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#### Abstract

Classical dynamical entropy is an important tool to analyze communication processes. For instance, it may represent a transmission capacity for one letter. In this paper, we formulate the notion of dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain and calculate it for some simple models.


## 1. Introduction

Classical dynamical (or Kolmogorov-Sinai) entropy was introduced in [10, 11, 16], and relates to classical coding theorems of Shannon [5, 9, 13]. Quantum dynamical (QD) entropy has been studied by Emch [8], Connes, Størmer [7], Connes, Narnhofer, Thirring [6] and many others. Recently, the quantum dynamical entropy and the quantum dynamical mutual entropy were defined by Ohya in terms of complexity [12, 14, 15]. Classical Markov chain is a fundamental concept in stochastic processes. The notion of quantum Markov chain (QMC) was formulated by means of the transition expectation introduced by Accardi [1, 2].

In Section 1, we review the notion of dynamical entropy through a classical Markov chain. In Section 2, we define dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain, and, in Section 3, we calculate it for some simple models.

## 2. Formulation of Dynamical Entropy in Classical Markov Chain

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a probability measure space, $T$ be a measure preserving (i.e., $\mu \circ T=\mu$ ) automorphism on $\Omega$ and $\mathcal{C} \equiv\left\{C_{k}\right\}$ be a finite partition of $\Omega$. Let $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be the set of all functions $f$ on $\Omega$ satisfying $\|f\|_{\infty} \equiv \inf \{\alpha ;|f| \leq \alpha, \mu-$ a.e. $\}<+\infty$. We denote the set of all $n \times n$ diagonal matrices by $D_{n}$. Then there exists a one
to one correspondence between $L^{\infty}(\{1, \ldots, n\})$ and $D_{n}$, that is, a characteristic function $\chi_{\{k\}} \in L^{\infty}(\{1, \ldots, n\})$ relates to a diagonal matrix $e_{k k} \in D_{n}$, where $e_{i j}$ is the matrix unit (i.e., $(i, i)$-element $=1$ and other elements $=0$ ). The transition expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$ from $L^{\infty}(\{1, \ldots, n\}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\chi_{\{k\}} \otimes f\right) \equiv \chi_{C_{k}} \cdot f \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\chi_{\{k\}} \otimes f \in L^{\infty}(\{1, \ldots, n\}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\theta$ be a *-automorphism on $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\theta f)(x) \equiv f(T x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and any $x \in \Omega$. A classical transition expectation with respect to $\theta$ from $L^{\infty}(\{1, \ldots, n\}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}, \theta} \equiv \theta \circ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical Markov chain on $\otimes_{N} L^{\infty}(\{1, \ldots, n\})$ is given by a pair $\psi \equiv\left\{\mu, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}\right\}$. The Markov chain $\psi=\left\{\mu, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}\right\}$ is stationary and its joint correlation is characterized by the following property:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(C_{k_{1}} \cap T C_{k_{2}}\right. & \left.\cap \ldots \cap T^{n-1} C_{k_{n}}\right) \\
& =\mu\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}, \theta}\left(\chi_{\left\{k_{1}\right\}} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}, \theta}\left(\chi_{\left\{k_{2}\right\}} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}, \theta}\left(\ldots \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}, \theta}\left(\chi_{\left\{k_{n}\right\}} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right)\right. \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
The entropy for the stationary Markov chain $\psi=\left\{\mu, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}\right\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \theta) \equiv \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{n_{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}} \psi\left(C_{k_{1}} \cap T C_{k_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap T^{n-1} C_{k_{n}}\right)} \\
\times \log \psi\left(C_{k_{1}} \cap T C_{k_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap T^{n-1} C_{k_{n}}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

DEFINITION 2.1. The dynamical entropy of the $\operatorname{system}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, \theta)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\theta) \equiv \sup _{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \theta) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions $\mathcal{C}$ of $\Omega$.

## 3. Construction of Dynamical Entropy Through Quantum Markov Chain

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ be a von Neumann algebraic system, that is, $\mathcal{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra with an identity operator $I$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is the
set of all normal states on $\mathcal{A}$. We denote a finite partition of $I \in \mathcal{A}$ by $\gamma \equiv\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}$; $\sum_{j} \gamma_{j}=I \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}=\gamma_{j} \delta_{i j}$. Let $M_{d}$ be the set of all $d \times d$ matrices. For a finite partition $\gamma$, a transition expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}$ from $M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ introduced in [1,2] is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}(\tilde{A}) \equiv E_{e}\left(p_{\gamma, e}^{*} \tilde{A} p_{\gamma, e}\right), \quad \tilde{A} \in M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\gamma, e} \equiv \sum_{j} e_{j j} \otimes \gamma_{j}$ with the matrix unit $e_{j j} \in M_{d}$, and $E_{e}$ is a transition expectation from $M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$
E_{e}\left(\sum_{i, j} e_{i j} \otimes A_{i j}\right)=\sum_{i} A_{i i} .
$$

Let $\theta$ be a $*$-automorphism on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi$ be a state on $\mathcal{A}$. The transition expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}$ with respect to $\theta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta} \equiv \theta \circ \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A quantum Markov chain on $\otimes^{\mathbb{N}} M_{d}$ is defined by $\psi \equiv\left\{\varphi, \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\right\} \in \Sigma\left(\otimes^{\mathbb{N}} M_{d}\right)$, where $\varphi$ is called the initial distribution of $\psi$. The quantum Markov chain $\psi=\left\{\varphi, \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\right\}$ is characterized by the following joint correlation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi\left(j_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) j_{2}\left(a_{2}\right) \ldots j_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\varphi\left(\mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{n} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in M_{d}$, where $j_{k}$ is an embedding map from $M_{d}$ into the $k$-th factor of the tensor product $\otimes^{\mathbb{N}} M_{d}$ such that

$$
j_{k}(a) \equiv I \otimes \ldots \otimes I \otimes a \otimes I \otimes \ldots
$$

