

**CHARACTERIZATION OF A YEAST D-AMINO ACID OXIDASE
MICROBIOSENSOR FOR D-SERINE DETECTION IN THE CENTRAL NERVOUS
SYSTEM**

Journal:	<i>Analytical Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID:	ac-2007-02230w.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Dec-2007
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Pernot, Pierre; CNRS, Institut de Neurobiologie Alfred Fessard-FRC 2118, Laboratoire de Neurobiologie Cellulaire et Moléculaire-UPR9040</p> <p>Mothes, Jean-Pierre; Institut François Magendie, INSERM U378</p> <p>Schuvailo, Oleg; National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics</p> <p>Soldatkin, Alexey; National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics</p> <p>Pollegioni, Loredano; Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, DBSM - Dept. of Biotechnology and Molecular Sciences</p> <p>Pilone, Mirella; Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, DBSM - Dept. of Biotechnology and Molecular Sciences</p> <p>Adeline, Marie-Thérèse; CNRS, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles</p> <p>Cespuglio, Raymond; Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, EA4170</p> <p>Marinesco, Stephane; INSERM - Université Claude Bernard, U628</p>



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

CHARACTERIZATION OF A YEAST D-AMINO ACID OXIDASE MICROBIOSENSOR FOR D-SERINE DETECTION IN THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

*Pierre PERNOT¹, Jean-Pierre MOTHET², Oleg SCHUVAILO^{3,4}, Alexey SOLDATKIN⁴,
Loredano POLLEGIONI⁵, Mirella PILONE⁵, Marie-Thérèse ADELINÉ⁶, Raymond
CESPUGLIO³ and Stéphane MARINESCO^{1,7,*}*

¹CNRS, Institut de Neurobiologie Alfred Fessard – FRC2118, Laboratoire de Neurobiologie
Cellulaire et Moléculaire – UPR9040, 91198 Gif sur Yvette, FRANCE.

²INSERM U862, Université Bordeaux 2, Institut Magendie, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33077
Bordeaux, FRANCE.

³EA4170, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, 8 avenue Rockefeller, 69373 Lyon, FRANCE.

⁴Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics, National Academy of Science of Ukraine, 150
zabolotny Str, 03143 Kiev, UKRAINE.

⁵Dept Biotechnology and Molecular Sciences, University of Insubria, Varese, ITALY.

⁶CNRS, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, 91198 Gif sur Yvette, FRANCE.

⁷INSERM U628, Université Claude-Bernard-Lyon I, 69373 Lyon Cedex 08, France.

* corresponding author: Stéphane Marinesco, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche
Médicale U628, Université Claude-Bernard-Lyon I, 8 avenue Rockefeller, 69373 Lyon Cedex 08,
France.

Tel : +33 (0)4 78777041, Fax : +33 (0)4 78777150, Email : stephane.marinesco@univ-lyon1.fr

ABSTRACT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12 D-serine is an endogenous ligand for *N*-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and alterations in
13 its concentration have been related to several brain disorders, especially schizophrenia. It is
14 therefore an important target neuromodulator for the pharmaceutical industry. To monitor D-
15 serine levels *in vivo*, we have developed a microbiosensor based on cylindrical platinum
16 microelectrodes, covered with a membrane of poly-m-phenylenediamine (PPD), and a layer of
17 immobilized D-amino acid oxidase from the yeast *Rhodotorula gracilis* (RgDAAO). By detecting
18 the hydrogen peroxide produced by enzymatic degradation of D-serine, this microbiosensor
19 shows a detection limit of 16 nM and a mean response time of 2 s. Interferences by ascorbic acid,
20 uric acid, L-cysteine and by biogenic amines and their metabolites are rejected at more than 97%
21 by the PPD layer. Although several D-amino acids are potential substrates for RgDAAO, D-
22 serine was the only endogenous substrate present in sufficient concentration to be detected by our
23 microbiosensor in the central nervous system. When implanted in the cortex of anesthetized rats,
24 this microbiosensor detected the increase in concentration of D-serine resulting from its diffusion
25 across the blood brain barrier after an intraperitoneal injection. This new device will make it
26 possible to investigate *in vivo* the variations in D-serine concentrations occurring under normal
27 and pathological conditions, and to assess the pharmacological potency of new drugs designed to
28 impact D-serine metabolism.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Alterations in brain concentrations of D-serine, the predominant D-amino acid in the mammalian central nervous system¹, have been recently related to several neurological and psychiatric diseases². D-serine is synthesized in glial cells³ and neurons^{4, 5}, through the racemization of L-serine by serine racemase³. It is released by astrocytes in response to chemical stimulation by glutamate, or glutamate receptor agonists such as α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionate (AMPA), kainic acid, or (1S,3R)-1-aminocyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid (t-ACPD)^{6, 7}. D-serine is an endogenous agonist of the glycine site of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors⁸, and plays important roles in synaptic plasticity^{9, 10} and in several neurological and psychiatric disorders, such as schizophrenia^{11, 12}, ischemia¹³, Alzheimer's disease¹⁴ and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis¹⁵. In particular, D-serine concentration is decreased in the cerebrospinal fluid of schizophrenic patients^{16, 17}, and its administration enhances the therapeutic effects of known antipsychotic drugs^{18, 19}. Notwithstanding the physiological importance of D-serine in these diseases, the variations in D-serine levels in the central nervous system (CNS) during behavior or pathological states remain largely unexplored. Also, the biochemical and cellular mechanisms controlling D-serine extracellular brain concentration are still elusive. To elucidate these important questions, the development of rapid and selective methods for monitoring D-serine dynamics in the brain is of paramount importance.

