		÷	
	ļ	4	

- 1 Title Page
- 2 Word count main text : 2898
- 3 Word count abstract : 273
- 4 Running head : Motor imagery in traumatic brain injury
- 5
- 6 Motor Imagery Ability in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury
- 7 Kristine M. Oostra, MD, Annelies Vereecke, MD, Guy Vanderstraeten, MD, PhD, Guy
- 8 Vingerhoets, PhD
- 9 From the Department of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, Ghent University Hospital,
- 10 Ghent, Belgium (Oostra, Vereecke); the Department of Rehabilitation Sciences and
- 11 Physiotherapy, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium (Vanderstraeten) and the Laboratory for
- 12 Neuropsychology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium (Vingerhoets)
- 13 Presented at the Mediterranean Congress of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, Sept 29-
- 14 October 2, 2010, Cyprus Society of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Limassol, Cyprus
- 15 Supported by the Klinisch Onderzoeksfonds, Ghent University Hospital
- 16 No commercial party having a direct financial interest in the results of the research supporting
- 17 this article has or will confer a benefit on the authors or on any organization with which the
- 18 authors are associated
- 19 Corresponding author:
- 20 Kristine M. Oostra, De Pintelaan 185, B 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- 21 tel n° business 0032 9 332 5983
- 22 tel n° home 0032 9 282 9045
- $23 \qquad fax \; n^\circ \; 0032 \; 9 \; 332 \; 3888$
- 24 kristine.oostra@UZGent.be
- 25 No reprints available

- 26 ABSTRACT Motor imagery ability in patients with traumatic brain injury
- 27 **Objective**: To assess motor imagery (MI) ability in patients with a moderate to severe

traumatic brain injury (TBI).

29 **Design**: Prospective, behavioral study with matched control subjects

30 **Setting**: Rehabilitation unit in a university hospital

31 Participants: Patients with a TBI (mean coma duration 18 days) receiving rehabilitation

32 (n=20) and healthy control subjects (n=17) matched for age and level of education

33 Interventions: not applicable

34 Main Outcome Measures: The vividness of MI using a revised version of the Movement

35 Imagery Questionnaire (MIQ-RS), temporal features of MI using the Time Dependent Motor

36 Imagery test (TDMI), the temporal congruence test, and a walking trajectory imagery test. A

37 mental rotation test was used to measure MI accuracy.

**Results**: The results of the MIQ-RS revealed a decrease of MI vividness in the TBI group.

39 For the TDMI test, an increasing number of stepping movements was observed with

40 increasing time periods in both groups. The TBI group performed a significantly smaller

41 number of imagined movements in the same movement time. The temporal congruence test

42 showed a significant correlation between imagined and actual stepping time in both groups.

43 The walking trajectory test disclosed an increase of the imagined and actual walking time

44 with increasing path length in both groups. The results of the hand mental rotation test

45 indicated a significant effect of rotation angles on imagery movement times in both groups,

46 but rotation time was significantly slower in the TBI group.

47 Conclusions: Patients with a TBI demonstrated a preserved MI ability, although the results of
48 the extensive clinical test battery indicated a significant decrease of MI vividness, temporal

49 coupling and accuracy.

50 Key words : Traumatic brain injury; Motor imagery; Rehabilitation

- 51 List of abbreviations :
- 52 MIQ Movement Imagery Questionnaire
- 53 MIQ-R Movement Imagery Questionnaire- revised
- 54 MIQ-RS Revised version of the MIQ-R
- 55 TDMI Time Dependent Motor Imagery
- 56 TBI Traumatic Brain Injury
- 57 CTL Control
- 58