Let $P_{\gamma, \theta}$ be a forward Markovian operator from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\gamma, \theta}(A) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}(I \otimes A)=\theta \circ \sum_{j} \gamma_{j} A \gamma_{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. When $\varphi$ is a stationary state on $\mathcal{A}, \varphi \circ \theta=\varphi$, we have $\varphi\left(P_{\gamma, \theta} A\right)=$ $\sum_{j} \varphi\left(\gamma_{j} A \gamma_{j}\right)$. Only when $\gamma_{j}$ is an element of the centralizer $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}$ of $\varphi, \varphi\left(P_{\gamma, \theta} A\right)=$ $\varphi(A)$ holds. Suppose that for $\varphi$ with stationarity there exists unique density operator $\rho$ such that $\varphi(A)=\operatorname{tr} \rho A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, For any $a_{1} \otimes I \in M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(j_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)\right) & =\varphi\left(\mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho E_{e}\left(p_{\gamma, e}^{*}\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right) p_{\gamma, e}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho E_{e}\left(\left(\sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes \gamma_{i}\right)\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right)\left(\sum_{k} e_{k k} \otimes \gamma_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{i, k} e_{k k} e_{i i} \otimes \gamma_{i} \rho \gamma_{k}\right)\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{k} e_{k k} \otimes \gamma_{k} \rho \gamma_{k}\right)\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right) \\
& =\psi_{[0,1]}\left(a_{1} \otimes I\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{M_{d}}\left(\sum_{k}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho \gamma_{k}\right) e_{k k}\right) a_{1} \\
& =\psi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}}$ is the trace on $M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. The state $\psi_{[0,1]}$ on $M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is constructed by a lifting $\mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}^{*}$ from $\Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ to $\Sigma\left(M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}\right)$ in the sense of [3], and the density operator $\rho_{[0,1]}$ of $\psi_{[0,1]}$ is obtained by

$$
\rho_{[0,1]}=\sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes \gamma_{i} \theta^{*}(\rho) \gamma_{i}=\sum_{i} e_{i i} \otimes \theta\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \rho \theta\left(\gamma_{i}\right) .
$$

Hence the density operator $\rho_{1}$ of $\psi_{[0,1]} \mid M_{d}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{[0,1]}=\sum_{k}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho \theta\left(\gamma_{k}\right)\right) e_{k k} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi\left(j_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) j_{2}\left(a_{2}\right) \ldots j_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\varphi\left(\mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{2} \otimes \ldots \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{n} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^{*}(\rho)\left(a_{1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{2} \otimes \ldots \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{n} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{i_{1}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}}\right)\left(a_{1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{2} \otimes \ldots \theta \circ \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{n} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i_{1}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} a_{1} \otimes \gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}} \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{2} \otimes \ldots \theta \circ \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{n} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right) \\
& = \\
& \operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} a_{1} \\
& \quad \otimes\left(e_{i_{2} i_{2}} \otimes \gamma_{i_{2}} \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}}\right) \gamma_{i_{2}}\right)\left(a_{2} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{3} \ldots \theta \circ \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{n} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& \operatorname{tr}_{M_{d} \otimes M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} a_{1} \otimes e_{i_{2} i_{2}} a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \otimes\left(\gamma_{i_{2}} \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}}\right) \gamma_{i_{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{3} \ldots \theta \circ \mathcal{E}_{\gamma, \theta}\left(a_{n} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right) \\
& \left.=\operatorname{tr}_{\substack{n \\
(1) \\
1}}^{n}\right) \otimes \mathcal{A} \sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n-1}} \sum_{i_{n}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} a_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n-1} i_{n-1}} a_{n-1} \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} a_{n} \\
& \otimes \gamma_{i_{n}} \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{n-1}} \ldots \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots \gamma_{i_{n-1}}\right) \gamma_{i_{n}} \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\substack{n \\
\left(\otimes M_{d}\right) \otimes \mathcal{A}}} \sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n-1}} \sum_{i_{n}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n-1} i_{n-1}} \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \\
& \otimes \gamma_{i_{n}} \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{n-1}} \ldots \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots \gamma_{i_{n-1}}\right) \gamma_{i_{n}}\left(a_{1} \otimes \ldots a_{n-1} \otimes a_{n} \otimes I\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\underset{\substack{\left.\underset{1}{n} \\
\underset{1}{n} M_{d}\right) \otimes \mathcal{A}}}{ } \rho_{[0, n]}\left(a_{1} \otimes \ldots a_{n-1} \otimes a_{n} \otimes I\right)} \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\substack{n \\
\otimes \\
\otimes \\
\hline \\
d}} \sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n-1}} \sum_{i_{n}}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \gamma_{i_{n}} \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{n-1}} \ldots \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots \gamma_{i_{n-1}}\right) \gamma_{i_{n}}\right) \\
& \times e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n-1} i_{n-1}} \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}}\left(a_{1} \otimes \ldots a_{n-1} \otimes a_{n}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{\substack{n \\
\otimes \\
1 \\
M_{d}}} \rho_{n}\left(a_{1} \otimes \ldots a_{n-1} \otimes a_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain the density operator $\rho_{[0, n]}$ of $\psi_{[0, n]}$ on $\left(\bigotimes_{1}^{n} M_{d}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{[0, n]}= & \sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n-1}} \sum_{i_{n}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n-1} i_{n-1}} \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \\
& \otimes \gamma_{i_{n}} \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{n-1}} \ldots \theta^{*}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots \gamma_{i_{n-1}}\right) \gamma_{i_{n}} \\
= & \sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n-1}} \sum_{i_{n}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n-1} i_{n-1}} \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \\
& \otimes \theta^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}\right) \theta^{n-2}\left(\gamma_{i_{n-1}}\right) \ldots \gamma_{i_{1}} \rho \gamma_{i_{1}} \ldots \theta^{n-2}\left(\gamma_{i_{n-1}}\right) \theta^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put,${ }_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}=\theta^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}\right) \ldots \theta\left(\gamma_{i_{2}}\right) \gamma_{i_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{[0, n]} & =\sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n-1}} \sum_{i_{n}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n-1} i_{n-1}} \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \otimes, i_{n} i_{n-1} \ldots i_{1} \rho, \stackrel{*}{i_{n} i_{n-1} \ldots i_{1}} \\
\rho_{n} & =\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{[0, n]}=\sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}, i_{n} \ldots i_{1} \rho,{ }_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}^{*} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{i_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n}} P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}\left|, i_{n} \ldots i_{1}\right|^{2} \rho$.
Under the above settings, we define the entropy with respect to $\gamma, \theta$ and $n$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{n}(\gamma, \theta) \equiv-\operatorname{tr} \rho_{n} \log \rho_{n}=-\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}} \log P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, subsequantly, the dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain with respect to $\gamma$ and $\theta$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma ; \theta) & \equiv \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{~S}_{n}(\gamma, \theta) \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(-\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}} \log P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

