A snapshot of nephron-sparing surgery in Italy: A prospective, multicenter report on clinical and perioperative outcomes (the RECORd 1 project)
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Abstract

Introduction: Nephron-sparing surgery (NSS) has become the standard of care for the surgical management of small and clinically localized renal cell carcinoma (RCC). The conservative management of those RCCs is increasing over time. Aim of this study was to report a snapshot of the clinical, perioperative and oncological results after NSS for RCC in Italy.

Material and methods: We evaluated all patients who underwent conservative surgical treatment for renal tumours between January 2009 and December 2012 at 19 urological Italian Centers (RECORd project). Perioperative, radiological and histopathological data were recorded. Surgical eras (2009 vs 2012 and year periods 2009–2010 vs 2011–2012) were compared.

Results: Globally, 983 patients were evaluated. More recently, patients undergoing NSS were found to be significantly younger (p = 0.05) than those surgically treated in the first study period, with a significantly higher rate of NSS with relative and imperative indication (p < 0.001). More recently, a higher percentage of procedures for cT1b or cT2 renal tumours was observed (p = 0.02). Utilization rate of clampless NSS was constantly increased over time. The use of at least one haemostatic agent has been significantly more adopted in the most recent surgical era (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: The utilization rate of NSS in Italy is increasing, even in elective and more complex cases. RAPN has been progressively adopted, as well as the intraoperative utilization of haemostatic agents and the rate of clampless procedures.
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Introduction

Based on the GLOBOCAN estimates, over 143,300 new renal cell carcinoma (RCC) between both genders have been diagnosed in 2012, accounting for approximately 74,900 deaths in the developed countries.1 The increased diagnosis of RCC led to a concurrent rise in the utilization of surgical procedures and to an augmented interest in the various techniques of nephron-sparing surgery (NSS) over radical nephrectomy (RN). NSS demonstrated to offer cancer specific free-survival rates comparable to radical nephrectomy (RN), but with lower risk of surgically induced chronic kidney disease (CKD), cardiovascular events and metabolic sequelae.2,3 For this reason, European guidelines has recently accepted as standard of care NSS for the treatment of ≤4 cm renal tumours and recommended a conservative surgery for 4—7 cm (cT1b) renal masses whenever technically feasible.4 However, the surgical treatment of renal tumours still represents an area of active clinical research and controversies still exist, which includes the therapeutic strategy (NSS, RN), the technique to perform NSS (partial nephrectomy, tumour enucleation), the approach for NSS (open, laparoscopic, robotic) and whether or not to clamp the renal pedicle during NSS.

Aim of the present study was to report data of a large cohort of patients undergoing NSS for renal tumours between January 2009 and December 2012 at 19 urological Italian Centres, and to offer a “real-life” description of the clinical, peroperative and pathological results after NSS for renal tumours together with a comparison among the different surgical periods.

