

## Biosurfactants: potential applications in medicine

Lígia Rodrigues<sup>1\*</sup>, Ibrahim M. Banat<sup>2</sup>, José Teixeira<sup>1</sup> and Rosário Oliveira<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Engenharia Biológica, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal;

<sup>2</sup>School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Ulster, Coleraine BT52 1SA, Northern Ireland, UK

**The use and potential commercial application of biosurfactants in the medical field has increased during the past decade. Their antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activities make them relevant molecules for applications in combating many diseases and as therapeutic agents. In addition, their role as anti-adhesive agents against several pathogens indicates their utility as suitable anti-adhesive coating agents for medical insertional materials leading to a reduction in a large number of hospital infections without the use of synthetic drugs and chemicals. This review looks at medicinal and therapeutic perspectives on biosurfactant applications.**

Keywords: antimicrobial activities, antiviral activities, anti-adhesive coatings, therapeutic agents, anti-carcinogenic agents

### Introduction

Microbial compounds that exhibit pronounced surface and emulsifying activities are classified as biosurfactants. Biosurfactants comprise a wide range of chemical structures, such as glycolipids, lipopeptides, polysaccharide-protein complexes, phospholipids, fatty acids and neutral lipids.<sup>1-5</sup> For instance, Cooper and Goldenberg<sup>6</sup> described different bioemulsifiers produced by two *Bacillus* species in water-soluble substrates with distinct emulsifying and surface activities. It is, therefore, reasonable to expect diverse properties and physiological functions for different groups of biosurfactants. Moreover, these molecules can be tailor-made to suit different applications by changing the growth substrate or growth conditions.<sup>7</sup> Although most biosurfactants are considered to be secondary metabolites, some may play essential roles for the survival of biosurfactant-producing microorganisms through facilitating nutrient transport or microbe-host interactions or by acting as biocide agents. Biosurfactant roles include increasing the surface area and bioavailability of hydrophobic water-insoluble substrates, heavy metal binding, bacterial pathogenesis, quorum sensing and biofilm formation.<sup>8</sup> Biosurfactants are amphiphilic molecules with both hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties that partition preferentially at the interface between fluid phases that have different degrees of polarity and hydrogen bonding, such as oil and water or air and water interfaces. This property explains their broad use in environmental applications.<sup>9-11</sup> Most work on biosurfactant applications has been focused on their use in environmental applications owing to their diversity, environmentally friendly nature, suitab-

ility for large-scale production and selectivity.<sup>12</sup> Despite their potential and biological origin only a few studies have been carried out on applications related to the biomedical field.<sup>13-15</sup> Some biosurfactants are suitable alternatives to synthetic medicines and antimicrobial agents and may be used as safe and effective therapeutic agents (Table 1).

Microbial surfactants have several advantages over chemical surfactants such as lower toxicity, higher biodegradability and effectiveness at extreme temperatures or pH values.<sup>16,17</sup> Many of the potential applications that have been considered for biosurfactants depend on whether they can be produced economically; however, much effort in process optimization and at the engineering and biological levels has been carried out. Biosurfactant production from inexpensive waste substrates, which decreases their production cost,<sup>15,18</sup> has been reported. In addition, legal aspects such as stricter regulations concerning environmental pollution by industrial activities and health regulations will also strongly influence the chances of biodegradable biosurfactants replacing their chemical counterparts.<sup>7</sup>

This review aims to cover the applications of various biosurfactants in the medical field and also to provide an overview of biosurfactant activities and mechanisms of interaction that could be exploited further in developing alternative drugs, lines of therapy or biomaterials.

### Biosurfactants: mechanisms of interaction

Biosurfactants are microbial amphiphilic polymers and polyphilic polymers that tend to interact with the phase boundary between

\*Corresponding author. Tel: +351-253-604400; Fax: +351-253-678986; E-mail: [lrmr@deb.uminho.pt](mailto:lrmr@deb.uminho.pt)

## Review

**Table 1.** Examples of biosurfactant applications in the medical field

Microorganism	Biosurfactant type	Activity/application	Reference(s)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	rhamnolipid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● antimicrobial activity against <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i></li> <li>● anti-adhesive activity against several bacterial and yeast strains isolated from voice prostheses</li> </ul>	51, 63, 76, 77
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	surfactin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● antimicrobial and antifungal activities</li> <li>● inhibition of fibrin clot formation</li> <li>● haemolysis and formation of ion channels in lipid membranes</li> <li>● antitumour activity against Ehrlich's ascite carcinoma cells</li> <li>● antiviral activity against human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1)</li> <li>● antiviral activity against herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1)</li> </ul>	31, 33, 83–86
<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	pumilacidin (surfactin analogue)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● inhibitory activity against H<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase and protection against gastric ulcers <i>in vivo</i></li> </ul>	53
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	iturin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● antimicrobial activity and antifungal activity against profound mycosis</li> <li>● effect on the morphology and membrane structure of yeast cells</li> <li>● increase in the electrical conductance of biomolecular lipid membranes</li> <li>● non-toxic and non-pyrogenic immunological adjuvant</li> </ul>	5, 27–29, 88
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	lichenysin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● antibacterial activity</li> <li>● chelating properties that might explain the membrane-disrupting effect of lipopeptides</li> </ul>	78–81
<i>Candida antarctica</i>	mannosylerythritol lipids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● antimicrobial, immunological and neurological properties</li> <li>● induction of cell differentiation in the human promyelocytic leukemia cell line HL60</li> <li>● induction of neuronal differentiation in PC12 cells</li> </ul>	37–43
<i>Rhodococcus erythropolis</i> <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	trehalose lipid glycolipid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● antiviral activity against HSV and influenza virus</li> <li>● anti-adhesive activity against several bacterial and yeast strains isolated from voice prostheses</li> </ul>	89, 90 26, 55, 74, 95, 96
<i>Streptococcus mitis</i> <i>Lactobacillus</i>	not identified surlactin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● anti-adhesive activity against <i>Streptococcus mutans</i></li> <li>● anti-adhesive activity against several pathogens including enteric bacteria</li> </ul>	24, 25 62, 97–100
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>	not identified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● anti-adhesive activity against several bacterial and yeast strains isolated from voice prostheses</li> </ul>	55, 73

two phases in a heterogeneous system, defined as the interface. For all interfacial systems, it is known that organic molecules from the aqueous phase tend to immobilize at the solid interface. There they eventually form a film known as a conditioning film, which will change the properties (wettability and surface energy) of the original surface.<sup>19</sup> In an analogy to organic conditioning films, biosurfactants may interact with the interfaces and affect the adhesion and detachment of bacteria. In addition, the substratum surface properties determine the composition and orientation of the molecules conditioning the surface during the first hour of exposure. After about 4 h, a certain degree of uniformity is reached and the composition of the adsorbed material becomes substratum independent.<sup>20</sup>

Owing to the amphiphilic nature of biosurfactants, not only hydrophobic but a range of interactions are involved in the possible adsorption of charged biosurfactants to interfaces. Most natural interfaces have an overall negative or, rarely, positive charge. Thus, the ionic conditions and the pH are important parameters if interactions of ionic biosurfactants with interfaces are to be investigated.<sup>21</sup> Gottenbos *et al.*<sup>22</sup> demonstrated that

positively charged biomaterial surfaces exert an antimicrobial effect on adhering Gram-negative bacteria, but not on Gram-positive bacteria. In addition, the molecular structure of a surfactant will influence its behaviour at interfaces. In describing the surface-active approach, an effort is made to elaborate on the possible theoretical locations and orientations of the biosurfactants. Nevertheless, it must be kept in mind that the situation in natural systems is far more complex and requires the consideration of many additional parameters.

