A stability result for a class of nonlinear integrodifferential equations with L^1 kernels

Piermarco Cannarsa^{*} Daniela Sforza[†]

Abstract

We study second order nonlinear integro-differential equations in Hilbert spaces with weakly singular convolution kernels obtaining energy estimates for the solutions, uniform in t. Then, we show that solutions decay exponentially at ∞ in the energy norm. Finally, we apply these results to a problem in viscoelasticity.

1 Introduction

In this paper we treat maximal regularity and asymptotic behaviour at ∞ for the solutions of the abstract nonlinear integro-differential equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + F(u(t))\dot{u}(t) + Au(t) - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)Au(s)ds = f(t) \qquad t \ge 0,$$
(1)

where A is a positive operator on a Hilbert space X, with domain D(A) and F is a functional defined on $D(A^{\theta})$, $0 < \theta \leq 1/2$. Our interest is mainly motivated by the fact that the above equation may be regarded as a model problem for some elastic systems with memory, see [6, 8, 9, 17, 18, 24].

The main feature of our approach is that, unlike most of the literature on this subject, the convolution kernel β is not assumed to be absolutely continuous but just integrable. Instead of higher regularity, we shall suppose that $k(t) := \int_t^\infty \beta(s) ds$ is a kernel of positive type satisfying k(0) < 1. It is noteworthy that such an abstract assumption implies a commonly accepted thermodinamical restriction for the concrete models described by (1), see, e.g., [11, 12]. This explains why, as noted in [18, 23, 13], discontinuous kernels are relevant for applications. Some typical examples covered by our theory are the following:

$$\beta(t) = \frac{e^{-at} t^{-b}}{\Gamma(1-b)}, \qquad a > 1, \quad 0 \le b < 1,$$

$$\beta(t) = \frac{e^{-at} t^{-1/2} \cos(ct)}{\Gamma(1/2)}, \qquad a \ge 1, \quad 0 \le c \le a,$$

where Γ is the Euler gamma function (see example 2.8).

In order to discuss well-posedness, let us integrate equation (1) with $F \equiv 0 \equiv f$ twice to obtain an integral equation of the form

$$u(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Au(s)ds = u(0) + \dot{u}(0) \ t \,.$$
⁽²⁾

^{*}Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma (Italy); e-mail: <cannarsa@axp.mat.uniroma2.it>

[†]Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici per le Scienze Applicate, Università di Roma La Sapienza, Via A. Scarpa 16, 00161 Roma (Italy); e-mail: <sforza@dmmm.uniroma1.it>

Such equations have been extensively studied by Prüss in the classical monograph [21], where the existence of the resolvent S(t) of (2) is established together with some regularity properties of S(t). In section 3 of this paper, we apply these well-posedness result to the linear version of (1), that is

$$\ddot{u}(t) + Au(t) - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)Au(s)ds = f(t) \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(3)

After recalling the notions of strong and mild solutions of (3) with initial conditions

$$u(0) = u_0 \in D(A^{1/2})$$
 $\dot{u}(0) = u_1 \in X$,

and the well-known representation formula for mild solutions

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(\tau)u_1 d\tau + \int_0^t 1 * S(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau \,,$$

where S(t) is the resolvent obtained using the theory of [21], we need to know that any mild solution of (3) with smooth data is a strong solution. This follows from further estimates for S(t) that are, here, fully justified (see propositions 3.4 and 3.5) because their derivation from the results of [21] is not straightforward. On the other hand, with such a refined linear theory at our disposal, the existence and uniqueness of solutions to equation (1) can be obtained, in a rather standard way, assuming F to be locally Lipschtiz continuous on the bounded subsets of $D(A^{\theta})$ and $F(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in D(A^{\theta})$. Therefore, we have excluded the proofs of this last part from the main body of the paper providing them in the appendix, for the reader's convenience.

Once well-posedness has been established, in section 5 we turn to the analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of (1) under stronger assumptions for β and F, that is,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\alpha_{0}t} |\beta(t)| dt < \infty, \qquad t \mapsto \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{\alpha_{0}s} \beta(s) ds \quad \text{of positive type}, \qquad \int_{0}^{\infty} |\beta(s)| \ ds < 1 \qquad (4)$$
$$F(x) \ge c_{0} \qquad \forall x \in D(A^{\theta})$$

for some constants $\alpha_0, c_0 > 0$. Our method, in the case of $f \equiv 0$, can be easily explained: multiplying u by an exponential function $e^{\alpha t}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, we have that $u_{\alpha}(t) = e^{\alpha t}u(t)$ satisfies

$$\ddot{u}_{\alpha}(t) + (F(u(t)) - 2\alpha)\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t) + (\alpha^2 - F(u(t))\alpha)u_{\alpha}(t) + Au_{\alpha}(t) - \beta_{\alpha} * Au_{\alpha}(t) = 0.$$

So, uniform estimates for u_{α} , in the energy norm, can be derived by means of suitable multipliers. For related results on exponential decay the reader is referred to [1, 16, 22], where the case of smooth convolution kernels is considered, and to [14, 19], where the decay of the semigroup associated with (1) is obtained.

Finally, section 6 is devoted to the analysis of a partial integro-differential equation arising in the theory of viscoelasticity, in the case of materials for which memory effects cannot be neglected. Applying our abstract results, we show that the exponential decay of the energy of solutions, obtained in [6] for smooth convolution kernels, also holds for integrable kernels satisfying condition (4).

2 Preliminaries

Let X be a real Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$.

For any $T \in (0, \infty]$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$ we denote by $L^p(0, T; X)$ the usual spaces of measurable functions $v: (0, T) \to X$ such that one has

$$\|v\|_{p,T}^p := \int_0^T \|v(t)\|^p \, dt < \infty \,, \qquad 1 \le p < \infty \,,$$

$$||v||_{\infty,T} := \operatorname{ess} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} ||v(t)|| < \infty$$

respectively. We shall use the shorter notation $||v||_p$ for $||v||_{p,\infty}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. We denote by $L^1_{loc}(0,\infty;X)$ the space of functions belonging to $L^1(0,T;X)$ for any $T \in (0,\infty)$. In the case of $X = \mathbb{R}$, we will use the abbreviations $L^p(0,T)$ and $L^1_{loc}(0,\infty)$ to denote the spaces $L^p(0,T;\mathbb{R})$ and $L^1_{loc}(0,\infty;\mathbb{R})$, respectively.

 $C^{k}([0,T];X), k = 0, 1, 2$, stands for the space of continuous functions from [0,T] to X having continuous derivatives up to the order k in [0,T]. In particular, we write C([0,T];X) for $C^{0}([0,T];X)$.

In this paper, $A: D(A) \subset X \to X$ denotes a self-adjoint positive linear operator on X with dense domain D(A). In the following, we denote by A^{θ} , $0 < \theta \leq 1/2$, the fractional powers of A, see e.g. [20]. It is well-known that $D(A^{1/2}) \hookrightarrow D(A^{\theta})$, that is there exists a constant $c_{\theta} > 0$ such that

$$\|A^{\theta}x\| \le c_{\theta} \|A^{1/2}x\|, \qquad \forall x \in D(A^{1/2}).$$
(5)

For any $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty)$ and any $v \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty;X)$ we define

$$\psi * v(t) = \int_0^t \psi(t-s)v(s) \, ds, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Throughout the paper, for $v \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty;X)$ we denote by $\hat{v}(\lambda)$ the Laplace transform of v, that is

$$\widehat{v}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} v(t) \, dt$$

We recall that a function $k \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty)$ is a kernel of *positive type* if

$$\int_{0}^{T} \langle k * v(t), v(t) \rangle \ dt \ge 0, \qquad \text{for any } T > 0, \ v \in L^{2}(0, T; X).$$
(6)

In case $k \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty)$, k is of positive type if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} k(\lambda) \ge 0 \qquad \text{for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{with } \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0 \tag{7}$$

(see, e.g., [21, p.38]). For $k \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty) \cap L^{1}(0, \infty)$, using the Poisson integral representation formula for harmonic functions in a half plane (see, e.g., [10, p. 37]), one can prove that k is of positive type iff

Re
$$\hat{k}(i\omega) \ge 0$$
 for any $\omega \ge 0$. (8)

Classical results for integral equations (see, e.g., [15, Theorem 2.3.5]) ensure that, for any kernel $\beta \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty)$ and any $g \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty;X)$, the problem

$$\varphi(t) - \beta * \varphi(t) = g(t), \qquad t \ge 0, \tag{9}$$

admits a unique solution $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty;X)$. In particular, there is a unique solution $\varrho \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty)$ of

$$\varrho(t) - \beta * \varrho(t) = \beta(t), \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(10)

Such a solution is called the *resolvent kernel* of β . Furthermore, the solution φ of (9) is given by the variation of constants formula

$$\varphi(t) = g(t) + \varrho * g(t), \qquad t \ge 0, \tag{11}$$

where ρ is the resolvent kernel of β .

Now, we recall the classical Paley-Wiener Theorem (see, e.g., [15, Theorem 2.4.5]), which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the resolvent kernel of $\beta \in L^1(0, \infty)$ to belong to $L^1(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$. Then, the resolvent kernel of β belongs to $L^1(0,\infty)$ if and only if $\widehat{\beta}(\lambda) \neq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with Re $\lambda \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ be such that $\int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds < 1$ and suppose that the kernel $k(t) = \int_t^\infty \beta(s)ds$ is of positive type. Then $\widehat{\beta}(\lambda) \neq 1$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$.

Proof. Since $k(t) = \int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds - \int_0^t \beta(s) \, ds$, we have that

$$\widehat{k}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds - \frac{\widehat{\beta}(\lambda)}{\lambda} \,. \tag{12}$$

Now, for Re $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds - \frac{\widehat{\beta}(\lambda)}{\lambda} \neq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds - 1 \right)$$

because the real part of the left-hand side is nonnegative on account of (7), whereas the real part of the right-hand side is negative. Therefore, $\hat{\beta}(\lambda) \neq 1$ for Re $\lambda > 0$.

The following corollary of lemma 2.2 and theorem 2.1 provides uniform estimates for solutions of integral equations.

Corollary 2.3 Let $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ be such that $\int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds < 1$, $\widehat{\beta}(\lambda) \neq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = 0$, and suppose that the kernel $k(t) = \int_t^\infty \beta(s)ds$ is of positive type. Then,

- (a) the resolvent kernel ρ of β belongs to $L^1(0,\infty)$;
- (b) for any $g \in L^{\infty}(0,\infty;X)$, the solution φ of (9) belongs to $L^{\infty}(0,\infty;X)$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \le (1 + \|\varrho\|_1) \, \|g\|_{\infty} \,. \tag{13}$$

The following results are useful to study exponential decay.