Material and methods

The Italian Registry of Conservative Renal Surgery (RECOrd Project) is a 4-Year prospective observational multicenter study promoted by the Leading Urological No profit foundation Advanced research (LUNA) of the Società Italiana di Urologia (SIU). The RECOrd project includes all patients who underwent conservative surgical treatment for radiologically diagnosed renal cell carcinoma (RCC) between January 2009 and December 2012 at 19 urological Italian Centres, upon the approval of the study protocol by the local ethical committee and patients’ acceptance of the written informed consent. Overall, information about 1055 patients were collected. An online database was generated and it comprises 5 main folders: 1) Anthropometric and Preoperative data; 2) Imaging, Indications and Comorbidities; 3) Intraoperative Data; 4) Postoperative Data; 5) Histopathological Analysis. All data were centrally recorded on a data server. All preoperative anthropometric characteristics were collected (gender, age, BMI). Surgical indications were defined as elective (localized unilateral RCC with healthy contralateral kidney), relative (localized unilateral RCC with the coexistence of comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension or lithiasis that could potentially affect kidney function in the future) and absolute (bilateral tumours, multiple tumours, moderate to severe CKD or in case of neoplasia involving an anatomically or functionally solitary kidney). Performance status was assigned according to the ECOG criteria.5 Mode of presentation of the tumour was defined according to the Patard classification.6 In all patients clinical workup included at least abdominal computed tomography (CT) scans and chest X-rays. Chest and brain CT scans were obtained only when indicated by signs and symptoms. Tumours were classified according to their location on the longitudinal plane (upper pole, middle part, and lower pole) and on the transverse plane (anterior surface, posterior surface, lateral margin, medial margin, peri-hilar) of the kidney. According to the degree of depth into the kidney, each tumour was also classified into three growth pattern categories: 1) prevalently (≥50%) exophytic, 2) prevalently endophytic (<50% exophytic), and 3) entirely endophytic. Analysing full dataset of 1055 cases, centres were divided in low- and high-volume according to the threshold of 50 interventions of NSS per year. All intraoperative data including surgical approach and technique, the decision whether or not to clamp the renal vessels, type of ischaemia, ischaemia time, intraoperative blood loss and operative time were recorded. The minimally invasive (video laparoscopic or robot assisted) and open approaches as well as the surgical technique, performed in the form of standard PN and simple enucleation (SE), were adopted according to the centres’ and surgeons’ preference. Standard PN has been defined as the excision of the tumour and of a minimal margin of healthy peritumoral renal parenchyma.7 SE has been defined as the blunt tumour excision without removing a visible rim of parenchymal tissue around the pseudocapsule.8 All surgical specimens were processed according to standard pathological procedures at each institution by experienced uropathologists. For surgical margins evaluation the specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, and grossly analysed. The size, the colour, the gross aspect (solid to cystic) were recorded and the surgical margin was marked with ink. After tumour dissection, samplings were performed in order to obtain tissue blocks where tumour, renal parenchyma, and surgical edges were comprised and further blocks where tumour, renal capsule, and peritumoral fat were enclosed. The margin was considered positive when tumour tissue was marked with ink. The margin was considered negative when no-neoplastic renal tissue was observed between tumour tissue and the line of ink. Tumours were pathologically staged according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM classification.8

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were reported as mean (SD) or as median and IQR, as appropriate. The Student t test and the Mann—Whitney U test were used to compare continuous variables and the Pearson’s chi square test was used to
compare categorical variables. Surgical eras (2009 vs 2012 and year periods 2009–2010 vs 2011–2012) were compared regarding clinical and surgical variables. Statistical significance in this study was set as \( p \leq 0.05 \). All reported \( p \) values are two-sided. Analyses were performed with SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

A total of 1055 patients from 19 Italian Centers were included in the study. After exclusion of 72 patients with incomplete perioperative data, the remaining 983 patients were evaluated for the final analyses. Overall, 64.8% of patients were male, and the majority of them were fit and fully active at the time of surgery (ECOG performance status = 0: 69.1%). The most relevant clinical and demographic results, together with a comparison among the different surgical eras are reported in Table 1. In the most recent years, patients undergoing NSS were found to be significantly younger than those surgically treated in the first study period. In all the centres, most of the PN were performed with elective indication, even if a significantly higher rate of NSS with relative and imperative indication was observed in the most recent years (\( p < 0.001 \), Table 1). Notably, patients undergoing partial nephrectomy were diagnosed with slightly larger renal tumours over time (\( p < 0.04 \)) and, therefore, a higher percentage of procedures for cT1b renal masses was observed in the most recent era (\( p = 0.02 \)). Intraoperative data are detailed in Table 2.

