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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Uncontrolled studies with intraplaque electromotive administration of verapamil and dexamethasone have demonstrated objective improvements in Peyronie’s disease. We performed a prospective controlled study to assess the efficacy of intraplaque electromotive verapamil/dexamethasone vs electromotive lidocaine.

Materials and Methods: Patients with Peyronie’s disease were randomized into a study group (47 patients) and a control group (49 patients). For each treatment session an electrode receptacle was sited over the plaque and filled with either 5 mg verapamil and 8 mg dexamethasone (study group) or 2% lidocaine (control group), and a 2.4 mA electric current was applied for 20 minutes. All patients were scheduled for 4 sessions per week for 6 weeks. Assessment before and after treatment included measurements of plaque volume and penile curvature, and pain on erection (from questionnaire).

Results: A total of 37 patients in the study group and 36 in the control group completed treatment courses. In the study group there were significant decreases in median plaque volume from 824 to 348 mm³, and in penile curvature from 43 to 21 degrees. In the control group median volume and curvature were unchanged. The difference in results after treatment between the 2 groups was also significant. Significant pain relief occurred in both groups, transient in the control group and permanent in the study group. All patients experienced temporary erythema at the electrode site. There were no other side effects.

Conclusions: Intraplaque electromotive verapamil and dexamethasone induce substantial objective improvement in Peyronie’s disease compared to electromotive lidocaine administration.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection. From January 2001 to December 2002, 96 patients were enrolled in the study. Inclusion criteria were clinical evidence of primary Peyronie's disease including plaque, deformity of the penis and pain on erection or non-specific genital pain. Exclusion criteria were recent treatment with calcium channel blockers or corticosteroids, therapies interfering with these 2 drugs, and erectile dysfunction due to causes other than Peyronie's disease as assessed by psychological, vascular, hormonal and neurological evaluation.

Study design. The institutional review boards of the individual centers participating in the trial approved this prospective, randomized double blind study. The patients were informed about the scientific nature of the investigation and supplied written informed consent. The subjects were allocated to 1 of 2 groups (see figure) those treated with intraplaque electromotive administration of lidocaine (control group), and those treated with electromotive administration of verapamil and dexamethasone (study group).

Evaluation. The reliability of objective measurements of plaque size, penile deviation and subjective scores scales of a questionnaire provided to patients were determined by a test-retest multicenter pilot study. Clinical assessment and objective measurements were performed before and 4 weeks after the conclusion of treatment. Duplex ultrasound examinations using a 7.5 MHz transducer were performed in the state of maximum pharmacoologically induced erection using an intracavernosal injection of 20 µg prostaglandin E1. Plaque stage, fibrous or calcified, was evaluated with ultrasound and plain x-rays. Plaque length was determined by calipers and duplex ultrasound, and volume was calculated from length, width and depth. Penile deviation and the localization of the plaque were assessed by photography of the penis at maximum pharmacoologically induced erection in 3 planes following Kelami's criteria. The subjective symptom, pain, was evaluated by a questionnaire using a 3-step ordinal descriptive scale (none, mild, moderate).

Treatment regimen. Randomization and data collection were performed using a central computer and patients were allocated to 1 of 2 treatment arms with blocked randomization across 4 (2 × 2) strata resulting from 2 factors, plaque volume 1,000 mm³ or less versus plaque volume greater than 1,000 mm³, and penile curvature 35 degrees or less versus curvature 35 degrees or more. In the control group penile deviation was unchanged or less versus versus penile curvature greater than 35 degrees, which ensured parity between control and study groups.

The treatment plan included 4 sessions per week for 6 consecutive weeks. During each session a 5 ml adhesive electrode receptacle (CT-DAS 500 Ag, Physion s.r.l, Medolla, MO, Italy) was fixed to the penile skin overlying the plaque. The receptacle was filled with either 8 mg dexamethasone and 5 mg verapamil diluted to a 5 ml volume with water (study group) or 5 ml 2% lidocaine (control group). The anode was connected to the receptacle and the cathode connected to a skin electrode which was placed on the lower abdomen. A current generator (Physionizer 30, Physion s.r.l) provided pulsed direct current of 2.4 mA at 2,500 Hz for 20 minutes. Blood pressure and heart rate were monitored throughout the procedure and after each session. The incidence of systemic, local, acute and chronic toxicity was recorded.

Statistical analysis. Summarized results for continuous data (plaque size and penile deviation) are presented as medians and interquartile ranges (IQR, the difference between 1st quartile and 3rd quartile, which comprises the middle 50% of the data). Univariate differences in continuous and ordinal scale data (pain) measured before and after treatment were assessed in each group separately by the paired Wilcoxon signed rank test. The difference between treatment groups was assessed by the unpaired Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon rank sum test performed on before and after differences. To evaluate the effect of pretreatment disease severity (plaque volume, penile deviation and pain at rest), Fisher's exact test for contingency table was used.

RESULTS

Of 118 patients screened 96 were randomized and a total of 73 completed the study, 36 in the control group and 37 in the study group (see figure). The pertinent characteristics of patients in the 2 groups were comparable (table 1).

Evaluation before and after treatment. Table 2 shows the results of treatments in both groups with respect to plaque volume, penile deviation and pain during erection. Within the control group there were no significant differences in plaque volume and penile deviation before and after therapy. Conversely, both characteristics were significantly decreased in the study group and the differences between groups after treatment were also significant.