Proposition 2.4 Let $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ be a function such that $k(t) = \int_t^\infty \beta(s) \, ds$ is of positive type. Then,

$$\int_0^\infty \sin(\omega t)\beta(t)\,dt \ge 0 \qquad \text{for any} \quad \omega > 0\,.$$
(14)

Proof. In view of (12), we note that for any $\tau > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \widehat{k}(\tau + i\omega) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\tau + i\omega} \Big[\int_0^\infty \beta(s) ds - \widehat{\beta}(\tau + i\omega) \Big]$$

$$= \frac{\tau}{\tau^2 + \omega^2} \Big[\int_0^\infty \beta(s) ds - \operatorname{Re} \widehat{\beta}(\tau + i\omega) \Big] + \frac{\omega}{\tau^2 + \omega^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \sin(\omega t) \beta(t) dt \,.$$
(15)

Passing to the limit in the previous equation as $\tau \to 0^+$ and keeping in mind that k is of positive type, see (7), we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \operatorname{Re} \widehat{k}(\tau + i\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\infty \sin(\omega t) \beta(t) \, dt \quad \text{for any} \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R} \,, \, \omega \neq 0 \,,$$

that is, (14) holds.

The converse of the previous result is true in a special case.

Proposition 2.5 Let $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ be a function such that $t\beta(t) \in L^1(0,\infty)$ and $k(t) = \int_t^\infty \beta(s) \, ds$. Then:

- (a) $k \in L^1(0,\infty);$
- (b) kernel k is of positive type if and only if $Re \ \widehat{k}(i\omega) \ge 0$ for any $\omega > 0$;
- (c) if β verifies $\int_0^\infty \sin(\omega t)\beta(t) dt \ge 0$ for any $\omega > 0$, then k is a kernel of positive type.

Proof. Point (a) easily follows from Fubini's theorem. As for point (b), thanks to (8), it suffices to check that if Re $\hat{k}(i\omega) \ge 0$ for any $\omega > 0$, then Re $\hat{k}(0) \ge 0$. Indeed,

$$\widehat{k}(0) = \int_0^\infty k(t) \ dt = \lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \operatorname{Re} \, \widehat{k}(i\omega) \ge 0 \,.$$

Finally, since $k \in L^1(0,\infty)$ we can take $\tau = 0$ in (15) to obtain, for any $\omega > 0$,

Re
$$\hat{k}(i\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\infty \sin(\omega t)\beta(t) dt \ge 0$$
.

So, (c) holds owing to (b) and the proof is complete.

We now recall a known result (see [3, Lemma 3.4]) that will be used next.

Lemma 2.6 If $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ is a function satisfying (14), then, for any $\sigma > 0$, the perturbed function $e^{-\sigma t}\beta(t)$ satisfies (14) as well.

Proposition 2.7 Let $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ be a function such that $k(t) = \int_t^\infty \beta(s) \, ds$ is of positive type. Then, for any $\sigma > 0$, $t \to \int_t^\infty e^{-\sigma s} \beta(s) \, ds$ is a kernel of positive type as well.

Proof. We observe that, for any $\sigma > 0$, the function $t \mapsto te^{-\sigma t}\beta(t)$ is in $L^1(0,\infty)$. Therefore, we can apply proposition 2.5 - (c) to $e^{-\sigma t}\beta(t)$ and invoke lemma 2.6 to obtain the conclusion.

Example 2.8 1. Let $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx$ (s > 0) be the Euler gamma function. Then

$$\beta_{a,b}(t) = \frac{e^{-at} t^{-b}}{\Gamma(1-b)} \qquad t > 0, \quad a > 0, \quad 0 \le b < 1,$$

satisfies the condition

$$\widetilde{\beta}_{a,b}(\omega) := \int_0^\infty \sin(\omega t) \beta_{a,b}(t) \, dt \ge 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad \omega > 0$$

Indeed, since $\widehat{\beta}_{a,b}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(a+\lambda)^{1-b}}$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \ge 0$, we have

$$\widetilde{\beta}_{a,b}(\omega) = -\mathrm{Im}\widehat{\beta}_{a,b}(i\omega) = \left(a^2 + \omega^2\right)^{(b-1)/2} \sin\left(\left(1-b\right)\arctan\left(\frac{\omega}{a}\right)\right) > 0 \quad \forall \omega > 0.$$
(16)

By proposition 2.5 - (c) it follows that kernel $t \to \int_t^\infty \beta_{a,b}(s) \, ds$ is of positive type. Moreover,

$$\int_0^\infty \beta_{a,b}(t) \, dt = \frac{1}{a^{1-b}} < 1 \qquad \text{for} \quad a > 1 \, .$$

2. To give an example of a function with variable sign, let us consider

$$\gamma_{a,c}(t) = \frac{e^{-at} t^{-1/2} \cos(ct)}{\Gamma(1/2)} \qquad t > 0, \quad a > 0, \quad 0 \le c \le a$$

In order to verify that

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_{a,c}(\omega) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{-1/2} \cos(ct) \sin(\omega t) \, dt \ge 0 \,, \qquad \text{for any} \quad \omega > 0 \,,$$

let us rewrite $\tilde{\gamma}_{a,c}(\omega)$, using the elementary identity $\cos(ct)\sin(\omega t) = \frac{1}{2}[\sin((\omega+c)t) + \sin((\omega-c)t)]$ and (16). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{a,c}(\omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{-1/2} \sin((\omega+c)t) \, dt + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{-1/2} \sin((\omega-c)t) \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\beta}_{a,1/2}(\omega+c) + \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\beta}_{a,1/2}(\omega-c)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(a^2 + (\omega+c)^2 \right)^{-1/4} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{\omega+c}{a}\right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(a^2 + (\omega-c)^2 \right)^{-1/4} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{\omega-c}{a}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sin\left(\frac{\arctan x}{2}\right) = \operatorname{sign}(x)\sqrt{\frac{1-\cos(\arctan x)}{2}} = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(x)}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

we observe that

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_{a,c}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2a}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{(\omega+c)^2}{a^2} \right)^{-1/2} - \left(1 + \frac{(\omega+c)^2}{a^2} \right)^{-1} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2a}} \operatorname{sign}(\omega-c) \left(\left(1 + \frac{(\omega-c)^2}{a^2} \right)^{-1/2} - \left(1 + \frac{(\omega-c)^2}{a^2} \right)^{-1} \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/2}$$

It is clear that, if $\omega \ge c$, then $\widetilde{\gamma}_{a,c}(\omega) > 0$. So, suppose $\omega < c$. We want to check that

$$\left(1 + \frac{(\omega+c)^2}{a^2}\right)^{-1/2} - \left(1 + \frac{(\omega+c)^2}{a^2}\right)^{-1} > \left(1 + \frac{(\omega-c)^2}{a^2}\right)^{-1/2} - \left(1 + \frac{(\omega-c)^2}{a^2}\right)^{-1}$$

Set $\overline{\omega} = \omega/a$ and $\overline{c} = c/a$. The previous inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{1+(\overline{\omega}-\overline{c})^2} - \frac{1}{1+(\overline{\omega}+\overline{c})^2} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\overline{\omega}-\overline{c})^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\overline{\omega}+\overline{c})^2}},$$

or

$$\frac{4\overline{\omega}\ \overline{c}}{\sqrt{1+(\overline{\omega}-\overline{c})^2}\sqrt{1+(\overline{\omega}+\overline{c})^2}} > \frac{4\overline{\omega}\ \overline{c}}{\sqrt{1+(\overline{\omega}+\overline{c})^2}+\sqrt{1+(\overline{\omega}-\overline{c})^2}}$$

Hence, after simple computations we arrive at the equivalent inequality

$$(\overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{c}^2)^2 < 1 + 2\sqrt{1 + (\overline{\omega} + \overline{c})^2}\sqrt{1 + (\overline{\omega} - \overline{c})^2}$$

which holds true since, in view of the fact that $\bar{c} = c/a \leq 1$, we have that

$$|\overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{c}^2| = \overline{c}^2 - \overline{\omega}^2 \le 1.$$

So, $\tilde{\gamma}_{a,c}(\omega) > 0$ for all $\omega > 0$. Again by proposition 2.5 - (c), we conclude that $t \to \int_t^\infty \gamma_{a,c}(s) ds$ is of positive type. Moreover,

$$\int_0^\infty \gamma_{a,c}(t) \, dt < \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{-1/2} \, dt = 1 \qquad \text{for} \quad a \ge 1 \,.$$

3 The linear problem: well-posedness

3.1 Existence and regularity of the resolvent

In the following, A is a self-adjoint linear operator on X with dense domain D(A) and $\beta \in L^{1}_{loc}(0,\infty)$.

Definition 3.1 A family $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators in X is called a resolvent for the equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + Au(t) - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)Au(s) \, ds = 0 \tag{17}$$

if the following conditions are satisfied:

(S1) S(0) = I and S(t) is strongly continuous on $[0, \infty)$, that is, for all $x \in X$, $S(\cdot)x$ is continuous;

(S2) S(t) commutes with A, which means that $S(t)D(A) \subset D(A)$ and

$$AS(t)x = S(t)Ax$$
, $x \in D(A)$, $t \ge 0$;

(S3) for any $x \in D(A)$, $S(\cdot)x$ is twice continuously differentiable in X on $[0,\infty)$ and $\dot{S}(0)x = 0$;

(S4) for any $x \in D(A)$ and any $t \ge 0$,

$$\ddot{S}(t)x + AS(t)x - \int_0^t \beta(t-\tau)AS(\tau)x \, d\tau = 0.$$
(18)

Remark 3.2 We note that $S * f \in C([0,T]; X)$ for $f \in L^1(0,T; X)$, thanks to the uniform boundedness of S(t) on compact intervals (this is, in turn, a consequence of (S1) by the principle of uniform boundedness).

The following result ensures the existence and uniqueness of the resolvent.

Theorem 3.3 Let operator A be positive and $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ be such that $\int_0^\infty \beta(t) dt < 1$. Suppose that the kernel $k(t) = \int_t^\infty \beta(s) ds$ is of positive type. Then, there exists a unique resolvent for (17). In addition, it holds

$$\dot{S}(t)x + 1 * AS(t)x - 1 * \beta * AS(t)x = 0, \qquad \forall x \in D(A).$$

$$(19)$$

Proof. First, we observe that equation (17) is formally equivalent to a suitable integral equation. In fact, if we integrate equation (17) twice, then we obtain the integral equation

$$u(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Au(s)ds = u(0) + \dot{u}(0) t, \qquad (20)$$

where

$$a(t) = t - \int_0^t (t-s)\beta(s) \, ds = t - 1 * 1 * \beta(t) \,, \quad t \ge 0$$

On the other hand, differentiating equation (20) twice we recover (17). Therefore, to obtain the conclusion, it suffices to show that there exists a unique resolvent S(t) for (20) and that S(t) is the resolvent for (17). Since uniqueness follows from a well-known result for integral equations (see [21, Corollary 1.1]), let us prove the existence of the resolvent for (20). For this, we note that the kernel $\dot{a}(t) = 1 - 1 * \beta(t)$ is of positive type. Indeed,

$$1 - \int_0^t \beta(s) \, ds = 1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds + \int_t^\infty \beta(s) \, ds = 1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds + k(t)$$

where $1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds > 0$ and k is of positive type. Since A is a self-adjoint positive operator on X, we can apply Corollary 1.2 of [21]: the resolvent S(t) for (20) exists, $S(\cdot)x$ is differentiable, for any $x \in D(A)$, and satisfies $\dot{S}(t)x + 1 * AS(t)x - 1 * \beta * AS(t)x = 0$. The remaining properties required by definition 3.1 can be easily derived from the above equation.

