Tetrahedron Letters 51 (2010) 4129-4131

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet



The O-neophyl rearrangement of 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals. Experimental evidence for the formation of an intermediate 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical

Massimo Bietti*, Alessandra Calcagni, Daniel Oscar Cicero, Roberto Martella, Michela Salamone

Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Chimiche, Università 'Tor Vergata', Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1 I-00133 Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 May 2010 Accepted 28 May 2010 Available online 4 June 2010

Keywords: Alkoxyl radical O-Neophyl rearrangement Cyclopropylcarbinyl radical Ring-opening

ABSTRACT

A product study on the reactivity of a 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radical bearing 2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl groups in the *para*-positions has been carried out. The exclusive formation of a product deriving from cyclopropyl ring-opening has been observed, indicating that 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals exist in equilibrium with a bridged 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical. This represents the first experimental evidence in support of the stepwise nature of the *O*-neophyl rearrangement of 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Alkoxyl radicals represent an important class of highly reactive oxygen-centered radicals. These species play a key role in several chemical and biological processes, such as the photooxidation of hydrocarbons in the atmosphere,¹ lipid peroxidation,² and the anti-malarial action of natural endoperoxides,³ and are involved moreover in a variety of synthetically useful procedures.⁴ The uni- and bimolecular reactions of alkoxyl radicals have been thoroughly investigated. Relevant examples of the former processes include intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer⁵ and C-C bond fragmentation reactions (β -scission,⁶ and O-neophyl rearrangement⁷). Typical bimolecular processes are represented by hydrogen atom abstraction reactions⁸ and by addition reactions to C=C organophosphorus,^{10,11} bonds,⁹ and organoboron double compounds.11

The O-neophyl rearrangement of alkoxyl radicals, first described by Wieland in 1911,¹² has received since then considerable attention. This process converts an oxygen-centered radical into a significantly more stable (benzylic) carbon-centered radical through a 1,2-aryl shift, and has been observed for radicals that bear at least two aryl groups in the α -position (Eq. (1)).

$$Ar \stackrel{O^{\bullet}}{\underset{R}{\overset{I}{\longrightarrow}}} Ar \stackrel{O-Ar}{\underset{R}{\overset{I}{\longrightarrow}}} Ar \stackrel{O-Ar}{\underset{R}{\overset{I}{\longrightarrow}}} Ar \stackrel{O}{\underset{R}{\overset{I}{\longrightarrow}}} (1)$$

R = H, Me, cPr, Ar

A strongly debated question has been whether this rearrangement is a concerted or a stepwise process, $^{13-16}$ with a bridged 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical structure representing, respectively, a transition state or a discrete intermediate along the reaction pathway. Only recently, however, computational studies have provided convincing support to the hypothesis that the *O*-neophyl rearrangement of 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals proceeds through the reversible formation of an intermediate 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical as described in Scheme 1,^{17,18}

No conclusive experimental evidence in support of this hypothesis is instead available. In this context, it is, however, important to point out that a recent product and time-resolved kinetic study carried out by some of us has clearly shown that the *para*-(2,2diphenylcyclopropyl)cumyloxyl radical (**1**[•]) exists in equilibrium with a 2,2-dimethyl-1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical (**2**[•]).¹⁹

Cumyloxyl radicals are known to undergo C–CH₃ β -scission as the exclusive unimolecular reaction (Scheme 2, path **a**),^{6f,6g,20,21} and the failure to observe the *O*-neophyl rearrangement (Scheme 2, path **b**) reasonably reflects the lower stability of the 2-phenoxy-2-propyl radical as compared to the 1-phenoxy-1-phenylalkyl one displayed in Scheme 1.

Along this line, by introducing a 2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl *reporter* group in the *para*-position, the exclusive formation of product **A** (Scheme 3) has been observed.¹⁹

A result that has been interpreted in terms of the existence of an equilibrium between **1** and **2** followed by a fast 2,2-diphenylcyclopropylcarbinyl \rightarrow 1,1-diphenyl-3-butenyl radical rearrangement in the latter radical. The failure to observe *para*-(2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl) acetophenone (**B**) deriving from C-CH₃ β -scission in **1**[.] clearly indicates that in the presence of two phenyl substituents on the cyclopropyl group, this process does not compete with cyclopropyl ring-opening in **2**.²²

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0672594384; fax: +39 0672594328. *E-mail address*: bietti@uniroma2.it (M. Bietti).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.05.149



Scheme 1.