### Biological activity of biosurfactants

As described above, a broad range of chemical structures, such as glycolipids, lipopeptides, polysaccharide–protein complexes, phospholipids, fatty acids and neutral lipids, have been attributed to biosurfactants.<sup>1,2,4,5</sup> Some of these biosurfactants were described for their potential to act as biologically active compounds and applicability in the medical field.

### Lipopeptides

Among the several categories of biosurfactants, lipopeptides are particularly interesting because of their high surface activities and antibiotic potential. Lipopeptides can act as antibiotics, antiviral and antitumour agents, immunomodulators or specific toxins and enzyme inhibitors. Ahimou *et al.*<sup>5</sup> reported that lipopeptide profile and bacterial hydrophobicity vary greatly with the strains, iturin A being the only lipopeptide type produced by all *Bacillus subtilis* strains. Surfactin was found to be more efficient than iturin A in modifying the *B. subtilis* surface hydrophobic character. This aspect appears essential, in association with the antifungal properties of lipopeptides involved, in the biological control of plant diseases. Morikawa *et al.*<sup>1</sup> identified and characterized a biosurfactant, arthrfactin, produced by *Arthrobacter* species, which was found to be seven times more effective than surfactin.

**Iturin biosurfactants.** Produced by the strains of *B. subtilis*, iturin A is a potent antifungal lipopeptide with many properties, of which antimicrobial activity was the first reported.<sup>5,27</sup> Iturin A's mechanism of action is related to the disruption of the plasma membrane by the formation of small vesicles and the aggregation of intramembranous particles in yeast cells. Moreover, it also significantly increases the electrical conductance of biomolecular lipid membranes.<sup>28</sup> Iturin A has been proposed as an effective antifungal agent for profound mycosis.<sup>29</sup> Other members of the iturin group, including bacillomycin D and bacillomycin Lc, were also found to have antimicrobial activity against *Aspergillus flavus*, but the different lipid chain length apparently affected the activity of the lipopeptide against other fungi.<sup>30</sup> Thus, the members of the iturin-like biosurfactant group have the potential to be used as alternative potent antifungal agents.

**Surfactin biosurfactants.** Surfactin, a cyclic lipopeptide, is also produced by *B. subtilis* strains and has well-known antimicrobial properties.<sup>5</sup> It has been reported to interact with artificial and biomembrane systems, for example bacterial protoplasts and enveloped viruses.<sup>31</sup> There are three different types of surfactins, A, B and C, which are classified according to the differences in their amino acid sequences.

In addition to antifungal and antibacterial properties, surfactin has also been related to several biological activities, namely the inhibition of fibrin clot formation, the induction of ion channel formation in lipid bilayer membranes, the inhibition of cyclic adenosine monophosphate, the inhibition of platelet and spleen cytosolic phospholipase A2 (PLA2), and antiviral and antitumour activities.<sup>32</sup> Kim *et al.*<sup>32</sup> demonstrated that surfactin is a selective inhibitor for cytosolic PLA2 and a putative anti-inflammatory agent through the inhibitory effect produced by direct interaction with cytosolic PLA2, and that inhibition of cytosolic PLA2 activity may suppress inflammatory responses. Vollenbroich *et al.*<sup>31</sup> showed that surfactin treatment improved proliferation rates and led to changes in the morphology of mammalian cells that had been contaminated with mycoplasma. In addition, the low cytotoxicity of surfactin to mammalian cells permitted specific inactivation of mycoplasmas without significant damaging effects on cell metabolism and the proliferation rate of cells in culture. In another study, the same authors<sup>33</sup> showed that surfactin is active against several viruses, including Semliki Forest virus, herpes simplex virus (HSV), suid herpes virus, vesicular stomatitis

virus, simian immunodeficiency virus, feline calicivirus and murine encephalomyocarditis virus. The inactivation of enveloped viruses, especially herpesviruses and retroviruses, was significantly more efficient than that of non-enveloped viruses, suggesting that the antiviral action of surfactin is primarily due to a physicochemical interaction between the membrane-active surfactant and the outer part of the virus lipid membrane bilayer, which causes permeability changes and at higher concentrations leads finally to the disintegration of the mycoplasma membrane system by a detergent effect.

Surfactin C was found to enhance the activation of prourokinase (plasminogen activator) and the conformational change in plasminogen, leading to increased fibrinolysis *in vitro* and *in vivo*.<sup>34</sup> The plasminogen-plasmin system is involved in blood clot dissolution as well as in a variety of physiological and pathological processes requiring localized proteolysis. In a rat pulmonary embolism model, surfactin C increased plasma clot lysis when injected in combination with prourokinase.<sup>35</sup> These results point to the potential use of surfactin in thrombolytic therapy related to pulmonary, myocardial and cerebral disorders.

Various nosocomial infections such as those related to the use of central venous catheters, urinary catheters, prosthetic heart valves, voice prostheses and orthopaedic devices are clearly associated with biofilms that adhere to the biomaterial surface. These infections share common characteristics even though the microbial causes and host sites vary greatly. The most important of these characteristics is that bacteria in biofilms evade host defences and withstand antimicrobial chemotherapy. As antimicrobial resistance is nowadays a growing source of concern in modern medicine, genetic engineering of the known biosurfactant molecules is a key factor for the development of alternative prophylactic and therapeutic agents. Symmank *et al.*<sup>36</sup> produced a novel lipohexapeptide with altered antimicrobial activities by genetic engineering of the surfactin biosynthesis mechanism. Reduced detectable haemolytic activity concomitant with an increase in growth inhibition of bacterial cells, including *Bacillus licheniformis*, was observed. Thus, similar surfactin derivatives may exhibit reduced toxicity against eukaryotic cells, which could improve their therapeutic applications.

### Glycolipids

Glycolipids are the most common class of biosurfactants, of which the most effective from the point of view of surface-active properties are the trehalose lipids obtained from *Mycobacterium* and related bacteria, the rhamnolipids obtained from *Pseudomonas* species and the sophorolipids obtained from yeasts. Otto *et al.*<sup>18</sup> described the production of sophorose lipids (SLs) using deproteinized whey concentrate as the substrate by a two-stage process. Several antimicrobial, immunological and neurological properties have been attributed to mannosylerythritol lipid (MEL), a yeast glycolipid biosurfactant produced from vegetable oils by *Candida* strains. Kitamoto *et al.*<sup>37</sup> showed that MEL exhibits antimicrobial activity, particularly against Gram-positive bacteria. Isoda *et al.*<sup>38</sup> investigated the biological activities of seven extracellular microbial glycolipids, including MEL-A, MEL-B, polyol lipid, rhamnolipid, SL and succinoyl-trehalose lipids STL-1 and STL-3. Except for rhamnolipid, all the other glycolipids tested induced cell differentiation instead of cell proliferation in the human promyelocytic leukaemia cell line HL60. STL and MEL differentiation-inducing activity was

attributed to a specific interaction with the plasma membrane instead of a simple detergent-like effect.