If the joint probability $P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}$ satisfies the Markov property, then the above equality is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma ; \theta)=-\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}} P_{i_{1}} P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \log P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right)$ is the conditional probability from $i_{1}$ to $i_{2} . \tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma ; \theta)$ has the additivity property in the following sense.

PROPOSITION 3.1. For two pairs $\left(\gamma^{(1)}, \theta_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\gamma^{(2)}, \theta_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}\left(\gamma^{(1)} \otimes \gamma^{(2)} ; \theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right)=\tilde{\mathrm{S}}\left(\gamma^{(1)} ; \theta_{1}\right)+\tilde{\mathrm{S}}\left(\gamma^{(2)} ; \theta_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}^{n-1} \otimes \theta_{2}^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}^{(1)} \otimes \gamma_{k_{n}}^{(2)}\right)=\theta_{1}^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}^{(1)}\right) \otimes \theta_{2}^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{k_{n}}^{(2)}\right) \\
& ,{ }_{\left(i_{n}, k_{n}\right) \ldots\left(i_{1}, k_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\left.\begin{aligned}
P_{\left(i_{n}, k_{n}\right) \ldots\left(i_{1}, k_{1}\right)} & \equiv \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2}},\left(i_{n}, k_{n}\right) \ldots\left(i_{1}, k_{1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{1} \otimes \rho_{2},{ }_{\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, k_{n}\right) \ldots\left(i_{1}, k_{1}\right)}^{(1)}, i_{n} \ldots i_{1}
\end{aligned}\right|^{2} \rho_{1} \cdot \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}_{2}}\left|, \stackrel{(2)}{j_{n} \ldots j_{1}}\right|^{2} \rho_{2} .
$$

The additivity of $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma ; \theta)$ follows.
DEFINITION 3.1. The dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain with respect to $\theta$ and a subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta) \equiv \sup \{\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma ; \theta) ; \gamma \subset \mathcal{B}\}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions of identity $I \in \mathcal{B}$. When $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}$, we simply write $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)=\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\theta)$, which is called the dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain with respect to $\theta$.

When we take the transition expectation from $M_{d} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
E_{e}\left(\sum_{i, j} e_{i j} \otimes A_{i j}\right)=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i, j} A_{i j}
$$

$\rho_{n}$ is given by

$$
\rho_{n} \equiv \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{[0, n]}=\sum_{i_{1}, k_{1}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n}, k_{n}}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}}, i_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}} \rho,{\stackrel{*}{k} \ldots k_{1}}^{*}\right) e_{i_{1} k_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n} k_{n}}
$$

In this case, although the joint distribution $P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}$ is not directly induced, the dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain with respect to $\theta$ and a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ can be defined in the same way as above. We will discuss this general case elsewhere.

Our setting for the dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain can be further generalized as follows.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $*$-algebra, $\varphi$ be a state on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\theta$ be an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$. The triple $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \varphi)$ is called a $*$-dynamical system with a stationary state $\varphi$ if $\varphi \circ \theta=\varphi$ holds.

Two such systems $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \theta_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}, \theta_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ are called isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $v: \mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{2} \circ v & =\varphi_{1} \\
v \circ \theta_{1} & =\theta_{2} \circ v
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \varphi)$ be a discrete $C^{*}$-dynamical system. For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{N}^{d}$, we define the map

$$
w_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)}: \mathcal{A}^{d} \times \mathcal{A}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

by

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d} ; B_{1}, \ldots, B_{d}\right)= \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(\theta_{1}^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)^{*} \ldots \theta_{1}^{n_{d}}\left(A_{d}\right)^{*} \theta_{1}^{n_{d}}\left(B_{d}\right) \cdot \theta_{1}^{n_{1}}\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d}\right),\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{d}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{d}$. It is clear that the family of the maps (3.10) is a projective family of correlation kernels in the sense of [2], hence, by the reconstruction theorem, there exists a stochastic process $\left\{\otimes^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A},\left(j_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right\}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ indexed by $\mathbb{N}$ whose family of correlation kernels is given by (3.10). This process is unique up to stochastic equivalence. When $\varphi$ is stationary, the process is stationary, that is, there exists an endomorphism $u \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$
u \circ j_{n}=j_{n+1}
$$

In the commutative case, this construction gives the usual stationary process associated to a dynamical system [2].

