Prognostic Value for Incidental Antihypertensive Therapy With β-Blockers in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer

Riccardo Giampieri, MD, PhD, Mario Scartozzi, MD, Michela Del Prete, MD, Luca Faloppi, MD, Maristella Bianconi, MD, Francesca Ridolfi, MD, and Stefano Cascinu, MD

Abstract: Previous studies suggested that the incidental use of β-blockers might influence clinical outcome in solid tumors. We assessed the correlation between the incidental use of β-blockers and clinical outcome in colorectal cancer patients treated with first-line chemotherapy alone or in combination with bevacizumab in metastatic colorectal cancer patients.

We collected data from 235 metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with first-line chemotherapy alone (128 patients) or with bevacizumab (107 patients). Patients were stratified for clinical factors such as β-blockers use, age, sex, and site of metastases, previous adjuvant chemotherapy and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group status.

In the chemotherapy alone group patients receiving β-blockers showed an improved overall survival (median OS 41.3 vs 25.7 months, P = 0.03, HR: 2.26, 95% CI: 1.05–3.24). A significant relationship with improved response rate was also evident for B-blocker users (P = 0.044).

On the contrary in the β-blockers users group treated with chemotherapy in combination with bevacizumab we observed a trend toward a worse overall survival although nonstatistically significant (median OS 18.5 vs 23.6 months, HR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.38–2.03, P = 0.77).

Our analysis confirmed a potential prognostic role for the use of β-blockers in colorectal cancer patients treated with chemotherapy. Our findings also suggest a potential worse outcome for patients on β-blockers receiving bevacizumab. Future prospective studies should include the incidental use of β-blockers as stratification factor for clinical outcome.

(Medicine 94(24):c719)

INTRODUCTION

Different growth factors have been shown to possess a crucial role in tumor development and progression. Recent data suggested that adrenergic activity might also influence tumor-related biological mechanisms. Both α- and β-adrenergic stimulation besides a well-known positive inotrope and chronotropic effects on cardiac muscle also have a postulated activity as growth factor. Several analyses in different tumor types, including colon cancer, demonstrated that tumor cells might express in fact either β- or α-adrenergic receptors. On this basis researchers involved hypothesized that adrenergic activity might affect tumor cells survival and replication. In accordance with these findings cancer patients receiving incidental β-blockers treatment have been shown to experience an improved outcome. These data are lacking for metastatic colorectal cancer patients.

In this latter patients’ population an increasing proportion of patients will experience arterial hypertension during the course of their disease, both as preexisting condition (as in primary hypertension) and as consequence of anticancer treatment (particularly for antiangiogenic treatments). In the present analysis we evaluated the role of the incidental use of an antihypertensive therapy (particularly β-blockers) in affecting clinical outcome for metastatic colorectal cancer patients receiving first-line treatment.

METHODS

Patients’ Characteristics

The present analysis was designed as retrospective cohort study that assumed as exposed patients those who were on incidental β-blockers use as antihypertensive therapy. All consecutive metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with a first-line regimen including a chemotherapy doublet (capecitabine/5FU + either oxaliplatin or irinotecan) ± bevacizumab at our Institution between 2010 and 2013 were eligible. All patients received chemotherapy until first radiological evidence of disease progression, patients’ refusal, or unacceptable toxicity on an outpatient basis. All relevant patients’ characteristics and follow-up data were collected by patients’ clinical files.

The study was not deemed to be submitted to the local ethical committee due to the fact that all patients, at the time of clinical file creation, gave an informed consent for anonymized clinical data storage and analysis.

Patients were stratified on the basis of antihypertensive treatment (no treatment vs treatment with β-blockers vs treatment with antihypertensive drugs other than β-blockers). Other stratification factors were sex, age, performance status at the beginning of treatment, previous adjuvant chemotherapy, time of metastatic involvement (synchronous vs metachronous), sites of metastatic involvement, k-ras status.
Study Design

Primary aim of the study was to assess the impact of incidental β-blockers use on overall survival. Assuming that risk of death in the first 2 years of therapy was 50% in the cohort of metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with a first-line regimen and that a clinically relevant relative risk of death for the use of β-blockers was 1.7 times or more, with an α-probability error at 0.05 and with a power of 0.80, at least 50 patients (25 in either group) were needed.

Overall survival was calculated through Kaplan–Meier method and was defined as the time between start of treatment and patients’ death or lost at follow-up, whichever came first.

Response rates were evaluated on the basis of RECIST criteria (ver 1.1) and their association with categorical variables was assessed through $\chi^2$ test.