In addition, the effects of several kinds of microbial extracellular glycolipids on neurite initiation in PC12 cells were investigated.<sup>39</sup> The PC12 cell line, derived from a rat pheochromocytoma, provides a relatively simple, and homogeneous, system for studying various aspects of neuronal differentiation, because PC12 cells can survive and proliferate without requiring the presence of neurotrophic factors. A significant neurite outgrowth was observed as a consequence of the addition of MEL-A, MEL-B and SL to PC12 cells. MEL-A increased acetylcholinesterase activity to an extent similar to nerve growth factor (NGF). MEL-A induced neurite outgrowth after treatment of PC12 cells with an anti-NGF receptor antibody that obstructed the NGF action. It was shown that MEL-A and NGF induce differentiation of PC12 cells through different mechanisms. Moreover, MEL was found to induce the outgrowth of neurites, enhance the activity of acetylcholinesterase and increase the levels of galactosylceramide from PC12 pheochromocytoma cells.<sup>40</sup>

Glycolipids have also been implicated in growth arrest, apoptosis and the differentiation of mouse malignant melanoma cells.<sup>41,42</sup> Exposure of B16 cells to MEL resulted in the condensation of the chromatin, DNA fragmentation and sub-G1 arrest (the sequence of events of apoptosis). In addition MEL was also reported to markedly inhibit the growth of mouse melanoma B16 cells in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, MEL exposure stimulated the expression of differentiation markers of melanoma cells, such as tyrosinase activity and the enhanced production of melanin, which is an indication that MEL triggered both apoptotic and cell differentiation mechanisms. In addition, exposure of PC12 cells to MEL enhanced the activity of acetylcholinesterase and interrupted the cell cycle at the G<sub>1</sub> phase, with resulting outgrowth of neurites and partial cellular differentiation.<sup>43</sup> MEL has been implicated in the induction of neuronal differentiation in PC12 cells and therefore provides the basis for the use of glycolipids as therapeutic agents for treatment of cancer cells. Nevertheless, further studies on the molecular basis of the signalling cascade that follows exposure of PC12 cells to MEL may ultimately lead to a better understanding of the processes that result in the outgrowth of neurites and the commitment to differentiation of PC12 cells.

In other studies four analogues of STL-3 at their critical micelle concentration were evaluated for their ability to inhibit growth and induce differentiation of HL60 human promyelocytic leukaemia cells.<sup>44</sup> It was found that the effect of STL-3 and its analogues on HL60 cells was dependent on the hydrophobic moiety of STL-3. Furthermore, a high binding affinity of MEL towards human immunoglobulin G (HIgG) was shown by Im *et al.*<sup>45</sup> They suggested the possibility of using MEL-A as an alternative ligand for immunoglobulins. In subsequent studies they evaluated MEL-A, MEL-B and MEL-C attached to PHEMA beads [where PHEMA stands for poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)] for their binding affinity to HIgG.<sup>46</sup> Of these three composite compounds, those bearing MEL-A exhibited the highest binding capacity for HIgG. More significantly, the bound HIgG was efficiently recovered (~90%) under significantly mild elution conditions, with phosphate buffer at pH 7, indicating a great potential of the glycolipids as an affinity ligand material. Inoh *et al.*<sup>47,48</sup> reported that MEL-A significantly increased the efficiency of gene transfection mediated by cationic liposomes with a cationic cholesterol derivative. Among the cationic liposomes tested, the liposomes bearing cholesteryl-3 $\beta$ -carboxyaminodoethylene-*N*-hydroxyethylamine and MEL-A showed the best efficiency for delivery of plasmids encoding luciferase (pGL3) into the target cells (NIH3T3, COS-7 and HeLa). The properties, production and applications of MEL were widely studied by Kitamoto *et al.*,<sup>49</sup> particularly the exceptional interfacial properties and differentiation-inducing activities of MEL. They also focused on the excellent biological and self-assembling actions of MEL and examined the effect of MEL-A on gene transfection using cationic liposomes.

*Other biosurfactants with biological activity*

#### *Other biosurfactants with biological activity*

Nielsen *et al.*<sup>50</sup> reported viscosinamide, a cyclic depsipeptide, to be a new antifungal surface-active agent produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, with different properties compared with the biosurfactant viscosin, known to be produced from the same species and shown to have antibiotic activity.<sup>23</sup> Massetolides A–H, also cyclic depsipeptides, were isolated from the *Pseudomonas* species, derived from a marine habitat, and found to exhibit *in vitro* antimicrobial activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare*.<sup>51</sup>

Precursors and degeneration products of sphingolipid biosurfactants were found to inhibit the interaction of *Streptococcus mitis* with buccal epithelial cells and of *Staphylococcus aureus* with nasal mucosal cells.<sup>52</sup> Gram-positive *Bacillus pumilus* cells were found to produce pumilacidin A, B, C, D, E, F and G, which exhibited antiviral activity against HSV-1 and inhibitory activity against H<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, and were found to be protective against gastric ulcers,<sup>53</sup> probably through the inhibition of microbial activity contributing to these ulcers.

#### **Antimicrobial activity of biosurfactants**

The antimicrobial activity of several biosurfactants has been reported in the literature for many different applications.<sup>54</sup> For instance, the antimicrobial activity of two biosurfactants obtained from probiotic bacteria, *Lactococcus lactis* 53 and *Streptococcus thermophilus* A, against a variety of bacterial and yeast strains isolated from explanted voice prostheses was evaluated, as shown in Table 2.<sup>55</sup> We found that both biosurfactants have a high antimicrobial activity even at low concentrations against *Candida tropicalis* GB 9/9, one of the strains held responsible for prostheses failure. At the highest concentration tested both biosurfactants were active against all the bacterial and yeast strains studied. In another study, Reid *et al.*<sup>56,57</sup> emphasized a possible probiotic role for the biosurfactant-producing lactobacilli in the restoration and maintenance of healthy urogenital and intestinal tracts, conferring protection against pathogens, and suggested a reliable alternative treatment and preventive regimen to antibiotics in the future. The first clinical evidence that probiotic lactobacilli can be delivered to the vagina following oral intake was provided by Reid *et al.*<sup>57</sup> and, although only a limited set of strains have any proven clinical effect or scientific basis, there are sufficient data to suggest that this approach could provide a valuable alternative to antibiotic prophylaxis and treatment of infection. By the use of a rat model of surgical implant infection, Gan *et al.*<sup>58</sup> determined that the probiotic strain, *Lactobacillus fermentum* RC-14, and its secreted biosurfactant reduced infections associated with surgical implants, which are mainly caused by *S. aureus* through inhibition of growth and reduction

## Review

**Table 2.** Antimicrobial activity of biosurfactants (at different concentrations) against several bacterial and yeast strains isolated from explanted voice prostheses