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}$ be two $C^{*}$-algebras and let $w^{(i)}$ be a projective family of correlation kernels over $\mathcal{A}_{i}(i=1,2)$ indexed by $\mathbb{N}$. The two families of correlation kernels $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}$ are called equivalent if there exists an isomorphism

$$
v: \mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}
$$

which intertwines them, that is, for each $d \in \mathbb{N},\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ and $A_{1}, \ldots A_{d}$, $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{d} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ one has
$w_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d} ; B_{1}, \ldots, B_{d}\right)=w_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)}^{(2)}\left(v\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, v\left(A_{d}\right) ; v\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, v\left(B_{d}\right)\right)$.
We shall use the notion of equivalence also for families $w_{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)}$ indexed by a proper subset of $\mathbb{N}^{d}$. Now we introduce the time ordered correlation kernels. They are the kernels $w_{\langle n\rangle}$ with $\langle n\rangle$ of the form

$$
\langle n\rangle=(1,2, \ldots, n)
$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we shall use the notation

$$
w_{(1,2, \ldots, n)}=w_{\langle n\rangle} .
$$

Thus, by definition
$w_{\langle n\rangle}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} ; B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*} \theta_{1}\left(A_{2}^{*} \ldots \theta_{1}\left(A_{n-1}^{*} \theta_{1}\left(A_{n}^{*} B_{n}\right) B_{n-1}\right) \ldots B_{2}\right) B_{1}\right)$.
If the state $\varphi_{1}$ is regular enough (e.g., faithful) then the time ordered correlation kernels, even if it is not enough to specify uniquely up to stochastic equivalence the stochastic process associated to the dynamical system, are sufficient to determine the isomorphism class of the dynamical system.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Two dynamical systems $\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}, \theta_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ with faithful state $\varphi_{i}(i=$ $1,2)$ are isomorphic if and only if the associated time-ordered correlation kernels are equivalent.

Proof. The necessity is obvious. Assume that the two given processes are isomorphic and let $v: \mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}$ be an isomorphism such that

$$
\varphi_{2} \circ v=\varphi_{1}, \quad v^{-1} \circ \theta_{2} \circ v=\theta_{1} .
$$

Then, one has $v \circ \theta_{1}=\theta_{2} \circ v$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*} \theta_{1}\left(A_{2}^{*} \ldots \theta_{1}\left(A_{n-1}^{*} \theta_{1}\left(A_{n}^{*} B_{n}\right) B_{n-1}\right) \ldots B_{2}\right) B_{1}\right) \\
& \left.=\varphi_{2}\left(v\left(A_{1}\right)^{*} \theta_{2}\left(v\left(A_{2}\right)^{*} \ldots \theta_{1}\left(A_{n-1}^{*} \theta_{1}\left(A_{n}^{*} B_{n}\right) B_{n-1}\right) \ldots\right)\right) v\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& =\varphi_{2}\left(v\left(A_{1}\right)^{*} \theta_{2}\left(v\left(A_{2}\right)^{*} \ldots \theta_{2}\left(v\left(A_{n-1}\right)^{*} \theta_{2}\left(v\left(A_{n}\right)^{*} v\left(B_{n}\right)\right) v\left(B_{n-1}\right) \ldots v\left(B_{2}\right)\right) v\left(B_{1}\right)\right),\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the correlation kernels are equivalent. Conversely, if there exists an isomorphism $v: \mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}$ which intertwines the time-ordered correlation kernels, then, in particular, for every $A_{1}, B_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{\langle 2\rangle}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}, A_{1} ; B_{1}, B_{1}\right) & =\varphi_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*} \theta_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*} B_{1}\right) B_{1}\right) \\
& =w_{\langle 2\rangle}^{(2)}\left(v\left(A_{1}\right), v\left(A_{1}\right) ; v\left(B_{1}\right), v\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \left.=\varphi_{2}\left(v\left(A_{1}\right)^{*} \theta_{2}\left(v\left(A_{1}\right)^{*} v\left(B_{1}\right)\right) v\left(B_{1}\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $A_{1}=B_{1}=B$ in (3.11) we deduce

$$
\varphi_{1}(B)=\varphi_{2}(v(B)), \quad B \in \mathcal{A}_{1}
$$

so that $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2} \circ v$. Using this identity, we can write (3.11) as

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*} \theta_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*} B_{1}\right) B_{1}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(A_{1}^{*} \cdot v^{-1} \cdot \theta_{2} \cdot v\left(A_{1}^{*} B_{1}\right) B_{1}\right)
$$

and since $A_{1}, B_{1}$ are arbitrary in $\mathcal{A}_{1}$, this implies $\theta_{1}=v^{-1} \circ \theta_{2} \circ v$ which shows the isomorphism of the two dynamical systems because of the faithfulness of $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$.

The relevance of the above proposition is that, as long as we are interested only in the isomorphism class of the dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \varphi)$, we need only to consider its time ordered correlation kernels.

To every family of time ordered correlation kernels, one can naturally associate an entropy.

