

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Discussion: Measurement and Instrumentation

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2014 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 571 012010

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/571/1/012010)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 160.80.2.38

This content was downloaded on 16/02/2015 at 12:10

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 571 (2014) 012010

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/571/1/012010

Discussion: Measurement and Instrumentation

C. Andreani,^{1,2} M. Ceriotti,³ F. Fernandez-Alonso,^{4,5}G. Gorini,⁶ M. Krzystyniak,^{4,7} A. Parmentier,¹ S. Parker,⁴ A. Seel,^{4,8} R. Senesi,^{1,2} L. Walewski,⁹

- ¹ Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vegata, Dipartimento di Fisica and Centro NAST, via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, Rome 00133, Italy
- ² CNR-IPCF Sezione di Messina, Messina, Italy
- ³ Laboratory of Computational Science and Modelling, NQ Institute of Materials, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
- 4 ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, United Kingdom
- 5 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom
- ⁶ Consiglio Nazionale della Ricerche-IPCF, Messina, Italy
- ⁷ School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Clifton Campus, Nottingham NG11 8NS, United Kingdom
- 8 Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, South Park Road, Oxford OX1 3QR, United Kingdom
- 9 Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Chemie, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany

1. Epithermal Neutron Instrumentation at ISIS (Giuseppe Gorini, Milano, Italy)

Dr Parker opened the discussion of the lecture by Prof Gorini: What are the lightest elements that NRT/NRCA can measure?

Prof Gorini replied: We have compiled a periodic table showing good, bad and 'no' elements, according to their detection sensitivity of the techniques.

Dr Krzystyniak communicated: Do you have plans for thermography studies using NRT/NRCA?

Prof Gorini communicated in response: There are no plans for T measurements at present, but other groups in Japan are exploring the feasibility.

2. VESUVIO Now/VESUVIO When? (Andrew Seel, STFC, UK)

Dr Ceriotti opened the discussion of the lecture by Dr Seel: It seems that there are different approaches around for performing the fitting of the n(p) to the NCS data: 1. Fit the raw data from individual detectors and average the kinetic energy (and/or other fit parameters) obtained from individual channels; 2. Average groups of detectors with similar properties and fit the averaged data; 3. Fit to all the individual channels simultaneously. What are the differences of

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 571 (2014) 012010

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/571/1/012010

these approaches?

Dr Seel replied: Individual, detector-by-detector fits can be thought of as the traditional way of analysing data from VESUVIO, since the detector geometry determines the transform of mass specific neutron Compton profiles from y-space to time-of-flight. In fact the initial tests on the reliability of y-scaling for subsequent analysis was dependant upon this approach. This approach is limited by the levels of noise in VESUVIO spectra (the instrument is flux limited), as is a global fit of the same J(y) to each detector simultaneously. However, for the case of heavier masses, the recoil position and peak widths vary little across small detector ranges, allowing for the possibility to direct sum spectra in time-of-flight, and fit to J(y) transformed using an average of the detector geometries. This would enable more stable fits across the instrument, effectively reducing the noise of the measured spectra.

Dr Seel further communicated in response: VESUVIO is limited principally by flux, necessitating long counting times (of the order of 15-24 hours). An improvement of even a factor of two through the upgrade of the ISIS target-station would revolutionise the way science is conducted on VESUVIO.

Prof Fernandez-Alonso commented: Such upgrades could provide possible improvement factors of 10 or even 100.

Dr Walewski queried: Why it is not possible to get constant q scans on VESUVIO? **Dr Seel** replied: This is principally a geometry problem, bearing in mind we operate in inverted geometry and need to cycle secondary analyser foils in the scattered beam for each detector. The use of y-scaling overcomes the issue of constant q, and allows us to probe measurable properties such as momentum distributions and mean kinetic energies of interest to the community.

3. Direct and Inverse Geometry Instruments for Proton Momentum Distribution Studies (Roberto Senesi, Rome, Italy)

Prof Andreani opened the discussion of the lecture by Dr Senesi: Why not using the positive y-side of NCP from SEQUOIA to compare with the positive one from VESUVIO? **Dr Senesi** replied: This is a good suggestion, and this test will be carried out.

Dr Seel communicated: What is the magnitude of FSEs on SEQUOIA, compared to VESU-VIO?

Dr Senesi communicated in response: At wave vector values between 20 and 30 Å⁻¹, we were able to detect only the $\frac{1}{q}$ -term.

Dr Krzystyniak commented: The y-range accessed on VESUVIO is limited on positive high y-values, while the opposite is true for SEQUOIA.