Microorganism	Biosurfactant obtained from <i>L. lactis</i> 53				
	5 mg/mL	10 mg/mL	25 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	100 mg/mL
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> GB 9/6	±	±	+	+	+
<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> GB 24/9	–	–	±	±	+
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> GB 2/1	±	±	±	+	+
<i>Rothia dentocariosa</i> GBJ 52/2B	–	–	±	±	±
<i>Candida albicans</i> GBJ 13/4A	–	±	±	+	+
	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Candida tropicalis</i> GB 9/9					
Microorganism	Biosurfactant obtained from <i>S. thermophilus</i> A				
	3 mg/mL	5 mg/mL	10 mg/mL	50 mg/mL	100 mg/mL
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> GB 9/6	±	±	±	+	+
<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> GB 24/9	–	–	±	±	+
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> GB 2/1	–	–	±	±	+
<i>Rothia dentocariosa</i> GBJ 52/2B	–	–	±	±	±
<i>Candida albicans</i> GBJ 13/4A	–	–	±	+	+
<i>Candida tropicalis</i> GB 9/9	+	+	+	+	+

The experiments were scored as positive (+) when growth inhibition was observed (no colonies formed); a ± sign indicates that some colonies formed within the zones; and no growth inhibition was marked as negative (–). For details see Rodrigues *et al.*<sup>55</sup>

of adherence to surgical implants. A recent *in vitro* study of *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG showed that these probiotic strains could inhibit the adhesion of *Escherichia coli* to intestinal epithelial cells by stimulating epithelial expression of mucins.<sup>59</sup> These strains, however, were also found to be biosurfactant producers.<sup>60</sup> These observations generally indicated that biosurfactants might also contain signaling factors that interact with the host and/or bacterial cells, leading to the inhibition of infections. Moreover, they support the assertion of a possible role in preventing microbial adhesion<sup>61,62</sup> and their potential in developing anti-adhesion biological coatings for implant materials.<sup>63</sup>

Antibacterial and antiphytoviral effects of various rhamnolipids have been described in the literature.<sup>13,64</sup> Seven different rhamnolipids were identified in cultures of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AT10 from soybean oil refinery wastes and these showed excellent antifungal properties against various fungi.<sup>65</sup> Golubev *et al.*<sup>66</sup> reported the production of an extracellular, low molecular weight, protease-resistant thermostable glycolipid fungicide from the yeast *Pseudozyma fusiformata* (*Ustilaginales*). This fungicide was active against >80% of the 280 yeast and yeast-like species tested under acidic conditions (pH 4.0) at 20–30°C.<sup>67</sup> The purified glycolipids enhanced non-specific permeability of the cytoplasmic membrane in sensitive cells, which resulted in ATP leakage.

### Anti-adhesive activity of biosurfactants

Biosurfactants have been found to inhibit the adhesion of pathogenic organisms to solid surfaces or to infection sites; thus, prior adhesion of biosurfactants to solid surfaces might constitute a

new and effective means of combating colonization by pathogenic microorganisms.<sup>8</sup> Pre-coating vinyl urethral catheters by running the surfactin solution through them before inoculation with media resulted in a decrease in the amount of biofilm formed by *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Salmonella enterica*, *E. coli* and *Proteus mirabilis*.<sup>68</sup> Given the importance of opportunistic infections with *Salmonella* species, including urinary tract infections of AIDS patients, these results have great potential for practical applications. In addition, the use of lactobacilli as a probiotic for the prevention of urogenital infections has been widely studied. The role of *Lactobacillus* species in the female urogenital tract as a barrier to infection is of considerable interest.<sup>69</sup> These organisms are believed to contribute to the control of vaginal microbiota by competing with other microorganisms for adherence to epithelial cells and by producing biosurfactants. There are reports of inhibition of biofilm formation by uropathogens and yeast on silicone rubber with biosurfactants produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*.<sup>70,71</sup> Heinemann *et al.*<sup>72</sup> showed that *L. fermentum* RC-14 releases surface-active components that can inhibit adhesion of uropathogenic bacteria, including *Enterococcus faecalis*. Efforts in the development of strategies to prevent the microbial colonization of silicone rubber voice prostheses have been reported by Rodrigues *et al.*<sup>73,74</sup> The ability of biosurfactants obtained from the probiotic strains, *L. lactis* 53 and *S. thermophilus* A, to inhibit adhesion of four bacterial and two yeast strains isolated from explanted voice prostheses to pre-coated silicone rubber was evaluated. The results obtained showed that the biosurfactants were effective in decreasing the initial deposition rates, as well as the number of bacterial cells adhering after 4 h, for all microorganisms tested. Over 90% reductions in the initial deposition rates were achieved for most of the bacterial strains tested. The biosurfactant

**Table 3.** Desorption percentages of microorganisms isolated from explanted voice prostheses adhered to silicone rubber as a result of rhamnolipid perfusion through the parallel-plate flow chamber with and without a following passage of a liquid–air interface

Microorganism	Desorption percentages (%)	
	rinsing with rhamnolipid solution	passage air–liquid interface
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> GB 9/6	80.2	89.5
<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> GB 24/9	87.3	98.7
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> GB 2/1	21.0	67.4
<i>Rothia dentocariosa</i> GBJ 52/2B	63.3	98.9
<i>Candida albicans</i> GBJ 13/4A	81.8	95.5
<i>Candida tropicalis</i> GB 9/9	74.2	95.5

Results are averages of duplicate experiments varying within 10–15%. For details see Rodrigues *et al.*<sup>63</sup>

obtained from *S. thermophilus* A was more effective against *Rothia dentocariosa* GBJ 52/2B, which is one of the strains responsible for valve prosthesis failure. The initial deposition rates of the yeast strains were far less reduced in the presence of the biosurfactant than the other tested strains. Recently the authors also demonstrated that when rinsing flow chambers, designed to monitor microbial adhesion, with a rhamnolipid biosurfactant-containing solution the rate of deposition and adhesion was significantly reduced for a variety of bacterial and yeast strains isolated from explanted voice prostheses to silicone rubber, as shown in Table 3.<sup>63</sup> Therefore, we believe that this rhamnolipid may be useful as a biodegreaser solution for prostheses cleaning, prolonging their lifetime and directly benefiting laryngotomized patients.

The role of surfactants in defence against infection and inflammation in the human body is a well-known phenomenon. The pulmonary surfactant is a lipoprotein complex synthesized and secreted by the epithelial lung cells into the extracellular space, where it lowers the surface tension at the air–liquid interface of the lung and represents a key factor against infections and inflammatory lung diseases.<sup>75</sup>

### Biomedical and therapeutic applications of biosurfactants

Some biosurfactants are a suitable alternative to synthetic medicines and antimicrobial agents and may be used as safe and effective therapeutic agents.<sup>8,12</sup> There has been increasing interest in the effect of biosurfactants on human and animal cells and cell lines.