3.2Uniform estimates

We establish regularity properties and uniform estimates for the resolvent of (17), under an extra assumption on the operator A.

Proposition 3.4 Suppose that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge M \|x\|^2 \qquad \forall x \in D(A)$$
 (21)

for some M > 0 and let $\beta \in L^1(0, \infty)$ be such that

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(t) \, dt < 1 \,. \tag{22}$$

If the kernel $k(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} \beta(s) \, ds$ is of positive type, then the following holds true.

(i) For any $x \in X$ and any t > 0, $1 * S(t)x \in D(A^{1/2})$ and

$$\|S(t)x\|^{2} + \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(t) \ dt\right) \left\|A^{1/2} \int_{0}^{t} S(\tau)x \ d\tau\right\|^{2} \le \|x\|^{2}.$$
(23)

In particular, $A^{1/2}(1*S)(\cdot)$ is strongly continuous in X.

(ii) For any $x \in D(A)$

$$\|\ddot{S}(t)x\| \le (1 + \|\beta\|_1) \|Ax\|.$$
(24)

(iii) For any $x \in D(A^{1/2})$ and any t > 0, $1 * S(t)x \in D(A)$ and

$$\left\| A \int_0^t S(\tau) x \, d\tau \right\| \le \left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \, dt \right)^{-1/2} \left\| A^{1/2} x \right\|.$$
(25)

So, $A(1 * S)(\cdot)x$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

(iv) For any $x \in D(A^{1/2})$, $S(\cdot)x$ is continuously differentiable on $[0,\infty)$ and

$$\dot{S}(t)x = -A(1*S)(t)x + \beta * A(1*S)(t)x.$$
(26)

Moreover,

$$\|\dot{S}(t)x\| \le (1+\|\beta\|_1) \Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\Big)^{-1/2} \|A^{1/2}x\| \qquad \forall t \ge 0.$$
(27)

(v) For any $x \in D(A)$ and any t > 0, $\dot{S}(t)x \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $A^{1/2}\dot{S}(\cdot)x$ is continuous on $[0,\infty)$.

Proof. (i): having fixed $x \in D(A)$, let us recast equation (19) in the form

$$\dot{S}(t)x + \left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \, dt\right) 1 * AS(t)x = -k * AS(t)x.$$
(28)

Taking the scalar product of both sides of equation (28) with S(t)x, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 * A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 * A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 * A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 * A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 * A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 * A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 = -\langle k * A^{1/2}S(t)x, A^{1/2}S(t)x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^\infty$$

Integrating this equality from 0 to t, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|S(t)x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t)dt\Big)\|1 * A^{1/2}S(t)x\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2$$

since k is of positive type. Since D(A) is dense in X, the above inequality holds for any $x \in X$. (ii): estimate (24) follows from (18) and (i).

(iii): since

$$A \int_0^t S(\tau) x d\tau = A^{1/2} \int_0^t S(\tau) A^{1/2} x d\tau \qquad \forall x \in D(A^{1/2}) \,,$$

estimate (25) is a consequence of (i).

(iv): the conclusion follows from (19), (iii) and the density of D(A) in $D(A^{1/2})$.

(v): (26) and (i) imply that $\dot{S}(t)x \in D(A^{1/2})$ for any $x \in D(A)$ and

$$A^{1/2}\dot{S}(t)x = -A^{1/2}(1*S)(t)Ax + \beta * A^{1/2}(1*S)(t)Ax.$$

Consequently, $t \to A^{1/2} \dot{S}(t) x$ is continuous and the proof is complete.

Finally, we show another regularity property of the resolvent operator.

Proposition 3.5 Assume

- (a) $\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge M \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in D(A)$ and some M > 0;
- (b) $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ satisfies $\int_0^\infty \beta(t) dt < 1$;
- (c) the kernel $k(t) = \int_t^\infty \beta(s) \, ds$ is of positive type.

Then, $1 * 1 * S(t)x \in D(A)$ for any $x \in X$ and any t > 0. Moreover, for any T > 0,

$$\left\|A\int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)S(\tau)x\,d\tau\right\| \leq C_{T}\left\|x\right\|, \qquad \forall x \in X, \ \forall t \in [0,T]$$

$$\tag{29}$$

for some constant $C_T > 0$ increasing in T. So, $A(1 * 1 * S)(\cdot)$ is strongly continuous in X and for any $x \in X$ and any t > 0

$$S(t)x + A(1*1*S)(t)x - \beta * A(1*1*S)(t)x = x.$$
(30)

If, in addition, $\widehat{\beta}(\lambda) \neq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ with Re $\lambda = 0$, then (29) holds for a constant C independent of T.

Proof. Let $x \in D(A)$. Integrating the resolvent equation (18) twice yields

$$Av(t) - \beta * Av(t) = -S(t)x + x, \qquad (31)$$

where v(t) := 1 * 1 * S(t)x. Denoting by w(t) the right-hand side of the above equation, we have that $w \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; X)$ owing to (23) and

$$\|w(t)\| \le 2\|x\| \qquad \forall t \ge 0$$

Let $\varrho \in L^1_{loc}(0,\infty)$ be the resolvent kernel of β . Then, $Av = w + \varrho * w$. So,

$$||Av||_{\infty,T} \le (1 + ||\varrho||_{1,T}) ||w||_{\infty} \le 2(1 + ||\varrho||_{1,T}) ||x||.$$

Therefore, (29) holds for $x \in D(A)$. The general case $x \in X$ follows by an approximation argument, and so does (30) from (31).

Finally, under the extra assumption that $\widehat{\beta}(\lambda) \neq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ with Re $\lambda = 0$, corollary 2.3 yields $\varrho \in L^1(0, \infty)$. This allows to turn (29) into a global estimate on $[0, \infty)$.

3.3 Mild and strong solutions

We now turn to the analysis of the equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + Au(t) - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)Au(s) \, ds = f(t) \,, \qquad t \in [0,T]$$
(32)

where $f \in L^1(0,T;X)$ and T > 0 is given. To begin with, we recall two notions of solution.

Definition 3.6 We say that u is a strong solution of (32) if

$$u \in C^2([0,T];X) \cap C([0,T];D(A))$$

and u satisfies (32) for every $t \in [0, T]$.

Let $u_0, u_1 \in X$. The mild solution of (32) with initial conditions

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad \dot{u}(0) = u_1,$$
(33)

is the function $u \in C([0,T];X)$ defined, for every $t \in [0,T]$, by the formula

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(\tau)u_1 d\tau + \int_0^t 1 * S(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau , \qquad (34)$$

(see remark 3.2).

We now describe the connection between the mild and strong solution.

Proposition 3.7 A strong solution u of equation (32) is also the mild solution of (32) with initial conditions $u(0), \dot{u}(0)$. Consequently, the Cauchy problem (32)-(33) has, at most, one strong solution.

Proof. Let u be a strong solution. Integrating (32) twice, we obtain

$$u(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Au(s)ds = u(0) + \dot{u}(0)t + 1 * 1 * f(t),$$

where $a(t) = t - 1 * 1 * \beta(t)$. Therefore, a well-known variation of parameters formula for integral equations (see [21, Proposition 1.2 (i)]) yields

$$u(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t S(r) \Big[u(0) + \dot{u}(0)(t-r) + 1 * 1 * f(t-r) \Big] dr$$

= $S(t)u(0) + \int_0^t S(r)\dot{u}(0)dr + \int_0^t S(r)1 * f(t-r)dr$.

Thus, u is given by (34).

Using proposition 3.4, we want to show that the mild solution is more regular if the initial value of ubelongs to $D(A^{1/2})$.

Proposition 3.8 Let $u_0 \in D(A^{1/2}), u_1 \in X, f \in L^1(0,T;X)$, and let u be the mild solution u of (32)-(33). Then

$$u \in C([0,T]; D(A^{1/2})) \cap C^1([0,T];X)$$

and

$$\|A^{1/2}u\|_{\infty,T} + \|\dot{u}\|_{\infty,T} \le C(\|A^{1/2}u_0\| + \|u_1\| + \|f\|_{1,T}), \qquad (35)$$

where

$$C = 1 + (1 + \|\beta\|_1) \left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \, dt\right)^{-1/2}$$

Proof. Let u be the mild solution of (32)-(33). Then, u = v + w + z where

$$v(t) := S(t)u_0 \qquad w(t) := \int_0^t S(\tau)u_1 d\tau \qquad z(t) := \int_0^t 1 * S(t-r)f(r)dr.$$
(36)

Now, in view of definition 3.1 $v \in C([0,T]; D(A^{1/2}))$ and by proposition 3.4 - (iii) $v \in C^1([0,T]; X)$. Moreover, owing to point (i) of proposition 3.4, $w \in C([0,T]; D(A^{1/2}))$. As regards z, we have $z \in$ $C([0,T]; D(A^{1/2}))$ again by proposition 3.4 - (i) and $z \in C^1([0,T]; X)$ keeping in mind remark 3.2.

Finally, the estimate (35) follows from (23) and (27).

Last, we prove that, under suitable regularity conditions on the data, the mild solution is strong.

Proposition 3.9 Let $u_0 \in D(A), u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $f \in W^{1,1}(0,T;X)$. Then, the mild solution of the Cauchy problem (32)-(33) is a strong solution.

In addition, u belongs to $C^{1}([0,T]; D(A^{1/2}))$ and there exists a constant $C_{T} > 0$, increasing in T, such that for any $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\|\ddot{u}(t)\| + \|Au(t)\| + \|A^{1/2}\dot{u}(t)\| \le C_T(\|Au_0\| + \|A^{1/2}u_1\| + \|f(0)\| + \|\dot{f}\|_{1,T}).$$
(37)

Furthermore, if $\widehat{\beta}(\lambda) \neq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ with Re $\lambda = 0$, then (37) holds for a constant C independent of T.

Proof. Let u be the mild solution of (32)-(33). Then, we split again u = v + w + z where v, w, z are defined in (36).