Overall, enucleoresection was the most widely adopted technique over time (59.6% of cases), but a slightly higher rate of SE was observed in 2012 compared to 2009 (\( p = 0.04 \)). Globally, OPN was adopted in almost two third of cases (563/983; 57.2%) while the laparoscopic approach in 30.8% of cases (302/983); since 2011, robot-assisted partial nephrectomy (RAPN) has been included in the multi-institutional database, comprehensively representing 12% of the total cases (118/983, Table 2). Notably, we observed a significant and persistent increase in the utilization of RAPN in the last two years, a constant decrease in the use of the OPN over all the period and a slight reduction of laparoscopic NSS rate during the last two years (Fig. 1). When stratifying the data according to the tumour’s clinical stage, open and laparoscopic approaches constantly decrease from 2008 to 2012 for the treatment of cT1a renal tumours. In 2012 RAPN represents the most performed treatment for cT1a (Fig. 2a). Conversely, when considering larger tumours (cT1b), OPN constantly decreased over the study period, laparoscopic partial nephrectomy (LPN) remained approximately constant and RAPN increased. In 2012 LPN and RAPN together represent 61.9% of interventions for cT1b renal masses (Fig. 2b). Overall, 36.3% of patients (357/983) underwent clampless NSS and its rate constantly increased over time, from 33% in 2009 to 42.4% in 2012. When separately analysing each approach, clampless procedures increased from 29.0% to 35.6% over time (\( p < 0.001 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Demographic, clinical and pathological results of the entire cohort of patients, stratified by surgical era.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RECORd1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Single year evaluation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n. (%)</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatologic class, n. %</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local sympt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic sympt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication, n. (%)</td>
<td>Elective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG, n. (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion site, n. (%)</td>
<td>Polar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mediodenal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion growth pattern, n. (%)</td>
<td>≥50% exo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤50% exo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical diameter, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3.0–4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical T, n. (%)</td>
<td>T1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume centre, n. (%)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of 2009 to 37.7% in OPN, but dropped down to 25% in 2011. The rate of laparoscopic PN performed without hilar clamping increased from 42.1% in 2009 to 77.8% in 2011. Similar results have been observed for RAPN (from 24.1% to 35.9% in the last two years). The use of at least one haemostatic agent was recorded in 91.3% of procedures (898/983), with a significantly higher utilization in the most recent surgical era (p < 0.001). Pathological data are reported in Table 3. Globally, no statistically significant differences among the surgical periods were found in terms of tumour’s histological type and surgical margin status (Table 3). Patients undergoing NSS had slightly larger pathological diameter in the most recent era (p = 0.02) and also the percentage of procedures for higher than pT1a RCC renal masses significantly increased (p < 0.0001).

**Discussion**

The increasing utilization of non-invasive abdominal imaging (computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography) during the past 20 years has led to a significant growing number of incidentally detected small, asymptomatic, renal masses. In this scenario, renal cell carcinoma (RCC) has been going through a stage and size migration. A not negligible amount of these asymptomatic SRMs will prove to be benign after definitive pathological examination. Considering, above all, the functional benefits and the comparable oncological outcomes over RN offered by NSS, partial nephrectomy has been therefore more widely adopted among urological centres. In the present study, we evaluated the clinical, demographic, intraoperative and pathological data of those patients undergoing PN between 2009 and 2012 in 19 Italian Institutions. In this study, although the period considered is relatively short (4 years), asymptomatic patients were significantly higher in the second half of the evaluation period. Furthermore, patients of the more recent surgical era were significantly younger and healthier. Almost 80% of the procedures were performed in an elective setting, with a
fairly significant constant trend of relative indication over time (7.1% in 2009 vs 21.5% in 2012, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Notably, the vast majority of patients treated with elective PN showed an optimal performance status (ECOG = 0, \( p = 0.002 \)). International Guidelines recommend to perform PN for cT1a.\(^2\) Several studies have suggested that the indications for elective PN can be safely expanded to patients with larger (between 4 and 7 cm) or more complex renal tumours,\(^{15-17}\) nevertheless with a slightly higher incidence of postoperative complications compared to NSS performed for cT1a tumours.\(^{18,19}\) According to the results of our study, a statistically significant increase in PN utilization rates for T1b RCC has been recorded over time (23–26% in 2011/2012 vs 13–18% in 2009/2010, \( p = 0.002 \)). The utilization rate of OPN decreased over time both for cT1a and cT1b tumours remaining the standard and referent procedure in centres without advanced laparoscopic and robotic expertise or in case of more complex and challenging lesions (large tumours, with hilar location or involvement of the collecting system, Fig. 1). Conversely, LPN is a well-established and adopted procedure in high-volume Institutions with minimally invasive expertise. Robot-assisted approach has led to a reduction in the learning curve, offering more easy and reproducible surgical steps compared to the traditional laparoscopy, becoming a safe alternative to OPN and LPN in the treatment of renal tumours, for both cT1a and cT1b lesions.\(^{17,20-22}\) Despite the previous, historical recommendations to remove at least 1 cm of normal appearing renal parenchyma around the tumour in order to ensure negative margins,\(^2\) the current indications for NSS have been progressively changed. Indeed, according to the need for preservation of as much functioning healthy parenchyma as possible to minimize the loss of renal function,\(^2,24\) NSS has moved from maximal parenchymal resection to a minimal tissue removal. Simple enucleation (SE), defined as the blunt enucleation of the tumour along the inflammatory pseudocapsule surrounding healthy parenchyma,\(^25\) has been used with a slightly increasing trend over time (\( p = 0.04, \) Table 2). An essential aspect of any partial nephrectomy is to provide a complete resection of the tumour, often in a bloodless field, within a limited warm ischaemia time (WIT). There is a large amount of evidences about the importance of reducing the WIT and the related risk of kidney injury and CKD.\(^{26}\) Thompson et al. showed that every minute of WIT was associated with a 6% increased risk of acute renal failure, 7% increased risk of acute-onset end stage renal disease and 4% increased risk of new-onset end stage renal disease.\(^27\) Several studies and meta-analyses demonstrated that RAPN could be safely performed with significantly lower WIT than LPN.\(^{28-30}\) In our series, the mean WIT was always within 20 min, with no significant differences in the surgical eras (Table 3). Overall, clamped procedures rate significantly increased from 33% in 2009 to 42.4% in 2012 (\( p = 0.04 \)) (Table 3). This result shows a higher concern of surgeons to reduce the time of ischaemia and, whenever possible, to avoid pedicle clamping, and has been confirmed by a sub analysis that consider separately clamped PN rate for each approach. In LPN and RAPN this rate constantly increased over years, while OPN clampless rate constantly increased in the first three years and then it decreased in 2012. A possible explanation could be related to the case selection towards tumours of higher complexity in the OPN group.
over years. The control of haemostasis represents a crucial step during PN. It depends on efficient retraction of small vessels, appropriate clot formation, and effective occlusion of all bleeding points with intraluminal clot or extra-luminal compression with sutures, clips, or staples.\(^{31}\)