MELs produced by *Candida antartica*,<sup>37</sup> rhamnolipids produced by *P. aeruginosa*<sup>76,77</sup> and lipopeptides produced by *B. subtilis*<sup>31</sup> and *B. licheniformis*<sup>7,78–80</sup> have been shown to have antimicrobial activities. Jenny *et al.*<sup>78</sup> determined the structure and characterized surface activities of biosurfactants produced by *B. licheniformis*, while Lin *et al.*<sup>79</sup> described their continuous production. Yakimov *et al.*<sup>80</sup> demonstrated the antibacterial activity of lichenysin A, a biosurfactant produced by *B. licheniformis*

that compares favourably with other surfactants. More recently, Grangemard *et al.*<sup>81</sup> reported the chelating properties of lichenysin, which might explain the membrane-disrupting effect of lipopeptides. In another study, Carrillo *et al.*<sup>82</sup> noted a molecular mechanism of membrane permeabilization by surfactin, which may explain surfactin-induced pore formation underlying the antibiotic and haemolytic action of these lipopeptides. This study also suggested that the membrane barrier properties are likely to be damaged in the areas where surfactin oligomers interact with the phospholipids, at concentrations much below the onset for solubilization. Such properties can cause structural fluctuations that may well be the primary mode of the antibiotic action of this lipopeptide. Surfactin-type peptides that can rapidly act on membrane integrity rather than other vital cellular processes may perhaps constitute the next generation of antibiotics. Lipopeptide surfactin was also reported to have an antitumour activity against Ehrlich's ascite carcinoma cells<sup>83</sup> and an antifungal activity as well as various pharmacological applications such as inhibiting fibrin clot formation and haemolysis<sup>84</sup> and formation of membrane ion channels.<sup>85</sup> In addition, surfactin and surfactin analogues have been reported as antiviral agents: a significant inhibitory effect of pumilacidin on HSV-1 was demonstrated<sup>53</sup> as well as an inhibitory activity against H<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase and protection against gastric ulcers *in vivo*. The potential of surfactin against human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) was reported by Itokawa *et al.*<sup>86</sup> The antiviral action of surfactin was suggested to be due to physicochemical interactions between the membrane-active surfactant and the virus lipid membrane.<sup>33</sup>

Another lipopeptide, iturin, produced by *B. subtilis* was reported to have antifungal properties,<sup>28</sup> affecting the morphology and membrane structure of yeast cells. Iturin was shown to pass through the cell wall and disrupt the plasma membrane with the formation of small vesicles and the aggregation of intramembranous particles. Iturin also passes through the plasma membrane and interacts with the nuclear membrane and probably with membranes of other cytoplasmic organelles.

Possible applications of biosurfactants as emulsifying agents for drug transport to the infection site, as agents supplementing the pulmonary surfactant and as adjuvants for vaccines were suggested by Kosaric.<sup>87</sup>

Mittenbuhler *et al.*<sup>88</sup> showed that bacterial lipopeptides constitute potent non-toxic and non-pyrogenic immunological adjuvants when mixed with conventional antigens. A marked enhancement of the humoral immune response was obtained with the low molecular mass antigens iturin AL, herbicolin A and microcystin (MLR) coupled to poly-L-lysine (MLR–PLL) in rabbits and in chickens. Conjugates of lipopeptide–Th-cell epitopes also constituted effective adjuvants for the *in vitro* immunization of either human mononuclear cells or mouse B cells with MLR–PLL and resulted in a significantly increased yield of antibody-secreting hybridomas.

The biological activities of MELs obtained from *C. antartica* were investigated by Isoda *et al.*<sup>38</sup> and an induction of cell differentiation in the human promyelocytic leukaemia cell line HL60 was reported. These glycolipids induced the human myelogenous leukaemia cell line K562 and the human basophilic leukaemia cell line Ku812 to differentiate into monocytes, granulocytes and megakaryocytes. The succinoyl-trehalose lipid produced by *Rhodococcus erythropolis* has also been reported to inhibit HSV and influenza virus.<sup>89,90</sup> The deficiency of pulmonary surfactant described earlier which is responsible for

## Review

respiration failure in premature infants<sup>75</sup> may be corrected through the isolation of genes for protein molecules of this surfactant and cloning in bacteria for possible fermentative production and use in medical application.<sup>76</sup> Sano *et al.*<sup>91</sup> demonstrated the different actions of the pulmonary surfactant protein A upon distinct serotypes of lipopolysaccharide, which is the major constituent of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria.

Although there is an increasing potential for the application of biosurfactants in the biomedical field, some of these molecules may pose a risk for humans. For instance, *P. aeruginosa* is a bacterium responsible for severe nosocomial infections, life-threatening infections in immunocompromised persons and chronic infections in cystic fibrosis patients; thus, rhamnolipids have to be well investigated prior to such uses. The virulence of a *P. aeruginosa* strain depends on a large number of cell-associated and extracellular factors.<sup>92–94</sup> Cell-to-cell signalling systems control the expression and allow a coordinated, cell-density-dependent production of many extracellular virulence factors. The possible role of cell-to-cell signalling in the pathogenesis of *P. aeruginosa* infections and a rationale for targeting cell-to-cell signalling systems in the development of new therapeutic approaches were discussed by Van Delden and Iglewski.<sup>92</sup> Synthesis of rhamnolipids is regulated by a very complex genetic regulatory system that also controls different *P. aeruginosa* virulence-associated traits.<sup>77</sup> The cosmetic and healthcare industries use large amounts of surfactants for a wide variety of products, including insect repellents, antacids, acne pads, contact lens solutions, hair colour and care products, deodorants, nail care products, lipstick, eye shadow, mascara, toothpaste, denture cleaners, lubricated condoms, baby products, foot care products, antiseptics and shaving and depilatory products.<sup>16</sup> Biosurfactants are known to have advantages over synthetic surfactants such as low irritancy or anti-irritating effects and compatibility with skin. Rhamnolipids in particular are being used as cosmetic additives and have been patented to make some liposomes and emulsions,<sup>93,94</sup> both of which are important in the cosmetic industry.

Another approach to the use of biosurfactants in biomedical applications is the development of suitable anti-adhesion biological coatings for implant materials. Dairy *S. thermophilus* strains produced a biosurfactant which caused its own desorption from glass, leaving a completely non-adhesive coating.<sup>26</sup> Busscher *et al.*<sup>95,96</sup> also showed that biosurfactant release by *S. thermophilus* inhibited adhesion on to silicone rubber and growth of several bacterial and yeast strains isolated from explanted voice prostheses. Rodrigues *et al.*,<sup>73</sup> using an artificial throat model, showed that biosurfactants obtained from probiotic strains greatly reduced microbial numbers on voice prostheses and also induced a decrease in the airflow resistance of voice prostheses after biofilm formation, which may constitute a mechanism by which the lifetime of indwelling silicone rubber voice prostheses can be prolonged. A role for biosurfactants as defence weapons in post-adhesion competition with other strains or species has to date been suggested only for biosurfactants released by *S. mitis* strains against *Streptococcus mutans* adhesion<sup>24,25</sup> and for biosurfactants released by lactobacilli against adhesion of uropathogens.<sup>97,98</sup> The biosurfactant surlactin,<sup>99</sup> produced by several *Lactobacillus* isolates, was suggested as a suitable anti-adhesive coating for catheter materials. Velraeds *et al.*<sup>100</sup> also reported on the inhibition of adhesion of pathogenic enteric bacteria by a biosurfactant produced by a *Lactobacillus* strain and later showed

that the biosurfactant caused an important dose-related inhibition of the initial deposition rate of *E. coli* and other bacteria adherent on both hydrophobic and hydrophilic substrata.<sup>62</sup>