Now, in view of definition 3.1, v is a strong solution of (32) with f = 0. Moreover, owing to point (ii) of proposition 3.4, $w \in C([0,T]; D(A))$. Furthermore, by point (iii) of the same proposition, w belongs to $C^{2}([0,T];X)$ and is also a strong solution of (32) with f = 0.

Next, since $f(t) = f(0) + \int_0^t \dot{f}(s) ds$, we have

$$z(t) = \int_0^t 1 * S(r)f(0)dr + \int_0^t 1 * 1 * S(t-r)\dot{f}(r)dr =: z_1(t) + z_2(t).$$

Thus, $z \in C([0,T]; D(A))$ in view of proposition 3.5. Also, $z \in C^2([0,T]; X)$ by direct inspection and is a strong solution of (32) with $u_0 = u_1 = 0$. Indeed, using (30) we have

$$\ddot{z}_1(t) + Az_1(t) - \beta * Az_1(t) = f(0)$$

$$S(t-r)\dot{f}(r) + A(1*1*S)(t-r)\dot{f}(r) - \beta * A(1*1*S)(t-r)\dot{f}(r) = \dot{f}(r),$$

and integrating the last identity from 0 to t yields

$$\ddot{z}_2(t) + Az_2(t) - \beta * Az_2(t) = \int_0^t \dot{f}(r)dr$$

Therefore, u is the strong solution of problem (32)-(33).

Finally, we note that

$$\dot{u}(t) = \dot{S}(t)u_0 + S(t)u_1 + 1 * S(t)f(0) + 1 * S * \dot{f}(t), \qquad t \ge 0.$$

So, the continuity of $A^{1/2}\dot{u}$ follows from (iv) and (i) of proposition 3.4 and from the definition of S(t). Finally, estimate (37) follows from propositions 3.4 and 3.5. The proof is thus complete. \square

4 The nonlinear problem: well-posedness

4.1Local existence

Let us consider the nonlinear equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + F(u(t))\dot{u}(t) + Au(t) - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)Au(s)\,ds = f(t)\,, \qquad t \ge 0\,.$$
(38)

Throughout this section we will assume that kernel β and operator A satisfy the following conditions:

(a)
$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge M \|x\|^2$$
 $\forall x \in D(A)$ $(M > 0)$
(b) $\beta \in L^1(0, \infty)$ and $k(t) := \int_t^\infty \beta(s) \, ds$ is of positive type (39)
(c) $\int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds < 1$.

Moreover, we shall suppose that $F: D(A^{\theta}) \to \mathbb{R}, 0 < \theta \leq 1/2$, is a functional Lipschitz continuous on the bounded sets of $D(A^{\theta})$, that is for any R > 0 there exists a constant $L_R > 0$ such that

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \le L_R ||A^{\theta}x - A^{\theta}y||$$

$$\tag{40}$$

for all $x, y \in D(A^{\theta})$ satisfying $||A^{\theta}x||$, $||A^{\theta}y|| \leq R$. Let $0 < T \leq \infty$ be given and $f \in L^1(0, T; X)$. To begin with, we recall two notions of solution.

Definition 4.1 We say that u is a strong solution of (38) on [0, T] if

$$u \in C^2([0,T];X) \cap C([0,T];D(A))$$

and u satisfies (38) for every $t \in [0, T]$.

Let $u_0, u_1 \in X$. A function $u \in C^1([0,T];X) \cap C([0,T];D(A^{1/2}))$ is a mild solution of (38) on [0,T] with initial conditions

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad \dot{u}(0) = u_1,$$
(41)

if

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(\tau)u_1 d\tau + \int_0^t 1 * S(t-\tau)(f(\tau) - F(u(\tau))\dot{u}(\tau))d\tau, \qquad (42)$$

where $\{S(t)\}$ is the resolvent for (17) (see Theorem 3.3).

Notice that the convolution term in (42) is well defined, thanks to (40) and (5). In view of proposition 3.7 a strong solution is also a mild one.

Another useful notion of generalized solution of (38) is the so-called *weak solution*, that is a function $u \in C^1([0,T];X) \cap C([0,T];D(A^{1/2}))$ such that, for any $v \in D(A^{1/2})$, $\langle \dot{u}(t), v \rangle \in C^1([0,T])$ and for any $t \in [0,T]$ one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle \dot{u}(t), v\rangle + \langle F(u(t))\dot{u}(t), v\rangle + \langle A^{1/2}u(t), A^{1/2}v\rangle - \langle \int_0^t \beta(t-s)A^{1/2}u(s)\,ds, A^{1/2}v\rangle = \langle f(t), v\rangle.$$
(43)

Adapting a classical argument due to Ball [2], one can show that any mild solution of (38) is also a weak solution, and the two notions of solution are equivalent when $F \equiv 0$.

First of all, we claim the uniqueness of the mild solution.

Proposition 4.2 Let $f \in L^1(0,T;X)$. Then, the Cauchy problem (38)-(41) possesses at most one mild solution.

The next proposition ensures the local existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to (38)-(41). The proof follows by a standard fixed point argument.

Proposition 4.3 Let $u_0 \in D(A^{1/2})$, $u_1 \in X$ and $f \in L^1(0,T;X)$. Then a positive number $T_0 \leq T$ exists so that the Cauchy problem (38)-(41) admits a unique mild solution on $[0,T_0]$.

Assuming more regular data, the mild solution is a strong one: we state that in the following result.

Proposition 4.4 Let $u_0 \in D(A), u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $f \in W^{1,1}(0,T;X)$. Then, the mild solution of the Cauchy problem (38)-(41) in $[0,T_0], T_0 \in (0,T]$, is a strong solution. In addition, u belongs to $C^1([0,T_0]; D(A^{1/2}))$ and there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\|\ddot{u}(t)\| + \|Au(t)\| + \|A^{1/2}\dot{u}(t)\| \le C_T(\|Au_0\| + \|A^{1/2}u_1\| + \|f(0)\| + \|\dot{f}\|_{1,T}) + C_T \sup_{\tau \in [0,T_0]} \left[\|\dot{u}(\tau)\| + \|A^{1/2}u(\tau)\|\right].$$
(44)

4.2 Global existence

In this section we will investigate the existence in the large of the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(t) + F(u(t))\dot{u}(t) + Au(t) - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)Au(s)\,ds = f(t)\,,\\ u(0) = u_0\,, \quad \dot{u}(0) = u_1\,. \end{cases}$$
(45)

Global existence will follow from the uniform estimates obtained previously under the extra assumption

$$F(x) \ge 0 \qquad \forall x \in D(A^{\theta}).$$
 (46)

Let T > 0 be given.

Theorem 4.5 Under assumptions (39), (40) and (46), for any $u_0 \in D(A^{1/2})$, $u_1 \in X$, $f \in L^1(0,T;X)$ problem (45) possesses a unique mild solution u on [0,T] such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2 \le C \left(\|u_1\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \|f\|_{1,T}^2 \right), \tag{47}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_0]$ and some constant C > 0 independent of T_0 and T.

If, in addition, $u_0 \in D(A), u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $f \in W^{1,1}(0,T;X)$, then u is a strong solution of the equation in (45) on [0,T] and $u \in C^1([0,T]; D(A^{1/2}))$.

A crucial step in the proof of theorem 4.5 are the following *a priori* estimates.

Lemma 4.6 Let $0 < T_0 \leq T$, $u_0 \in D(A)$, $u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $f \in W^{1,1}(0,T;X)$. If u is the strong solution of the equation in (45) on $[0,T_0]$, then

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,T_0]} \left[\|\dot{u}(\tau)\| + \|A^{1/2}u(\tau)\| \right] \le C_1 \,, \tag{48}$$

$$\sup_{\in [0,T_0]} \left[\|\ddot{u}(t)\| + \|Au(t)\| + \|A^{1/2}\dot{u}(t)\| \right] \le C_2,$$
(49)

where $C_1 = C_1(\|u_1\|, \|A^{1/2}u_0\|, \|f\|_{1,T})$ and $C_2 = C_2(T, \|Au_0\|, \|A^{1/2}u_1\|, \|f(0)\|, \|\dot{f}\|_{1,T})$ are positive functions, increasing in each variable and independent of T_0 .

The next result follows at once from theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.7 Under assumptions (39), (40) and (46), for any $u_0 \in D(A^{1/2})$, $u_1 \in X$, $f \in L^1(0, \infty; X)$ problem (45) possesses a unique mild solution u on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2 \le C \Big(\|u_1\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \|f\|_1^2 \Big),$$
(50)

for any $t \ge 0$ and some constant C > 0. If, in addition, $u_0 \in D(A), u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $f \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(0,\infty;X) \cap L^1(0,\infty;X)$, then u is a strong solution of the equation in (45) on $[0,\infty)$ and $u \in C^1([0,\infty); D(A^{1/2}))$.

5 Exponential decay of the energy

This section is devoted to the study of the asymptotic behaviour of the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(t) + F(u(t))\dot{u}(t) + Au(t) - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)Au(s)\,ds = f(t)\,,\\ u(0) = u_0\,, \quad \dot{u}(0) = u_1\,. \end{cases}$$
(51)

(52)

For any measurable function $g: [0, \infty) \to X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ let us set

$$g_{\alpha}(t) = e^{\alpha t} g(t) \qquad t > 0.$$

The exponential decay at ∞ of the energy will follow, in a rather straightforward way, from the uniform estimates obtained previously under the following assumptions:

(a) $F: D(A^{\theta}) \to \mathbb{R}$ $0 < \theta \le 1/2$ locally Lipschitz continuous (b) $F(x) \ge c_0 > 0$, $\forall x \in D(A^{\theta})$ (c) $\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge M ||x||^2$ $\forall x \in D(A)$ (d) $\beta_{\alpha_0} \in L^1(0, \infty)$

(e)
$$t \mapsto \int_{t}^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha_{0}}(s) \, ds$$
 is of positive type
(f) $\int_{0}^{\infty} |\beta(s)| \, ds < 1$
(g) $u_{0} \in D(A^{1/2}), \quad u_{1} \in X, \quad f_{\eta_{0}} \in L^{1}(0,\infty;X)$

for some constants $M, \alpha_0, \eta_0 > 0$.