Let $\gamma \equiv\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}_{j} \in I(\gamma)$, where $I(\gamma)$ is a finite or countable set of discrete partitions of the identity with projections in $\mathcal{A}$. We shall denote

$$
P_{i_{n}, \ldots, i_{1}}=w_{\langle n\rangle}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{i_{n}} ; \gamma_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{i_{n}}\right)
$$

The entropy of the probability measure $P_{i_{n}, \ldots, i_{1}}$ on the space $I(\gamma)^{n}$ is defined in the usual way

$$
\mathrm{S}_{n}\left(\gamma ; w_{\langle n\rangle}\right)=-\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} P_{i_{n}, \ldots, i_{1}} \log P_{i_{n}, \ldots, i_{1}}
$$

Because of the projective property of the correlation kernels $w_{\langle n\rangle}$, it follows that the family of probability measures is projective in the sense that

$$
P_{i_{n}, \ldots, i_{1}}=P\left(i_{n} \mid i_{n-1}\right) P_{i_{n-1}, \ldots, i_{1}}
$$

hence it defines a unique probability measure $P$ on the space of sequences $I(\gamma)$. Since the family of correlation kernels is stationary, it follows that the probability measure $P$ will also be stationary. Therefore the limit

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma ; w)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{~S}_{n}\left(\gamma ; w_{\langle n\rangle}\right)
$$

exists. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ be a family of partitions of the identity in a subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

DEFINITION 3.3. The dynamical scattering entropy of the correlation kernel $w=$ $\left\{w_{\langle n\rangle}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{B}}(w)=\sup \{\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma ; w) ; \gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})\}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite or countable partitions of the identity in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ with projections in $\mathcal{B}$. When $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}$, we simply write $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{A}}(w)=\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(w)$, which is called the dynamical scattering entropy of the correlation kernel $w=\left\{w_{\langle n\rangle}\right.$ : $n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

When $\mathcal{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi$ is a faithful normal state on $\mathcal{A}$ with an automorphism $\theta$ such that $\varphi \circ \theta=\varphi$, the dynamical scattering entropy is exactly the same as the dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain discussed before. That is, in this case, the correlation kernel becomes
$w_{\langle n\rangle}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{i_{n}} ; \gamma_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{i_{n}}\right) \equiv \varphi\left(\gamma_{i_{1}}^{*} \theta\left(\gamma_{i_{2}}\right)^{*} \ldots \theta^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}\right)^{*} \theta^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}\right) \ldots \gamma_{i_{1}}\right)=P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}$.
The example 2 suggests the term dynamical scattering entropy.

## 4. Calculation of Dynamical Entropy Through QMC <br> For Some Simple Models

In this section, we compute the dynamical entropy through a QMC for several simple models.

### 4.1. Model 1

Let $M_{d}$ be a matrix algebra induced by the set of all $d \times d$ matrices acting on $d$-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{0}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}$ ) be the infinite tensor product space of $M_{d}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ ) expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} & \equiv \otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} M_{d} \\
\mathcal{H} & \equiv \otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote a finite partition of identity $I \in M_{d}$ by $\gamma_{0} \equiv\left\{\gamma_{j}^{(0)}=\left|z_{i}^{(0)}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{i}^{(0)}\right|\right\}$, where $\left\{z_{i}^{(0)}\right\}$ is a CONS (complete orthonormal system) of $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. Let $\tau_{k}$ be an embedding map from $M_{d}$ into the $k$-th factor of the tensor product $\otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} M_{d}=\mathcal{A}$. For any finite partitions of $\otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} I$ given by $\gamma \equiv\left\{\gamma_{i}=\tau_{0}\left(\gamma_{i}^{(0)}\right)\right\}$, let $\theta$ be a Berunoulli shift on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$
\theta\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \equiv \tau_{1}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)
$$

By iteration, $\theta^{k}$ is a map given by

$$
\theta^{k}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=\tau_{k}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)
$$

Let $\rho_{0}$ be an arbitrary state on $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\rho$ be $\otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} \rho_{0} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{H})$, the set of all density operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Then,$i_{n} \ldots i_{1}$ is obtained by

$$
, i_{n} \ldots i_{1}=\theta^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i_{n}}\right) \ldots \theta\left(\gamma_{i_{2}}\right) \gamma_{i_{1}}
$$

For any $\rho=\otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} \rho_{0} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{[0, n]} & =\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \otimes, i_{n} \ldots i_{1} \rho, \stackrel{*}{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}, \\
\rho_{n} & =\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{[0, n]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The entropy with respect to $\gamma, \theta$ and $n$ is

$$
\mathrm{S}_{n}(\gamma, \theta)=-\operatorname{tr} \rho_{n} \log \rho_{n}
$$

Therefore the dynamical entropy through a quantum Markov chain with respect to $\gamma$ and $\theta$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma, \theta) & \equiv \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{~S}_{n}(\gamma, \theta) \\
& =-\sum_{i}\left\langle z_{i}, \rho_{0} z_{i}\right\rangle \log \left\langle z_{i}, \rho_{0} z_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is exactly the von Neumann entropy of $\rho_{0}$.

