

The Effectiveness of Green Advertisements: Combining Ad-based and Consumer-based Research

Lynn De Vlieger, Liselot Hudders, Gino Verleye

1 Abstract

Public concern over the environment and the way it is damaged by our fastidious way of life has increased during the last few decades. Consequently, people expressed their willingness to buy more products with a less detrimental impact on the environment. Companies sought to capitalize on this trend by launching 'green' products and by introducing environmental claims in their advertising campaigns. Whereas the forerunners might have had sincere intentions, companies who did not mean well also jumped on the bandwagon, and more and more cases of 'greenwashing' came to light. As a consequence, people grew ever more skeptical of green claims. As truthful information in advertising can be an important catalyst for changes in consumption patterns, and can thus help consumers switch from regular to green products, it is particularly important to shed light on the credibility of green claims in the minds of consumers. Therefore, this study combined ad-based and consumer-based research to assess the perceived credibility of environmental claims, and to determine how credibility is linked to specific elements of the environmental information conveyed in the ad. Since environmentally involved people are expected to react differently, environmental involvement is considered a moderator. Results reveal that the level of greenness of an ad is positively related to credibility, and that the environmentally involved appear to be more skeptical towards green advertisements compared to their less involved counterparts. Moreover, whether the ad looks green (e.g. use of green color or green imagery) is no significant predictor for credibility, whereas the presence of a prominent environmental claim does aid credibility, albeit only for lowly involved respondents.

2 Introduction

In the late 60's, early 70's, people started to worry about the deteriorating effects their consumption behavior may have on the environment. Accordingly, consumers were searching for alternative ways to decrease their ecological footprint (Montoro-Rios, Luque-Martinez, Fuentes-Moreno & Cañadas-Soriano, 2006), and they incited companies to take care of the environment in their organizational processes (Ottman, 1993). Since then, consumers started searching for

environmentally-friendly products (Ahmad, Shah & Ahmad, 2010). Public concerns about the environment have only increased in recent years (Chang, 2011; Hanas, 2007), and companies respond to this trend by adopting ‘green strategies’ (Ginsberg & Bloom, 2004). However, recent studies show that consumers are rather skeptical towards green claims in advertisements (Grillo, Tokarczyk & Hansen, 2008; Pfanner, 2008). As credibility is an important antecedent of ad effectiveness (McKenzie & Lutz, 1989), the current study investigates the impact of green advertisements on credibility by relating the green characteristics of advertisements to perceived credibility.

Many scholars constructed content analysis models to explore the green characteristics of advertisements (e.g. Iyer, Banerjee & Gulas, 1994, Iyer & Banerjee, 1993 & D’Souza & Taghian, 2005). A widely used model to assess the degree of greenness of advertisements is the MECCAS-model (Means-End Chain Conceptualization of Advertising Strategy, Grillo *et al.*, 2008; Wagner & Hansen, 2002). This model distinguishes five characteristics that categorize advertisements into five incremental levels of greenness. These characteristics convey which environmental information is given about the product or company promoted in the advertisement. The first characteristic is the integration of an *important environmental claim* that is a prominent part of the ad and not hidden within the body copy. The second characteristic is the *executional framework* which refers to the fact that the ad looks ‘green’ by using for example green colors or green imagery. The third characteristic is the mentioning of the *product life cycle phase*, either raw material, production, packaging, transportation/distribution, consumption or disposal. The fourth characteristic is the mentioning of a *driving force*, which refers to planet preservation, animal life or personal health. The final characteristic is the presence of a *leverage point* linking the driving force with tangible message elements: either rational, emotional, moral or zeitgeist.

