

25 **Glossary**

26 **3D printing:** additive manufacturing enabled by computer-aided technology that allows
27 the precise deposition of a binder material into a complex architectural structure in a
28 layer-by-layer logic.

29 **3D Spheroids:** spherical-shaped multicellular aggregates with improved cell-cell and
30 cell-extracellular matrix (ECM) interactions, closely mimicking the microenvironment
31 found in *in vivo* tissues.

32 **Bioink:** a liquid/viscous biomaterial that may contain cells and/or biological molecules
33 which is processed by bioprinting technology through material extrusion and deposition
34 into a spatially controlled pattern, during which its viscosity and elastic character will
35 increase.

36 **Bioinstructive:** with the ability to influence the behaviour of biological systems,
37 including cells and tissues.

38 **Capsules:** a closed-like system separated from the outer environment by a membrane
39 barrier – shell – surrounding a core that can be presented as liquid, hollow or matrix
40 composed.

41 **Cell Stacking:** methodology for cell expansion based on the parallel growth of cells on
42 piled up tissue culture flasks.

43 **High-Throughput Screening:** methods that allow a fast acquisition, processing and
44 analyses of large amounts of data.

45 **Injectable scaffold:** supporting matrix that possesses suitable physical and mechanical
46 properties to be injected through a syringe or a catheter and to perfectly fit and fill a
47 certain defect without the need of invasive interventions.

48 **Microcarrier:** supporting matrix characterized by a high surface area-to- volume ratio,
49 allowing large-scale expansion of anchorage-dependent cells and *in vitro* production of
50 biologically-active molecules.

51 **Microparticle:** micrometric sized (ranging 1-1000 μm) particle that is extensively used
52 in biotechnological and biomedical fields as drug/cell-delivery platforms.

53 **Modular Tissue Engineering:** engineering of hierarchical and biologically-functional
54 structures with precise architectural features through assembly of modular building-
55 blocks using a bottom-up approach.

56 **Multi-compartmentalized particles:** biomaterial within the micrometric size range
57 comprising architectural features that enable different chemical compositions over its
58 spatial extension. Well-established examples of multicompartamental particles include
59 Janus particles and co-axial multilayer (onion-like) particles.

60 **Off-the-shelf:** amenable to be used directly without any substantial handling, and “as
61 is”, independently from any establishment of settings during a pre-order procedure.

62 **On-demand:** an action that is dependent on the application of external stimulus/stimuli
63 by the user.

64 **Organoid:** *in vitro* miniaturized organ with self-organized organ-specific cell types in an
65 accurate spatial manner that are able to replicate physiological functions.

66 **Tethered:** attached/immobilized onto a surface.

67 **Xeno-free:** free of xenogeneic (originated from a different species) or animal derived-
68 components.

69

70 **Microparticles as cell adhesive and modulating moieties**

71 Over the past few decades, microparticles have gained increasing relevance in tissue
72 engineering and biotechnological strategies. Apart from their mostly widespread
73 application as drug delivery reservoirs with precise local targeting abilities and highly
74 controlled release profiles, a very explored application of microparticles in direct contact
75 with cells is their use as **microcarriers** (see Glossary) for large-scale expansion and
76 differentiation of adherent cells in bioreactors. A plethora of chemical and structural

77 microparticles' formulations has been explored in the search for the most effective and
78 compliant cell expansion strategies, culminating in the exploitation of different types of
79 materials processed with completely different features [1]. The biotechnological value
80 of microcarriers was proven with high yield *in vitro* production of growth factors (GFs)
81 and other soluble molecules, as well as for the rapid expansion of clinically-relevant
82 cells, including stem cells [2,3]. Despite the promising reported outputs, advances in
83 microcarriers design and their optimization to adapt to **xeno-free** scalable and clinically
84 translatable setups, as well as their ability to modulate cell response, are still a growing
85 trend in several segments of biotechnology and biomedical fields [4].

86 More recent trends have been exploring the potential of micrometric particles beyond
87 the 'carrier' application. They have been successfully used as injectable/fit-to-defect
88 moldable systems proven to form adequate robust 3D structures for *in situ* tissue
89 regeneration [5]. Specialized activities including the ability to selectively recruit different
90 cell types and inducing highly localized responses through the presentation of cell
91 membrane-interacting domains (e.g. GFs) have been important in the advance of
92 vascularization strategies in tissue regeneration, on the fine spatial control over cell
93 differentiation, or on the induction of therapeutic potential [6–9]. The incorporation of
94 biomaterial microparticles into multicellular structures (e.g. **3D spheroids**) has allowed
95 the development of *in vitro* platforms for the generation of complex 3D tissue/disease
96 models, including multicellular tumor models [10–12]. Moreover, the advent of 3D
97 bioprinting brought new insights on possible applications of microparticles as
98 reinforcement units within **bioinks** produced to regenerate injured tissues [13].

99 With this review, we aim at providing a critical discussion about well-reported particle
100 design factors capable of modulating their (bio)chemical/physical and architectural
101 features (Figure 1), and how those characteristics correlate with cellular response
102 outputs [7,14]. Novel trends on microparticles design and engineering - including
103 controlled size, geometry, and anisotropy - will also be addressed, as well as their
104 potential on healthcare-related applications. We will discuss microparticles fabrication
105 and highly enabling technologies to produce finely modulated structures with tailored
106 chemical patterns, well-established surface area-to-volume ratios, complex geometries
107 and anisotropy, as well as multicompartmental features.

108 **(Bio)physical and biochemical tailoring of microparticles: applications, needs and**
109 **technical constrains**

110 *Microparticles with controlled (bio)physical aspects*

111 It has long been known that biomaterial properties can affect and modulate several
112 biological outputs [15]. By merely tuning physical properties of the particles such as size,
113 geometry, anisotropy, topography, stiffness, porosity and compartmentalization, it is
114 plausible to achieve specific biological responses (Table 1) [16–18]. However, the
115 fabrication of microparticles with such desired and controllable physical attributes
116 through conventional methods, namely through emulsion polymerization, still remains
117 a challenge. To overcome these difficulties, various methods were developed in the
118 search of a processes capable of rendering versatile particle with tuneable surface
119 features and morphologies. Microsphere reshaping comprises a simple and scalable
120 method to produce anisotropic complex-shaped particles based on the distortion of
121 microspheres through film-stretching [19] or moulding techniques [20]. This technique
122 uses spheres as the starting material and comprises two main steps: (i) liquefaction,
123 where they are exposed to solvents/vapours or temperatures above polymers' glass-
124 transition temperature and deformed until a desired shape is achieved, and (ii)
125 solidification by extracting the solvent/vapours or by cooling the temperature of the
126 system. Besides being an easy and versatile method, this method may induce damage
127 to the properties and microstructure of the starting material through the exposure to
128 aggressive solvents, which might affect biological activity. Enhancing the gentleness of
129 the procedure can be achieved by using only the vapours of organic solvents, instead of
130 the liquid form [20]. Electrohydrodynamic (EHD) co-jetting is another technique that
131 exhibits great control over particles' anisotropy, size and shape [21]. Similarly to
132 electrospinning, the application of an electric potential results in the stretching of a
133 pendant droplet – the Taylor cone – allowing the formation of well-defined particles
134 with great control over anisotropy, size and geometry, through rapid solvent
135 evaporation. This technique often renders fibers and spheres, but control over several
136 process parameters, such as flow rate and polymer concentration, enables the
137 fabrication of disk- and rod-shaped particles. One particular feature of EDH co-jetting is
138 the ability to produce chemically distinct and **multi-compartmentalized particles** which

139 can be advantageous for controlled drug delivery or cell targeting [22]. Moreover, this
140 technique is compatible with both aqueous and organic solvents, enabling the
141 processing of tailored particles using a wide range of polymers. Alternatively,
142 microfluidics is a versatile method to obtain intricate particle designs with high
143 precision. Besides being suitable for cell encapsulation with tuneable sizes and shapes
144 [23], compartmentalized particles are also easy to attain. Structures with core-shell and
145 multi-core organization, Janus and ternary set-ups [24], internal anisotropic features
146 [25] have been obtained via microfluidics. Tailored porous structures were also achieved
147 through insertion of porogens, such as fine oil droplets, or even through phase inversion
148 [26]. Microparticles are often synthesized using difficult to remove oils, and seldom
149 applied UV-polymerization strategies may be hazardous for biological applications and
150 even to sensitive materials. Highly multifaceted structures may also be processed
151 through microfabrication by the application of different techniques such as
152 photolithography and soft lithography, using photomasks or elastomeric
153 stamps/moulds, respectively [27]. These complex microarchitectures with high
154 resolution have been assembled to fabricate fillable core-shell particles, providing a
155 viable platform for a pulsatile and continuous release of soluble molecules [28]. More
156 recently, the use of bioinspired and biomimetic platforms, namely superhydrophobic
157 (SH) and superamphiphobic (SA) surfaces based on the high repellence of water and/or
158 low-surface-tension liquids ('oils'), has driven the formation of liquid droplets with
159 perfect spherical shape [29]. Inspired by such unique properties a new and cost-effective
160 tool to produce engineered polymeric microspheres and **capsules** using mild conditions
161 was created, allowing the fabrication of hierarchical systems [30]. To suppress the need
162 of multiple pipetting or complex machinery and to allow the fabrication of non-spherical
163 hydrogel particles, a droplet microarray platform combining SH or SA properties was
164 developed [31]. This technique enabled the patterning and retrieval of microparticles
165 with several different geometrical structures, including hexagons, triangles and even
166 heart-shaped particles. Despite the multiple platforms and methods to produce
167 particles with highly intricate and sophisticated structures, there is still a great need of
168 a versatile system to enable the control and tuning of physical properties with high
169 precision and resolution.