Although several expected differences have been found among all tertiary care centres, tumour bed haemostasis has been preferably performed with interrupted and continuous sutures, while the utilization of hem-o-lok/titanium clips or argon laser represented less common techniques. Conversely, the utilization of haemostatic agents increased over time (p < 0.0001, Table 2), with predominantly use of tissue sealants agent, particularly during LPN and RAPN although their efficacy to reduce the risk of postoperative bleeding has not been proved yet.\(^{32}\) When performing NSS for RCC, the complete removal of the tumour and the avoidance of positive surgical margins (PSMs) is of paramount importance, to reach optimal long-term oncological control. In the current literature, the overall incidence of PSMs after nephron-sparing surgery, when performed electively is quite low, ranging from 0% to 7%\(^{33}\) and according to the surgical approach, the rate of PSMs is generally comparable between the different approaches.\(^{33}\) In our series, the overall PSMs rate is comparable to those reported in literature, with no significant differences in the surgical eras (Table 3). It must be noted that the findings reported in the present paper should be considered in the context of the study design. Our analysis represents only a limited investigation on our prospective multi-Institutional evaluation over a 4-year period. Clearly, data are not reflective of all urological centres and should not be generalized, thus not being applicable to other Centres with lowest surgical volume. The study lacks of any comparative intent between different PN approaches; analysis of surgical or medical complications, costs are beyond the scope of the dataset which has the main aim in describing the actual features of nephron-sparing surgery in Italy, with particular attention to significant trends that could be recorded during each year investigated and, though in absence of any comparison with RN, in supporting the role of PN as an established standard of care for RCC.

### Conclusion

Nephron-sparing surgery still remains the gold standard treatment for clinically localized RCC, whenever technically feasible. The utilization rate of NSS in high-volume Italian Centres is increasing over time, even in elective and more complex cases, with optimal results and safety profile. Robot-assisted partial nephrectomy is being much more adopted in the recent years compared to traditional laparoscopy. The rate of clampless procedures, regardless of the surgical approach, as well as the utilization of haemostatic agents at the end of the procedure, increased over time.
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