59 Motor imagery is the imagining of an action without its actual execution. It is a process during which the representation of an action is internally reproduced within the working 60 memory without any overt output<sup>1</sup>. Mental practice can be described as a cognitive process in 61 which movements are repeatedly mentally simulated without any overt body movement<sup>2</sup>. 62 There is evidence that mental practice as an additional therapy has effects on motor recovery 63 64 after damage to the central nervous system. Since mental practice based on motor imagery is not dependent on residual motor function, it can be used in neurological rehabilitation to train 65 the more cognitive aspects of motor tasks and thus improve physical recovery<sup>2-8</sup>. However, 66 before starting mental practice, it is imperative to assess whether the patient is still able to 67 engage in motor imagery<sup>9</sup>. Unrelated to cerebral damage, there are individual differences in 68 motor imagery ability. Hall et al<sup>10</sup> classified subjects as high or low imagers based on their 69 70 Movement Imagery Questionnaire (MIQ) scores. They demonstrated that individual 71 differences in motor imagery ability can influence motor task performance, with high imagers reproducing movements more accurately than low imagers<sup>11</sup>. Moreover, since motor imagery 72 73 and motor execution are believed to share a similar underlying neural network, any structural damage to the brain could affect both motor performance and motor imagery<sup>9</sup>. Therefore, 74 75 patients with impaired motor imagery ability should be identified before starting any imagery 76 therapy. Motor imagery ability has already been assessed in several clinical populations. 77 Individuals with motor impairments due to brain lesions caused by stroke, cerebral palsy or Parkinson's disease, seem to show only partially preserved motor imagery capacities<sup>12-17</sup>. 78 79 We will assess motor imagery ability in patients with a moderate to severe head injury using MIQs, a mental chronometry paradigm and mental rotation tasks<sup>18</sup>. MIQs measure the 80 vividness of motor imagery<sup>19</sup>. Subjects are asked to indicate the ease with which they are able 81 82 to imagine a certain movement. Several studies indicate that ratings from imagery 83 questionnaires provide a good indication of the ability to generate vivid images of

movements<sup>10,19-22</sup>. The MIQ-revised (MIQ-R) is a self-report questionnaire, developed by
Hall et al, to assess visual and kinesthetic modalities of movement imagery<sup>10</sup>. A revised
version, the MIQ-RS, was developed by Gregg et al<sup>20</sup> to measure the visual and kinesthetic
components of motor imagery ability in patients with motor impairments. The MIQ-RS is
composed of 2 subscales of 7 relatively simple movements, for use in people with limited
mobility, e.g. bending forward or pulling a door handle.

90 Mental chronometry paradigms measure the temporal coupling between actual and imagined 91 movements. Several investigators have demonstrated that it takes a similar amount of time to imagine and execute an action<sup>23-25</sup>. The match between imagined and actual movement times 92 93 indicates a reliable use of motor imagery. Malouin et al confirmed the reproducibility of the 94 temporal congruence test and the Time Dependent Motor Imagery (TDMI) screening test for 95 measuring the temporal behavior of motor imagery in healthy subjects and persons poststroke<sup>25</sup>. We also introduced a walking trajectory test to quantify imagery of gait. This 96 97 test, which was developed by Bakker et al., demonstrated a high temporal congruence between actual and imagined walking in a healthy population $^{23}$ . 98

99 Finally, mental rotation tasks, which measure implicit motor imagery ability and accuracy, are 100 based on the fact that the mental rotation time of a picture depends on the angular rotation of 101 that picture<sup>26</sup>. Moreover, using bodily stimuli, the mental rotation time follows the 102 biomechanical constraints, in that biomechanically more difficult orientations result in slower 103 reaction times<sup>22</sup>. In our study, we used a hand mental rotation test that was a two-dimensional 104 variant of Parsons's hand laterality test, with imagined movement times measured without 105 subjects making a left-right judgment<sup>27</sup>.

106 To our knowledge, motor imagery ability in persons with a moderate to severe traumatic brain

107 injury (TBI) has not been investigated. The present study was primarily designed to examine

108 motor imagery ability in patients with a moderate to severe TBI, using an MIQ, mental

109	chronometry paradigms and a mental rotation task. If motor imagery ability is at least
110	partially preserved in these patients, then this cohort could potentially benefit from motor
111	imagery training in the future.
112	
113	Methods
114	
115	Study Design and Participants
116	
117	Twenty patients receiving rehabilitation after a moderate to severe TBI (TBI group) and 17
118	healthy control subjects (CTL group) of comparable ages and level of education volunteered
119	and were recruited to take part in this study. All subjects gave informed consent and the
120	protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the university hospital where the study took
121	place.
122	Table 1 summarizes the participants' characteristics, and Table 2 describes the main cerebral
123	lesions of the trauma patients.
124	
125	Measures
126	
127	The Movement Imagery Questionnaire. In order to complete the MIQ-RS, 4 steps were
128	required. First, the starting position of the movement was described by the examiner and then
129	the subject was asked to assume it. Second, the movement was described and then the subject
130	was asked to perform it. Third, the subject was asked to reassume the starting position and
131	then imagine producing the movement (no actual movement was made). Finally, the subject
132	was instructed to rate the ease/difficulty with which he/she imagined the movement on a 7-
133	point scale, where $1 = \text{very difficult}$ and $7 = \text{very easy to picture/feel}$ .