170 *Microparticles with controlled biochemical cues*

171 The control over cellular behaviour is dictated not only by the aforementioned physical
172 aspects of the material, but also by (bio)chemical interactions (Table 1). Strategies based
173 on the presentation of chemical domains to cells through microparticles often comprise
174 the precise and a spatiotemporal delivery of soluble factors to achieve specific paracrine
175 effects through soluble signalling [6,32]. The bulk of the microparticles can be used to
176 encapsulate factors that may be diffused to the surface and be available to control
177 cellular mechanisms [33]. However, the presentation of **tethered** biomolecules is found
178 to improve the ability to direct and modulate cellular response by usually mimicking key
179 components present in native tissues [34]. The most common practice is the
180 immobilization of full-length extracellular matrix (ECM)-derived proteins, such as
181 laminin and fibronectin, onto microparticles' surface aiming at cell matrix signalling
182 replication and therefore, promoting cell adhesion [35]. Apart from mediating cell
183 attachment and proliferation, these bioactive molecules can also provide signals that
184 trigger cell aggregation and modulate cellular migratory behaviours depending on the
185 selected coating. [36,37]. Another ECM-mimicking strategy is the use of decellularized
186 tissue which can better recapitulate the innate microenvironment while providing a
187 native-like and tissue-specific milieu [38]. Besides recreating cell-ECM contacts, it also
188 has been developed systems that mimic cell-cell signalling with adsorption of cellular
189 adhesion molecules (CAMs), namely E-cadherin fusion protein [39]. Being a key
190 regulator of intrinsic cell-cell interactions, it is capable of mediating growth-promoting
191 cell signalling pathways, promoting cell self-renewal, and improving induction or
192 maintenance of stem cell multipotency. To simplify the workload bared by using full-
193 length proteins, the use of biological motifs became widely popular for their relative
194 ease of availability and lower cost of preparation [40]. Among other short peptides of
195 interest, the cell adhesion properties of the RGD peptide (arginylglycylaspartic acid)
196 have been intensely exploited in material functionalization. Present in fibronectin is also
197 found in other ECM proteins such as laminin and vitronectin, has the ability to retain its
198 cell-binding properties and to be recognized by several cell surface integrins, enhancing
199 cellular adhesion [41,42]. Antibodies are another protein family of vast interest in
200 tailoring the surface of microparticles due to their ligand binding specificity and their

201 ability to improve cell adhesion. It provides microparticles with additional and
202 specialized activities, allowing a selectively recruit of different cell types and/or
203 bioactive molecules [8]. This not only allows a better control over cellular function but
204 also provides a feasible platform for specific cell isolation and capture from complex
205 mixtures [9]. Likewise, immobilization of GFs has been a promising approach for
206 providing cues in a well-controlled mode, overcoming limited efficacy shown by
207 diffusional problems of soluble factors while inducing localized effects. For instance,
208 immobilization of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) proved to be a pro-survival
209 agent for cell-based therapies [43] and tethered basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)
210 and transforming growth factor β 1 (TGF- β 1) enhanced cell attachment and
211 proliferation, and also stimulated locally chondrogenesis [44]. Moreover, coating of
212 particles with a specific cell membrane is gaining attention, creating a cell-mimicking
213 microparticle which emulates cell function. Acting as 'synthetic cells', they have the
214 ability to recapitulate biointerfacing activities of the natural cells [45,46]. Surface
215 functionalization with other cues such as **bioinstructive** polymers have also been
216 employed to modulate cellular responses and recreate a more native environment.
217 Hyaluronic acid (HA) and poly-L-lysine (PLL) microparticles assembled through Layer-by-
218 Layer (LbL) deposition was recently shown to increase cell-anchoring hotspots while
219 simulating an ECM-like environment for the cells [11]. In addition to the different surface
220 coating possibilities, the functionalization method is another key cell behaviour
221 modulator. Stable covalent modifications have provided a stronger support for cells,
222 leading to better cell attachment and spreading, while weak and less stable coatings, as
223 of those of surface adsorbed molecules, promoted a more efficient cell release and are
224 more sensible towards cell migration [37]. Although surface modification through
225 immobilization of various cues allows a fine-tuning over material bioactivity, many
226 biological processes and mechanisms in which such decorative moieties play an
227 important role are yet to unravel. Such know-how may help understanding how cell
228 behaviour can be affected and what are the molecular mediators of such process.

229

230 **Multidisciplinary Microparticles: translating processing know-how into useful**
231 **applications**

232 *Microcarriers for the ex vivo expansion of primary cells and stem cells*

233 As tissue regeneration approaches keep growing at a fast pace, cell-based therapies
234 demand large quantity and high-quality cell numbers. In fact, it is estimated the need of
235 millions to billions of cells per patient for the treatment of a disease. This is a result of
236 the low cell retention in the defect area and also the significant shortage of cells in cell
237 banks. Therefore, the development of an optimized cellular biomanufacture procedure
238 to generate clinically-relevant cell numbers is in demand. A variety of methods for the
239 large expansion of cells have been described, and the multi-tray system in culture flasks,
240 also known as “**cell stacks**”, is the most prevailing method. Adopting a scale-out, rather
241 than a scale-up approach, requires a substantially amount of space and manual labour.
242 To bypass such hurdles, microcarriers have been progressively replacing the
243 conventional two-dimensional (2D) flat approach, and were found to outstand the
244 performance of other expansion technologies [47]. In fact, not only achieved cell
245 densities are significantly higher, boosting cellular yields in the overall process, as
246 morphological aspects and mechanosensing properties of the cells can also be
247 modulated by the surrounding environment, inducing changes in both cytoskeleton and
248 nuclear dispositions, and altering cytokine production rate and expression levels of
249 specific cell markers. Over the years, microcarrier culture within bioreactors have
250 proved to be an easily scalable support for expansion of both primary and stem cells
251 (Figure 2A) [2,48]. Their highly enhanced surface area enables an increase in cellular
252 yields in a clinically-relevant time-frame, leading to an **off-the-shelf** approach to be used
253 “**on-demand**”. Moreover, the combination of process automation, control and
254 monitoring leads to a more robust and cost-effective technology, replacing laborious
255 and poorly controlled processes [49,50]. Consequently, a plethora of microcarriers with
256 different physicochemical properties have been developed and commercialized in the
257 search for the most effective and compliant cell expansion strategy (Table 2). Regardless
258 of being a very promising approach, microcarriers are often employed in dynamic
259 conditions which promotes hydrodynamic shear stress to the cells. Engineering of either
260 hollow and highly-porous particles provide shelter and allow in-growth of shear-
261 sensitive cells, while only the latter offers a larger culturing surface due to the skeletal
262 structure with highly-interconnected pores, supporting cell attachment and promoting

263 multidirectional cell-cell interactions [1,5,51,52]. However, the traditional concept of
264 the microcarrier as, solely, an expansion technology is becoming obsolete. This system
265 can not only integrate a cell differentiation approach or even act as a transfection agent
266 together with expansion, but also serve as cell delivery vehicles and as modular building-
267 blocks for tissue regeneration (Box 1) [53–55]. Towards these approaches, different
268 materials showcasing features as biodegradability and suitability for implantation were
269 exploited. Those have been processed with different features in order to adapt to the
270 selected application, while avoiding the need to harvest cells via enzymatic treatment
271 which comprises one of the biggest liabilities of microcarrier culture [1,51,56]. Recently,
272 the pursuit of an optimized xeno-free approach has gained momentum, promoting a
273 facilitated translation to clinic setups for *in vivo* applications. Therefore, many strategies
274 have been explored to develop xeno-free microcarriers using ECM-inspired synthetic
275 coatings, such as vitronectin, albumin and laminin, avoiding the need of animal-derived
276 components [50,57–59]. However, xeno-free carriers go beyond the synthetic ECM-
277 based approach. The use of synthetic hydrogels (e.g. polyethylene glycol (PEG)) can offer
278 a viable platform to engineer custom-made particles with the desired mechanical and
279 degradability properties. [4] To this extent, various efforts have been taken to improve
280 and upgrade this culture system beyond its well-established and traditional application
281 as an expansion technology leading to a more wide-ranging and translatable setup
282 suitable for *in vivo* regeneration.