### 4.2. Model 2

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a matrix algebra $M_{d}$ acting on a Hibert space $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. For unitary operator $U, \theta$ is given by $\theta(A) \equiv U A U^{*}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ be a CONS in $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ be $\left|z_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j}\right|$. Since the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{k-1} \gamma_{j_{k}} & =\left|U^{k-1} z_{j_{k}}\right\rangle\left\langle U^{k-1} z_{j_{k}}\right| \\
, j_{n} \ldots j_{1} & =\theta^{n-1} \gamma_{j_{k}} \ldots \theta \gamma_{j_{2}} \gamma_{j_{1}} \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\langle U z_{j_{k+1}}, z_{j_{k}}\right\rangle\left|U^{n-1} z_{j_{n}}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{1}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for any $\rho \in \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$, the set of all density operators on $\mathcal{H}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{[0, n]}= & \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} e_{i_{n} i_{n}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{1} i_{1}} \\
& \otimes \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left\langle U z_{i_{k+1}}, z_{i_{k}}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left\langle z_{i_{1}}, \rho z_{i_{1}}\right\rangle\left|U^{n-1} z_{i_{n}}\right\rangle\left\langle U^{n-1} z_{i_{n}}\right| \\
\rho_{n} \equiv & \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{[0, n]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left\langle U z_{j_{k+1}}, z_{j_{k}}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle \times e_{i_{n} j_{n}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{1} j_{1}}
$$

$P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}, P\left(i_{k+1} \mid i_{k}\right)$ and $P_{i_{1}}$ are $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left\langle U z_{i_{k+1}}, z_{i_{k}}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left\langle z_{i_{1}}, \rho z_{i_{1}}\right\rangle,\left|\left\langle U z_{i_{k+1}}, z_{i_{k}}\right\rangle\right|^{2}(k=$ $1, \ldots, n)$ and $\left\langle z_{i_{1}}, \rho z_{i_{1}}\right\rangle$. Since the joint probability $P_{i_{n} \ldots i_{1}}$ satisfies the Markov property, the dynamical entropy $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta)$ through a QMC with respect to $\gamma$ and $\theta$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta) & =-\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}} P_{i_{1}} P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \log P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}}\left\langle z_{i_{1}}, \rho z_{i_{1}}\right\rangle\left|\left\langle U z_{i_{2}}, z_{i_{1}}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \log \left|\left\langle U z_{i_{2}}, z_{i_{1}}\right\rangle\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following result.
PROPOSITION 4.1.
(1) For any $\rho \in \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and any $\gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}$,

$$
0 \leq \tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta) \leq \log d
$$

(2) There exists $\rho^{(u)} \in \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma^{(u)}=\left\{\gamma_{j}^{(u)}\right\}$ such that

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho^{(u)}}\left(\gamma^{(u)} ; \theta\right)=\log d
$$

(3) There exists $\rho^{(l)} \in \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma^{(l)}=\left\{\gamma_{j}^{(l)}\right\}$ such that

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho^{(l)}}\left(\gamma^{(l)} ; \theta\right)=0
$$

Moreover, all intermediate values between 0 and $\log d$ are assumed for some choice of $U$.

Proof.
(1) Since $-\log P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) P_{i_{1}} \geq 0$ hold for any $i_{1}, i_{2}=1, \ldots, d$,

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho^{(u)}}(\gamma, \theta) \geq 0
$$

Moreover the following inequality

$$
-P_{i_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}} P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \log P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \leq-P_{i_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}} \frac{1}{d} \log \frac{1}{d}
$$

holds for any $P_{i_{i}} \in[0,1]$, hence we have

$$
-\sum_{i_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}} P_{i_{1}} P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \log P\left(i_{2} \mid i_{1}\right) \leq \log d
$$

(2) When $\rho^{(u)}=\frac{I}{d}, \tilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{\rho^{(u)}}(\gamma, \theta)=\log d$.
(3) When $\rho^{(u)}=\left|z_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j}\right|, \tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho^{(u)}}(\gamma, \theta)=0$.

By taking the eigenvectors of $U$ as $z_{j}$, one finds the deterministic chain with minimum entropy. This rules out the use of the dynamical scattering entropy as a dynamical invariant for finite dimensional deterministic systems (they have all the same dynamical scattering entropy).

### 4.3. Model 3

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be $\otimes^{\mathbb{N}} M_{d}=B\left(\otimes^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\theta$ be a cyclic shift; that is, (1) $\theta \circ \tilde{\jmath}_{k} \equiv \tilde{\jmath}_{k+1}$ for $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, N-1\}$ and (2) $\theta \circ \tilde{\jmath}_{N} \equiv \tilde{\jmath}_{1}$. Let $\gamma_{j_{1}}$ be $\left|z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{1}}\right|$, where $z_{j_{1}}=$ $\sum_{\tilde{\imath}_{1}} \lambda_{\tilde{i}_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)}\left|x_{i_{1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{i_{1}(N)}\right\rangle, \tilde{\imath}_{k} \equiv\left(i_{k}(1), \ldots, i_{k}(N)\right)$, and $\left\{x_{i_{1}(k)}\right\}$ be a CONS of $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. Since the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{k-1} \gamma_{j_{k}} & =\left|z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right| \\
z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)} & =\sum_{\tilde{c}_{k}} \lambda_{\tilde{i}_{k}}^{\left(j_{k}\right)}\left|x_{i_{k}(k \bmod N)} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{i_{k}(N-k+1 \bmod N)}\right\rangle \\
, j_{n} \ldots j_{1} & =\theta^{n-1} \gamma_{j_{k}} \ldots \theta \gamma_{j_{2}} \gamma_{j_{1}} \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\langle z_{j_{k+1}}^{(k)}, z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right\rangle\left|z_{j_{n}}^{(n-1)}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{1}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for any $\rho=\otimes^{\mathbb{N}} \rho_{0} \in \otimes^{\mathbb{N}} \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{[0, n]}= & \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}} e_{j_{n} j_{n}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{j_{1} j_{1}} \\
& \otimes \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left\langle z_{j_{k+1}}^{(k)}, z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle\left|z_{j_{n}}^{n-1}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{n}}^{n-1}\right| \\
\rho_{n} \equiv & \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{[0, n]} \\
= & \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}} e_{j_{n} j_{n}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{j_{1} j_{1}} \\
& \times \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left\langle z_{j_{k+1}}^{(k)}, z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$P_{j_{n} \ldots j_{1}}, P\left(j_{k+1} \mid j_{k}\right)$ and $P_{j_{1}}$ are $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left\langle z_{j_{k+1}}^{(k)}, z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle,\left|\left\langle z_{j_{k+1}}^{(k)}, z_{j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right\rangle\right|^{2}(k=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$ ) and $\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle$, respectively. Since the joint probability $P_{j_{n} \ldots j_{1}}$ satisfies the Markov property, the dynamical entropy $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta)$ through a QMC with respect to $\gamma$ and $\theta$ is given by