Detection of free D-serine in the CNS has been reported using microdialysis²⁰⁻²³. Despite its impressive analytical power, microdialysis is limited by the large size of the probes used to collect brain samples and by the slow diffusion of molecules through the dialysis membrane. In particular, implantation of microdialysis probes into the CNS often causes lesions that impair the

1
2
3 physiological processes taking place around the probe²⁴⁻²⁶. Moreover, microdialysis probes are
4
5 too large to be inserted into brain slices or small structures of the rat or mouse CNS.
6
7

8 To overcome these technical limitations, we developed an enzymatic microbiosensor
9
10 capable of detecting D-serine *in vivo*. Enzymatic biosensors have been used for monitoring
11
12 extracellular levels of neurotransmitters like glutamate²⁷⁻³¹, adenosine³², adenosine tri-
13
14 phosphate³³, choline^{34, 35}, acetylcholine³⁶⁻³⁸, as well as metabolic molecules like glucose³⁹ or
15
16 lactate⁴⁰. Moreover, D-amino acids (including D-serine) can be detected in food or beverages as
17
18 markers of bacterial proliferation, using biosensors coated with the enzyme D-amino acid oxidase
19
20 (DAAO)⁴¹⁻⁴⁴. However, these biosensors are too large to be inserted into the CNS of laboratory
21
22 animals, and do not reach a sufficient detection limit to monitor physiological D-serine
23
24 concentrations in the low micromolar range²¹. In this study, we sought to optimize this principle
25
26 to achieve successful electrochemical D-serine detection in the brain.
27
28
29
30

31
32 Our microbiosensor is based on a platinum microelectrode (25×150 μm) covered with a
33
34 membrane of poly-m-phenylenediamine (PPD) for selectivity, and with a layer of D-amino acid
35
36 oxidase purified from the yeast *Rhodotorula gracilis* (RgDAAO). This microbiosensor detects D-
37
38 serine with an *in vitro* detection limit of 16 nM and a mean response time of 2.3 s. When used in
39
40 the brain or in brain extracts, it is selective for D-serine over other endogenous amino acids or
41
42 oxidizable molecules. Moreover, this microbiosensor can detect the basal D-serine extracellular
43
44 concentration in the rat frontal cortex (3.1 μM) and D-serine diffusion through the blood brain
45
46 barrier following an intraperitoneal injection. Parts of this study have been published in abstract
47
48 form⁴⁵.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Preparation of the enzyme

Recombinant *R. gracilis* D-amino acid oxidase (RgDAAO, EC 1.4.3.3) was overexpressed in *E. coli* and purified as reported by Molla et al.⁴⁶. The final enzyme preparation had a specific activity of 55 U/mg protein on D-serine, and was concentrated up to 58 mg/ml of RgDAAO in a solution containing 25 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma, St-Quentin Fallavier, France) and glycerol (1%) in phosphate buffer (0.02 M, pH 8.5). Pig kidney DAAO was purchased from Sigma and prepared following the same protocol as RgDAAO.

Microbiosensor Preparation

Biosensors were constituted of a 25 μm diameter 90% Pt / 10% Ir wire (Goodfellow, Huntington, UK) glued to a 0.3 mm copper wire using electroconductive silver paint (Radiospares, Beauvais, France). It was then inserted into a pulled glass capillary (Harvard Apparatus, Edenbridge, UK) and the tip of the pipette was cut to let 150 μm of the platinum wire protrude out of the glass. The junction between the platinum wire and the glass micropipette was sealed with epoxy resin (Araldite[®], Bostik, Paris, France). The electrodes were then washed for 30 min in KOH 0.5 M and 20 min in ethanol. A PPD layer was electrodeposited by dipping the electrodes 20 minutes in a solution containing 100 mM m-phenylenediamine in PBS 0.01 M at pH 7.4 under a constant potential of +700 mV vs. an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The enzyme layer was deposited by dipping the Pt tip of the electrodes in the DAAO solution (see above). Control microbiosensors (i.e. without DAAO) were produced by dipping the electrode in a