Theorem 5.1 Under assumptions (52), for any R > 0 there exist $\alpha_R \in (0, \alpha_0 \land \eta_0]$ and $C_R \ge 0$ such that the mild solution u of (51), with $||u_1||^2 + ||A^{1/2}u_0||^2 + ||f_{\eta_0}||_1^2 \le R$, satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2} u(t)\|^2 \le C_R \ e^{-2\alpha_R t} \,, \qquad \forall t \ge 0 \,.$$
(53)

Proof. Let us suppose, first, that $u_0 \in D(A)$, $u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$ and $f \in C^1([0,\infty); X)$, so that the mild solution u is a strong one, see corollary 4.7. For any $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$, we note that $\beta_\alpha \in L^1(0,\infty)$ and the kernel

$$K(t) := \int_t^\infty \beta_\alpha(s) \ ds$$

is of positive type, as one can see noting that $K(t) = \int_t^\infty e^{-(\alpha_0 - \alpha)s} \beta_{\alpha_0}(s) \, ds$ and applying proposition 2.7 to β_{α_0} . Also, let us set

$$\nu_{\alpha} := 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha}(r) dr \qquad \forall \alpha \ge 0.$$

Observe that, in particular,

$$\nu_0 = 1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(r) dr > 0 \,.$$

Now, it is easy to see that, for any $\alpha \ge 0$, the function $u_{\alpha}(t) = e^{\alpha t} u(t)$ solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}_{\alpha}(t) + (F(u(t)) - 2\alpha)\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t) + (\alpha^{2} - F(u(t))\alpha)u_{\alpha}(t) + Au_{\alpha}(t) - \beta_{\alpha} * Au_{\alpha}(t) = f_{\alpha}(t) \\ u_{\alpha}(0) = u_{0}; \quad \dot{u}_{\alpha}(0) = u_{0} + \alpha u_{1}. \end{cases}$$
(54)

To proceed with the proof, we need the following two lemmas. Notice that our first lemma holds under the weaker assumption

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(s) \, ds < 1 \,. \tag{55}$$

Lemma 1. There exists $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0 \land \eta_0]$ such that, for any R > 0, if

$$||u_1||^2 + ||A^{1/2}u_0||^2 + ||f_{\eta_0}||_1^2 \le R$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + \frac{\nu_{0}}{8} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + \frac{c_{0}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \\
\leq C_{1,R} + \int_{0}^{t} (\alpha \ C_{1,R} + |\beta_{\alpha_{0}}(\tau)|) \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \quad (56)$$

for some constant $C_{1,R} > 0$.

Proof of lemma 1. First, multiplying the equation in (54) by $\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)$, since $u \in C^{1}([0,\infty); D(A^{1/2}))$ (see corollary 4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + (F(u(t)) - 2\alpha) \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + (\alpha^{2} - F(u(t))\alpha) \langle u_{\alpha}(t), \dot{u}_{\alpha}(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} \\ - \langle \beta_{\alpha} * A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(t), A^{1/2} \dot{u}_{\alpha}(t) \rangle &= \langle f_{\alpha}(t), \dot{u}_{\alpha}(t) \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above identity from 0 to s, $0 < s \le t$, by (52)-(b) and the fact that $\dot{K} = -\beta_{\alpha}$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} + (c_{0} - 2\alpha) \int_{0}^{s} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} \langle \dot{K} * A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau), A^{1/2}\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_{1} + \alpha u_{0}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} \langle f_{\alpha}(\tau), \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau \\
+ \int_{0}^{s} (F(u(\tau))\alpha - \alpha^{2}) \langle u_{\alpha}(\tau), \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau . \quad (57)$$

The convolution term $\dot{K} * A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(\tau)$ can be computed integrating by parts:

$$\int_0^\tau \dot{K}(\tau - r)A^{1/2}u_\alpha(r)dr = K(\tau)A^{1/2}u_0 - K(0)A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau) + \int_0^\tau K(\tau - r)A^{1/2}\dot{u}_\alpha(r)dr.$$

Using the above identity to evaluate the fourth term on the left-hand side of (57), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{s} \langle \dot{K} * A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(\tau), A^{1/2} \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau &= \left\langle A^{1/2} u_{0}, \int_{0}^{s} K(\tau) A^{1/2} \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \ d\tau \right\rangle - \frac{K(0)}{2} \|A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{K(0)}{2} \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} \langle K * A^{1/2} \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau), A^{1/2} \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle \ d\tau \\ &\geq \left\langle A^{1/2} u_{0}, \int_{0}^{s} K(\tau) A^{1/2} \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \ d\tau \right\rangle - \frac{K(0)}{2} \|A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} + \frac{K(0)}{2} \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\|^{2} \quad (58) \end{split}$$

since $\int_0^s \langle K * A^{1/2} \dot{u}_\alpha(\tau), A^{1/2} \dot{u}_\alpha(\tau) \rangle \ d\tau \ge 0$. Another integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^s K(\tau) A^{1/2} \dot{u}_\alpha(\tau) \ d\tau = K(s) A^{1/2} u_\alpha(s) - K(0) A^{1/2} u_0 + \int_0^s \beta_\alpha(\tau) A^{1/2} u_\alpha(\tau) \ d\tau$$

Hence, from (58) it follows that

$$\begin{split} \int_0^s \langle \dot{K} * A^{1/2} u_\alpha(\tau), A^{1/2} \dot{u}_\alpha(\tau) \rangle d\tau &\geq K(s) \langle A^{1/2} u_0, A^{1/2} u_\alpha(s) \rangle - \frac{K(0)}{2} \|A^{1/2} u_0\|^2 \\ &+ \int_0^s \beta_\alpha(\tau) \langle A^{1/2} u_0, A^{1/2} u_\alpha(\tau) \rangle \ d\tau - \frac{K(0)}{2} \|A^{1/2} u_\alpha(s)\|^2 \end{split}$$

By the above estimate and (57) we obtain, since $\alpha \leq \eta_0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} + (c_{0} - 2\alpha) \int_{0}^{s} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{\nu_{\alpha}}{2} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2}
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_{1} + \alpha u_{0}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 + \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha}(r) dr \Big) \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\|^{2} - K(s) \langle A^{1/2}u_{0}, A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(s) \rangle
- \int_{0}^{s} \beta_{\alpha}(\tau) \langle A^{1/2}u_{0}, A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau + \int_{0}^{s} \|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau
+ \alpha \int_{0}^{s} |F(u(\tau)) - \alpha| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau.$$
(59)

Thanks to (52)-(d), the Dominated Convergence Theorem and (55), for some $\alpha_1 \in (0, \alpha_0 \land \eta_0]$ we have that

$$\nu_{\alpha} = 1 - \int_0^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha}(r) dr \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^{\infty} \beta(r) dr \right) = \frac{\nu_0}{2} > 0, \quad \text{for any } 0 \le \alpha \le \alpha_1.$$

So, by the fact that $|\beta_{\alpha}(\tau)| \leq |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)|$, from (59) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} + (c_{0} - 2\alpha) \int_{0}^{s} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{\nu_{0}}{4} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2}
\leq \|u_{1}\|^{2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{0}^{2}}{M} + 1\right) \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\|^{2} + \|\beta_{\alpha_{0}}\|_{1} \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\| \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(s)\| + \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\| \int_{0}^{s} |\beta_{\alpha_{0}}(\tau)| \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau
+ \int_{0}^{s} \|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau + \alpha \int_{0}^{s} |F(u(\tau)) - \alpha| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau. \quad (60)$$

The analysis of the right-hand side of (60) can be completed observing that

$$\|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\| \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(s)\| \le \frac{\nu_0}{8} \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(s)\|^2 + \frac{2\|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1^2}{\nu_0} \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2$$

and

$$\|A^{1/2}u_0\|\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\| \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \le \|\beta_{\alpha_0}\|_1 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s |\beta_{\alpha_0}(\tau)|^2 \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^s \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^$$

Moreover,

$$\|f_{\eta_0}\|_1 \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \le \|f_{\eta_0}\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^2.$$

In view of the the above three inequalities, from (60) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} + (c_{0} - 2\alpha) \int_{0}^{s} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{\nu_{0}}{8} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(s)\|^{2} \\
\leq \|u_{1}\|^{2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{0}^{2}}{M} + 1 + \frac{2\|\beta_{\alpha_{0}}\|_{1}^{2}}{\nu_{0}} + \|\beta_{\alpha_{0}}\|_{1}\right) \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{s} |\beta_{\alpha_{0}}(\tau)| \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \\
+ \|f_{\eta_{0}}\|_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} + \alpha \int_{0}^{s} |F(u(\tau)) - \alpha| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau. \quad (61)$$

Now, taking $\alpha < c_0/2$, a straightforward computation yields

$$\frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} \le \|u_{1}\|^{2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{0}^{2}}{M} + 1 + \frac{2\|\beta_{\alpha_{0}}\|_{1}^{2}}{\nu_{0}} + \|\beta_{\alpha_{0}}\|_{1}\right) \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\|^{2} + \|f_{\eta_{0}}\|_{1}^{2} \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{t} |\beta_{\alpha_{0}}(\tau)| \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} |F(u(\tau)) - \alpha| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau.$$

By the above estimate and (61), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + (c_{0} - 2\alpha) \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{\nu_{0}}{8} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2}
\leq 2 \|u_{1}\|^{2} + 2\left(\frac{\alpha_{0}^{2}}{M} + 1 + \frac{2\|\beta_{\alpha_{0}}\|_{1}^{2}}{\nu_{0}} + \|\beta_{\alpha_{0}}\|_{1}\right) \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |\beta_{\alpha_{0}}(\tau)| \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau
+ 2 \|f_{\eta_{0}}\|_{1}^{2} + 2\alpha \int_{0}^{t} |F(u(\tau)) - \alpha| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau. \quad (62)$$

Therefore, to complete the proof we only need to bound the last term in (62). In view of (52)-(b), $F(u(\tau)) - \alpha \ge c_0 - \alpha > 0$ for any $\tau \ge 0$. Since F is Lipschitz continuous on the bounded subsets of $D(A^{1/2})$ and $||A^{1/2}u(t)||$ is bounded on $[0,\infty)$ on account of (50), for any R > 0 we have that, if $||u_1||^2 + ||A^{1/2}u_0||^2 + ||f_{\eta_0}||_1^2 \le R$, then there is a constant $C_R^* \ge 0$ such that $F(u(\tau)) \le C_R^*$ for all $\tau \ge 0$. Hence, by (52)-(c) we obtain

$$2\alpha \int_0^t (F(u(\tau)) - \alpha) \|u_\alpha(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_\alpha(\tau)\| \ d\tau \le 2C_R^* \alpha \int_0^t \|u_\alpha(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}_\alpha(\tau)\| \ d\tau \\ \le \alpha \frac{(C_R^*)^2}{M} \int_0^t \|A^{1/2} u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau + \alpha \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \,.$$

Finally, taking $\alpha < c_0/6$, the above inequality can be used to obtain (56) from (62).

Lemma 2. For any R > 0 there are constants $\alpha_R^* \in (0, \alpha_0 \land \eta_0]$ and $C_{2,R} \ge 0$ such that, if

$$||u_1||^2 + ||A^{1/2}u_0||^2 + ||f_{\eta_0}||_1^2 \le R$$

then

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \leq C_{2,R} \left(1 + \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau\right) + C \left(\|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|\|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(t)\| + \int_{0}^{t} \|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\|\|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau\right) \quad (63)$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_R^*]$, where $C \ge 0$ is another constant, independent of R.