## Conclusions

A host of interesting features of biosurfactants have led to a wide range of potential applications in the medical field. They are useful as antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral agents, and they also have the potential for use as major immunomodulatory molecules and adhesive agents and in vaccines and gene therapy. Biosurfactants have been used for gene transfection, as ligands for binding immunoglobulins, as adjuvants for antigens and also as inhibitors for fibrin clot formation and activators of fibrin clot lysis. Promising alternatives to produce potent biosurfactants with altered antimicrobial profiles and decreased toxicity against mammalian cells may be exploited by genetic alteration of biosurfactants. Furthermore, biosurfactants have the potential to be used as anti-adhesive biological coatings for medical insertional materials, thus reducing hospital infections and use of synthetic drugs and chemicals. They may also be incorporated into probiotic preparations to combat urogenital tract infections and pulmonary immunotherapy.

In spite of the immense potential of biosurfactants in this field, their use still remains limited, possibly due to their high production and extraction cost and lack of information on their toxicity towards human systems. Further investigations on human cells and natural microbiota are needed to validate the use of biosurfactants in several biomedical and health-related areas. Nevertheless, there appears to be great potential for their use in the medical science arena waiting to be fully exploited.

## Acknowledgements

The F.C.T. (Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia) provided financial support for L. R. through post-doctoral research grant SFRH/BPD/26064/2005.

## Transparency declarations

No declarations were made by the authors of this paper.

## References

1. Morikawa M, Daido H, Takao T *et al.* A new lipopeptide biosurfactant produced by *Arthrobacter* sp. strain MIS38. *J Bacteriol* 1993; **175**: 6459–66.
2. Lin S. Biosurfactants: recent advances. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 1996; **66**: 109–20.
3. Desai JD, Banat IM. Microbial production of surfactants and their commercial potential. *Microbiol and Mol Biol Rev* 1997; **61**: 47–64.
4. Angelova B, Schmauder H-P. Lipophilic compounds in biotechnology—interactions with cells and technological problems. *J Biotechnol* 1999; **67**: 13–32.
5. Ahimou F, Jacques P, Deleu M. Surfactin and iturin A effects on *Bacillus subtilis* surface hydrophobicity. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2001; **27**: 749–54.
6. Cooper D, Goldenberg B. Surface-active agents from two *Bacillus* species. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1987; **53**: 224–9.

## Review

7. Fiechter A. Biosurfactants: moving towards industrial application. *Trends Biotechnol* 1992; **10**: 208–18.
8. Singh P, Cameotra S. Potential applications of microbial surfactants in biomedical sciences. *Trends Biotechnol* 2004; **22**: 142–6.
9. Banat IM. Biosurfactants production and use in microbial enhanced oil recovery and pollution remediation: a review. *Bioresour Technol* 1995; **51**: 1–12.
10. Banat IM. Biosurfactants characterization and use in pollution removal: state of the art. A review. *Acta Biotechnol* 1995; **15**: 251–67.
11. Mulligan CN. Environmental application for biosurfactants. *Environ Pollut* 2005; **133**: 183–98.
12. Banat IM, Makkar R, Cameotra S. Potential commercial applications of microbial surfactants. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2000; **53**: 495–508.
13. Benincasa M, Abalos A, Oliveira I *et al*. Chemical structure, surface properties and biological activities of the biosurfactant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LB1 from soapstock. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2004; **85**: 1–8.
14. Flasz A, Rocha CA, Mosquera B *et al*. A comparative study of the toxicity of a synthetic surfactant and one produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 55925. *Med Sci Res* 1998; **6**: 1815.
15. Makkar R, Cameotra S. An update on the use of unconventional substrates for biosurfactant production and their new applications. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2002; **58**: 428–34.
16. Kosaric N. Biosurfactants in industry. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 1992; **64**: 1731–7.
17. Cameotra S, Makkar R. Synthesis of biosurfactants in extreme conditions. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1998; **50**: 520–9.
18. Otto RT, Daniel H-J, Pekin G *et al*. Production of sophorolipids from whey. II. Product composition, surface active properties, cytotoxicity and stability against hydrolases by enzymatic treatment. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1999; **52**: 495–501.
19. Neu T. Significance of bacterial surface active compounds in interaction of bacteria with interfaces. *Microbiol Rev* 1996; **60**: 151–66.
20. Neu T, Marshall KC. Bacterial polymers: physicochemical aspects of their interactions at interfaces. *J Biomat Appl* 1990; **5**: 107–33.
21. Craig VSJ, Ninham BW, Pashley RM. Effect of electrolytes on bubble coalescence. *Nature* 1993; **364**: 317–9.
22. Gottenbos B, Grijpma D, Van der Mei HC *et al*. Antimicrobial effects of positively charged surfaces on adhering Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2001; **48**: 7–13.
23. Neu T, Hartner T, Poralla K. Surface active properties of viscosin: a peptidolipid antibiotic. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1990; **32**: 518–20.