134 Time Dependent Motor Imagery screening test. For the TDMI test, the subjects were seated 135 on a chair and were instructed to imagine stepping movements over varying time periods. The 136 stepping movement consisted of placing one foot forward on a board and then placing it back 137 on the floor. First, the examiner demonstrated the movement and then the subjects were 138 instructed to actually perform the movement physically twice. During the imagery task, the 139 subjects were asked to close their eyes and to count each time they imagined touching the 140 board. Each subject completed 3 trials. Each trial terminated after a varying time period of 15, 141 25 and 45 seconds. The examiner recorded the number of imagined movements in these 3 142 time periods.

143 *Temporal congruence stepping test.* For this test, the subjects were seated in a chair and were 144 instructed to first imagine and then to physically perform 5 stepping movements, placing the 145 foot on the board in front of them. During the imagery task, the subjects had their eyes closed. 146 The examiner recorded the duration of the 2 stepping series.

147 *Walking trajectory test.* For this test, the subjects were seated in a chair in front of a computer 148 screen that displayed photographs of 3 walking trajectories (Figure 1). The walking 149 trajectories had a varying length of 2, 5, and 10 m. The beginning of the walking trajectory 150 was marked with a blue line, the end with a cone. There were 2 practice sessions, an imagery 151 session and an actual walking session. Each imagery session started with the presentation of a 152 photograph of a walking trajectory. The subjects were then asked to close their eyes and to 153 imagine walking along the path. The examiner recorded the duration of each trial. 154 Subsequently, the subjects performed the actual walking trial. The actual walking session was

155 always performed after the imagery session to minimize the amount of tacit knowledge about156 the time it actually takes to walk along the trajectory.

157 *Hand mental rotation test.* The subjects were seated on a chair, facing a computer screen that

displayed photographs of left and right hands. The hands were presented in varying twodimensional orientations of 30°, 60°, 90° and 120°. Stimuli were presented in a random order.
The subjects were instructed to imagine moving their hands from the upright position, palm
down, to the position of the stimulus hand and to press the enter button as they completed
their imagined action.

163

164 Statistical Analysis

165

166 Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics 17.0 software. Data are expressed as 167 mean  $\pm$  SD. Independent samples *t*-tests were used to investigate between-group differences 168 after confirming homogeneity of variances (Levene's test). For nominal scale data, Pearson's 169 Chi-square tests were used. Repeated measures analyses of variance were used for the data 170 analysis of the TDMI, the walking trajectory test, and the hand mental rotation test with 171 Group (TBI, CTL) as between-subjects variables. Pearson correlations were calculated to 172 evaluate the strength of the association between variables of at least interval scale. In all 173 cases, differences were considered significant if the obtained *p*-value was smaller than 0.05. 174 175 **Results** 176

177 We report the results from 20 TBI subjects and 17 healthy volunteers. We found no

178 significant differences in age, level of education, or male/female ratio between the two

179 groups.

180 The total MIQ-RS score and its kinesthetic and visual subscores were significantly higher

181 (always P<.05) in the CTL group than in the TBI group, with a mean total score of 83 (SD

182 11) and 72 (SD 13), respectively. Further analysis showed significantly higher scores for

183 MIQ-RS visual (T=-2.92, P<.01) and MIQ-RS total (T=-2.48, P=.024) in patients with frontal 184 brain damage (n=11) compared to patients with extra-frontal damage (n=8). The MIQ-RS 185 total score was not significantly correlated with the results of the mental chronometry tests 186 (temporal congruence test: r=0.06, P=0.73; walking trajectory test: r=0.06, P=.72). A repeated measures analysis of variance of the TDMI data with time period (15s, 25s, and 187 188 45s) as within-subject factor and group (TBI, CTL) as between-subject factor disclosed a 189 significant main effect of time period with increasing imagined steps over longer time periods 190  $(F_{2,34} = 153.5, P < .001)$ . A significant main effect of group revealed less imagined stepping in 191 the TBI group ( $F_{1.35} = 15.5$ , P<.001), and a significant period by group interaction effect 192 showed that this difference increased with longer time periods ( $F_{2,34} = 10.6$ , P<.001). This 193 interaction effect is depicted in Figure 2.