Proof of lemma 2. We will follow the same method as in the above proof, now using $u_{\alpha}(t)$ as a multiplier. Taking the scalar product of both sides of the equation in (54) with $u_{\alpha}(t)$ and integrating on [0, t] we obtain

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{u}_{\alpha}(t), u_{\alpha}(t) \rangle &- \int_{0}^{t} \| \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau) \|^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} (F(u(\tau)) - 2\alpha) \langle \dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau), u_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} (\alpha^{2} - F(u(\tau))\alpha) \| u_{\alpha}(\tau) \|^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \| A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(\tau) \|^{2} d\tau - \int_{0}^{t} \langle \beta_{\alpha} * A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(\tau), A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau \\ &= \langle \dot{u}_{\alpha}(0), u_{\alpha}(0) \rangle + \int_{0}^{t} \langle f_{\alpha}(\tau), u_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau \,. \end{split}$$

Hence, for any $\alpha \leq \eta_0$,

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \leq \|u_{1} + \alpha u_{0}\| \|u_{0}\| + \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\| \|u_{\alpha}(t)\| \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \langle \beta_{\alpha} * A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau), A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau) \rangle d\tau \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} |F(u(\tau)) - 2\alpha| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (F(u(\tau)) - \alpha)\|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau. \quad (64)$$

Now, recalling that $||u_1||^2 + ||A^{1/2}u_0||^2 + ||f_{\eta_0}||_1^2 \le R$, by (52)-(c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1} + \alpha u_{0}\|\|u_{0}\| + \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|\|u_{\alpha}(t)\| + \int_{0}^{t} \|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\|\|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \ d\tau \\ \leq C_{R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|\|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(t)\| + \frac{1}{M} \int_{0}^{t} \|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\|\|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} \ d\tau \quad (65) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_R \ge 0$. Moreover,

$$\int_0^t \langle \beta_\alpha * A^{1/2} u_\alpha(\tau), A^{1/2} u_\alpha(\tau) \rangle \ d\tau \le \frac{\|\beta_\alpha\|_1^2 + 1}{2} \int_0^t \|A^{1/2} u_\alpha(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \,, \tag{66}$$

where, in view of (52)-(f), we can assume that, for some $\alpha_1 \in (0, \alpha_0 \land \eta_0]$,

$$\int_0^\infty |\beta_\alpha(t)| \, dt < 1 \qquad \text{for any } 0 \le \alpha \le \alpha_1 \,.$$

Finally, in order to estimate the last two integrals in (64) recall that, as we already observed in the proof of Lemma 1, there is a constant $C_R^* \ge 0$ such that $F(u(\tau)) \le C_R^*$ for all $\tau \ge 0$. So, for all $0 < \alpha < c_0/2$,

$$\int_{0}^{t} |F(u(\tau)) - 2\alpha| \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau + \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (F(u(\tau)) - \alpha) \|u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \\
\leq \frac{C_{R}^{*}}{\sqrt{M}} \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\| \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\| d\tau + \frac{\alpha C_{R}^{*}}{M} \int_{0}^{t} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \\
\leq \left(\frac{1 - \|\beta_{\alpha}\|_{1}^{2}}{8} + \frac{\alpha C_{R}^{*}}{M}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + C_{R} \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \quad (67)$$

for some constant $C_R \ge 0$. Thus, taking $\alpha_R^* = \frac{M(1-\|\beta_{\alpha}\|_1^2)}{8C_R^*}$ and using (65), (66) and (67) to bound the right-hand side of (64), we can easily obtain the conclusion.

Proof of theorem 5.1 (continued). Using (63) to bound the right-hand side of (56) we obtain, for all $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_R^*]$,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} &+ \frac{\nu_{0}}{8} \|A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + \frac{c_{0}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq C_{R} \Big[1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \big(\|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + \|A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} \big) \Big] + \alpha C_{R} \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} (C_{R} \|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\| + |\beta_{\alpha_{0}}(\tau)|) \|A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau \end{split}$$

for some constant $C_R \geq 0$. Hence, taking

$$\alpha_R = \min\left\{\alpha_R^*, \, \frac{1}{2C_R}, \, \frac{c_0}{2C_R}, \, \frac{\nu_0}{8C_R}\right\},\tag{68}$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} \leq C_{R} + C_{R} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|f_{\eta_{0}}(\tau)\| + |\beta_{\alpha_{0}}(\tau)|\right) \|A^{1/2}u_{\alpha}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau.$$

Since β_{α_0} and f_{η_0} are summable, by Gronwall's Lemma we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2} u_{\alpha}(t)\|^{2} \le C_{R} \quad \text{for any} \quad t \ge 0.$$
(69)

Now, recalling that $u(t) = e^{-\alpha t}u_{\alpha}(t)$ and $\dot{u}(t) = e^{-\alpha t}\dot{u}_{\alpha}(t) - \alpha e^{-\alpha t}u_{\alpha}(t)$, from (69) we obtain (53) for strong solutions. Since none of the above constants depend on the regularity of u, an approximation argument suffices to extend such a conclusion to mild solutions.

Remark 5.2 Analysing the proofs of lemmas 1 and 2, one can easily realize that, when F is bounded above, all the constants in (56) and (63) are independent of the size of the initial conditions and forcing term. Consequently, in this case, the decay rate α in (53) is independent of R. This observation applies, in particular, to linear problems.

6 Application to a problem in viscoelasticity

In this section we will use our abstract results to obtain an exponential decay estimate for a partial differential equation that models the vibrations of viscoelastic beams and plates. In a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with sufficiently smooth boundary, let us consider the viscoelastic Euler-Bernoulli equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + \mu(t)\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u - \int_0^t \beta(t-s)\Delta^2 u(s)ds = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0,\infty) \\ u = \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times (0,\infty) \\ u(0,\xi) = u_0(\xi) & \text{and} \quad \partial_t u(0,\xi) = u_1(\xi) & \xi \in \Omega \end{cases}$$
(70)

where $\mu(t)\partial_t u$ is a frictional damping term. The damping coefficient $\mu(t)$ is a nonlinear term of nonlocal type, indeed we take

$$\mu(t) = M\left(\int_{\Omega} \left[\lambda_1 |\nabla u(t,\xi)|^2 + \lambda_2 |\Delta u(t,\xi)|^2\right] d\xi\right),\tag{71}$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \ge 0$ and $M: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a given locally Lipschitz function.

For $\lambda_2 = 0$, problem (70) has been addressed in [6] in the case of a C^2 -smooth convolution kernel. By the theory of the previous section, we can easily obtain exponential stability for the above problem in the case of singular kernels.

Theorem 6.1 Assume that:

(i) $\beta \in L^1(0,\infty)$ is such that, for some constant $\alpha_0 > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty |\beta(s)| \, ds < 1 \,, \qquad \beta_{\alpha_0} \in L^1(0,\infty) \,, \qquad t \mapsto \int_t^\infty \beta_{\alpha_0}(s) \, ds \quad \text{is of positive type}$$

where $\beta_{\alpha_0}(t) = e^{\alpha_0 t} \beta(t);$

(ii) $M: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is a Lipschitz continuous function satisfying

$$\exists c_0 > 0 \quad such \ that \quad M(s) \ge c_0 \quad \forall s \ge 0.$$
(72)

Then, for any R > 0 there are $\alpha_R \in (0, \alpha_0]$ and $C_R \ge 0$ such that with for every $(u_0, u_1) \in H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, $\|u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} + \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le R$, the solution u of problem (70) satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[|\partial_t u(t,\xi)|^2 + \|\nabla^2 u(t,\xi)\|^2 \right] d\xi \le C_R e^{-2\alpha_R t} \qquad \forall t \ge 0 \,,$$

where $\|\nabla^2 u(t,\xi)\|$ denotes the (operator) norm of the Hessian of u with respect to ξ .

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is a straightforward application of Theorem 5.1. Indeed, we can rewrite (70) as an abstract problem of type (51) in the Hilbert space $X = L^2(\Omega)$ endowed with the usual inner product and norm. Let $A : D(A) \subset X \to X$ be the operator defined by

$$D(A) = H^4(\Omega) \cap H^2_0(\Omega)$$
$$Ax(\xi) = \triangle^2 x(\xi) \qquad x \in D(A), \ \xi \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}$$

It is well-known that A verifies assumption (52) - (c) and $D(A^{1/2}) = H_0^2(\Omega)$ (see, e.g., [7, p. 28-29]). Moreover, owing to assumption (ii), the nonlinear functional $F : H_0^2(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(x) = M\Big(\int_{\Omega} \left[\lambda_1 |\nabla x(\xi)|^2 + \lambda_2 |\Delta x(\xi)|^2\right] d\xi\Big) \quad \forall x \in H_0^2(\Omega)$$

satisfies conditions (52) - (a) and (b). So, the conclusion follows from theorem 5.1.

A Appendix

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Assume that there exist two mild solutions u and v of (38)-(41). Taking the difference, we get

$$u(t) - v(t) = \int_0^t 1 * S(t - \tau) [F(v(\tau))\dot{v}(\tau) - F(u(\tau))\dot{u}(\tau)] d\tau, \qquad t \in [0, T],$$
$$\dot{u}(t) - \dot{v}(t) = \int_0^t S(t - \tau) [F(v(\tau))\dot{v}(\tau) - F(u(\tau))\dot{u}(\tau)] d\tau, \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

Set

$$R = c_{\theta} \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} \left[\| \dot{u}(\tau) \| + \| A^{1/2} u(\tau) \| + \| \dot{v}(\tau) \| + \| A^{1/2} v(\tau) \| \right],$$

where c_{θ} is the constant in (5), in view of (23), (40) and (5), we have, for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{split} \|A^{1/2}u(t) - A^{1/2}v(t)\| + \|\dot{u}(t) - \dot{v}(t)\| \\ &\leq \left[\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt \right)^{-1/2} + 1 \right] \left[\int_0^t |F(v(\tau)) - F(u(\tau))| \ \|\dot{v}(\tau)\| \ d\tau + \int_0^t |F(u(\tau))| \ \|\dot{v}(\tau) - \dot{u}(\tau)\| \ d\tau \right] \\ &\leq (2RL_R + |F(0)|) \left[\left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt \right)^{-1/2} + 1 \right] \int_0^t \left[\|A^{1/2}u(\tau) - A^{1/2}v(\tau)\| + \|\dot{u}(\tau) - \dot{v}(\tau)\| \right] d\tau \,. \end{split}$$

Hence, by Gronwall's Lemma, $||A^{1/2}u(t) - A^{1/2}v(t)|| \equiv 0.$

Proof of Proposition 4.4. Let u be the mild solution of (32)-(33) in $[0, T_0]$. Thanks to the assumptions on data, we shall show that $t \to F(u(t))\dot{u}(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous, proving that \dot{u} and $A^{1/2}u$ are Lipschitz continuous functions as well.