24. Pratt-Terpstra IH, Weerkamp AH, Busscher HJ. Microbial factors in a thermodynamic approach of oral streptococcal adhesion to solid substrata. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 1989; **129**: 568–74.
25. Van Hoogmoed CG, Van der Kuijl-Booij M, Van der Mei HC *et al*. Inhibition of *Streptococcus mutans* NS adhesion to glass with and without a salivary conditioning film by biosurfactant-releasing *Streptococcus mitis* strain. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2000; **66**: 659–63.
26. Busscher HJ, Neu T, Van der Mei HC. Biosurfactant production by thermophilic dairy streptococci. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1994; **41**: 4–7.
27. Besson F, Peypoux F, Michel G *et al*. Characterization of iturin A in antibiotics from various strains of *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* 1976; **29**: 1043–9.
28. Thimon L, Peypoux F, Wallach J *et al*. (1995) Effect of lipopeptide antibiotic, iturin A, on morphology and membrane ultrastructure of yeast cells. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1995; **128**: 101–6.
29. Tanaka Y, Takashi T, Kazuhik U *et al*. Method of producing iturin A and antifungal agent for profound mycosis. *Biotechnol Adv* 1997; **15**: 234–5.
30. Moyne AL, Shelby R, Cleveland TE *et al*. Bacillomycin D: an iturin with antifungal activity against *Aspergillus flavus*. *J Appl Microbiol* 2001; **90**: 622–9.
31. Vollenbroich D, Pauli G, Ozel M *et al*. Antimycoplasma properties and applications in cell culture of surfactin, a lipopeptide antibiotic from *Bacillus subtilis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1997; **63**: 44–9.
32. Kim K, Jung SY, Lee DK *et al*. Suppression of inflammatory responses by surfactin, a selective inhibitor of platelet cytosolic phospholipase A2. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1998; **55**: 975–85.
33. Vollenbroich D, Ozel M, Vater J *et al*. Mechanism of inactivation of enveloped viruses by the biosurfactant surfactin from *Bacillus subtilis*. *Biologicals* 1997; **25**: 289–97.
34. Kikuchi T, Hasumi K. Enhancement of plasminogen activation by surfactin C: augmentation of fibrinolysis *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2002; **1596**: 234–45.
35. Kikuchi T, Hasumi K. Enhancement of reciprocal activation of prourokinase plasminogen by the bacterial lipopeptide surfactins and iturins. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* 2003; **56**: 34–7.
36. Symmank H, Franke P, Saenger W *et al*. Modification of biologically active peptides: production of a novel lipohexapeptide after engineering of *Bacillus subtilis* surfactin synthetase. *Protein Eng* 2002; **15**: 913–21.
37. Kitamoto D, Yanagishita H, Shinbo T *et al*. Surface active properties and antimicrobial activities of mannosylerythritol lipids as biosurfactants produced by *Candida antarctica*. *J Biotechnol* 1993; **29**: 91–6.
38. Isoda H, Kitamoto D, Shinmoto H *et al*. Microbial extracellular glycolipid induction of differentiation and inhibition of protein kinase C activity of human promyelocytic leukaemia cell line HL60. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 1997; **61**: 609–14.
39. Isoda H, Shinmoto H, Matsumura M *et al*. The neurite-initiating effect of microbial extracellular glycolipids in PC12 cells. *Cytotechnology* 1999; **31**: 163–70.
40. Shibahara M, Zhao X, Wakamatsu Y *et al*. Mannosylerythritol lipid increases levels of galactoceramide in and neurite outgrowth from PC12 pheochromocytoma cells. *Cytotechnology* 2000; **33**: 247–51.
41. Zhao X, Geltinger C, Kishikawa S *et al*. Treatment of mouse melanoma cells with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate counteracts mannosylerythritol lipid-induced growth arrest and apoptosis. *Cytotechnology* 2000; **33**: 123–30.
42. Zhao X, Wakamatsu Y, Shibahara M *et al*. Mannosylerythritol lipid is a potent inducer of apoptosis and differentiation of mouse melanoma cells in culture. *Cancer Res* 1999; **59**: 482–6.
43. Wakamatsu Y, Zhao X, Jin C *et al*. Mannosylerythritol lipid induces characteristics of neuronal differentiation in PC12 cells through an ERK-related signal cascade. *Eur J Biochem* 2001; **268**: 374–83.
44. Sudo T, Zhao X, Wakamatsu Y *et al*. Induction of the differentiation of human HL-60 promyelocytic leukemia cell line by succinoyl trehalose lipids. *Cytotechnology* 2000; **33**: 259–64.
45. Im J, Nakane T, Yanagishita H *et al*. Mannosylerythritol lipid, a yeast extracellular glycolipid, shows high binding affinity towards human immunoglobulin G. *BMC Biotechnol* 2001; **1**: 5.
46. Im JH, Yanagishita H, Ikegami T *et al*. Mannosylerythritol lipids, yeast glycolipid biosurfactants, are potential affinity ligand materials for human immunoglobulin G. *J Biomed Mat Res* 2003; **65**: 379–85.
47. Inoh Y, Kitamoto D, Hirashima N *et al*. Biosurfactants of MEL-A increase gene transfection mediated by cationic liposomes. *Biochem Biophys Res Comm* 2001; **289**: 57–61.
48. Inoh Y, Kitamoto D, Hirashima N *et al*. Biosurfactant MEL-A dramatically increases gene transfection via membrane fusion. *J Control Release* 2004; **94**: 423–31.
49. Kitamoto D, Isoda H, Nakahara T. Functions and potential applications of glycolipid biosurfactants—from energy-saving materials to gene delivery carriers. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2002; **94**: 187–201.
50. Nielsen T, Christophersen C, Anthoni U *et al*. Viscosinamide, a new cyclic depsipeptide with surfactant and antifungal properties produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* DR54. *J Appl Microbiol* 1999; **86**: 80–90.