Since consumers deliberately seek solid product information in advertisements (Chan, Leung & Wong, 2006), it can be assumed that the more green characteristics an ad has, the more integrated and convincing the environmental stance becomes, which might have a positive effect on its credibility. Scholars have, however, indicated that this is exactly a void to fill in research (Leonidou, Leonidou, Palihawadana & Hultman, 2011). Up till now, studies exploring green ads’ characteristics and those exploring consumers’ responses to green appeals remained two separate streams of research, isolated from each other. This paper tries to fill this void precisely by combining these two types of research on green advertising to explore the relation between ad characteristics and consumer responses. Moreover, Leonidou *et al.* (2011) also suggest to explore differences between environmentally conscious buyers and their less sensitive counterparts regarding how they react towards green communication. Therefore, the moderat-

ing role of environmental involvement will also be taken into account in this study.

3 Theoretical background and hypotheses development

3.1 Green advertising

Companies are constantly trying to distinguish from competitors and hope to attract environmentally involved consumers by promoting green products (Iyer & Banerjee, 1993; Chen, 2001; D'Souza & Taghian, 2005; Ottman, 1994), and integrating environmental claims into their advertising campaigns (Ahmad *et al.*, 2010; Shrum, McCarty & Lowrey, 1995). Claiming environmental soundness in advertising is a logical step in the light of Corporate Social Performance, or the extent to which a company takes multiple stakeholders (instead of only the company's interests) into account. A firm's reputation is, among others, determined by this social performance (Brammer & Pavelin, 2006), and the environment is one of its most important aspects (Turban & Greening, 1997). As such, well implemented green positioning strategies can provide more favorable brand perceptions (Connolly & Prothero, 2003).

Green advertising is defined as advertising that suggests either a positive relationship between a product and the environment, promotes a green lifestyle, or presents a positive corporate environmental image (Banerjee, Gulas & Iyer, 1995). It refers to all appeals that target the needs and desires of environmentally concerned stakeholders (Zinkhan & Carlson, 1995). The studies on green communication can be divided into two specific research streams. The first is ad-based and studies the anatomy of green advertisements, or the level of environmental information that is conveyed in the ad (Banerjee *et al.*, 1995; Chamorro, Rubio & Miranda, 2009; Kilbourne, 1995; Leonidou *et al.*, 2011; Shrum *et al.*, 1995; Manrai, Manrai, Lascu & Ryans, 1997; Wagner & Hansen, 2002). Although this research stream reveals primordial information on green advertising, this type of research has become less popular in the last decade (Chamorro *et al.*, 2009). The second, more popular research stream is that of consumer-based studies in which consumers' responses to green appeals are the objects of study (Chamorro *et al.*, 2009; Leonidou *et al.*, 2011; Shrum *et al.*, 1995; e.g. Chan *et al.*, 2006; D'Souza & Taghian, 2005). However, both ad-based and consumer-based studies should be combined to gain a better insight in the effectiveness of green advertisements (Leonidou *et al.*, 2011).

3.2 *Characteristics of green advertisements and ad effectiveness*

The green characteristics of an ad convey information about the product's and company's environmental soundness and this information may have an important effect on ad effectiveness (Ahmad *et al.*, 2010). However, only few studies have linked this environmental information to ad effectiveness. D'Souza & Taghian (2005), for instance, tried to uncover the impact of informational aspects of an advertisement on consumers' attitudes towards this advertisement. However, although credibility is an important indicator of ad effectiveness (McKenzie & Lutz, 1989), no study investigated the impact of informational aspects of a green advertisement on the perceived credibility of the ad. Authors postulate conflicting views on the credibility of environmental appeals, with earlier research suggesting that green appeals are credible (Mathur & Mathur, 2000) and more recent studies suggesting that skepticism is growing and credibility is thus decreasing (Crane, 2000; Grillo *et al.*, 2008). Greenwashing practices (i.e., green advertising without real commitment to the environment, Grillo *et al.*, 2008) have erased the clear connection between the promoted product and its environmental benefits. This is a major problem since it is exactly the integration of various communication tools and messages that is shown to have a positive relationship with brand outcomes (cf. Integrated Marketing Communication (IMC), Reid, 2005). This lack of integration of information conveyed in the ad may explain consumer incredulity towards green ads. As a consequence, we hypothesize that the more different features conveying information in the ad are seamlessly connected and integrated, the more consumers will be convinced that the environmental stance is genuine. This leads to the following hypotheses:

H1: The level of greenness of advertisements has a positive impact on perceived credibility

H2: The five characteristics of green ads (MECCAS) positively influence perceived credibility

3.3 *Moderating impact of environmental involvement*

The effectiveness of green ads is further influenced by individual differences. In particular, concern about the environment is supposed to influence consumers' responses to green appeals in advertising (Chang, 2011; D'Souza & Taghian, 2005). Traditional product involvement studies show that highly involved consumers show higher purchase intention and more favorable brand attitudes (Schuhwerk & Leffkoff-Hagius, 1995). Moreover, involvement appears to have a positive impact on attitude towards the ad and ad credibility (D'Souza & Taghian, 2005). The Elaboration Likelihood model advocated that information processing depends on the consumer's involvement along with the ability and motivation to process information. Highly involved consumers follow the central

route and diligently elaborate on the information given in the ad. Lowly involved consumers, on the other hand, follow the peripheral route and resort to non-content cues to shape their response to the advertisement (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). As a result, we expect that perceived credibility may be predicted by content characteristics for individuals who are highly involved with environmental issues, while it may be predicted by peripheral characteristics for individuals who are lowly involved with environmental issues, leading to the following hypotheses:

H3: Environmental involvement moderates the impact of level of greenness on perceived credibility

H4: Central (content) characteristics will constitute a better predictor for highly involved than for lowly involved consumers. The opposite holds for peripheral (non-content) characteristics.

4 Method

4.1 Design and procedure

We conducted an experimental study, using a within-subjects design, to investigate the perceived credibility of 162 ads containing different levels of greenness. Each respondent had to rate a large subset of 20 ads on perceived level of greenness and perceived credibility.

4.2 Stimuli

We conducted a pretest to select a variety of ads containing various levels of greenness. To enhance internal validity, genuine ads instead of mock-ups were used (Beltramini & Evans, 1985; Soh, Reid & King, 2009). We conducted a content analysis of all ads that were published in a whole volume of three general public magazines (October 2008 – September 2009), resulting in a sample of 162 unique ads. The selection of advertisements was carried out in accordance to Iyer & Banerjee (1993), Banerjee *et al.* (1995) and Carlson, Grove & Kangun (1993). The degree of greenness of each individual ad is determined by the MECCAS-model (Grillo *et al.*, 2008; Wagner & Hansen, 2002). Accordingly, the advertisements are categorized into five incremental levels of greenness based on the specific combination of five characteristics; *important environmental claim*, *executional framework*, *product life cycle phase*, *driving force* (e.g. planet preservation) and *leverage point*. Table 1 demonstrates which specific combination leads to which level of greenness of each ad. Objectivity of the coding was measured by recoding a randomly selected subset of ads ($N = 33$ or 20%) and by calculating the Cohen's Kappa interjudgement reliability of each

variable separately (Hayes & Krippendorff, 2007; Kassarian, 1977). Reliabilities are 0.79 for *leverage point* and range from 0.91 to 0.96 for the other MEC-CAS variables; one just below, but all others well beyond the 0.80 threshold recommended in literature (Banerjee *et al.*, 1995; Perreault & Leigh, 1989). Among the unique advertisements published in the 2008-2009 time span, a majority was *brown* (49%), while 28% was *green*. *Light green* (16%) and *green-brown* (5%) take positions three and four, and an absolute minimum (2%) was *extra green* (see figure 1).

Table 1: MECCAS measurement model

	<i>Important environmental claim</i>	<i>Executorial framework (looks 'green')</i>	<i>Product life cycle</i>	<i>Driving force & Leverage point</i>
Extra green	✓	✓	✓	✓
Green	✓	✓	✓	
Green	✓	✓		✓
Light green	✓	✓		
Green-brown	✓		✓	
Green-brown	✓			✓
Green-brown	✓			
Brown				

4.3 Participants

52 Flemish respondents participated in this study. The average age of the sample was 48 years ($SD = 19.95$). The majority was female (58%), married or living together (77%), higher educated (54%) and in full-time employment (31%).