283 *Microparticles as building-blocks for tissue engineering and regeneration*

284 Promising applications of microparticles have been reported for their use in the
285 construction of *in vitro* tissue engineering models targeting drug screening and organ-
286 on-a-chip platforms [60], as well as for *in situ* tissue regeneration as an ‘one-fits-all’
287 platform to minimize vastly invasive surgical interventions [5]. In fact, the need of an
288 injectable/fit-to-defect moldable scaffold designed to accurately fill any defect site
289 regardless of its shape is of the utmost importance, due to the complexity required to
290 repair any irregularly shaped deformity (Figure 2B). Despite virtually being the simplest
291 to administrate, ‘bulk’ hydrogel-based injectable systems can often fail to provide
292 sufficient mechanical stability and durability to support anchorage-dependent cell
293 proliferation and differentiation before the neotissue formation. The application of

294 biodegradable and biocompatible cell-laden microparticles as modular building-blocks
295 could be a suitable and viable way to overcome such limitations [61,62]. For instance, a
296 highly open porous particle with proper surface pores and interconnected passages
297 which protected cells against stress during injection proved to be a viable method to
298 host cell growth and to carry/deliver them to target sites [63]. Moreover, hydrogel-
299 based systems often require prolonged periods of irradiation or the presence of toxic
300 chemical cross-linkers for the *in situ* gel formation which can be damaging for cell
301 survival. One of the employed strategies to surpass such obstacles comprises the use of
302 particles with inducing gel formation properties where porous and biodegradable
303 microparticles are used as cross-linker carriers to allow *in situ* hydrogel formation under
304 physiological conditions [64]. Another approach was demonstrated by Yu and co-
305 workers who fabricated chitosan microparticles as modular components for tissue
306 engineering, with an ECM-like nanofibrous structure using a physical gelation process
307 without resorting to any toxic or denaturizing agent [65]. Besides acting as cell-
308 anchoring and delivery platforms, these particles can integrate specialized activities
309 such as the ability to selectively recruit different cell types through presentation of
310 bioinstructive moieties (e.g. antibodies and GFs) aiming a better control of cellular
311 function [8,9]. Furthermore, they can also induce highly localized responses, modulating
312 the surrounding microenvironment, acting as life-like 'synthetic cells' capable of
313 communicating with their counterparts and induce biological functions, such as protein
314 production [66] and even emulate stem cell function during tissue repair [45]. Self-
315 assembly of multicellular aggregates with incorporated microparticles can establish
316 interconnected networks and can lead to the formation of robust macroscopic tissue
317 constructs with mechanical stability. For instance, gelatin microspheres were
318 incorporated within self-assembled vascular tissue rings as GFs delivery vehicle and to
319 improve its mechanical properties and morphology [6]. This potentiates the spatially
320 control release of bioactive molecules to help overcome diffusion limitations and allows
321 control of tissue structure and function in order to fabricate more intricate constructs,
322 aiming at novel vascularization strategies. Nonetheless, the assembly process of these
323 building units is not only achieved by cell-driven organization and ECM deposition. In
324 fact, the material itself can be designed in order to improve interlocking ability between
325 contiguous particles, enabling a rapid *in situ* tissue biofabrication [67]. Microparticles

326 have proven to be a suitable approach for the bottom-up engineering of complex 3D
327 constructs and as a plausible injectable system for the *in vivo* regeneration of several
328 tissues such as cartilage [5,14,64,68], bone [63,69–71] and heart [45,72,73]. However, a
329 few drawbacks are yet to overcome regarding implantation within the body. As the
330 structure of the engineered construct might not be perfectly uniform, those can be
331 prone to clogging and cause a blockage in the needle, causing cells to be exposed to a
332 stressful environment due to shear stress that happens during extrusion, culminating in
333 a decrease of cell viability. Once in the body, the particles may exhibit low retention and
334 fixation in the defect area, and may diffuse to other sites, prompting inflammation and
335 embolization, or impeding the particles' from contacting the surrounding tissue and
336 performing their pro-regenerative role [64]. The scaffolding material and the control
337 over its degradation rate are two critical aspects that may help decrease such problems.

338 *Incorporating microparticles in in vitro 3D Tissues and Disease Models*

339 The generation of tissue-like constructs or organotypic structures is a fast-growing field,
340 remarkable for therapeutic effects on Regenerative Medicine, with the aim to
341 regenerate or replace tissues and organs [6]. Moreover, these structures are also
342 relevant for research purposes in areas that include cell biology - used to understand
343 underlying cell mechanisms -, and in drug-screening as platforms for toxicity assessment
344 [35,74]. Nowadays, 3D cell culture methods which typically comprises the generation of
345 scaffold-free spheroids cellular aggregates are promising strategies to replace well-
346 established 2D cell culture approaches. Although they can better replicate the
347 physiological tissues' microenvironments in a spatially relevant manner, there are still
348 some limitations that may be surpassed by introducing biomaterials, including
349 micrometric particles, into the cellular constructs [11,75,76]. A common limitation of
350 cell-exclusive aggregates is associated with the lack of vascularization, which limits the
351 transportation and diffusion of nutrients, oxygen or even drugs compared to a
352 vascularized native tissue. Additionally, engineered extracellular environments can fail
353 to reproduce intrinsic signalling cues and the complex organization of the native tissue.
354 Introducing microparticles within the cellular aggregates constitutes a viable way to
355 modulate the biochemical and physical properties of the microenvironment (Figure 2C).
356 In fact, they can act as reservoirs, providing local and controlled presentation of soluble

357 and tethered molecules. Apart from providing the typical structural support for cell
358 growth [77], they can be loaded with small molecules or present tethered proteins in a
359 precisely-controlled spatiotemporal and uniform manner [78]. This approach proves to
360 be more efficient for morphogen delivery than the simple soluble delivery and it aids
361 directly the differentiation of stem cells. The presentation of cell adhesion molecules
362 also represents a plausible way to direct cell fate and enhance biological functions, due
363 to activation of several signalling pathways [79,80]. The presentation of differentiating
364 moieties is imperative to drive cell lineage commitment, and naïve biomaterials have
365 proven to also influence cellular fate throughout aggregates [81]. Moreover, they can
366 better control aggregate structure, improving its mechanical properties [82]. These
367 mechanically-tailored particles can modulate cytoskeletal organization and
368 subsequently alter intracellular mechanotransduction signalling cascades [83].
369 Furthermore, they can also act like sensors, reporting key characteristics of the local
370 microenvironment, such as oxygen and pH levels or even protease activity [84,85]. To
371 this extent, can offer a great way for scale-up approaches and **High-Throughput**
372 **Screening** (HTS) platforms.

373 Besides the modelling of healthy tissues [77], there is also the generation of several *in*
374 *vitro* disease models [10–12,86]. Soker and colleagues demonstrated the creation of a
375 liver-tumor hybrid organoid for tumor growth and as a metastasis model [10]. The use
376 of a microgravity simulating Rotating Wall Vessel (RWV) bioreactor allied to cell culture
377 onto HA and gelatin-coated microcarriers allowed the generation of 3D aggregates
378 based on natural affinities resembling the physiological environment. The hydrogel-
379 coated particles provided a scaffolding surface for cell growth while mimicking the
380 naturally occurring ECM components, facilitating the suspended culture of adherent
381 cells within the bioreactor and promoting an enzyme-free cell release through hydrogel
382 degradability under mildly reductive conditions. In fact, expression of cell surface
383 markers showed significant differences between 2D and 3D culture setups, where in the
384 latter they were consistent with a metastatic phenotype, suggesting its higher relevance
385 as accurate systems to create organotypic structures. Scaffold-free models often lack
386 ECM-like cues and, therefore, there is a deficiency of pre-existing ECM components
387 within the cell aggregate which prevents early ECM deposition, only to be cell-

388 assembled during culture periods, weakening the physical resistance. The incorporation
389 of ECM-mimetics and spatial interconnectivity providers, namely instructive
390 microparticles as cue providers to achieve on-demand biological responses, may
391 improve the ability of the aggregates to better resemble the native physiology while
392 affecting the synthesis of endogenous ECM, already at an early stage of the assembled
393 constructs [12]. Such approach was also applied to engineer hybrid 3D *in vitro* lung
394 tumour model with a robust architecture and an emulating tumour microenvironment
395 which was possible through the incorporation of HA-coated microparticles [11].