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta)^{(N)}=-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}} P_{j_{1}} P\left(j_{2} \mid j_{1}\right) \log P\left(j_{2} \mid j_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}}\left|\sum_{\tilde{i}_{1}^{\prime \prime}}\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle \bar{\lambda}_{i_{1}^{\prime \prime}(2) \ldots i_{1}^{\prime \prime}(N) i_{1}^{\prime \prime}(1)}^{\left(i_{2}\right)} \lambda_{\tilde{i}_{1}^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}\right|^{2} \\
& \times \log \left|\sum_{\tilde{i}_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \bar{\lambda}_{i_{1}^{\prime \prime}(2) \ldots i_{1}^{\prime \prime}(N) i_{1}^{\prime \prime}(1)}^{\left(i_{2}\right)} \lambda_{\tilde{i}_{1}^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above coefficients $\lambda_{i}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j_{1}} \gamma_{j_{1}}=I & \Rightarrow \sum_{j_{1}} \lambda_{\tilde{i}_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{\tilde{i}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)}=\prod_{k=1}^{N} \delta_{i_{1}(k) i^{\prime}{ }_{1}(k)}, \\
\gamma_{j_{1}} \gamma_{j_{2}}=\delta_{j_{1} j_{2}} \gamma_{j_{1}} & \Rightarrow \sum_{\tilde{\imath}_{1}} \lambda_{\tilde{\imath}_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{\tilde{\imath}_{1}}^{\left(j_{2}\right)}=\delta_{j_{1} j_{2}} \\
\gamma_{j_{1}}^{*}=\gamma_{j_{1}} & \Rightarrow \lambda_{\tilde{i}_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{\tilde{i}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)}=\bar{\lambda}_{\tilde{\imath}_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \lambda_{\tilde{i}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

from the properties of the partition $\gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}$. We have the same result of the model 2. Its proof is essentially the same, so that we omit it here.
PROPOSITION 4.2. (1) For any $\rho \in \otimes^{\mathbb{N}} \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and any $\gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}$,

$$
0 \leq \tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta) \leq \log d
$$

holds.
(2) There exists $\rho^{(u)} \in \otimes^{\mathbb{N}} \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma^{(u)}=\left\{\gamma_{j}^{(u)}\right\}$ such that

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho^{(u)}}\left(\gamma^{(u)} ; \theta\right)=\log d
$$

(3) There exists $\rho^{(l)} \in \otimes^{\mathbb{N}} \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma^{(l)}=\left\{\gamma_{j}^{(l)}\right\}$ such that

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho^{(l)}\left(\gamma^{(l)} ; \theta\right)}=0
$$

### 4.4. Model 4

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be $\otimes^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(M_{d} \otimes M_{d}\right)$ and $\theta$ be a shift defined by $\theta\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2}\right) \equiv I \otimes A_{1} \otimes A_{2}$ for any $A_{i} \in M_{d}(i=1,2)$ and $I \in M_{d}$. Let $\gamma_{j_{1}}$ be $\left|z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{1}}\right|$, where $z_{j_{1}}=\sum_{i_{1}, k_{1}} \lambda_{i_{1} k_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} x_{i_{1}} \otimes$ $x_{k_{1}}$ and $\left\{x_{i_{1}}\right\}$ be a CONS in $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. Since the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{k-1} \gamma_{j_{k}} & =I \otimes \ldots \otimes I \otimes \gamma_{j_{k}} \\
, j_{n} \ldots j_{1} & =\theta^{n-1} \gamma_{j_{k}} \ldots \theta \gamma_{j_{2}} \gamma_{j_{1}} \\
& =\sum \lambda_{i_{1} k_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)_{i}^{\prime}} \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\left(j_{1}^{\prime} k_{1}^{\prime}\right.}\left(\prod_{\ell=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i_{\ell} k_{\ell}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{k_{\ell-1}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)} k_{\ell}^{\prime}\right) \lambda_{i_{n} k_{n}}^{\left(j_{n}\right)_{n}} \bar{\lambda}_{i_{n}^{\prime} k_{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times\left|x_{i_{1}}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{i_{1}^{\prime}}\right| \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{r=1}^{n-2}\left|x_{i_{r+1}}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{k_{r}^{\prime}}\right|\right) \otimes\left|x_{k_{n}}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{k_{n}^{\prime}}\right|
$$