## Review

51. Gerard J, Lloyd R, Barsby T *et al.* Massetolides A-H, antimycobacterial cyclic depsipeptides produced by two pseudomonads isolated from marine habitats. *J Nat Prod* 1997; **60**: 223–9.
52. Bibel DJ, Aly R, Shinefield HR. Inhibition of microbial adherence by sphinganine. *Can J Microbiol* 1992; **38**: 983–5.
53. Naruse N, Tenmyo O, Kobaru S *et al.* Pumilacidin, a complex of new antiviral antibiotics: production, isolation, chemical properties, structure and biological activity. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* 1990; **43**: 267–80.
54. Cameotra S, Makkar R. Recent applications of biosurfactants as biological and immunological molecules. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2004; **7**: 262–6.
55. Rodrigues LR, van der Mei HC, Teixeira J *et al.* Influence of biosurfactants from probiotic bacteria on formation of biofilms on voice prostheses. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2004; **70**: 4408–10.
56. Reid G, Bruce A, Smeianov V. The role of *Lactobacilli* in preventing urogenital and intestinal infections. *Int Dairy J* 1998; **8**: 555–62.
57. Reid G, Bruce A, Fraser N *et al.* Oral probiotics can resolve urogenital infections. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 2001; **30**: 49–52.
58. Gan B, Kim J, Reid G *et al.* *Lactobacillus fermentum* RC-14 inhibits *Staphylococcus aureus* infection of surgical implants in rats. *J Infect Dis* 2002; **185**: 1369–72.
59. Mack DR, Michail S, Wei S *et al.* Probiotics inhibit enteropathogenic *E. coli* adherence *in vitro* by inducing intestinal mucin gene expression. *Am J Physiol* 1999; **276**: 941–50.
60. Rodrigues LR, Moldes A, Teixeira J *et al.* Kinetic study of fermentative biosurfactant production by *Lactobacillus* strains. *Biochem Eng J* 2006; **28**: 109–16.
61. Millsap K, Reid G, Van der Mei HC *et al.* Adhesion of *Lactobacillus* species in urine and phosphate buffer to silicone rubber and glass under flow. *Biomater* 1996; **18**: 87–91.
62. Velraeds M, Van der Mei HC, Reid G *et al.* Inhibition of initial adhesion of uropathogenic *enterococcus faecalis* to solid substrata by an adsorbed biosurfactant layer from *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. *Urology* 1997; **49**: 790–4.
63. Rodrigues LR, Banat IM, Van der Mei HC *et al.* Interference in adhesion of bacteria and yeasts isolated from explanted voice prostheses to silicone rubber by rhamnolipid biosurfactants. *J Appl Microbiol* 2006; *in press*.
64. Bai G, Brusseau ML, Miller RM. Influence of a rhamnolipid biosurfactant on the transport of bacteria through a sandy soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1997; **63**: 1866–73.
65. Abalos A, Pinazo A, Infante MR *et al.* Physicochemical and antimicrobial properties of new rhamnolipids produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AT10 from soybean oil refinery wastes. *Langmuir* 2001; **17**: 1367–71.
66. Golubev WI, Kulakovskaya TV, Golubeva W. (2001) The yeast *Pseudozyma fusiformata* VKM Y-2821 producing an antifungal glycolipid. *Microbiol* 2001; **70**: 553–6.
67. Kulakovskaya T, Kulakovskaya E, Golubev W. ATP leakage from yeast cells treated by extracellular glycolipids of *Pseudozyma fusiformata*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003; **3**: 401–4.
68. Mireles JR, Toguchi A, Harshey RM. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium swarming mutants with altered biofilm-forming abilities: surfactin inhibits biofilm formation. *J Bacteriol* 2001; **183**: 5848–54.
69. Boris S, Barbés C. Role played by lactobacilli in controlling the population of vaginal pathogens. *Microbes Infect* 2000; **2**: 543–6.
70. Velraeds M, Van de Belt-Gritter B, Van der Mei HC *et al.* Interference in initial adhesion of uropathogenic bacteria and yeasts to silicone rubber by a *Lactobacillus acidophilus* biosurfactant. *J Med Microbiol* 1998; **47**: 1081–5.
71. Reid G. *In vitro* testing of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM as a possible probiotic for the urogenital tract. *Int Dairy J* 2000; **10**: 415–9.
72. Heinemann C, Van Hylckama V, Janssen D *et al.* Purification and characterization of a surface-binding protein from *Lactobacillus fermentum* RC-14 that inhibits adhesion of *Enterococcus faecalis* 1131. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2000; **190**: 177–80.
73. Rodrigues LR, Van der Mei HC, Teixeira J *et al.* Biosurfactant from *Lactococcus lactis* 53 inhibits microbial adhesion on silicone rubber. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2004; **66**: 306–11.
74. Rodrigues LR, Van der Mei HC, Banat IM *et al.* Inhibition of microbial adhesion to silicone rubber treated with biosurfactant from *Streptococcus thermophilus* A. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 2006; **46**: 107–12.
75. Wright JR. Pulmonary surfactant: a front line of lung host defense. *J Clin Invest* 2003; **111**: 1453–55.
76. Lang S, Wullbrandt D. Rhamnolipids—biosynthesis, microbial production and application potential. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1999; **51**: 22–32.
77. Maier R, Soberon-Chavez G. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* rhamnolipids: biosynthesis and potential applications. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2000; **54**: 625–33.
78. Jenny K, Kappeli O, Fietcher A. Biosurfactants from *Bacillus licheniformis*: structural analysis and characterization. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1991; **36**: 5–13.
79. Lin S, Carswell K, Sharma M. Continuous production of the lipopeptide biosurfactant of *Bacillus licheniformis* JF-2. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1994; **41**: 281–5.
80. Yakimov M, Timmis K, Wray V *et al.* Characterization of a new lipopeptide surfactant produced by thermotolerant and halotolerant subsurface *Bacillus licheniformis* BAS50. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1995; **61**: 1706–13.
81. Grangemard I, Wallach J, Maget-Dana R *et al.* Lichenysin: a more efficient cation chelator than surfactin. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 2001; **90**: 199–210.
82. Carrillo C, Teruel J, Aranda F *et al.* Molecular mechanism of membrane permeabilization by the peptide antibiotic surfactin. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2003; **1611**: 91–7.
83. Kameda Y, Ouchira S, Matsui K *et al.* Antitumor activity of *Bacillus natto* V. Isolation and characterization of surfactin in the culture medium of *Bacillus natto* KMD 2311. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)* 1974; **22**: 938–44.
84. Bernheimer A, Avigad L. Nature and properties of a cytolytic agent produced by *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Gen Microbiol* 1970; **61**: 361–9.
85. Sheppard JD, Jumarie C, Cooper DG *et al.* Ionic channels induced by surfactin in planar lipid bilayer membranes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1991; **1064**: 13–23.
86. Itokawa H, Miyashita T, Morita H *et al.* Structural and conformational studies of [Ile7] and [Leu7] surfactins from *Bacillus subtilis*. *Chem Pharmacol Bull (Tokyo)* 1994; **42**: 604–7.
87. Kosaric N. Biosurfactants. In: Rehm HJ, Reed G, Puhler A, eds. *Biotechnology*. Weinheim: VCH, 1996; 659–717.
88. Mittenbuhler K, Loleit M, Baier W *et al.* Drug specific antibodies: T-cell epitope-lipopeptide conjugates are potent adjuvants for small antigens *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Int J Immunopharmacol* 1997; **19**: 277–87.
89. Uchida Y, Misava S, Nakahara T *et al.* Factors affecting the formation of succinoyltrehalose lipids by *Rhodococcus erythropolis* SD-74 grown on n-alkanes. *Agric Biol Chem* 1989; **53**: 765–9.
90. Uchida Y, Tsuchiya R, Chino M *et al.* Extracellular accumulation of mono and di succinyl trehalose lipids by a strain of *Rhodococcus erythropolis* grown on n-alkanes. *Agric Biol Chem* 1989; **53**: 757–63.
91. Sano H, Sohma H, Muta T *et al.* Pulmonary surfactant protein A modulates the cellular response to smooth and rough lipopolysaccharides by interaction with CD14. *J Immunol* 1999; **163**: 387–95.
92. Van Delden C, Iglewski B. Cell-to-cell signaling and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1998; **4**: 551–60.

## Review

93. Ishigami Y, Suzuki S. Development of biochemicals—functionalization of biosurfactants and natural dyes. *Prog Org Coatings* 1997; **31**: 51–61.
94. Ramisse F, Delden C, Gidenne S *et al.* Decreased virulence of a strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* O12 overexpressing a chromosomal type 1  $\beta$ -lactamase could be due to reduced expression of cell-to-cell signalling dependent virulence factors. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 2000; **28**: 241–5.
95. Busscher HJ, Van Hoogmoed CG, Geertsema-Doornbusch GI *et al.* *Streptococcus thermophilus* and its biosurfactants inhibit adhesion by *Candida* spp. on silicone rubber. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1997; **63**: 3810–7.
96. Busscher HJ, Van de Belt-Gritter B, Westerhof M *et al.* Microbial interference in the colonization of silicone rubber implant surfaces in the oropharynx: *Streptococcus thermophilus* against a mixed fungal/bacterial biofilm. In: Rosenberg E, ed. *Microbial Ecology and Infectious Disease*. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology, 1999; 66–74.
97. Reid G, Zalai C, Gardiner G. Urogenital *Lactobacilli* probiotics, reliability, and regulatory issues. *J Dairy Sci* 1984; **84**: 164–9.
98. Reid G, Heinemann C, Velraeds M *et al.* Biosurfactants produced by *Lactobacillus*. *Methods Enzymol* 1999; **310**: 426–33.
99. Velraeds M, Van der Mei HC, Reid G *et al.* Physicochemical and biochemical characterization of biosurfactants released by *Lactobacillus* strains. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 1996; **8**: 51–61.
100. Velraeds M, Van der Mei HC, Reid G *et al.* Inhibition of initial adhesion of uropathogenic *Enterococcus faecalis* by biosurfactants from *Lactobacillus* isolates. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1996; **62**: 1958–63.