396 *Engineering micro scaffold-based inks for 3D Bioprinting*

397 3D Bioprinting is a promising biomanufacturing strategy that enables the fabrication of
398 tissue-like constructs with custom-made architectures by the controlled deposition of a
399 'raw material' – bioink. However, since it is a relatively new technique there are still
400 some challenges that need to be addressed. Besides the integration of a vascularized
401 network within the constructs, another major challenge is to create functional and
402 clinically-relevant grafts which requires the encapsulation of high amounts of cells
403 [87,88]. Although hydrogels constitute the most desirable material type used for bioink
404 manufacture, they are known for mostly providing highly hydrophilic and bio-inert
405 microenvironments in which suspended cells are constrained to a round shape,
406 regardless of cell type or native morphology that often result in cell depletion and low
407 viability. Moreover, cell-encapsulation strategies in hydrogels are associated with cell
408 constraints and fewer cell interactions due to inadequacy of cell spread and migration.
409 Providing an anchor to support cell growth and proliferation has been suggested as a
410 viable way to conquer this problem. A composite material comprising collagen
411 microcarriers embedded in an alginate hydrogel containing collagenase provided not
412 only a cell-affinitive interface but also sufficient cell spreading spaces upon collagen
413 degradation [89]. Apart from these, most hydrogels are often portrayed as "soft"
414 materials, lacking good mechanical properties for a proper bioprintability. While a
415 hydrogel-based bioink can easily lose its structural integrity, a hybrid micro scaffold-
416 based ink, composed by cell-laden microspheres encapsulated in a thin agarose-collagen
417 hydrogel layer, was developed to improve material stability during and post-print. The
418 hydrogel acting as a glue to tightly pack the particles allowed a great improvement of

419 the compression strength compared to the scaffold-free hydrogel [90]. Inspired by the
420 structural stability triggered by the capillary bridges found amid the wetted sand
421 granules in sandcastle formation, Velez and colleagues developed an elastomeric ink
422 composed of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) in the form of both precured beads coated
423 with the uncured precursor liquid, which acts as a binding agent. [91] This capillary-
424 based suspension ink renders extremely resilient, but delicate fibres with an excellent
425 elasticity, and flexibility, and controlled porosity, holding a great potential in many
426 biomedical applications. Burdick and colleagues also developed a granular bioink
427 composed exclusively of densely-packed microgels [92]. In this work, cross-linked
428 particles of various types of materials were extruded as stable filaments, either over a
429 surface or within a hydrogel matrix, forming smooth aggregates without interparticle
430 linkages, or even the need of any material as a binding agent. Systems such as injectable
431 cell-laden microcarriers embedded in hydrogels (Figure 2D) have proven to not only
432 provide platforms for cellular focal adhesion but also facilitate the cells to overcome gel
433 entrapment and fully spread out into their natural morphology, maximizing cell-cell
434 interactions while providing a structural support throughout the hydrogels' matrices
435 [93]. In fact, a work from Mateos-Timoneda and colleagues shows the fabrication of
436 living osteochondral constructs through bioprinting of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-
437 laden polylactic acid (PLA) microcarriers encapsulated in gelatin methacrylamide-gellan
438 gum bioinks [13]. It was demonstrated that PLA microcarriers not only allowed for highly
439 cell-concentrated and viable structures but also improved bioink's compressive
440 modulus, acting as reinforcement units that increase the mechanical strength of the gel
441 without compromising the of the hydrogel network and its bioprintability. Furthermore,
442 this system offered a high cell-anchoring surface that supported osteogenic
443 differentiation and bone matrix deposition compared to cells suspended in the hydrogel
444 system. In addition to these microcarrier/hydrogel hybrid bioinks, different types of
445 materials can be exploited as the extruded material, replacing hydrogel-based inks.
446 Considered as a "soft" material, the mechanical properties of hydrogels do not resemble
447 those exhibited by hard tissues, such as bone. Müller and co-workers fabricated a
448 biomechanically stable bioink with morphogenetic potential, suitable as a bone implant,
449 composed by calcium polyphosphate (Ca-polyP) particles within a poly- ϵ -caprolactone
450 (PCL) matrix [94]. PolyP promoted bone remodeling and regeneration, as PCL act as a

451 reinforcing material, hardening the scaffold to match that of the bone. Recently,
452 bioprinting devices have been adapted and included in an automated bioassembly
453 system allowing the generation of living constructs, suitable for clinical translation. A
454 multistep bottom-up strategy that combined the fabrication of a layer-by-layer built
455 scaffold and the co-assembly of cell-laden particles within the scaffold enabled the
456 creation of complex hierarchical structures [95]. These evidences reveal the great
457 potential held by microparticle incorporation within printable matrices through 3D
458 bioprinting technology for the fabrication of biomedical models, although some
459 improvements, encompassing nozzle clogging and possible toxic byproducts, are yet to
460 be tackled [96].

461 **Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives**

462 Microparticles are a multidisciplinary system that find application beyond the traditional
463 delivery of drugs and other soluble molecules. Microcarriers with enhanced surface area
464 proved their biotechnological value as an “off-the-shelf” approach for a rapid and
465 efficient expansion and differentiation of countless clinically-relevant cells while their
466 translation to the clinic remains a stumbling-block. Further research is expected to
467 enable the design of advanced microparticles that showcase features, such as
468 biodegradability, xeno-free set-ups and suitability for implantation to adapt to different
469 applications (see Outstanding Questions Box). Several enabling technologies were
470 explored to modulate microparticles’ physical and biochemical aspects and dictate
471 several biological outputs. Still, standardized procedures that enable a precise
472 correlation between material cues and their biological response are in great need to
473 enlighten underlying mechanisms and predictable outputs. Microparticles with such
474 desired features can easily find wider applications in many different fields, namely in
475 bottom-up tissue engineering strategies as modular building-blocks to produce highly
476 intricate 3D tissue constructs with great biological value, but also in 3D tissue and
477 disease models as cue-providers to emulate the native environment, and in 3D
478 bioprinting as reinforcement units of bioinks. Exciting novel trends comprise the use of
479 completely synthetic polymeric hollow particles as life-like artificial cells capable of
480 communicating with their counterparts and induce biological functions as protein
481 production. The role of microparticles in synthetic biology is still to explore and may

482 bring outstanding breakthroughs in the development of completely autonomous or
483 hybrid artificial biological systems.

484

Table 1. Interplay of microparticle (bio)physical and biochemical cues in cellular response driven by cell attachment to biomaterials.

Type of cue	Material/Moieties	Technique	Biological Response	Application	Ref
(Bio)Physical					
Size	Alginate	Aerodynamically-assisted jetting	Cell attachment and proliferation; Increase of microgel diameter led to a decrease of cellular growth; Cell differentiation exhibited no significant dependence on microgel diameter	Large-scale cell expansion	[97]
Geometry	-	-	-	-	Not Found [#]
Anisotropy	Poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL) with a distinct rough and a smooth surface on the opposite side	Micromoulding	Strong affinity to fibroblast over hepatocytes; The rough side absorbed large amount of proteins which enhanced cell-attractiveness, regardless of cell type;	Cell isolation and protein retrieving from a heterogeneous population	[17]

			Regulation of cell-adhesion and cell cycle-related genes		
Surface Topography	Polyethylene glycol diacrylate (PEG-DA) with wrinkled surface	Stop-flow lithography	Improved cell attachment and proliferation	Cell microcarriers; Cell physiological studies; Tissue engineering	[18]
Porosity	Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)	G/O/W emulsion assisted with releases of carbon dioxide and ammonium bicarbonate degradation	High <i>in vitro</i> cell adhesion, continuous proliferation and improved differentiation of hMSCs; Supported osteoblast regeneration	Enhanced surface area cell carrier; Cell ingrowth and protection from shear stress; Tissue engineering as an injectable cell delivery system	[63]
	Chitosan	W/O emulsion-based thermally induce phase separation	Improved cell attachment, growth and spreading throughout the porous structure; Enhanced cellular activity and functions	Microcarriers for high-performance 3D cell culture	[1]
Compartmentalization	Various biodegradable polymers and a pH-responsive polymer.	Phase separation in microfluidics	-	Cell microcarriers;	[24]

				Selectively release therapeutic agents at acidic environments	
Stiffness	Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) with three different elastic moduli (soft, intermediate, stiff)	Curing of O/W emulsion non-crosslinked microdroplets; Different stiffness is attained adjusting the PDMS-curing agent ratio	Cell attachment and proliferation; Soft and stiff particles guided towards osteogenesis; Intermediate stiffness induced chondrogenesis, similarly to particle-free spheroids	Engineering toolkit for multicellular organoids in disease modelling and tissue engineering applications	[83]
Biochemical					
Antibody immobilization	Chitosan presenting anti-CD31 or anti-CD90	Aerodynamically-assisted jetting for particle fabrication and surface functionalization via Biotin/Streptadivin	Cell attachment and proliferation; Capture of HUVECs by CD31 and ASCs by CD90	Specific cell selection/ isolation from heterotypic cell populations	[9]
Growth factors immobilization	Collagen type I presenting bFGF or TGF- β 1	Homogenization in Dispomix Drive system (Axonlab) for particle formation and functionalization via carbodiimide chemistry	Improved cell attachment and proliferation; Local stimulation of cells (chondrogenesis)	Expansion and chondrogenic differentiation	[44]

