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Abstract — the position sensitive (or sensing) detectors (PSD) are special special monolithic PIN photodiodes featuring a series of lateral electrodes in order to achieve a 1D or 2D displacement measurement of an optical spot. Compared to the discrete array sensors, the PSD have many advantages including high positioning resolution, fast response time and very simple analogue signal conditioning circuits. This paper investigates the metrology of a two-dimensional PSD working alternately in direct or indirect (reflective) mode. The possible applications consist in precise assembly of optical MEMS micromirrors or fast tracking of an object over a pneumatic smart-surface. The first results showed a good linearity and a fast response time, which successfully enable such applications for PSD sensors.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The micro-robotic applications often involve high dynamics control. Rapid sensors for micro-objects positioning are thus required, combining high accuracy and repeatability, some important features required to attain a precise loop controls. In the microrobotics area, the control usually relies on camera-based visual servoing (VS) techniques [1] or on external sensors such as interferometers or laser triangulators. In the first case, the VS-based applications may require extensive computing resources especially in the case of fast dynamics systems. This may be the case of a fast object manipulation (in under 0.1 seconds) over a pneumatically-actuated microconveyor called smart-surface [2]. On the second case, the bulky external sensors prevent multiple degrees-of-freedom (DoF) evaluation in such confined spaces. This is the case for hybrid MOEMS assembly such as [3] where precise micromirror alignment in 3 separate DoFs (translation and tilt) is required. Therefore, for both simplicity and cost-effectiveness reasons, we investigated the PSD sensors suitability in these two stated applications.

The potential of the PSDs are in many fields of activity, as some cost-effective sensors generating continuous and lag-free data easily embeddable into even low power microcontroller-based PID controllers. Regarding the existing PSD applications, actually they are quite dispersed, for instance aeronautics, civil engineering [4], surgery [5] etc., all using light sources (e.g. laser, led) projected by lens or mirrors over the 1D or 2D PSD surfaces.

To test the performance of this kind of sensor for the microrobotic applications [2][3], this short paper shows a comparative analysis between a commercially-available PSD[6], a closed-loop piezoelectric nano-translation cube and a precise (but slow) video camera, respectively.

II. THE PSD IN DIRECT (LASER SPOT) MODE

Figure 1 presents the tested Hamamatsu commercial PSD unit model no. S5990-01, featuring a two-dimensional squared 4x4 mm² sensing area. The output analogue signals are directly proportional to the distance between the corners and the light spot position. The first results showed a good linearity and a fast response time, which successfully enable such applications for PSD sensors.

where L is the side of the square (4 mm) and I₁ to I₄ are the values of the four anode currents.

The custom-designed electronic circuit consisted of four transimpedance amplifiers to convert and amplify the analog signals. It is based on a single-stage schematic featured with precision low noise and low bias currents op-amps (OPA111).
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The output signals for such typical circuits are:

\[ V_{out,1-4} = -R \cdot I_{1-4} \]  

where \( R \) is the feedback resistance (exact values 4.7kΩ in direct mode and 2.2MΩ in indirect mode).

Figure 2 shows the elements of the first experimental setup in direct mode. The red laser diode power was of 3mW, spot focused in 0.2mm diameter. A closed-loop surface scan was performed in a squared shaped manner thanks to a strain gage piezoelectric nanocube.

Formulas (1-2) have been entered into a rapid control prototyping board. No further signal filtering or quadratic calibration has been performed to increase the accuracy. After a transient period, the strain gage and PSD signals successfully align (Fig. 3). The maximum error is of \( \pm 3\mu m \) and \( \pm 1\mu m \) in \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. The PSD repeatability is under 1µm. The RMS (root mean square) noise figure is of 0.25 µm which shows excellent, sub-nanometer resolution. If such a PSD device would be positioned at a 3cm distance from a MOEMS mirror [3] the achieved \( \theta-\phi \) tilt positioning accuracy would be in under \( \pm 0.006^\circ \).

III. THE PSD IN INDIRECT (DIFFUSE REFLECTIVITY) MODE

Unlike the typical applications where a light spot is focused on the PSD surface, a second system was designed to work in the diffuse reflectivity mode under epi-illumination, where the diffuse object was projected over the PSD surface (Figure 4). Such application would apply to circular or squared objects. For metrology reasons, a regular camera has been connected to the same optical port by means of a beam splitter and synchronously triggered with the PSD acquisition.

As the PSD currents are under the µA, the LED lighting stroboscopic was driven in a stroboscopic manner. The results depicted in Figure 5 show a very good correlation between the fast PSD acquisition (500 fps) and the slower CCD camera (33 fps).

The noise RMS value is of 5.8µm for a stroboscopic lighting fill factor F\( F = 1:11 \). The maximum error in this diffuse reflectivity mode is under 50µm with a simple four-point linear calibration. The maximum relative error being less than 1.5% over the entire measurement range.

Figure 3. Experiments with direct laser spot. a) Scan of an XY surface, reference is in red, PSD signal is in blue. b,c) X and Y scan errors.

Figure 4. The experimental Smart-Surface and PSD sensory system. a) General setup view. b) Zoom of the Smart-Surface. c) Camera capture.

Figure 5. Open-loop object actuation by a smart-surface. The superposition of the indirect-mode PSD and of the camera trajectories shows a good correlation. Acquisition periods are 2ms for PSD and 30ms for camera.
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