hold for any $\rho=\bigotimes_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{0} \in \bigotimes_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma\left(\mathcal{H}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{[0, n]}= & \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}} e_{j_{n} j_{n}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{j_{1} j_{1}} \otimes \mid \sum\left(\left.\prod_{\ell=2}^{n-1} \lambda_{i_{\ell} k_{\ell}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{k_{\ell-1} k_{\ell}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \times \prod_{r=1}^{n-2}\left\langle x_{k_{r}^{\prime}}, \rho_{0} x_{x_{r}^{\prime}}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j-1}\right\rangle\left(\bigotimes_{t=1}^{n-2}\left|x_{i_{t+1}}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{k_{t+1}}\right|\right) \\
\rho_{n} \equiv & \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{[0, n]} \\
= & \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}} e_{j_{n} j_{n}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{j_{1} j_{1}} \otimes \mid \sum\left(\left.\prod_{\ell=2}^{n-1} \lambda_{i_{\ell} k_{\ell}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{k_{\ell-1} k_{\ell}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \times \prod_{r=1}^{n-2}\left\langle x_{k_{r}^{\prime}}, \rho_{0} x_{k_{r}^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j-1}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$P_{j_{n} \ldots j_{1}}, P\left(j_{2} \mid j_{1}\right)$ and $P_{j_{1}}$ are $\mid \sum\left(\left.\prod_{\ell=2}^{n-1} \lambda_{i_{\ell} k_{\ell}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{k_{\ell-1} k_{\ell}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)}\right|^{2}, \sum \lambda_{i_{1} k_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{i_{1} k_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{2}\right)} \lambda_{k_{1}^{\prime \prime} k_{2}^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(j_{2}\right)}\left\langle x_{k_{2}^{\prime}}\right.\right.$, $\left.\rho_{0} x_{k_{2}^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle$. Since the joint probability $P_{j_{n} \ldots j_{1}}$ satisfies the Markov property, the dynamical entropy $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta)$ through a QMC with respect to $\gamma$ and $\theta$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; \theta)^{(2)}= & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}} P_{j_{1}} P\left(j_{2} \mid j_{1}\right) \log P\left(j_{2} \mid j_{1}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \sum \lambda_{i_{1} k_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)}\left\langle z_{j_{1}}, \rho z_{j_{1}}\right\rangle \bar{\lambda}_{i_{1} k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{2}\right)} \lambda_{k_{1}^{\prime \prime} k_{2}^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(j_{2}\right)}\left\langle x_{k_{2}^{\prime}}, \rho_{o} x_{k_{2}^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle \\
& \times \log \sum \lambda_{i_{1} k_{1}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{i_{1} k_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \bar{\lambda}_{k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}}^{\left(j_{2}\right)} \lambda_{k_{1}^{\prime_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} k_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}^{\left(j_{2}\right)}\left\langle x_{k_{2}^{\prime}}, \rho_{0} x_{k_{2}^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.5. Model 5

Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}$ be two von Neumann algebras acting on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathcal{H}_{2}$, respectively. Let $U_{k}$ be a partial isometry operator from $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{2}(k=1, \ldots, d)$. We define a transition expectation $\mathcal{E}$ from $\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ by

$$
\mathcal{E}(B \otimes A)=\sum_{k=1}^{d} U_{k}^{*} B U_{k} \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k}^{1 / 2} A \xi_{k}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{0}$ is a stationary state on $\mathcal{A}_{1}$, and $\xi_{k} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ satisfies: (1) $\xi_{k} \geq 0 ;$ (2) $\sum_{k} \xi_{k}=I$. Put $p_{k}=\varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k}\right)$. Then
$\mathcal{E}(B \otimes 1)=\sum_{k} U_{k}^{*} B U_{k} p_{k} \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{n}} \otimes I\right)\right)=\sum_{k_{n}} \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{n-1}} \otimes U_{k_{n}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n}} U_{k_{n}}\right) p_{k_{n}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{j_{n} \ldots j_{1}}= & \varphi_{0}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{n}} \otimes I\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{k_{n}} \varphi_{0}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{2}} \otimes \ldots \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{n-1}} \otimes U_{x_{n}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n}} U_{k_{n}}\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right) p_{k_{n}} \\
= & \sum_{k_{n-1}, k_{n}} \varphi_{0}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{2}} \otimes \ldots \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{j_{n-2}} \otimes U_{k_{n-1}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n-1}} U_{k_{n-1}}\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{n-1}} U_{k_{n}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n}} U_{k_{n}}\right) p_{k_{n}} \\
= & \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} \varphi_{0}\left(U_{k_{1}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{1}} U_{k_{1}}\right) \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{1}} U_{k_{2}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{2}} U_{k_{2}}\right) \ldots \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{n-2}} U_{k_{n-1}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n-1}} U_{k_{n-1}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{n-1}} U_{k_{n}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n}} U_{k_{n}}\right) p_{k_{n}} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\rho}(\gamma ; U)=-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}} P_{j_{n}, \ldots, j_{1}} \log P_{j_{n}, \ldots, j_{1}}\right) \\
& =-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac { 1 } { n } \sum _ { j _ { 1 } , \ldots , j _ { n } } \left(\sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} \varphi_{0}\left(U_{k_{1}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{1}} U_{k_{1}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{1}} U_{k_{2}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{2}} U_{k_{2}}\right) \ldots \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{n-2}} U_{k_{n-1}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n-1},} U_{k_{n-1}}\right) \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{n-1}} U_{k_{n}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n}} U_{k_{n}}\right) p_{k_{n}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \log \left(\sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} \varphi_{0}\left(U_{k_{1}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{1}} U_{k_{1}}\right)\right.  \tag{4.2}\\
& \left.\left.\quad \times \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{1}} U_{k_{2}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{2}} U_{k_{2}}\right) \ldots \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{n-2}} U_{k_{n-1}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n-1}} U_{k_{n-1}}\right) \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{k_{n-1}} U_{k_{n}}^{*} \gamma_{j_{n}} U_{k_{n}}\right) p_{k_{n}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The relation between the dynamical entropies by complexity and by QMC is discussed in [4].
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