	Chitosan presenting Platelet Derived Growth Factor-BB (PDGF-BB), TGF- β 1 and VEGF	Aerodynamically-assisted jetting for microsphere formation and functionalization via carbodiimide chemistry	Improved cell attachment and proliferation of ASCs	Tissue regeneration as an injectable cell delivery system	[8]
	Polystyrene-coated iron oxide microparticles presenting VEGF	VEGF immobilization via Histidine/Biotin/Streptavidin chemistry	Cell attachment and proliferation; Enhanced survival of outgrowth both in vitro and in vivo	Treatment of ischemic diseases	[43]
Biological motifs	Multi-armed PEG–vinyl sulphone presenting RGD	Microfluidic w/o emulsion for spherical microgel formation	Cell attachment and proliferation; Cell migration and integration in a 3D complex network	Tissue engineering as an injectable cell delivery system	[98]
	PEG-diacrylate (PEG-DA) presenting RGD	Polymer photo-polymerization and functionalization via acryloyl-PEG-RGDS	Improved cell attachment and proliferation	Tissue engineering of 3D vascularized microtissues	[42]
	RGD-coated PLA microcarriers	PLA particles were formed by atomization of the solution into droplets and then precipitated in a coagulation bath; RGD coating was achieved by either covalent modification or physisorbed	Covalently-linked RGD showed a slight increase in cell adhesion and better cell proliferation capacity compared to the adsorbed coating;	Manipulation over cell adhesion and migratory potential of cells	[37]

				Surface adsorbed RGD enhance cell release, promoting a better cell migration ability		
Cell membrane-coated particles (Cell-mimicking microparticles)		Cellulose decorated with red blood cell membrane	Electrospraying for red blood cell-shaped microparticle formation and coating by sonication	Prolonged circulation time of the microparticles in the blood	Drug delivery	[46]
		PLGA decorated with cardiac stem cell membrane and secretome	W/O/W emulsion and membrane coating by sonication	Cell attachment and proliferation; Emulation of the paracrine and biointerfacing activities of cardiac stem cells	Therapeutic cardiac regeneration	[45]
Proteins	ECM-derived	Laminin- and fibronectin-coated melamine resin microparticles	Proteins were adsorbed to microparticles surface	Increased β -cells adhesion to fibronectin over laminin	Study islet cell biology	[35]
		Pancreatic decellularized matrix-coated PEG-co-PLL	Microfluidic for microspheres synthesis and absorption of decellularized tissue	Improved cell survival, expression of β -cell specific genes and glucose stimulated insulin secretion	Maintenance of β -cell phenotype and function <i>in vitro</i> for diabetes therapy	[38]
		Laminin- and vitronectin-coated PS particles with a PLL layer	Both laminin and vitronectin were adsorbed onto the particles	Combining the polyelectrolyte layer with the ECM protein, cell affinity was enhanced;	Generation of a large-scale cell expansion system under continuous agitation	[36]

				<p>Laminin coating provide a better support for cell attachment and aggregation even under continuous agitation;</p> <p>Vitronectin coating requires a static pause to allow cell aggregation</p>		
		Collagen-coated PLA microcarriers	<p>PLA particles were formed by atomization of the solution into droplets and then precipitated in a coagulation bath;</p> <p>Collagen was covalently-linked and adsorbed onto carriers' surface</p>	<p>Covalently-linked collagen promoted a better cell attachment and proliferation;</p> <p>While adsorbed collagen promoted a mild cell attachment to the carrier, having a better cell release profile</p>	Manipulation over cell adhesion and migratory potential of cells	[37]
	Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)	PLGA decorated with E-cadherin fusion protein	O/W emulsion and solvent evaporation and protein immobilization via surface adsorption	Cell attachment proliferation and cytokine secretion	Cell expansion and controlled delivery of GFs	[39]
Polymers		PLL- and HA-coated PCL microparticles	O/W emulsion and solvent evaporation for PCL particles fabrication;	Cell attachment and proliferation	Tumour-ECM mimetic support;	[11]

		LbL deposition of PLL and HA for surface functionalization	Emulation of tumour environment by providing cell-ECM interactions and increased matrix deposition	Cell-anchoring hotspots; Study cell response to chemotherapeutics	
--	--	--	--	--	--

#Applications only found for cell internalization and drug delivery purposes.

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of commercialized microcarriers.

Microcarrier	Manufacturer	Material	Surface Feature	Shape	Size [#] /Pore size (µm)	Density (g/mL)	Surface Area (cm ² /g)	Storage Conditions	Harvesting method
Positively Charged (protein-free)									
Cytodex 1™	GE Healthcare	Dextran	DEAE	Spherical	147-248/n.a.	1.03	4400	RT	Trypsin
DE-52	Whatman™	Cellulose (biodegradable)	DEAE	Cylindrical	L 130 x D 35 /n.a.	0.9	6800	RT	Trypsin
DE-53	Whatman™	Cellulose (biodegradable)	DEAE	Cylindrical	L 130 x D 35 /n.a.	1.1	6800	RT	Trypsin
QA-52	Whatman™	Cellulose (biodegradable)	Quaternary Ammonium	Cylindrical	L 130 x D 35 /n.a.	1.2	6800	RT	Trypsin
Hillex®	SoloHill	Polystyrene	Cationic Trimethyl Ammonium	Spherical	160-200/n.a.	1.09-1.15	-	RT	Trypsin
Hillex II (HLX II-107)	SoloHill (Thermo Scientific)	Polystyrene	TEA	Spherical	160-180/n.a.	1.12	515	RT	Trypsin
Plastic Plus (P Plus-102-L)	SoloHill (Thermo Scientific)	Polystyrene	Uncoated	Spherical	125-212/n.a.	1.034-1.046	360	RT	Trypsin
FACT III (FACT 102-L)	SoloHill (Thermo Scientific)	Polystyrene	Uncoated	Spherical	125-212/n.a.	1.02	360	RT	Trypsin
Non/Negatively Charged (protein-free)									
Enhanced Attachment	Corning	Polystyrene	CellBIND Treatment	Spherical	125-212/n.a.	1.022-1.030	360	4°C	Trypsin
Plastic (P 102-L)	SoloHill (Thermo Scientific)	Polystyrene	Uncoated	Spherical	125-212/n.a.	1.02	360	RT	Trypsin
2D MicroHex™	Nunc	Polystyrene	Nunclon™ Treatment	Flat hexagons	L 125 x W 25 /n.a.	1.05	360	RT	Trypsin

SphereCol®	Advanced BioMatrix	Type I Collagen (bovine) (bioegradable)	Uncoated	Spherical	100-400/n.a.	1.022-1.030	-	2-10°C	Trypsin
G2767	Merck (former Sigma Aldrich)	Glass	Uncoated	Spherical	150-210/n.a.	1.03	-	RT	Trypsin
G2517	Merck (former Sigma Aldrich)	Glass	Uncoated	Spherical	90-150/n.a.	1.03	-	RT	Trypsin
G2892	Merck (former Sigma Aldrich)	Glass	Uncoated	Spherical	90-150/n.a.	1.04	-	RT	Trypsin
Collagen Coated									
Collagen (CGEN 102-L)	SoloHill (Thermo Scientific)	Polystyrene	Type I Collagen (porcine)	Spherical	125-212/n.a.	1.02	480	RT	Trypsin
Cytodex 3™	GE Healthcare	Dextran	Denatured Type I Collagen (porcine)	Spherical	141-211/n.a.	1.04	2700	RT	Trypsin
ECM Coated									
ProNectin® F (Pro-F 102-L)	SoloHill (Thermo Scientific)	Polystyrene	Recombinant Fibronectin	Spherical	125-212/n.a.	1.02	-	RT	Trypsin
Synthemax® II	Corning	Polystyrene	Synthemax® II	Spherical	125-212/n.a.	1.022-1.030	360	4°C	Trypsin
Macroporous									
Cultispher-G™	Thermo Scientific	Gelatin (biodegradable)	Uncoated	Spherical	130-380/10-20	1.03	40000	RT	Trypsin
Cultispher-S™	Thermo Scientific	Gelatin (biodegradable)	Uncoated	Spherical	130-380/10-20	1.03	75000	RT	Trypsin
Cultispher-GL™	Thermo Scientific	Gelatin (biodegradable)	Uncoated	Spherical	130-380/50-70	1.03	-	RT	Trypsin
Cytopore 1™	GE Healthcare	Dextran	DEAE	Spherical	200-280/30	1.03	11000	RT	Trypsin
Cytopore 2™	GE Healthcare	Dextran	DEAE	Spherical	200-280/30	1.03	11000	RT	Trypsin

High density									
Cytoline™	GE Healthcare	Polyethylene & Silica	Uncoated	Lens-shaped	L 2100 x W 750 /10-400	1.32	-	RT	Trypsin
Temporary									
Dissolvable Microcarriers	Corning	Polygalacturonic acid crosslinked with calcium ions	Denaturated Type I Collagen (Porcine) or Synthemax® II	Spherical	200-300, fully hydrated	1.02-1.03	5000	RT/4°C	Bead dissolution by EDTA-chelation of calcium ions, exposing polymer chains to pectinase
Abbreviations: n.a. - not applicable; EDTA – Ethylenediaminetretacetic acid; DEAE - Diethylaminoethyl; TEA - Triethylamine; RT – Room temperature - Data not found #Swelled (When applicable)									