In the control group plaque volume increased or was unchanged in 15 patients (42%) and decreased by less than 50% in 21 patients (58%). In the study group plaques resolved completely in 5 patients (14%), were decreased by more than 50% in 20 patients (54%) and decreased by less than 50% in 12 patients (32%).

In the control group penile deviation was unchanged or increased in 32 patients (89%) and no patient achieved a decrease of 15 degrees or more. In the study group the deviation resolved completely in 5 patients (14%), were decreased by more than 20 degrees in another 16 patients (43%). Furthermore, within this same group patients with narrowing, indentation or hourglass deformities demonstrated similar degrees of improvement.

Significant relief of pain on erection followed treatments in both groups but there was an important difference. Pain relief in the control group was evanescent, commencing dur-
ing treatment and lasting 9 to 15 hours in all patients. Pain relief in the study group usually commenced during treatment and endured, lasting throughout the study and thereafter. Partly as a consequence erectile activity (the 2 groups were comparable at the beginning of the study) was regained in 11% of patients in the control group and in 51% of the study group. Transient erythema at the site of the electrodes occurred in all patients. There were no other adverse effects.

**DISCUSSION**

In this prospective, randomized study transdermal electromotive administration of verapamil and dexamethasone significantly improved objective measurements and subjective symptoms of Peyronie’s disease. The treatment is painless, safe and effective, yet 23 patients (24%) withdrew before completing the study. The reasons are obvious in that 4 outpatient visits per week for 6 consecutive weeks disrupt lifestyles to a considerable degree and the procedures themselves are tedious for all concerned. Although our experience is limited it seems likely that these problems can be solved by introducing several steps. Treatments should be terminated by the end of the first week in patients who do not experience substantial pain relief or who demonstrate no objective improvement after 3 weeks. In addition, most patients can be trained for home treatments, which is a satisfactory resolution for all concerned. Of the patients in these studies 8 (22%) followed this procedure.

Use of verapamil for the treatment of Peyronie’s disease has a solid scientific basis and accelerated electromotive delivery is a consequence of electrical repulsion between the anode and positively charged verapamil ions. The use of dexamethasone rests on occasional case reports and the effectiveness of the verapamil-dexamethasone combination in 3 uncontrolled studies. Its mode of electromotive administration is unusual. Supplied as the ester, dexamethasone bears a weak negative charge whose electrical attraction toward the anode is superseded by an electro-osmotic flow of water accompanying the flux of positively charged ions (verapamil) away from the anode and transporting dexamethasone against its coulombic gradient, solvent drag. Electric current readily accelerates transdermal administration of lidocaine and this agent was used in the control group because, like verapamil, it is a vasodilator, and its local anesthetic action provides pain relief during and immediately after treatment. Thus, the potentially confounding factors of vasodilatation which improved blood supply associated with administration of verapamil/dexamethasone are eliminated.

Although noninvasive intraplaque administration of combination “anti-collagen”/anti-inflammatory drugs resulted in significant improvement in patients with Peyronie’s disease, there were some unexplained features. About 30% of the study group showed a poor or absent objective response, yet their disease had no distinguishing characteristics. In addition, the decrease in measurable plaque volume of respondents was prompt, which was not the case in patients treated with intralesional injections. Nevertheless, these issues are minor compared to results recently presented.

At the American Urological Association 2003 annual meeting 2 investigators reported on prospective controlled studies for treatment of the disease. One described intralesional electromotive administration of verapamil and the other discussed intralesional injections of interferon. Both reported objective improvement of curvature in their control arms using 0.9% NaCl as placebo with 50% of patients in the electromotive group and 39% in the injection group, which easily exceeded remission rates of 29%, 13% and 7% reported for the natural history of the disease. It can be (and was) argued that the patient population in the electromotive study is as yet too small, but the same restriction does not apply to the injection study whose numbers were adequate.

These unexpected findings elicited a suggestion that energetic interference—electric current or multiple injections—somehow arrested and/or reversed the disease process. If so, this suggestion does not explain the results in our control group which demonstrated no significant improvement. Obviously, these and other studies must be pursued with particular attention directed toward placebo agents. A localized pharmacological action caused by NaCl per se is almost impossible to conceive. But an agent such as lidocaine exhibits membrane stabilizing properties, which may interact or interfere with tissue remodeling processes. There are other possibilities and, at present, the only firm conclusion to be drawn is that investigators dealing with Peyronie’s disease must recognize the need for further investigations into this surprisingly complex disorder.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Intraplaque electromotive administration of verapamil and dexamethasone for Peyronie’s disease is a safe and effective treatment that induces significant decreases in penile curvature and plaque volume, and a durable decrease in the level of pain. In contrast, electromotive administration of lidocaine resulted in transient pain relief only.

Physion Srl (Medolla, Italy) donated the electromotive equipment.
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**TABLE 2. Treatment efficacy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil + Dexamethasone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean plaque vol (mm^3) (IQR)</td>
<td>824.4 (986.7)</td>
<td>771.4 (630.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>41.0 (22.5)</td>
<td>41.0 (23.0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean degrees penile deviation (IQR)</td>
<td>43.0 (21.5)</td>
<td>21.0 (21.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>766.6 (692.5)</td>
<td>766.6 (692.5)</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range penile deviation (degrees)</td>
<td>25–70</td>
<td>25–70</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
<td>17–71</td>
<td>17–71</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. pain on erection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&gt;0.167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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