at follow-up) Yes (ref: No) | | | | | | | 6.37** [2.24–18.1] |
| BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | |
| Age of participant at baseline | 1.06* [1.00–1.12] | | nw | 1.003 [0.96–1.04] | | nw | |
| Age of daughter at baseline | 1.05 [0.77–1.44] | | nw | 1.088 [0.86–1.37] | | nw | |
| Years of education of participant | 0.98 [0.89–1.08] | | nw | 1.051 [0.97–1.14] | | nw | |
| Housing | 0.90 [0.64–1.26] | | nw | 0.972 [0.60–1.56] | | nw | |
| Marital status of participant | | | | | | | |
| Without partner (ref: with partner) | 0.56 [0.15–2.00] | | nw | 0.689 [0.37–1.29] | | nw | |
| Religion of participant. (ref: protestant.) | | | | | | | |
| Catholic | 1.35 [0.28–6.42] | | 1.416 | | | | [0.57–3.50] |
| Muslim | 0.078** [0.02–0.38] | | | 1.171 [0.42–3.26] | | nw | |
| Origin of participant | | | | | | | |
| rural—outside Kenya (ref: urban) | 0.49 [0.15–1.62] | | nw | 0.546 [0.21–1.42] | | 0.48* [0.23–0.99] | 0.53* [0.29–0.97] |
| Ever heard of cervical cancer? (at baseline) Yes (ref: No—don't know) | 0.43 [0.17–1.11] | | 0.46 [0.17–1.30] | 1.610* [1.09–2.38] | | 1.84* [1.04–3.26] | 2.07* [1.18–3.63] |
| BARRIERS AT BASELINE | | | | | | | |
| Need for more information | | 1.21 [0.86–1.71] | nw | | 0.99 [0.83–1.18] | nw | |
| Barriers inherent to vaccination° | | 0.86 [0.56–1.33] | nw | | 1.06 [0.68–1.63] | nw | |
| It might encourage unsafe sex | | 0.94 [0.57–1.53] | nw | | 0.82 [0.49–1.37] | nw | |
| Daughter is too young for vaccine against an STI? | | 0.72 [0.48–1.10] | 0.67* [0.45–0.99] | | 0.99 [0.57–1.69] | nw | |
| Partner won't approve? | | 0.50** [0.33–0.74] | 0.47*** [0.32–0.71] | | 0.89 [0.68–1.16] | 0.83 [0.67–1.03] | 0.99 [0.74–1.32] |
| Barriers related to time constraints°° | | 0.68 [0.27–1.69] | nw | | 1.00 [0.57–1.74] | nw | |
| Cons | 9.86 | 103.60** | 138.38*** | 0.20 | 0.68 | 1.07 | 0.06* |

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

| | ACCEPTANCE—AOR [95% CI] | | | UPTAKE—AOR [95% CI] | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Model 1 n = 270 | Model 2 n = 278 | Model 3 n = 280 | Model 1 n = 239 | Model 2 n = 246 | Model 3 n = 247 | Model 4 n = 227 |
| F-statistic (p) | 410.3 (0.04) | 14.15 (0.01) | 13.93 (0.00) | 2.661 (0.44) | 0.304 (0.91) | 5.916 (0.02) | 4.443 (0.06) |

Model 1: including baseline characteristics; model 2: including barriers perceived at baseline; model 3: including baseline characteristics and barriers obtained by stepwise backward regression—model 4: model 3 + acceptance and being well-informed about the HPV vaccination program

AOR: adjusted odds ratio—CI: confidence interval

°average of: doubt the vaccine works, fear of side effects and interference with fertility, and afraid of unsafe administration; alpha = 0.90

°° average of: vaccination takes a lot of time and 3 doses are inconvenient; alpha = 0.79

nw: not withheld in backward stepwise regression

* p<0.05

** p<0.01

*** p<0.00

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117761.t003

Reference

1. Vermandere H, Naanyu V, Mabeya H, Vanden Broeck D, Michielsen K, Degomme O (2014) Determinants of Acceptance and Subsequent Uptake of the HPV Vaccine in a Cohort in Eldoret, Kenya. PLoS ONE 9(10): e109353. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0109353](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109353) PMID: [25299646](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25299646/)