1 *Box 1. From modular building-blocks to 3D macroscopic tissue architectures.*

2 Native tissues are characterized by being very intricate systems composed of different
3 cell types conducting a specific function and arranged in a highly ordered structure with
4 a distinct and defined spatial distribution. From a bottom-up tissue engineering strategy
5 perspective, micrometric sized particles, specially, cell-laden particles, reveal a great
6 potential to be used as modular building-blocks to recreate complex tissue
7 functionalities via development of hierarchical and biologically-functional structures
8 [99]. To this extent, several biofabrication techniques such as bioprinting and
9 bioassembly have been explored to engineer organomimetic cellular constructs [100–
10 102]. The automated assembly of the micromodule units is generated through cell-
11 driven organization, by material-material assembly, or hybrid cell-material interactions,
12 usually applied as an injectable platform in a microfabricated mould. Cellular-driven
13 assembly can be accomplished by cell-coated particles, as previously demonstrated by
14 Matsunaga and colleagues, where cells were seeded over collagen particles and injected
15 into a designed mould, promoting cell-cell adhesions [103]. Additionally, cells could
16 migrate and grow within the scaffolding material. This approach expands the potential
17 of these repeating units allowing the development of a more realistic and dynamic
18 microenvironment through co-culture techniques, allowing encapsulation and seeding
19 of different cell types aiming the formation of vascularized tissues. Microparticle
20 annealing, on the other hand, allows the fabrication of a covalently-linked 3D scaffold
21 with interconnected networks of pores suitable for cell migration and integration with
22 the surrounding tissue [41,104]. Providing sufficient space for the cells to expand and
23 proliferate through the construct, this novel biomaterial can circumvent the need of
24 material degradation before neotissue growth. Another particle-driven assembly
25 strategy is based on the direct assembly of, so called, lockyballs, specifically designed to
26 have hoops and loops to enhance random interlocking between neighbouring particles,
27 promoting different levels of flexibility and mobility of the resulting structure. [67]. Due
28 to being a hollow structure and having a very porous wall, these microscaffolds allow an
29 efficient cellularization which allied to the singular architectural features allows a rapid
30 *in situ* tissue construct biofabrication. Although the assembly of macrotissues is often
31 made in a randomly-packed manner, the precision and control over the organization of

32 the building-blocks to produce highly-ordered structures is of great importance in order
33 to recreate accurate tissue-like constructs with physiological significance, such as the
34 anisotropy existent in several tissues and organs [42,105]. These exceedingly precise
35 architectures can be magnetically-driven, through microfluidics and molecular
36 recognition [106,107]. Moreover, a guidance procedure with a clear-cut precision was
37 developed by Yang and co-workers with the ability to manipulate the modules almost in
38 a Lego-like manner into very sophisticated 3D designs [108]. This Tetris-style assembly
39 reveals the undoubtable impact that geometrically designed microstructures may have
40 in the assembly of functional biological structures with complex hierarchical and highly
41 spatially-organized features, closely resembling architectural aspects of the native
42 tissues found within the human body.

43 **Acknowledgments**

44 This work was supported by European Research Council grant agreement ERC-2014-
45 ADG-669858 (project ATLAS), by the Programa Operacional Competitividade e
46 Internacionalização, in the component FEDER, and by national funds (OE) through
47 FCT/MCTES, in the scope of the projects ‘TranSphera’ (PTDC/BTM-ORG/30770/2017)
48 and ‘Margel’ (PTDC/BTM-MAT/31498/2017). This work was also developed within the
49 scope of the project CICECO-Aveiro Institute of Materials, POCI-01-0145-FEDER-007679
50 (FCT Ref. UID/CTM/50011/2013), financed by national funds through the FCT/MEC and
51 when appropriate cofinanced by FEDER under the PT2020 Partnership Agreement.

52

53 **References**

- 54 1 Huang, L. *et al.* (2018) Porous chitosan microspheres as microcarriers for 3D cell
55 culture. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 202, 611–620
- 56 2 Rafiq, Q.A. *et al.* (2017) Process development of human multipotent stromal cell
57 microcarrier culture using an automated high-throughput microbioreactor.
58 *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 114, 2253–2266
- 59 3 Oliveira, M.B. and Mano, J.F. (2011) Polymer-based microparticles in tissue
60 engineering and regenerative medicine. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 27, 897–912
- 61 4 Dias, A.D. *et al.* (2017) Microcarriers with Synthetic Hydrogel Surfaces for Stem
62 Cell Expansion. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 6, 1700072
- 63 5 Wang, Y. *et al.* (2018) Fabrication of nanofibrous microcarriers mimicking

64 extracellular matrix for functional microtissue formation and cartilage
65 regeneration. *Biomaterials* 171, 118–132

66 6 Strobel, H.A. *et al.* (2017) Cellular Self-Assembly with Microsphere Incorporation
67 for Growth Factor Delivery Within Engineered Vascular Tissue Rings. *Tissue Eng.*
68 *Part A* 23, 143–155

69 7 Le, L. V. *et al.* (2018) Injectable hyaluronic acid based microrods provide local
70 micromechanical and biochemical cues to attenuate cardiac fibrosis after
71 myocardial infarction. *Biomaterials* 169, 11–21

72 8 Custódio, C.A. *et al.* (2014) Functionalized Microparticles Producing Scaffolds in
73 Combination with Cells. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 24, 1391–1400

74 9 Custódio, C.A. *et al.* (2015) Cell selective chitosan microparticles as injectable
75 cell carriers for tissue regeneration. *Biomaterials* 43, 23–31

76 10 Skardal, A. *et al.* (2015) Liver-Tumor Hybrid Organoids for Modeling Tumor
77 Growth and Drug Response In Vitro. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 43, 2361–2373

78 11 Ferreira, L.P. *et al.* (2018) Bioinstructive microparticles for self-assembly of
79 mesenchymal stem Cell-3D tumor spheroids. *Biomaterials* 185, 155–173

80 12 Brancato, V. *et al.* (2018) 3D breast cancer microtissue reveals the role of tumor
81 microenvironment on the transport and efficacy of free-doxorubicin in vitro.
82 *Acta Biomater.* 75, 200–212

83 13 Levato, R. *et al.* (2014) Biofabrication of tissue constructs by 3D bioprinting of
84 cell-laden microcarriers. *Biofabrication* 6, 035020

85 14 Morille, M. *et al.* (2016) PLGA-based microcarriers induce mesenchymal stem
86 cell chondrogenesis and stimulate cartilage repair in osteoarthritis. *Biomaterials*
87 88, 60–69

88 15 Mitragotri, S. and Lahann, J. (2009) Physical approaches to biomaterial design.
89 *Nat. Mater.* 8, 15–23

90 16 He, Y. and Park, K. (2016) Effects of the Microparticle Shape on Cellular Uptake.
91 *Mol. Pharm.* 13, 2164–71

92 17 Zheng, H. *et al.* (2018) Biodegradable Anisotropic Microparticles for Stepwise
93 Cell Adhesion and Preparation of Janus Cell Microparticles. *ACS Appl. Mater.*
94 *Interfaces* 10, 36776–36785

95 18 Li, M. *et al.* (2016) Wrinkling Non-Spherical Particles and Its Application in Cell
96 Attachment Promotion. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 30463

97 19 Meyer, R.A. *et al.* (2015) An automated multidimensional thin film stretching
98 device for the generation of anisotropic polymeric micro- and nanoparticles. *J.*
99 *Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* 103, 2747–2757

100 20 de Alteriis, R. *et al.* (2015) A method to tune the shape of protein-encapsulated
101 polymeric microspheres. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 12634

102 21 Bhaskar, S. and Lahann, J. (2009) Microstructured Materials Based on
103 Multicompartmental Fibers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131, 6650–6651

104 22 Bhaskar, S. *et al.* (2010) Towards Designer Microparticles: Simultaneous Control
105 of Anisotropy, Shape, and Size. *Small* 6, 404–411

106 23 Choi, C.-H. *et al.* (2016) One-step generation of cell-laden microgels using
107 double emulsion drops with a sacrificial ultra-thin oil shell. *Lab Chip* 16, 1549–55

108 24 Min, N.G. *et al.* (2016) Microfluidic Production of Uniform Microcarriers with
109 Multicompartmental through Phase Separation in Emulsion Drops. *Chem. Mater.*
110 28, 1430–1438

111 25 Qiu, Y. *et al.* (2015) Microfluidic-based fabrication, characterization and
112 magnetic functionalization of microparticles with novel internal anisotropic
113 structure. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13060

114 26 Udoh, C.E. *et al.* (2016) Microporous Polymer Particles via Phase Inversion in
115 Microfluidics: Impact of Nonsolvent Quality. *Langmuir* 32, 8131–8140