4.4 Measures

Credibility is measured by one item ('*This advertisement is credible*') to be answered on an 11-point Likert type scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 10 (completely). Furthermore, to assess respondents' environmental involvement, they were given a list of 34 green behaviors such as recycling and purchasing local produce in which they had to indicate the specific behaviors they currently display (C-Change, 2009). The amount of specific actions respondents undertake

was then used to divide them into two groups of involvement based on a median split: highly and lowly involved individuals.

5 Results

Overall, results reveal that the credibility of advertisements is rather low ($M = 4.43$, $SD = 2.74$). A two-way ANOVA with level of greenness and environmental involvement as independents and perceived credibility as dependent variable reveals a main effect of level of greenness on perceived credibility ($F(4, 1031) = 19.48$, $p < .001$). In particular, results show that green ads are perceived as most credible ($M = 5.02$), followed by extra green ads ($M = 4.73$), green-brown ads ($M = 4.64$), light green ads ($M = 4.47$) and brown ads ($M = 4.00$) (see figure 1). Moreover, a nonparametric correlation showed that the ad's greenness level is positively correlated with perceived credibility, $r = .157$, $p < .001$. These results confirm our first hypothesis. Furthermore, there appears to be a significant main effect of level of environmental involvement on perceived credibility ($F(1, 1031) = 6.93$, $p < .001$). In particular, lowly involved respondents ($M = 5.23$) perceived green ads as more credible than highly involved respondents ($M = 3.92$). Although the interaction effect between involvement and level of greenness is not significant ($F(4, 1031) = 1.29$, $p = .272$), t-tests reveal that highly involved respondents perceive ads less credible than lowly involved respondents for brown ($t(504) = 2.64$, $p = .008$), green ($t(295) = 3.06$, $p = .002$) and extra green ads ($t(20) = 2.40$, $p = .026$). Highly and lowly environmentally involved respondents do not differ from each other for the perceived credibility when ads are green-brown ($t(50) = 1.93$, $p = .060$) and light green ($t(162) = 1.51$, $p = .134$). These results confirm H3.




Figure 1: Percentage of advertisements & mean credibility per level of greenness

To test H2, multiple regression analysis was used. The advertisement characteristics were entered as independents to assess how they are related to ad credibility. Note that dummy variants of *life cycle phase* and *driving force* were entered. The predictors explained a significant proportion of variance in credibility ($R^2 = .104$, $F(7,1033) = 18.18$, $p < .001$). Hence, H2 was confirmed. Mentioning *raw materials* ($\beta = -.083$, $p = .008$), *production* ($\beta = -.113$, $p = .001$) and *consumption* ($\beta = -.125$, $p < .001$) have a negative effect on credibility. Mentioning *disposal issues* ($\beta = .179$, $p < .001$) and *planet preservation* ($\beta = .157$, $p < .001$) have the strongest positive influence, followed by *claim* ($\beta = .084$, $p = .007$) and *animal life* ($\beta = .063$, $p = .043$).

Subsequently, it was assumed that the variable *environmental claim* constitutes the central cue since it conveys the core environmental benefit of the product, while *executional framework* constitutes the peripheral cue since it also conveys green information that is, however, not the core environmental stance. However, for neither highly ($\beta = .022$, $p = .674$) nor lowly involved ($\beta = -.029$, $p = .603$) respondents, *executional framework* was a significant predictor of perceived credibility. In contrary to our expectations, *claim* was only a significant predictor for lowly involved respondents ($\beta = .102$, $p = .051$), but not for highly involved respondents ($\beta = .074$, $p = .182$). Hence, H4 that involved consumers base their judgment on the green claim, while their less-involved counterparts are already satisfied if the ad merely looks green, could not be confirmed. Apparently, the fact that the ad looks green does not make a difference, while the environmental claim does, but for non-involved consumers.