The temporal congruence stepping test scores revealed a statistically significant correlation
between imagined stepping time and actual stepping time in both groups (TBI group, r=0.82,
P<.001 and CTL group, r=0.80, P<.001). We found no statistical differences in the actual</li>
stepping/imagined stepping ratio between the two groups.

198 A repeated analysis of variance was performed to analyse the walking trajectory test with 199 condition (executed, imagined) and distance (2m, 5m, 10m) as within-subject factors and 200 group (TBI, CTL) as between-subject factors. A significant main effect of condition showed 201 longer durations for the imagery conditions ( $F_{1,35} = 17.4$ , P<.001), and a significant main 202 effect of distance revealed longer distances leading to longer performance times ( $F_{2.34} = 81.8$ , 203 P<.001). A significant main effect of group showed consistently longer response times for the TBI group ( $F_{1,35} = 9.9$ , P = .003). Significant condition by group, and distance by group 204 205 interaction effects showed that the TBI patients took relatively longer over the imagery 206 conditions and over longer trajectories than the CTL group,  $F_{1,35} = 8.9$ , P = .005 and  $F_{2,34} =$ 207 6.8, P = .003, respectively. A strong relationship between imagined and actual walking times

was found in both groups (TBI: 10m, r = .65, P = .004; CTL: 10m, r = .61, P = .005), but the actual walking time/ imagined walking time ratio was significantly increased in the TBI group (T<sub>35</sub>= -2.26, P=.03). Further analysis revealed a significantly higher ratio (worse performance) in patients with frontal brain damage compared to patients with other lesion localizations (T= 2.19, P=.04) and a significantly higher ratio (better performance) in patients with diffuse axonal injury (n = 10) compared to those with predominantly cortical damage (n = 9, T = -2.8, P = .01).

The results of the hand mental rotation test indicated a statistically significant main effect of rotation angle on imagined movement times with increasing angles resulting in increasing movement times ( $F_{3,33}$ = 17.0, P<.001). A main effect of group was also obtained showing a significantly slower execution of the imagined hand rotations in the TBI group ( $F_{1,35} = 5.8$ , P=.02). We found no group by angle interaction effect. These effects are illustrated in Figure 3.

221

## 222 **Discussion**

223

224 The present study was designed to assess motor imagery ability in patients with a moderate to 225 severe TBI. Before starting mental practice in neurological rehabilitation, it is necessary to 226 establish whether patients are still able to imagine movements and thus benefit from motor 227 imagery training. We used questionnaires, mental chronometry and mental rotation tasks to 228 study motor imagery abilities in adults with TBI. The results achieved in our study cohort 229 provide evidence that the ability to internally represent movements is preserved after TBI but 230 motor imagery is less vivid and less accurate, with imagined movements performed more 231 slowly than actual movements. To our knowledge, this study is the first to assess the 232 vividness of motor imagery in TBI patients. The visual and kinesthetic scores of the MIQ-RS