116 27 Choi, C.-H. *et al.* (2015) Controlled fabrication of multicompartmental polymeric
117 microparticles by sequential micromolding via surface-tension-induced droplet
118 formation. *Langmuir* 31, 1328–1335

119 28 McHugh, K.J. *et al.* (2017) Fabrication of fillable microparticles and other
120 complex 3D microstructures. *Science* 357, 1138–1142

121 29 Neto, A.I. *et al.* (2018) Patterned superhydrophobic surfaces to process and
122 characterize biomaterials and 3D cell culture. *Mater. Horizons* 5, 379–393

123 30 Costa, A.M.S. and Mano, J.F. (2017) Solvent-Free Strategy Yields Size and Shape-
124 Uniform Capsules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 139, 1057–1060

125 31 Neto, A.I. *et al.* (2016) Fabrication of Hydrogel Particles of Defined Shapes Using
126 Superhydrophobic-Hydrophilic Micropatterns. *Adv. Mater.* 28, 7613–7619

127 32 Heidariyan, Z. *et al.* (2018) Efficient and cost-effective generation of hepatocyte-
128 like cells through microparticle-mediated delivery of growth factors in a 3D
129 culture of human pluripotent stem cells. *Biomaterials* 159, 174–188

130 33 Martins, C.R. *et al.* (2018) Multifunctional laminarin microparticles for cell
131 adhesion and expansion. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 202, 91–98

132 34 Custódio, C.A. *et al.* (2014) Engineering Biomolecular Microenvironments for
133 Cell Instructive Biomaterials. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 3, 797–810

134 35 Bernard, A.B. *et al.* (2014) Controlled local presentation of matrix proteins in
135 microparticle-laden cell aggregates. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 111, 1028–1037

136 36 Lam, A.T.-L. *et al.* (2014) Cationic surface charge combined with either
137 vitronectin or laminin dictates the evolution of human embryonic stem
138 cells/microcarrier aggregates and cell growth in agitated cultures. *Stem Cells*
139 *Dev.* 23, 1688–703

140 37 Levato, R. *et al.* (2015) Role of ECM/peptide coatings on SDF-1 α triggered
141 mesenchymal stromal cell migration from microcarriers for cell therapy. *Acta*
142 *Biomater.* 18, 59–67

143 38 Li, W. *et al.* (2013) Microbead-based biomimetic synthetic neighbors enhance
144 survival and function of rat pancreatic β -cells. *Sci. Rep.* 3, 2863

145 39 Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2016) Enhanced Biological Functions of Human Mesenchymal
146 Stem-Cell Aggregates Incorporating E-Cadherin-Modified PLGA Microparticles.
147 *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 5, 1949–1959

148 40 Wronska, M.A. *et al.* (2016) Adding Functions to Biomaterial Surfaces through
149 Protein Incorporation. *Adv. Mater.* 28, 5485–5508

150 41 Griffin, D.R. *et al.* (2015) Accelerated wound healing by injectable microporous
151 gel scaffolds assembled from annealed building blocks. *Nat. Mater.* 14, 737–744

152 42 Wang, H. *et al.* (2017) Assembly of RGD-Modified Hydrogel Micromodules into
153 Permeable Three-Dimensional Hollow Microtissues Mimicking in Vivo Tissue
154 Structures. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 9, 41669–41679

155 43 Aday, S. *et al.* (2017) Synthetic microparticles conjugated with VEGF165 improve
156 the survival of endothelial progenitor cells via microRNA-17 inhibition. *Nat.*
157 *Commun.* 8, 747

158 44 Bertolo, A. *et al.* (2015) Growth Factors Cross-Linked to Collagen Microcarriers
159 Promote Expansion and Chondrogenic Differentiation of Human Mesenchymal
160 Stem Cells. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 21, 2618–2628

161 45 Tang, J. *et al.* (2017) Therapeutic microparticles functionalized with biomimetic
162 cardiac stem cell membranes and secretome. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 13724

163 46 Hayashi, K. *et al.* (2018) Red Blood Cell-Shaped Microparticles with a Red Blood
164 Cell Membrane Demonstrate Prolonged Circulation Time in Blood. *ACS*
165 *Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 4, 2729–2732

166 47 Shekaran, A. *et al.* (2015) Enhanced in vitro osteogenic differentiation of human
167 fetal MSCs attached to 3D microcarriers versus harvested from 2D monolayers.
168 *BMC Biotechnol.* 15, 102

169 48 Gupta, P. *et al.* (2016) Optimization of agitation speed in spinner flask for
170 microcarrier structural integrity and expansion of induced pluripotent stem
171 cells. *Cytotechnology* 68, 45–59

172 49 Borys, B.S. *et al.* (2018) Scale-up of embryonic stem cell aggregate stirred
173 suspension bioreactor culture enabled by computational fluid dynamics
174 modeling. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 133, 157–167

175 50 Eicke, D. *et al.* (2018) Large-scale production of megakaryocytes in microcarrier-
176 supported stirred suspension bioreactors. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 10146

177 51 Confalonieri, D. *et al.* (2017) * An Injectable Recombinant Collagen I Peptide-
178 Based Macroporous Microcarrier Allows Superior Expansion of C2C12 and
179 Human Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells and Supports
180 Deposition of Mineralized Matrix. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 23, 946–957

181 52 YekrangSafakar, A. *et al.* (2018) Hollow microcarriers for large-scale expansion
182 of anchorage-dependent cells in a stirred bioreactor. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 115,
183 1717–1728

184 53 Srinivasan, G. *et al.* (2018) An integrated biomanufacturing platform for the
185 large-scale expansion and neuronal differentiation of human pluripotent stem
186 cell-derived neural progenitor cells. *Acta Biomater.* 74, 168–179

187 54 Hsu, C.Y.M. *et al.* (2018) An Integrated Approach toward the Biomanufacturing
188 of Engineered Cell Therapy Products in a Stirred-Suspension Bioreactor. *Mol.*
189 *Ther. - Methods Clin. Dev.* 9, 376–389

190 55 Martin, Y.H. *et al.* (2017) A novel system for expansion and delivery of human
191 keratinocytes for the treatment of severe cutaneous injuries using microcarriers
192 and compressed collagen. *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.* 11, 3124–3133

193 56 Li, C. *et al.* (2016) Alginate/PEG based microcarriers with cleavable crosslinkage
194 for expansion and non-invasive harvest of human umbilical cord blood
195 mesenchymal stem cells. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 64, 43–53

196 57 Fan, Y. *et al.* (2017) Engineering Xeno-Free Microcarriers with Recombinant
197 Vitronectin, Albumin and UV Irradiation for Human Pluripotent Stem Cell
198 Bioprocessing. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 3, 1510–1518

199 58 Rodrigues, C.A. *et al.* (2018) Scalable culture of human induced pluripotent cells
200 on microcarriers under xeno-free conditions using single-use vertical-wheel™
201 bioreactors. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 93, 3597–3606

202 59 Badenes, S.M. *et al.* (2017) Long-term expansion of human induced pluripotent
203 stem cells in a microcarrier-based dynamic system. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*
204 92, 492–503