All statistical calculations were performed using MedCalc Statistical Software version 14.10.2 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium; http://www.medcalc.org; 2014).

RESULTS

Patients’ Characteristics

Complete clinical data from 235 patients were available. One hundred twenty-eight patients received a first-line chemotherapy doublet whereas the remaining 107 received a chemotherapy doublet + bevacizumab.

In the global population, 142 patients (60%) were not receiving any antihypertensive treatment, 29 patients (12%) were on incidental therapy with β-blockers, and 65 patients (27%) were treated with antihypertensive therapy other than β-blockers.

In the group of patients treated with a chemotherapy doublet, 71 patients (55%) were not receiving any antihypertensive treatment, 20 patients (15%) were on treatment with β-blockers, and 37 patients (28%) were on treatment with other antihypertensive drugs. Patients groups were comparable for all clinical factors analyzed (Table 1).

Survival Analysis in the Overall Population

In the overall patients’ population we observed a trend toward an improved overall survival in patients receiving β-blockers, although this was not statistically significant (median OS: 34.4 vs 24.2 months, HR: 1.51, 95% CI: 0.88–2.31, $P = 0.14$) (Figure 1).

Progression-free survival was also slightly improved in β-blockers users, although once again this was not statistically significant (median PFS: 8.86 vs 7.93 months, HR: 1.19, 95% CI: 0.81–1.72, $P = 0.38$) (Figure 2).

Ninety-seven (41%) patients obtained a complete/partial response. Sixteen responses were observed in the β-blockers users group, whereas 81 responses were seen in the remaining

---

TABLE 1. Characteristics of the 2 Groups of Patients by Main Factors of Stratification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chemotherapy-Alone Group (n = 128)</th>
<th>Bevacizumab Group (n = 107)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-Blockers Users (n = 20)</td>
<td>Not β-Blockers Users (n = 108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (range)</td>
<td>60 (37–84)</td>
<td>61 (38–85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>16 (80%)</td>
<td>78 (72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>30 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG PS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>18 (90%)</td>
<td>95 (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>13 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-ras status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>59 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutated</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>49 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>8 (41%)</td>
<td>38 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not</td>
<td>12 (59%)</td>
<td>70 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>35 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>11 (55%)</td>
<td>73 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of metastatic involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous</td>
<td>10 (50%)</td>
<td>60 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metachronous</td>
<td>10 (50%)</td>
<td>48 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFS (months)</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>7.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS (months)</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, ns = not-significant, PFS = progression-free survival, PS = performance status, OS = overall survival.
patients’ population. This difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.09$).

### Survival Analysis According to Treatment

In the group of patients treated with a chemotherapy doublet, among the 20 $\beta$-blockers users we observed a significantly improved overall survival (median OS: 41.3 vs 25.7 months, HR: 2.25, 95% CI: 1.04–3.42, $P = 0.03$) (Figure 3).

In the same group of patients, the use of $\beta$-blockers was correlated to a nonstatistically significant trend toward an improved progression-free survival (median PFS: 8.36 vs 7.13 months, HR: 1.36, 95% CI: 0.85–2.11, $P = 0.20$) (Figure 4).

Among 20 patients treated with $\beta$-blockers, we observed 12 (60%) complete/partial responses, whereas in the remaining 108 patients, we observed 36 (33%) responses ($P = 0.04$).

On the other hand, in the bevacizumab treated group, among 9 patients on incidental treatment with $\beta$-blockers we observed a nonsignificant trend toward a worse overall survival (median OS: 18.5 vs 23.6 months, HR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.38–2.03, $P = 0.77$) (Figure 5).

In the same group of patients, the use of $\beta$-blockers demonstrated a nonstatistically significant trend toward an improved progression-free survival (median PFS: 10.1 vs 8.66 months, HR: 1.35, 95% CI: 0.68–2.53, $P = 0.40$) (Figure 6).

Among the 9 patients treated with $\beta$-blockers, we observed 6 (66%) complete/partial responses, whereas in the remaining 98 patients, 43 patients (43%) showed an objective response to treatment. This difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.06$).

Among 70 patients who were not in treatment for high blood pressure at the start of bevacizumab-based therapy, 38 (54%) experienced hypertension requiring antihypertensive treatment: 22 patients received diuretics (mainly loop diuretics), 12 patients received calcium channel blockers (mainly lercanidipine), the other 4 patients were treated with AT1-receptor antagonists.
**FIGURE 5.** Overall survival in the group of patients treated with bevacizumab, in β-blockers users (——) compared with non-β-blockers users (___) (18.5 vs 23.6 months, HR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.38–2.03, P = 0.77).