First of all, we observe that \dot{u} is given by

$$\dot{u}(t) = \dot{S}(t)u_0 + S(t)u_1 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau)(f(\tau) - F(u(\tau))\dot{u}(\tau))d\tau.$$
(73)

To show that \dot{u} is Lipschitz continuous, we fix $0 < t < t+h < T_0$; using also formula $f(t) = f(0) + \int_0^t \dot{f}(s) ds$ we have

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}(t+h) - \dot{u}(t) &= \\ \int_{t}^{t+h} \ddot{S}(\tau)u_{0} \ d\tau + \int_{t}^{t+h} \dot{S}(\tau)u_{1}d\tau + \int_{t}^{t+h} S(r)f(0)dr + \int_{0}^{t} S(\tau) \Big(\int_{t-\tau}^{t-\tau+h} \dot{f}(r)dr\Big)d\tau \\ &+ \int_{t}^{t+h} S(\tau) \Big(\int_{0}^{t-\tau+h} \dot{f}(r) \ dr\Big)d\tau - \int_{0}^{t} S(\tau)[F(u(t-\tau+h)) - F(u(t-\tau))]\dot{u}(t-\tau+h)d\tau \\ &- \int_{t}^{t+h} S(\tau)F(u(t-\tau+h)\dot{u}(t-\tau+h)d\tau - \int_{0}^{t} S(\tau)F(u(t-\tau))[\dot{u}(t-\tau+h)) - \dot{u}(t-\tau)]d\tau \,. \end{split}$$
et

Set

$$R = (1 + c_{\theta}) \sup_{\tau \in [0, T_0]} \left[\|\dot{u}(\tau)\| + \|A^{1/2}u(\tau)\| \right],$$

in view of (24), (27), (23), (40) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{u}(t+h) - \dot{u}(t)\| &\leq \\ h(1+\|\beta\|_1) \Big(\|Au_0\| + \Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \, dt \Big)^{-1/2} \|A^{1/2}u_1\| \Big) + h \Big(\|f(0)\| + 2\|\dot{f}\|_{1,T} \Big) \\ &+ L_R R \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u(\tau+h) - A^{1/2}u(\tau)\| d\tau + h \, (L_R R + |F(0)|) R \\ &+ (L_R R + |F(0)|) \int_0^t \|\dot{u}(\tau+h) - \dot{u}(\tau)\| d\tau \,. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's Lemma we get

$$\|\dot{u}(t+h) - \dot{u}(t)\| \le hC_1 + C_2 \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u(\tau+h) - A^{1/2}u(\tau)\|d\tau,$$
(74)

where the constants C_1, C_2 are given respectively by

$$C_{1} = e^{(L_{R}R+|F(0)|)T} \Big[(1+\|\beta\|_{1}) \Big(\|Au_{0}\| + \Big(1-\int_{0}^{\infty}\beta(t) dt\Big)^{-1/2} \|A^{1/2}u_{1}\| \Big) \Big] \\ + e^{(L_{R}R+|F(0)|)T} \Big[\|f(0)\| + 2\|\dot{f}\|_{1,T} + (L_{R}R+|F(0)|)R \Big], \\ C_{2} = e^{(L_{R}R+|F(0)|)T} L_{R}R.$$

As regards $A^{1/2}u(t)$, in a similar way we can write

$$\begin{split} A^{1/2}u(t+h) &- A^{1/2}u(t) = \\ \int_{t}^{t+h} \dot{S}(\tau)A^{1/2}u_{0} d\tau + \int_{t}^{t+h} S(\tau)A^{1/2}u_{1}d\tau + \int_{t}^{t+h} A^{1/2}(1*S)(r)f(0)dr + \int_{0}^{t} A^{1/2}(1*S)(\tau) \Big(\int_{t-\tau}^{t-\tau+h} \dot{f}(r)dr\Big)d\tau \\ &+ \int_{t}^{t+h} A^{1/2}(1*S)(\tau) \Big(\int_{0}^{t-\tau+h} \dot{f}(r) dr\Big)d\tau - \int_{0}^{t} A^{1/2}(1*S)(\tau)[F(u(t-\tau+h)) - F(u(t-\tau))]\dot{u}(t-\tau+h)d\tau \\ &- \int_{t}^{t+h} A^{1/2}(1*S)(\tau)F(u(t-\tau+h)\dot{u}(t-\tau+h)d\tau - \int_{0}^{t} A^{1/2}(1*S)(\tau)F(u(t-\tau))[\dot{u}(t-\tau+h)) - \dot{u}(t-\tau)]d\tau \,. \end{split}$$

Using again (27), (23), (40) and (5) we have

$$\begin{split} \|A^{1/2}u(t+h) - A^{1/2}u(t)\| &\leq \\ h(1+\|\beta\|_1) \Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\Big)^{-1/2} \|Au_0\| + h\|A^{1/2}u_1\| + h\Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\Big)^{-1/2} (\|f(0)\| + 2\|\dot{f}\|_{1,T}) \\ &+ \Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\Big)^{-1/2} L_R R \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u(\tau+h) - A^{1/2}u(\tau)\| d\tau + h\Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\Big)^{-1/2} (L_R R + |F(0)|) R \\ &+ \Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \ dt\Big)^{-1/2} (L_R R + |F(0)|) \int_0^t \|\dot{u}(\tau+h) - \dot{u}(\tau)\| d\tau \,. \end{split}$$

Applying Gronwall's Lemma we get

$$\|A^{1/2}u(t+h) - A^{1/2}u(t)\| \le hC_1' + C_2' \int_0^t \|\dot{u}(\tau+h) - \dot{u}(\tau)\| d\tau , \qquad (75)$$

where the constants C'_1, C'_2 are given respectively by

$$C_{1}' = e^{\left(1-\int_{0}^{\infty}\beta(t) dt\right)^{-1/2}L_{R}RT} \left[(1+\|\beta\|_{1}) \left(\left(1-\int_{0}^{\infty}\beta(t) dt\right)^{-1/2} \|Au_{0}\| + \|A^{1/2}u_{1}\| \right) \right] \\ + e^{\left(1-\int_{0}^{\infty}\beta(t) dt\right)^{-1/2}L_{R}RT} \left(1-\int_{0}^{\infty}\beta(t) dt\right)^{-1/2} \left[\|f(0)\| + 2\|\dot{f}\|_{1,T} + (L_{R}R + |F(0)|)R \right], \\ C_{2}' = e^{\left(1-\int_{0}^{\infty}\beta(t) dt\right)^{-1/2}L_{R}RT} \left(1-\int_{0}^{\infty}\beta(t) dt\right)^{-1/2} (L_{R}R + |F(0)|).$$

Combining (74) and (75), we obtain

$$\|\dot{u}(t+h) - \dot{u}(t)\| \le h(C_1 + C_2 C_1' T) + C_2 C_2' T \int_0^t \|\dot{u}(\tau+h) - \dot{u}(\tau)\| d\tau$$

whence applying again Gronwall's Lemma it follows

$$\|\dot{u}(t+h) - \dot{u}(t)\| \le e^{C_2 C_2' T^2} (C_1 + C_2 C_1' T) h, \qquad (76)$$

and by (75)

$$\|A^{1/2}u(t+h) - A^{1/2}u(t)\| \le \left(C_1' + C_2'e^{C_2C_2'T^2}(C_1 + C_2C_1'T)T\right)h.$$
(77)

Therefore, \dot{u} and $A^{1/2}u$ are Lipschitz continuous on $[0, T_0]$, because the positive constants in (76) and (77) are independent of t and h. It follows that $F(u)\dot{u}$ is also Lipschitz continuous, since by (40), (5), (77) and (76) we have, for any $0 < t < t + h < T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(u(t+h))\dot{u}(t+h) - F(u(t))\dot{u}(t)\| &\leq \|\left(F(u(t+h)) - F(u(t))\right)\dot{u}(t+h)\| + \|F(u(t))(\dot{u}(t+h) - \dot{u}(t))\| \\ &\leq L_R R \|A^{1/2}u(t+h) - A^{1/2}u(t)\| + (L_R R + |F(0)|)\|\dot{u}(t+h) - \dot{u}(t)\| \\ &\leq \left[L_R R \left(C_1' + C_2' e^{C_2 C_2' T^2} (C_1 + C_2 C_1' T)T\right) + (L_R R + |F(0)|)e^{C_2 C_2' T^2} (C_1 + C_2 C_1' T)\right]h; \end{aligned}$$

consequently $F(u)\dot{u}$ has bounded derivative on $[0, T_0]$ and for any $t \in [0, T_0]$

$$\left\|\frac{d}{dt} \left(F(u(t))\dot{u}(t)\right)\right\| \leq L_R R\left(C_1' + C_2' e^{C_2 C_2' T^2} (C_1 + C_2 C_1' T)T\right) + (L_R R + |F(0)|) e^{C_2 C_2' T^2} (C_1 + C_2 C_1' T).$$

Therefore, if we consider u as the mild solution of the linear Cauchy problem (32)-(33) with non-homogeneous term given by $f - F(u)\dot{u}$, then we can conclude thanks to theorem 3.9.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. We note that the uniqueness of the mild solution to (45) follows from proposition 4.2. Therefore, we only have to show that such a solution exists and is a strong solution of the equation for smooth data. We will obtain these conclusions in reversed order.

Suppose first that $u_0 \in D(A)$, $u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$, $f \in W^{1,1}(0,T;X)$ and let u be the strong solution of (45) on $[0, T_0]$ given by proposition 4.4. Then, applying estimate (49) a standard continuation argument implies that $T_0 = T$.

We now proceed to show the existence of a mild solution to (45) for $u_0 \in D(A^{1/2})$, $u_1 \in X$ and $f \in L^1(0,T;X)$. Take sequences $\{u_{0n}\} \subset D(A)$, $\{u_{1n}\} \subset D(A^{1/2})$ and $\{f_n\} \subset C^1([0,T];X)$ such that $u_{0n} \to u_0$ in $D(A^{1/2})$, $u_{1n} \to u_1$ in X and $f_n \to f$ in $L^1(0,T;X)$. We have just proved that problem (45) with u_0 replaced by u_{0n} , u_1 by u_{1n} and f by f_n has a unique strong solution for any $n \ge 1$. Let u_n be such a solution. Then,

$$u_n(t) = S(t)u_{0n} + \int_0^t S(\tau)u_{1n}d\tau + \int_0^t 1 * S(t-\tau)(f_n(\tau) - F(u_n(\tau))\dot{u}_n(\tau))d\tau,$$
(78)

for any $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, estimate (48) applied to u_n yields

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} \left[\|\dot{u}_n(\tau)\| + \|A^{1/2}u_n(\tau)\| \right] \le C,$$
(79)

where C is independent of n, since $\{u_{0n}\}, \{u_{1n}\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ are bounded sequences.