233 were lower in the patient group compared to the healthy control subjects. These results appear to conflict with those of studies investigating motor imagery ability after stroke. Malouin et al 234 235 found the vividness of mental images after stroke to be similar to that in age-matched control subjects. However, motor imagery ability was not symmetrical, with an overestimation when 236 imagining limb movements of the unaffected side<sup>16</sup>. Relying on the subjects' self report, 237 238 Kimberly et al found no difference in motor imagery ability between subjects with stroke and healthy control subjects<sup>29</sup>. The dominance of visual motor imagery, usually observed in 239 240 healthy adults, was not confirmed in the present study. Possibly, the use of an adapted scale 241 with relatively simple motor tasks influenced the ease with which the kinesthetic component of the imagery task was performed. 242 243 The TDMI, the temporal congruence test and the walking trajectory test have been standardized and their test-retest reliability has been confirmed<sup>25</sup>. The results of the present 244 245 study support the relevance of these mental chronometry tests for use in a population 246 requiring neurological rehabilitation. Imagined/actual movement time ratios offer a means to 247 quantify the changes in the temporal characteristics of motor imagery. In all mental 248 chronometry tasks, a significant correlation was found between executed and imagined 249 movement times in both the TBI and the CTL group. In all tasks, however, the 250 imagined/actual movement time ratios were significantly increased in the TBI group, 251 indicating a temporal uncoupling between actual and imagined movements. These results are 252 consistent with the findings of other studies. Malouin et al reported increased imagined/executed movement time ratios in patients with stroke<sup>25</sup> and Caevenberghs et al. 253 254 who investigated motor imagery ability in children with brain injury, found an inferior ability to imagine the time needed to complete goal-directed movements $^{30}$ . 255

Johnson et al found no evidence that chronic limb immobility after stroke compromised the ability to internally plan movements of the paretic arm. In their study, both groups performed at a comparable high level of accuracy on a mental rotation task<sup>31</sup>.

We also investigated the relationship between the different motor imagery measures and 259 260 found no correlation between the results of the imagery questionnaires and those of the mental 261 chronometry tasks in either group. Possibly, anosognosia, a disturbance of self-awareness, 262 limits the usefulness of these self-report questionnaires in a brain-injured patient group since many patients underestimate the severity of their cognitive functioning deficits<sup>32,33</sup>. Moreover, 263 264 as shown in Table 2, many patients had frontal lobe damage, which is known to be involved in anosognosia pathogenesis<sup>33</sup>. The present study showed that patients with frontal lobe 265 266 damage had difficulties in assessing their motor imagery ability with overrated scores of the 267 MIQ-RS, compared to the results of the temporal congruence tests.

268 The performance of the mental chronometry and rotation tasks by the TBI patients in our 269 study indicated a preserved ability to internally reproduce the motor action, although 270 imagined movements were performed more slowly and less accurately. Brain imaging studies 271 have shown that the premotor cortex, the prefrontal cortex, the posterior parietal cortex, the 272 cerebellum and the basal ganglia are all involved in motor imagery. Dominey et al found 273 motor imagery to be asymmetrically slowed in hemi-Parkinson patients, confirming that 274 dysfunction of the basal ganglia not only affected motor execution but also the internal representation of motor sequences<sup>14</sup>. In a study of patients with unilateral cerebellar lesions, 275 Battaglia et al observed a reduced ability to prepare and imagine sequential movements<sup>12</sup>. 276 277 Since many brain areas involved in motor imagery, are frequently damaged in patients with a 278 traumatic brain lesion, TBI is also expected to reduce motor imagery capacity. The present 279 study confirms the reduced vividness of motor imagery in a TBI population, with a 280 deterioration of temporal coupling and accuracy of motor imagery. Motor imagery training

282	intended movements, and hence promote motor skills in this patient group.
283	
284	Study Limitations
285	
286	The heterogeneous nature of a TBI patient group makes it difficult to draw general
287	conclusions from such a study. However, we attempted to address this by including only
288	patients with a moderate to severe TBI as indicated by the coma and posttraumatic amnesia
289	duration. Grouping of the TBI patients in this study was based on approximate MRI data.
290	Further refining of lesion localization and extending the number of patients in each group
291	according to pathology seem necessary to gain more insight into the influence of lesion
292	localization on motor imagery ability in TBI.
293	
294	Conclusions
295	
296	The present findings indicate that, while TBI patients may still perform motor imagery, our
297	cohort showed a decrease in the 3 motor imagery modalities, with a decrease of motor
298	imagery vividness, temporal congruence and accuracy. Further research is important to
299	evaluate if motor imagery training can improve the motor planning capacities of TBI patients
300	and thus enhance their functional recovery.

might help to improve the vividness of motor imagery and the internal representation of