6 Discussion and conclusions

The current study provides additional insights in the field of green advertising by combining the two major research movements in this field, namely ad-based and consumer-based studies. Such research is primordial since a combination of these two streams is needed to fully understand the effectiveness of green advertisements. D'Souza and Taghian (2005) already showed that characteristics of green advertising influence consumers' attitudes toward the advertisement. The current study complements this study by focusing on ad credibility and by employing the MECCAS model to assess an ad's characteristics and its corresponding level of greenness.

The results of this study provides further evidence for the low effectiveness of green advertisements in terms of credibility of green advertising, especially for highly involved consumers. This is in line with the study of D'Souza and Taghian (2005). As a consequence, it might be better to target highly and lowly environmentally involved consumers differently. However, this study also shows that the higher the greenness level of advertisements, the more they are perceived as credible. When using green claims in advertisements, advertisers

should take this into account and accordingly associate the green claim with the product. Finally, results show that green characteristics of advertisements explain a significant proportion of variance in credibility, showing that communication effectiveness is indeed related to ad characteristics.

7 Limitations and further research

Despite these above mentioned contributions, the current study also has some limitations. First, since all respondents had to judge the credibility of a subset of 20 advertisements, this could cause fatigue and impact their judgments. Therefore, future studies should experimentally investigate the effectiveness of green advertisements containing various levels of greenness by showing them to different groups of respondents so that each respondent has to judge only one advertisement. Second, it would be interesting to investigate the impact of level of greenness on other measures of ad effectiveness such as attitude towards the ad. Finally, future studies could enhance validity by using fictitious ads instead of real ones, and by employing a scale such as the three-measure approach (convincing/unconvincing, believable/unbelievable and biased/unbiased) proposed by McKenzie and Lutz (1989) to measure ad credibility.

8 References

- Ahmad, H.; Shah, I.A. and K. Ahmad (2010), "Factors in environmental advertising influencing consumer's purchase intention." In: *European Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 48(2), 217-226.
- Banerjee, S.; Gulas, C.S. and E. Iyer (1995), "Shades of green: a multidimensional analysis of environmental advertising," in: *Journal of Advertising* Vol. 24(2), 21-31.
- Beltramini, R.F. and K.R. Evans (1985), "Perceived believability of research results information in advertising," in *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 14(3), 18-31.
- Brammer, S.J. and S. Pavelin (2006), "Corporate reputation and social performance: the importance of fit," in: *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 43(3), 435-455.
- Carlson, L.; Grove, S.J. and N. Kangun (1993), "A content analysis of environmental advertising claims: a matrix method approach," in: *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 22(3), 27-39.
- C-change (2009), "Eco-markets barometer. What & why?" Unpublished PowerPoint Presentation, Heverlee.
- Chamorro, A.; Rubio, S. and F.J. Miranda (2009), "Characteristics of research on green marketing," in: *Business Strategy and the Environment*, Vol. 18, 223-239.
- Chan, R.Y.K.; Leung, T.K.P. and Y.H. Wong (2006), "The effectiveness of environmental claims in services advertising," in: *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 20(4), 233-250.
- Chang, C. (2011), "Feeling ambivalent about going green. Implications for green advertising processing," in: *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 40(4), 19-31.
- Chen, C. (2001), "Design for the environment: a quality-based model for green product development," in: *Management Science*, Vol. 47(2), 250-263.
- Connolly, J. and A. Prothero (2003), "Sustainable consumption," in: *Consumption, Consumers and the commodity discourse*, Vol. 4, 275-291.