We claim that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C^1([0,T];X) \cap C([0,T];D(A^{1/2}))$.

Finally, let us denote by u the limit of $\{u_n\}$ in $C^1([0,T];X) \cap C([0,T];D(A^{1/2}))$. Again by assumption (40) and (5) one can easily pass to the limit in (78) and (79) and deduce that u is the mild solution of (45), verifying (47).

Proof of Lemma 4.6. If we multiply the equation in (45) by $\dot{u}(t)$, then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{u}(t)\|^2 + F(u(t))\|\dot{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2 - \langle\beta*A^{1/2}u(t),A^{1/2}\dot{u}(t)\rangle = \langle f(t),\dot{u}(t)\rangle,$$

keeping in mind that $u \in C^1([0, T_0]; D(A^{1/2}))$, see proposition 4.4. Integrating the above identity from 0 to $s, 0 < s \le t$, yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(s)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} F(u(\tau)) \|\dot{u}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u(s)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} \langle \dot{k} * A^{1/2}u(\tau), A^{1/2}\dot{u}(\tau) \rangle d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|u_{1}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u_{0}\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} \langle f(\tau), \dot{u}(\tau) \rangle d\tau, \quad (80)$$

in view of the fact that $\dot{k} = -\beta$. The convolution term $\dot{k} * A^{1/2}u(\tau)$ can be estimated integrating by parts:

$$\int_0^\tau \dot{k}(\tau - r)A^{1/2}u(r)dr = k(\tau)A^{1/2}u_0 - k(0)A^{1/2}u(\tau) + \int_0^\tau k(\tau - r)A^{1/2}\dot{u}(r)dr.$$

Plugging this identity in (80), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(s)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} F(u(\tau)) \|\dot{u}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(r) dr\right) \|A^{1/2} u(s)\|^{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_{1}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(r) dr\right) \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\|^{2} - \langle A^{1/2} u_{0}, \int_{0}^{s} k(\tau) A^{1/2} \dot{u}(\tau) d\tau \rangle + \int_{0}^{s} \|f(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}(\tau)\| d\tau \tag{81}$$

since, being k is of positive type,

$$\int_0^s \langle k * A^{1/2} \dot{u}(\tau), A^{1/2} \dot{u}(\tau) \rangle \ d\tau \ge 0 \,.$$

Another integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^s k(\tau) A^{1/2} \dot{u}(\tau) \ d\tau = k(s) A^{1/2} u(s) - k(0) A^{1/2} u_0 + \int_0^s \beta(\tau) A^{1/2} u(\tau) \ d\tau \,.$$

Using the last identity, from (81) it follows that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(s)\|^2 &+ \int_0^s F(u(\tau)) \|\dot{u}(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(r) dr \Big) \|A^{1/2} u(s)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 + \int_0^\infty \beta(r) dr \Big) \|A^{1/2} u_0\|^2 - k(s) \langle A^{1/2} u_0, A^{1/2} u(s) \rangle \\ &- \int_0^s \beta(\tau) \langle A^{1/2} u_0, A^{1/2} u(\tau) \rangle \ d\tau + \int_0^s \|f(\tau)\| \ \|\dot{u}(\tau)\| \ d\tau \,. \end{split}$$

Since $\int_0^\infty \beta(r) dr < 1$, from the previous inequality we also deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(s)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} F(u(\tau)) \|\dot{u}(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(r) dr\right) \|A^{1/2} u(s)\|^{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_{1}\|^{2} + \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\|^{2} + \|\beta\|_{1} \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\| \|A^{1/2} u(s)\| \\
+ \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\| \int_{0}^{s} |\beta(\tau)| \|A^{1/2} u(\tau)\| d\tau + \int_{0}^{s} \|f(\tau)\| \|\dot{u}(\tau)\| d\tau \\
\leq \|u_{1}\|^{2} + \left(1 + \frac{4\|\beta\|_{1}^{2}}{1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(r) dr} + 4\|\beta\|_{1}\right) \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(r) dr\right) \|A^{1/2} u(s)\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{s} |\beta(\tau)| \|A^{1/2} u(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\dot{u}(\tau)\| \|f\|_{1,T}. \quad (82)$$

Using $F(u(t)) \ge 0$, a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(s)\|^2 &+ \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(r) dr \right) \|A^{1/2} u(s)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_1\|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{4\|\beta\|_1^2}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) ds} + 4\|\beta\|_1 \right) \|A^{1/2} u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^s |\beta(\tau)| \|A^{1/2} u(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\dot{u}(\tau)\|^2 + 4\|f\|_{1,T}^2, \qquad 0 < s \leq t. \end{aligned}$$
(83)

Thus, by an easy computation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \|\dot{u}(\tau)\|^2 \\ & \le \|u_1\|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{4\|\beta\|_1^2}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds} + 4\|\beta\|_1\right) \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + 4\|f\|_{1,T}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t |\beta(\tau)| \|A^{1/2}u(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \,. \end{aligned}$$

By the above estimate and (83), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(t)\|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(r) dr \right) \|A^{1/2} u(t)\|^{2} \\
\leq 2 \|u_{1}\|^{2} + 2 \left(1 + \frac{4\|\beta\|_{1}^{2}}{1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(s) ds} + 4\|\beta\|_{1} \right) \|A^{1/2} u_{0}\|^{2} + 8\|f\|_{1,T}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |\beta(\tau)| \|A^{1/2} u(\tau)\|^{2} d\tau , \quad (84)$$

whence

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds} \Big[\|u_1\|^2 + \Big(1 + \frac{4\|\beta\|_1^2}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds} + 4\|\beta\|_1\Big) \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + 4\|f\|_{1,T}^2 \Big] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds} \int_0^t |\beta(\tau)| \ \|A^{1/2}u(\tau)\|^2 \ d\tau \end{split}$$

Thus, by Gronwall's Lemma,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{4 e^{\frac{\|\beta\|_1}{1-\int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds}}}{1-\int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds} \Big[\|u_1\|^2 + \Big(1 + \frac{4\|\beta\|_1^2}{1-\int_0^\infty \beta(s)ds} + 4\|\beta\|_1\Big) \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + 4\|f\|_{1,T}^2 \Big].$$

Now, (84) and the above inequality yield

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2} u(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(2 + \frac{4 e^{\frac{\|\beta\|_1}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) ds}} (\|\beta\|_1 + 1)\right) \left[\|u_1\|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{4\|\beta\|_1^2}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) ds} + 4\|\beta\|_1\right) \|A^{1/2} u_0\|^2 + 4\|f\|_{1,T}^2 \right] \\ &\leq 8 \left(1 + \frac{2 e^{\frac{\|\beta\|_1}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) ds}} (\|\beta\|_1 + 1)\right) \left(1 + \frac{\|\beta\|_1^2}{1 - \int_0^\infty \beta(s) ds} + \|\beta\|_1\right) (\|u_1\|^2 + \|A^{1/2} u_0\|^2 + \|f\|_{1,T}^2) \,. \end{split}$$

So, (48) holds, and (49) follows by (44) and (48).

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Jan Prüss for his helpful comments.

References

- F. Alabau-Boussouira, P. Cannarsa, D. Sforza, Decay estimates for second order evolution equations with memory, J. Funct. Anal., 254 (2008), 1342–1372.
- J. M. Ball, Strongly continuous semigroups, weak solutions, and the variation of constants formula, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 63 (1977), 370–373.
- [3] P. Cannarsa, D. Sforza, *Global solutions of abstract semilinear parabolic equations with memory terms*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., **10** (2003), 399–430.
- [4] P. Cannarsa, D. Sforza, An existence result for semilinear equations in viscoelasticity: the case of regular kernels, in M. Fabrizio, B. Lazzari and A. Morro editors, Mathematical Models and Methods for Smart Materials, Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences, 62, World Scientific, (2002), 343-354.
- [5] P. Cannarsa, D. Sforza, Semilinear integrodifferential equations of hyperbolic type: existence in the large, Mediterr. J. Math., 1 (2004), 151–174.
- [6] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, T. F. Ma, Exponential decay of the viscoelastic Euler-Bernoulli equation with a nonlocal dissipation in general domains, Differential Integral Equations 17 (2004), 495–510.

- [7] P. G. Ciarlet, *The finite element method for elliptic problems*, Studies in mathematics and its applications, **4** (1978), North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [8] C. M. Dafermos, Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 37 (1970), 297–308.
- [9] C. M. Dafermos, An abstract Volterra equation with applications to linear viscoelasticity, J. Differential Equations, 7 (1970), 554–569.
- [10] L. C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 19 (1998), American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- [11] M. Fabrizio, A. Morro, Viscoelastic relaxation functions compatible with thermodynamics, J. Elasticity, 19 (1988), 63–75.
- [12] M. Fabrizio, B. Lazzari, On the existence and the asymptotic stability of solutions for linearly viscoelastic solids, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 116 (1991), 139–152.
- [13] V. Georgiev, B. Rubino, R. Sampalmieri, Global existence for elastic waves with memory, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 176 (2005), 303–330.
- [14] C. Giorgi, M. G. Naso, Exponential stability of a linear viscoelastic bar with thermal memory, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 178 (2000), 45–66.
- [15] G. Gripenberg, S. O. Londen, O. J. Staffans, Volterra Integral and Functional Equations, Encyclopedia Math. Applications, 34 (1990), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [16] J. E. Muñoz Rivera, A. Peres Salvatierra, Asymptotic behaviour of the energy in partially viscoelastic materials Quart. Appl. Math., 59 (2001), 557–578.
- [17] G. Lebon, C. Perez-Garcia, J. Casas-Vazquez, On the thermodynamic foundations of viscoelasticity J. Chem. Phys., 88 (1988), 5068–5075.
- [18] G. Leugering, Boundary controllability of a viscoelastic string, in G. Da Prato and M. Iannelli editors, Volterra integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces and applications, Harlow, Essex, Longman Sci. Tech., (1989), 258-270.
- [19] Z. Liu, S. Zheng, Semigroups associated with dissipative systems, Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, 398 (1999), Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- [20] A. Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44 (1983), Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [21] J. Prüss, Evolutionary integral equations and applications, Monographs in Mathematics, 87 (1993), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.
- [22] T. Qin, Asymptotic Behavior of a Class of Abstract Semilinear Integrodifferential Equations and Applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 233 (1999), 130–147.
- [23] M. Renardy, Some remarks on the propagation and nonpropagation of discontinuities in linearly viscoelastic liquids, Rheol. Acta, 21 (1982), 251–254.
- [24] M. Renardy, W. J. Hrusa, J. A. Nohel, *Mathematical problems in viscoelasticity*, Pitman Monographs Pure Appl.Math., **35** (1988), Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, Essex.