**FIGURE 6.** Progression-free survival in the group of patients treated with bevacizumab, in β-blockers users (——) compared with non-β-blockers users (___) (10.1 vs 8.66 months, HR: 1.35, 95% CI: 0.68–2.53, P = 0.40).

**DISCUSSION**

Besides a well-known effect on cardiac outflow, heartbeat frequency and a general impact on increased blood pressure, adrenaline might affect metabolism and tropism of several tissues. Adrenaline also showed a role as a growth factor in many tumor types. Accordingly published data also demonstrated that cancer cells might express adrenergic receptors.

Abel et al.11 demonstrated the presence of β2-adrenergic receptors on DiFi colon cancer cell line, thus hypothesizing that adrenergic stimulation may lead to increased proliferation and enhanced tumor aggressiveness.

Wong et al.12 confirmed that observation. In particular, in colon cancer cell line HT-29, adrenaline determined an increase in cell proliferation and production of proinflammatory and proangiogenic factors such as PGE2 and VEGF. This activity was mediated through interaction of adrenaline with both β1 and β2-receptors, thus further suggesting a potential role of β-blockers in attenuating tumor aggressiveness.

Other authors suggest a possible role of adrenergic stimulation as chemotherapy resistance factor: In a study of Yao et al.13 in the HT-29 colon cancer cell line, the levels of ABCB1 gene expression (usually implied to have a role in the phenomenon of multidrug resistance) were linked to adrenergic stimulation. Pharmacological α2-adrenergic agonists (such as yohimbine) determined a net reduction in the levels of ABCB1. On the other hand, other β-adrenergic inhibitors such as atenolol or α1-inhibitors did not have significant effects on the expression of the gene.

A further analysis showed a high expression of β-type-receptors in SW-480 colon cancer cell line.14 In this study, exposure to norepinephrine determined a clear increase in tumor cell mobility. The introduction of the nonselective β-blocker propranolol in the growing medium was able to reverse this biological phenomenon. On the contrary, the β1-blocker atenolol only marginally influenced the norepinephrine-induced cancer cell migration.

Other analyses suggested that β-blockers might negatively influence overall survival. In a study of Shah et al.15 authors observed a slightly decreased survival for β-blockers users in a series of different tumor types. However, no significant impact on survival was observed among the 619 colorectal cancer patients with the use of either β-blockers alone (103 patients) or β-blockers in combination with other antihypertensive drugs (162 patients) or who were on incidental use of other antihypertensive drugs (354 patients) (HR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.77–1.30).

In another recently published paper, the authors assessed the impact of β-blockers in a large population-based case-control study (DACHS study).16 At the multivariate analysis, β-blockers use was not correlated with overall lower risk of developing colorectal cancer (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 0.86–1.29). On the other hand, a higher risk for stage IV colorectal cancer was observed for patients who were on β-blockers use for more than 6 years (OR: 2.02, 95% CI: 1.25–3.27).

Our study suggests that incidental β-blockers use could represent a favorable prognostic factor in the overall population, whereas in the group of patients who received bevacizumab-based chemotherapy some caution should be advised.

This apparent discrepancy can be related to the complex management of hypertension in bevacizumab-treated patients. In fact there is no conclusive consensus of opinion regarding the optimal class of antihypertensive drug to be used in this group of patients, who are on the contrary known to require a careful evaluation for the possible implication on the clinical outcome.17

The inhibition of β-adrenergic receptors could also make a higher concentration of adrenaline available for the interaction with α-adrenergic receptors.

This group of receptors is usually linked to smooth muscle contraction, particularly in blood vessels. On the other hand, bevacizumab is supposed to exert part of its role as inhibitor of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) by increasing nitric oxide concentrations that mediates vasodilatation.18 As a consequence, the net effect of VEGF inhibition is in the end similar to the interaction between adrenergic agonists and their α1-type receptor. An unusual stimulation of α1-adrenergic receptors could lead to a reduced delivery of active chemotherapeutic drugs in a setting where, in the absence of VEGF-inhibition, chemotherapy would achieve optimal concentrations in tumor tissue.

Although further definitive data are necessary for definitive conclusions, the present analysis seems to suggest that extra...
attention should be given to the management of patients on incidental treatment with β-blockers and who are receiving a bevacizumab-based chemotherapy regimen for metastatic colorectal cancer, thus raising the issue of the need of established guidelines regarding optimal hypertension management in this group of patients.
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