- Crane, A. (2000), "Facing the backlash: green marketing and strategic reorientation in the 1990's," in: *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 8(3), 277-296.
- D'Souza, C and M. Taghian (2005), "Green advertising effects on attitude and choice of advertising themes," in: *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, Vol. 17(3), 51-66.
- Ginsberg, J.M. and P.N. Bloom (2004), "Choosing the right green marketing strategy," in: *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 46(1), 79-84.
- Grillo, N.; Tokarczyk, J. and E. Hansen (2008), "Green advertising developments in the U.S. forest sector: a follow-up," in: *Forest Products Journal*, Vol. 8(5), 40-46.
- Hanas, J. (2007), "A world gone green," in: *Advertising Age*, Vol. 78(24), S1-2.
- Hayes, A.F. and K. Krippendorff (2007), "Answering the call for a standard reliability measure for coding data," in: *Communication Methods and Measures*, Vol. 1(1), 77-89.
- Iyer, E. and B. Banerjee (1993), "Anatomy of green advertising," in: *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 20, 494-501.
- Iyer, E.; Banerjee, B. and C. Gulas (1994), "An exposé on green television ads," in: *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 21(1), 292-298.
- Kassarjian, H.H. (1977), "Content analysis in consumer research," in: *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 4(1), 8-18.
- Kilbourne, W.E. (1995), "Green advertising: salvation or oxymoron?," in: *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 24(2), 7-19.
- Leonidou, L.C.; Leonidou, C.N.; Palihawadana, D. and M. Hultman (2011), "Evaluating the green advertising practices of international firms: a trend analysis," in *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 28(1), 6-33.
- Manrai, L.A.; Manrai, A.K.; Lascu, D. and J.K. Jr. Ryans (1997), "How green-claim strength and country disposition affect product evaluation and company image," in *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 14(5), 511-537.
- Mathur, L.K. and I. Mathur (2000), "An analysis of the wealth effects of green market strategies," in *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 50(2), 193-200.
- McKenzie, S.B. and R.J. Lutz (1989), "An empirical examination of the structural antecedents of attitude toward the ad in an advertising pretesting context," in *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 53(2), 48-65.
- Montoro-Rios, F.J.; Luque-Martinez, T.; Fuentes-Moreno, F. and P. Cañadas-Soriano (2006), "Improving attitudes towards brands with environmental associations: an experimental approach," in *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 23(1), 26-33.
- Ottman, J. (1993), "Green marketing: challenges and opportunities for the new marketing age," McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Ottman, J. (1994), "Green marketing," NTC Business Books, Lincolnwood, Illinois.
- Perreault, W.D. Jr. and L.E. Leigh (1989), "Reliability of nominal data based on qualitative judgments," in *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 26(2), 135-148.
- Petty, R.E.; Cacioppo, J.T. and D. Schumann (1983), "Central and peripheral routes to advertising effectiveness: the moderating role of involvement," in *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 10(2), 135-146.
- Pfanner, E. (2008), "Cooling off on dubious eco-friendly claims," in *The New York Times*, 18 July, 3.
- Reid, M. (2005), "Performance auditing of integrated marketing communication (IMC) actions and outcomes," in *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 34(4), 41-54.
- Schuhwerk, M.E. and R. Lefkoff-Hagius (1995), "Green or non-green? Does type of appeal matter when advertising a green product?," in *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 24(2), 45-54.
- Shrum, L.J.; McCarty, J.A. and T.M. Lowrey (1995), "Buyer characteristics of the green consumer and their implications for advertising strategy," in *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 24(2), 71-82.

-
- Soh, H.; Reid, L.N. and K.W. King (2009), "Measuring trust in advertising. Development and validation of the ADTRUST scale," in *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 38(2), 83-104.
- Turban, D.B. and D.W. Greening (1997), "Corporate social performance and organizational attractiveness to prospective employees," in *The Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 40(3), 658-672.
- Wagner, E.R. and E.N. Hansen (2002), "Methodology for evaluating green advertising of forest products in the United States: a content analysis," in *Forest Products Journal*, Vol. 52(4), 17-23.
- Zinkhan, G.M. and L. Carlson (1995), "Green advertising and the reluctant consumer," in *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 24(2), 1-6.