205 60 Zhang, C. *et al.* (2009) Towards a human-on-chip: Culturing multiple cell types
206 on a chip with compartmentalized microenvironments. *Lab Chip* 9, 3185
207 61 Leferink, A. *et al.* (2014) Engineered Micro-Objects as Scaffolding Elements in
208 Cellular Building Blocks for Bottom-Up Tissue Engineering Approaches. *Adv.*
209 *Mater.* 26, 2592–2599
210 62 Yajima, Y. *et al.* (2017) Collagen Microparticle-Mediated 3D Cell Organization: A
211 Facile Route to Bottom-up Engineering of Thick and Porous Tissues. *ACS*
212 *Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 3, 2144–2154
213 63 Wei, D.-X. *et al.* (2018) A Micro-Ark for Cells: Highly Open Porous
214 Polyhydroxyalkanoate Microspheres as Injectable Scaffolds for Tissue
215 Regeneration. *Adv. Mater.* 30, 1802273
216 64 Liao, J. *et al.* (2017) Injectable Alginate Hydrogel Cross-Linked by Calcium
217 Gluconate-Loaded Porous Microspheres for Cartilage Tissue Engineering. *ACS*
218 *Omega* 2, 443–454
219 65 Zhou, Y. *et al.* (2016) Chitosan microspheres with an extracellular matrix-
220 mimicking nanofibrous structure as cell-carrier building blocks for bottom-up
221 cartilage tissue engineering. *Nanoscale* 8, 309–317
222 66 Niederholtmeyer, H. *et al.* (2018) Communication and quorum sensing in non-
223 living mimics of eukaryotic cells. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5027
224 67 Silva, K.R. *et al.* (2016) Delivery of Human Adipose Stem Cells Spheroids into
225 Lockyballs. *PLoS One* 11, e0166073
226 68 Correia, C.R. *et al.* (2016) A Closed Chondromimetic Environment within
227 Magnetic-Responsive Liquified Capsules Encapsulating Stem Cells and Collagen
228 II/TGF- β 3 Microparticles. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 5, 1346–1355
229 69 Duan, B. *et al.* (2017) Hierarchical Microspheres Constructed from Chitin
230 Nanofibers Penetrated Hydroxyapatite Crystals for Bone Regeneration.
231 *Biomacromolecules* 18, 2080–2089
232 70 Correia, C.R. *et al.* (2017) In vivo osteogenic differentiation of stem cells inside
233 compartmentalized capsules loaded with co-cultured endothelial cells. *Acta*
234 *Biomater.* 53, 483–494
235 71 Correia, C.R. *et al.* (2016) Semipermeable Capsules Wrapping a Multifunctional
236 and Self-regulated Co-culture Microenvironment for Osteogenic Differentiation.
237 *Sci. Rep.* 6, 21883
238 72 Mealy, J.E. *et al.* (2018) Injectable Granular Hydrogels with Multifunctional
239 Properties for Biomedical Applications. *Adv. Mater.* 30, 1705912
240 73 Chen, M.H. *et al.* (2018) Injectable Supramolecular Hydrogel/Microgel
241 Composites for Therapeutic Delivery. *Macromol. Biosci.* 19, 1800248
242 74 Ferreira, L.P. *et al.* (2018) Design of spherically structured 3D in vitro tumor
243 models -Advances and prospects. *Acta Biomater.* 75, 11–34
244 75 Yamada, M. *et al.* (2015) Cell-sized condensed collagen microparticles for
245 preparing microengineered composite spheroids of primary hepatocytes. *Lab*
246 *Chip* 15, 3941–3951
247 76 Jakob, P.H. *et al.* (2016) A 3-D cell culture system to study epithelia functions
248 using microcarriers. *Cytotechnology* 68, 1813–25
249 77 Skardal, A. *et al.* (2010) The generation of 3-D tissue models based on
250 hyaluronan hydrogel-coated microcarriers within a rotating wall vessel
251 bioreactor. *Biomaterials* 31, 8426–8435

- 252 78 Bratt-Leal, A.M. *et al.* (2013) A microparticle approach to morphogen delivery
253 within pluripotent stem cell aggregates. *Biomaterials* 34, 7227–7235
- 254 79 Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2016) Enhanced Biological Functions of Human Mesenchymal
255 Stem-Cell Aggregates Incorporating E-Cadherin-Modified PLGA Microparticles.
256 *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 5, 1949–1959
- 257 80 Ahmad, T. *et al.* (2017) Hybrid-spheroids incorporating ECM like engineered
258 fragmented fibers potentiate stem cell function by improved cell/cell and
259 cell/ECM interactions. *Acta Biomater.* 64, 161–175
- 260 81 Bratt-Leal, A.M. *et al.* (2011) Incorporation of biomaterials in multicellular
261 aggregates modulates pluripotent stem cell differentiation. *Biomaterials* 32, 48–
262 56
- 263 82 Baraniak, P.R. *et al.* (2012) Stiffening of human mesenchymal stem cell spheroid
264 microenvironments induced by incorporation of gelatin microparticles. *J. Mech.*
265 *Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 11, 63–71
- 266 83 Abbasi, F. *et al.* (2018) Engineering mesenchymal stem cell spheroids by
267 incorporation of mechanoregulator microparticles. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed.*
268 *Mater.* 84, 74–87
- 269 84 Yue, S. *et al.* (2017) SERS–Fluorescence Dual-Mode pH-Sensing Method Based
270 on Janus Microparticles. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 9, 39699–39707
- 271 85 Shin, D.S. *et al.* (2018) Synthesis of Microgel Sensors for Spatial and Temporal
272 Monitoring of Protease Activity. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 4, 378–387
- 273 86 Barrila, J. *et al.* (2017) Three-dimensional organotypic co-culture model of
274 intestinal epithelial cells and macrophages to study *Salmonella enterica*
275 colonization patterns. *npj Microgravity* 3, 10
- 276 87 Kolesky, D.B. *et al.* (2014) 3D Bioprinting of Vascularized, Heterogeneous Cell-
277 Laden Tissue Constructs. *Adv. Mater.* 26, 3124–3130
- 278 88 Neufurth, M. *et al.* (2017) 3D printing of hybrid biomaterials for bone tissue
279 engineering: Calcium-polyphosphate microparticles encapsulated by
280 polycaprolactone. *Acta Biomater.* 64, 377–388
- 281 89 Zhong, M. *et al.* (2014) Establishing a cell-affinitive interface and spreading
282 space in a 3D hydrogel by introduction of microcarriers and an enzyme. *J.*
283 *Mater. Chem. B* 2, 6601–6610
- 284 90 Tan, Y.J. *et al.* (2016) Hybrid micro scaffold-based 3D bioprinting of multi-cellular
285 constructs with high compressive strength: A new biofabrication strategy. *Sci.*
286 *Rep.* 6, 39140
- 287 91 Roh, S. *et al.* (2017) 3D Printing by Multiphase Silicone/Water Capillary Inks.
288 *Adv. Mater.* 29, 1701554
- 289 92 Highley, C.B. *et al.* (2018) Jammed Microgel Inks for 3D Printing Applications.
290 *Adv. Sci.* 6, 1801076
- 291 93 Wang, C. *et al.* (2009) The control of anchorage-dependent cell behavior within
292 a hydrogel/microcarrier system in an osteogenic model. *Biomaterials* 30, 2259–
293 2269
- 294 94 Neufurth, M. *et al.* (2017) 3D printing of hybrid biomaterials for bone tissue
295 engineering: Calcium-polyphosphate microparticles encapsulated by
296 polycaprolactone. *Acta Biomater.* 64, 377–388
- 297 95 Mekhileri, N. V *et al.* (2018) Automated 3D bioassembly of micro-tissues for
298 biofabrication of hybrid tissue engineered constructs. *Biofabrication* 10, 024103

299 96 Hospodiuk, M. *et al.* (2017) The bioink: A comprehensive review on bioprintable
300 materials. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 35, 217–239

301 97 Schmidt, J.J. *et al.* (2011) The Interplay Between Cell Adhesion Cues and
302 Curvature of Cell Adherent Alginate Microgels in Multipotent Stem Cell Culture.
303 *Tissue Eng. Part A* 17, 2687–2694

304 98 Griffin, D.R. *et al.* (2015) Accelerated wound healing by injectable microporous
305 gel scaffolds assembled from annealed building blocks. *Nat. Mater.* 14, 737–744

306 99 Li, F. *et al.* (2018) Cartilage tissue formation through assembly of microgels
307 containing mesenchymal stem cells. *Acta Biomater.* 77, 48–62

308 100 Kamperman, T. *et al.* (2017) Single Cell Microgel Based Modular Bioinks for
309 Uncoupled Cellular Micro- and Macroenvironments. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 6,
310 1600913

311 101 Graham, A.D. *et al.* (2017) High-Resolution Patterned Cellular Constructs by
312 Droplet-Based 3D Printing. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 7004

313 102 Zhong, M. *et al.* (2017) Vascularization in Engineered Tissue Construct by
314 Assembly of Cellular Patterned Micromodules and Degradable Microspheres.
315 *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 9, 3524–3534

316 103 Matsunaga, Y.T. *et al.* (2011) Molding Cell Beads for Rapid Construction of
317 Macroscopic 3D Tissue Architecture. *Adv. Mater.* 23, H90–H94

318 104 Sheikhi, A. *et al.* (2019) Microfluidic-enabled bottom-up hydrogels from
319 annealable naturally-derived protein microbeads. *Biomaterials* 192, 560–568

320 105 Lee, B.W. *et al.* (2016) Modular Assembly Approach to Engineer Geometrically
321 Precise Cardiovascular Tissue. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 5, 900–906

322 106 Sun, T. *et al.* (2018) Magnetic alginate microfibers as scaffolding elements for
323 the fabrication of microvascular-like structures. *Acta Biomater.* 66, 272–281

324 107 Ma, S. *et al.* (2017) Gel Microrods for 3D Tissue Printing. *Adv. Biosyst.* 1,
325 1700075

326 108 Yang, W. *et al.* (2017) High-Throughput Fabrication and Modular Assembly of 3D
327 Heterogeneous Microscale Tissues. *Small* 13, 1602769

328

Figure Captions:

Figure 1. Schematic representation of (bio)chemical/physical cues and architectural features as modulating moieties of microparticles.

Figure 2. Overview of the multidisciplinary nature of microparticles. (A) Microparticle conventional approach as microcarrier platforms within bioreactors for large-scale cell expansion and differentiation. (B) Microparticles as moldable and injectable systems, able to accurately fill and fit in irregularly-shaped defects and promote tissue regeneration. (C) Microparticles as structural supports and cue providers within multicellular aggregates. (D) New generation of modular bioinks composed of (i) solely and tightly-packed microparticles (granular inks/gels) and (ii) of particle embedded in hydrogel matrices.