On the basis of these results, it seemed particularly interesting to extend the approach described above for the cumyloxyl radical also to 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals, in order to establish if a bridged 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical is actually an intermediate in the *O*-neophyl rearrangement, and moreover, if the existence of this equilibrium is a general feature of arylcarbinyloxyl radicals. For this purpose, we have synthesized cyclopropyl[bis(4-(2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl)phenyl)]methanol (**3**), precursor of the 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radical **3**[•], whose structure is displayed below.²⁴ **3**[•] has been generated photochemically by visible light irradiation of CH₂Cl₂ solutions containing **3**, (diacetoxy)iodobenzene (DIB), and I₂. It is well established that under these conditions the DIB/I₂ reagent converts alcohols (ROH) into hypoiodites (ROI) that are then photolyzed to give alkoxyl radicals (RO[•]), precursors of the observed reaction products.^{14b,25,26}

Under these conditions the reaction of **3** led to the exclusive formation of 2-cyclopropyl-2-(4-(2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl)phenyl)-6-(3-hydroxy-3,3-diphenylpropyliden)-1-oxaspiro[2,5]octa-4,7-diene (**C**) (Scheme 4).

Product **C** has been isolated by preparative TLC and unambiguously characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and correlation NMR (see Supplementary data). In addition, the spectroscopic data are in excellent agreement with those obtained previously for the structurally related product 2,2-dimethyl-6-(3-hydroxy-3,3-diphenylpropyliden)-1-oxaspiro[2,5]octa-4,7-diene (**A**), obtained after visible light irradiation of 2-(4-(2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl)phenyl)- 2-propanol in the presence of DIB and $\rm I_2$ as described above (Scheme 3). 19

The formation of **C** can be rationalized in terms of cyclopropyl ring-opening in the intermediate-bridged radical **4**[•] (Scheme 5, pathways **b–d**, Ar = 4-(2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl)phenyl),²⁷ in line with the hypothesis of the existence of an equilibrium between this radical and **3**[•]. This finding clearly represents the first experimental evidence for the formation of an intermediate-bridged 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical in the reactions of 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals, in full agreement with the computational results discussed above.^{17,18}











Scheme 4.

The failure to observe the product deriving from *O*-neophyl shift in **3**[•] (cyclopropyl 4-(2,2-diphenylcyclopropyl)phenyl ketone (**D**)) indicates that in the presence of two phenyl substituents on the cyclopropyl group, **4**[•] undergoes cyclopropyl ring-opening (path **b**) significantly faster than the opening of the oxirane ring (path **e**). This is in line with the estimated rate constants for the two processes, as it can be reasonably assumed that cyclopropyl ringopening occurs with the same rate in **4**[•] and **2**[•] (for which a value of $k \approx 7.5 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ has been estimated),¹⁹ whereas that rate constants for *O*-neophyl shift in 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals bearing electron-releasing ring substituents have been shown to be $\leq 2.4 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$,^{14b} and a similar (or lower) value can be reasonably predicted also for **3**[•].

In conclusion, by means of a detailed product study, convincing experimental evidence in support of an equilibrium between 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radical **3**[•] and an 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical has been obtained, in agreement with previous computational results. The existence of this equilibrium appears to be a general feature of arylcarbinyloxyl radicals, strongly supporting the hypothesis that the *O*-neophyl rearrangement of 1,1-diarylalkoxyl radicals proceeds through the formation of a bridged 1-oxaspiro[2,5]octadienyl radical intermediate.

Acknowledgment

Financial support from the Ministero dell'Istruzione dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (details on product studies, synthesis of substrate **3** and characterization of product **C**) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/ j.tetlet.2010.05.149.

References and notes

- Orlando, J. J.; Tyndall, G. S.; Wallington, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4657–4689.
 Halliwell, B.; Gutteridge, J. M. C. Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine, 4th ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2007.
- See for example: (a) Chaturvedi, D.; Goswami, A.; Saikia, P. P.; Barua, N. C.; Rao, P. G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 435–454; (b) Robert, A.; Bonduelle, C.; Laurent, S. A.-L.; Meunier, B. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2006, 19, 562–569; (c) Posner, G. H.; O'Neill, P. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 397–404.
- See for example: (a) Zhu, H.; Wickenden, J. G.; Campbell, N. E.; Leung, J. C. T.; Johnson, K. M.; Sammis, G. M. Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 2019–2022; (b) Xu, W.; Zou, J.-P.; Mu, X.-J.; Zhang, W. Tetrahedron Lett. **2008**, *49*, 7311–7314; (c) Francisco, C. G.; González, C. C.; Kennedy, A. R.; Paz, N. R.; Suárez, E. Chem. Eur. J. **2008**, *14*, 6704–6712.
- See for example: (a) Čeković, Z. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8073–8090; (b) Horner, J. H.; Choi, S.-Y.; Newcomb, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3369–3372.