301

281

13

303

- Decety J. The neurophysiological basis of motor imagery. Behav Brain Res 1996;77:45 52.
- Jackson PL, Lafleur MF, Malouin F, Richards C, Doyon J. Potential role of mental
   practice using motor imagery in neurologic rehabilitation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil
   2001;82:1133-1141.
- 309 3. Crosbie JH, McDonough SM, Gilmore DH, Wiggam MI. The adjunctive role of mental
- 310 practice in the rehabilitation of the upper limb after hemiplegic stroke: a pilot study.
- Clin Rehabil 2004;18:60-68.
- Dickstein R, Dunsky A, Marcovitz E. Motor imagery for gait rehabilitation in post stroke hemiparesis. Phys Ther 2004;84:1167-1177.
- 5. Dijkerman HC, Ietswaart M, Johnston M, Macwalter RS. Does motor imagery training
  improve hand function in chronic stroke patients? A pilot study. Clin Rehabil
  2004;18:538-549.
- Dunsky A, Dickstein R, Marcovitz E, Levy S, Deutsch JE. Home-based motor imagery
   training for gait rehabilitation of people with chronic poststroke hemiparesis. Arch Phys
   Med Rehabil 2008;89:1580-1588.
- 320 7. Malouin F, Richards CL. Mental practice for relearning locomotor skills. Phys Ther
  321 2010;90:240-251.
- Page SJ, Levine P, Leonard A. Mental practice in chronic stroke: results of a
   randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Stroke 2007;38:1293-1297.

- 324 9. Sharma N, Pomeroy VM, Baron JC. Motor imagery: a backdoor to the motor system
  325 after stroke? Stroke 2006;37:1941-1952.
- Hall C, Pongrac J, Buckholz E. The measurement of imagery ability. Hum Mov Sci
  1985;4:107-118.
- Hall C, Buckolz E, Fishburne G. Searching for a relationship between imagery ability
  and memory of movements. J Hum Mov Stud 1989;17:89-100.
- 330 12. Battaglia F, Quartarone A, Ghilardi MF, Dattola R, Bagnato S, Rizzo V, Morgante L,
- 331 Girlanda P. Unilateral cerebellar stroke disrupts movement preparation and motor
- imagery. Clin Neurophysiol 2006;117:1009-1016.
- 13. Craje C, van EM, Beeren M, van Schie HT, Bekkering H, Steenbergen B. Compromised
  motor planning and motor imagery in right hemiparetic cerebral palsy. Res Dev Disabil
  2010;31:1313-1322.
- 336 14. Dominey P, Decety J, Broussolle E, Chazot G, Jeannerod M. Motor imagery of a
  337 lateralized sequential task is asymmetrically slowed in hemi-Parkinson's patients.
- 338
   Neuropsychologia 1995;33:727-741.
- 339 15. Jenkinson PM, Edelstyn NM, Ellis SJ. Imagining the impossible: motor representations
  340 in anosognosia for hemiplegia. Neuropsychologia 2009;47:481-488.
- 341 16. Malouin F, Richards CL, Durand A, Doyon J. Clinical assessment of motor imagery
  342 after stroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2008;22:330-340.
- 343 17. Mutsaarts M, Steenbergen B, Bekkering H. Impaired motor imagery in right hemiparetic
  344 cerebral palsy. Neuropsychologia 2007;45:853-859.

345	18.	McAvinue LP, Robertson IH. Relationship between visual and motor imagery. Percept
346		Mot Skills 2007;104:823-843.

347	19.	Roberts R, Callow N, Hardy L, Markland D, Bringer J. Movement imagery ability:
348		Development and assessment of a revised version of the vividness of movement
349		imagery questionnaire. J Sport Exerc Psychol 2008;30:200-221.
350	20.	Gregg M, Hall C, Butler A. The MIQ-RS: A suitable option for examining movement
351		imagery ability. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2010;7:249-257.
352	21.	Malouin F, Richards CL, Jackson PL, Lafleur MF, Durand A, Doyon J. The Kinesthetic
353		and Visual Imagery Questionnaire (KVIQ) for assessing motor imagery in persons with
354		physical disabilities: a reliability and construct validity study. J Neurol Phys Ther
355		2007;31:20-29.
356	22.	McAvinue LP, Robertson IH. Measuring motor imagery ability: A review. J Cogn
357		Psychol 2008;20:232-251.
358	23.	Bakker M, De Lange FP, Stevens JA, Toni I, Bloem BR. Motor imagery of gait: a
359		quantitative approach. Exp Brain Res 2007;179:497-504.
360	24.	Decety J, Jeannerod M. Mentally simulated movements in virtual reality: does Fitts's
361		law hold in motor imagery? Behav Brain Res 1995;72:127-134.
362	25.	Malouin F, Richards CL, Durand A, Doyon J. Reliability of mental chronometry for
363		assessing motor imagery ability after stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008;89:311-319.

- 26. Buxbaum LJ, Johnson-Frey SH, Bartlett-Williams M. Deficient internal models for
- 365 planning hand-object interactions in apraxia. Neuropsychologia 2005;43:917-929.

366	27.	Parsons LM. Temporal and kinematic properties of motor behavior reflected in mentally
367		simulated action. J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform 1994;20:709-730.
368	28.	Maas AIR, Stocchetti N, Bullock R. Moderate and severe traumatic brain injury in
369		adults. Lancet Neurology 2008;7:728-741.
370	29.	Kimberley TJ, Khandekar G, Skraba LL, Spencer JA, Van Gorp EA, Walker SR. Neural
371		substrates for motor imagery in severe hemiparesis. Neurorehabil Neural Repair
372		2006;20:268-277.
373	30.	Caeyenberghs K, van RD, Swinnen SP, Smits-Engelsman BC. Deficits in executed and
374		imagined aiming performance in brain-injured children. Brain Cogn 2009;69:154-161.
375	31.	Johnson SH, Sprehn G, Saykin AJ. Intact motor imagery in chronic upper limb
376		hemiplegics: evidence for activity-independent action representations. J Cogn Neurosci
377		2002;14:841-852.
378	32.	Kortte KB, Wegener ST, Chwalisz K. Anosognosia and denial: Their relationship to
379		coping and depression in acquired brain injury. Rehabil Psychol 2003;48:131-136.
380	33.	Orfei MD, Robinson RG, Prigatano GP, Starkstein S, Rusch N, Bria P, Caltagirone C,
381		Spalletta G. Anosognosia for hemiplegia after stroke is a multifaceted phenomenon: a
382		systematic review of the literature. Brain 2007;130:3075-3090.
383		
384	34.	Vingerhoets G

- 386 Figure Legends
- 387
- 388 Figure 1. Stimulus of the walking trajectory test.
- 389 Figure 2. Performance of traumatic brain injury patients and control subjects on the Time
- 390 Dependent Motor Imagery Test.
- 391 Figure 3. Reaction times of different rotation angles for traumatic brain injury patients and
- 392 control subjects on the hand mental rotation task.

## Table 1 Participants' Characteristics

Characteristics	TBI patients	Control subjects
	(n = 20)	(n = 17)
Sex (men:women)	16:4	13:4
Age ( years)	31.2 ±12.3	32.1 ± 14.2
Education (years)	13.6 ±1.9	13.6 ± 2.4
Time since injury (months)	$15.9\pm9.5$	NA
Range	3 - 33	NA
Coma duration (days)	18.8 ±13.3	NA
Range	2 - 49	NA
PTA duration ( weeks)	$6.3\pm2.9$	NA
Range	2-12	NA
Hemiplegia	9	NA
Right	4	NA
Left	5	NA

\* TBI : traumatic brain injury ; † PTA : posttraumatic amnesia

TBI patient	Lesion localization
1	DAI
2	bifrontal contusion-DAI
3	bifrontal contusion-right temporal contusion - DAI
4	right frontal – temporo-occipital contusion
5	bifrontal – bitemporal contusion
6	bifrontal – right cerebellar contusion
7	left temporal contusion-DAI
8	right temporal contusion-DAI
9	left temporoparietal contusion
10	right temporal contusion
11	right frontal contusion
12	frontotemporal contusion- cerebellar contusion
13	right frontoparietotemporal contusion – DAI
14	brainstem contusion
15	DAI
16	right frontoparietotemporal contusion
17	bifrontal contusion – DAI
18	right frontal contusion – DAI
19	unknown
20	bitemporal contusion– DAI

 Table 2 Description of Brain Injury Localization

\* TBI : traumatic brain injury ; † DAI : diffuse axonal injury