- See for example: (a) Bietti, M.; Lanzalunga, O.; Salamone, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1417–1422; (b) Nakamura, T.; Watanabe, Y.; Suyama, S.; Tezuka, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2002, 1364–1369; (c) Newcomb, M.; Daublain, P.; Horner, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8669–8671; (d) Wilsey, S.; Dowd, P.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8801–8811; (e) Tsentalovich, Y. P.; Kulik, L. V.; Gritsan, N. P.; Yurkovskaya, A. V. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 7975–7980; (f) Avila, D. V.; Brown, C. E.; Ingold, K. U.; Lusztyk, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 466–470; (g) Banks, J. T.; Scaiano, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6409–6413.
- 7. See for example: Studer, A.; Bossart, M. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 9649–9667. and references therein.
- See for example: (a) Kawashima, T.; Ohkubo, K.; Fukuzumi, S. J. Phys. Chem. B 2010, 114, 675–680; (b) Cosa, G.; Scaiano, J. C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 4609– 4614; (c) Koner, A. L.; Pischel, U.; Nau, W. N. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2899–2902; (d) Correia, C. F.; Borges dos Santos, R. M.; Estácio, S. G.; Telo, J. P.; Costa Cabral, B. J.; Martinho Simões, J. A. Chem. Phys. Chem. 2004, 5, 1217–1221; (e) Finn, M.; Friedline, R.; Suleman, N. K.; Wohl, C. J.; Tanko, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7578–7584; (f) Snelgrove, D. W.; Lusztyk, J.; Banks, J. T.; Mulder, P.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 469–477; (g) Lucarini, M.; Pedrielli, P.; Pedulli, G. F.; Valgimigli, L.; Gigmes, D.; Tordo, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11546–11553; (h) Weber, M.; Fischer, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7381–7388.
- See for example: (a) Nunes, P. M.; Estácio, S. G.; Lopes, G. T.; Agapito, F.; Santos, R. C.; Costa Cabral, B. J.; Borges dos Santos, R. M.; Martinho Simões, J. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 6524–6530; (b) Hartung, J.; Schneiders, N.; Gottwald, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6027–6030; (c) Sato, E.; Zetterlund, P. B.; Yamada, B.; Busfield, W. K.; Jenkins, I. D. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2003, 204, 1882–1888; (d) Nakamura, T.; Busfield, W. K.; Jenkins, I. D.; Rizzardo, E.; Thang, S. H.; Suyama, S. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5578–5582.
- See for example: Ding, B.; Bentrude, W. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3248– 3259. and references therein.
- Griller, D.; Ingold, K. U.; Patterson, L. K.; Scaiano, J. C.; Small, R. D., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3780–3785.
- 12. Wieland, H. Chem. Ber. 1911, 44, 2550-2556.
- 13. Ingold, K. U.; Smeu, M.; DiLabio, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9906-9908.
- (a) Bietti, M.; Salamone, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 10603–10606; (b) Aureliano Antunes, C. S.; Bietti, M.; Ercolani, G.; Lanzalunga, O.; Salamone, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3884–3891.
- 15. Banks, J. T.; Scaiano, J. C. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 3527-3531.
- Falvey, D. E.; Khambatta, B. S.; Schuster, G. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 1056– 1059.
- 17. Bietti, M.; Ercolani, G.; Salamone, M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4515-4519.
- 18. Smeu, M.; DiLabio, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4520-4523.
- Salamone, M.; Bietti, M.; Calcagni, A.; Gente, G. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2453–2456.
 Baciocchi, E.; Bietti, M.; Salamone, M.; Steenken, S. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67,
- 2266–2270.
- Neville, A. G.; Brown, C. E.; Rayner, D. M.; Ingold, K. U.; Lusztyk, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 9269–9270.
- 22. The rate constant for the 2,2-diphenylcyclopropylcarbinyl \rightarrow 1,1-diphenyl-3butenyl radical rearrangement has been determined as $k = 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1,23} \text{ A}$ rate constant $k \approx 7.5 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ has been instead estimated for the analogous rearrangement in **2**.¹⁹ This value is about three orders of magnitude higher than the rate constants measured (in MeCN) for C–CH₃ β-scission of cumyloxyl radicals.^{6g,20,21}
- Newcomb, M.; Johnson, C. C.; Manek, M. B.; Varick, T. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10915–10921.
- 24. Full details on the synthesis of **3** are given in the Supplementary data.
- Suárez, E.; Rodriguez, M. S.. In *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001; Vol. 2, pp 440–454.
- 26. Courtneidge, J. L.; Lusztyk, J.; Pagè, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1003-1006.
- 27. When employing the DIB/I₂ system carbon radicals rapidly react with I₂ to give the corresponding alkyl iodides.^{14b,25} As mentioned previously,¹⁹ it is thus reasonable to propose that also in this case **C** is formed during workup following solvolysis of the tertiary iodide (Scheme 5, path **d**).