1
2
3 solution of BSA (400 mg/ml) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 0.01 M, pH 7.4 and 1%
4
5 glycerol. The enzyme was immobilized under saturated glutaraldehyde vapors for 120-135 s by
6
7 placing the electrodes into a small chamber containing a few milliliters of a 50 % glutaraldehyde
8
9 solution (under a fume hood because of the toxicity of glutaraldehyde vapors). Electrodes were
10
11 then kept at -20°C in dry atmosphere for long-term storage.
12
13

14
15 All microbiosensors were tested for the detection of serotonin (5-HT, 20 μ M in PBS), D-
16
17 serine (1 μ M in PBS) and H₂O₂ (1 μ M in PBS) before use. Only the electrodes showing more
18
19 than 7 pA/ μ M D-serine and less than 4 pA/20 μ M 5-HT were included in this study. To
20
21 determine this threshold, we tested a total of 30 electrodes: D-serine sensitivity was 9.2 ± 3.4
22
23 pA/ μ M and 16.7% were excluded using these criteria.
24
25

26
27 Microbiosensors used for *in vivo* experiments were covered with an additional Nafion
28
29 membrane, to protect the enzyme layer during penetration into the brain. The tip of the electrode
30
31 was dipped 5 times in Nafion 1% (5% commercial solution from Aldrich, Saint Quentin
32
33 Fallavier, France, diluted in isopropanol) and allowed to dry for at least 10 minutes at room
34
35 temperature^{31, 34}. This additional membrane did not change the sensitivity or the response time of
36
37 the electrodes.
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Recordings**

44
45 All recordings were made either with a patch-clamp amplifier Geneclamp GC500
46
47 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) or with an electrochemistry amplifier VA-10 (NPI
48
49 Electronics, Tamm, Germany) used with a 2 electrode potentiostat. Data acquisition was
50
51 performed with an ITC-18 acquisition board (Instrutech, Port Washington, NY) driven with a
52
53 homemade software based on Igor Pro 5.0 procedures (Wavemetrics, Eugene, OR). The
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 oxidation current was sampled at 1 kHz and averaged over 1000 data points, yielding a final
4
5 sampling frequency of 1 Hz.
6

7
8 *In vitro* calibrations were performed in standard solutions prepared with phosphate
9
10 buffered saline (0.01 M, pH 7.4). The reference electrode was a chlorided silver wire placed
11
12 directly into the recording chamber. Recordings were made in constant potential amperometry at
13
14 500 mV vs. the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Calibration of the biosensors before and after *in*
15
16 *vivo* experiments was performed in an artificial extracellular medium containing (in mM): NaCl
17
18 126, KCl 1.5, KH₂PO₄ 1.25, MgSO₄ 1.5, CaCl₂ 2 and HEPES 10 (pH 7.4). All chemicals were
19
20 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France).
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Preparation of brain samples**

28
29 Male Wistar rats (300-400 g) were decapitated under isofurane anesthesia, and the
30
31 forebrain was removed and homogenized in 5 ml of 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) to precipitate
32
33 macromolecules. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 20 000 g for 10 min. TCA was
34
35 extracted 6 times from the supernatant using ether, before lyophilization and storage at -20°C.
36
37
38
39
40

41 **High pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) measurements**

42
43 Lyophilized brain extracts (40 mg) were dissolved in 1 ml deionized water, and 50 µl
44
45 aliquots were treated with 0.8 mg N-acetyl-cysteine and 0.25 mg *o*-phthaldialdehyde in a 0.1M
46
47 borate buffer, pH 10.4, for derivatization of amino acids. HPLC measurements were performed
48
49 using a Waters Alliance instrument (Waters Corporation, Guyancourt, France) with a Waters
50
51 symmetry column (4.6×250mm). The column and sample compartments were kept at 30 and 8°C
52
53 respectively. Flow rate was set at 1 ml/min and run time was 20 min for all analyses. L- and D-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 serine were eluted with an isocratic method using phase A (990 ml of 0.1 M sodium acetate and
4 10 ml tetrahydrofurane, pH 6.2); the column was washed using phase B (500 ml of 0.1 M sodium
5 acetate, 470 ml acetonitrile, 30 ml tetrahydrofurane, pH 6.2). Amino acid derivatives were
6 detected using a Waters fluorescence detector (excitation 344 nm, emission 443 nm), and data
7 were acquired using the Empower Pro software package (Waters Corporation, Guyancourt,
8 France). Calibration of D-serine detection was performed using a 7-point standard curve.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 ***In vivo* experiments**

21 Rats were anesthetized with a ketamine-xylazine mixture (42 mg/ml ketamine, 1,6 mg/ml
22 xylazine, purchased from Centravet, Plancoët, France, and injected at 0.2 ml/100 g body weight)
23 and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus (Stoelting Corporation, Wood Dale, IL). Body temperature
24 was kept at 37°C with a homeothermic blanket (LSI Letica, Barcelona, Spain). An active
25 RgDAAO biosensor was implanted in the frontal cortex (1 mm lateral from the midline, 3 mm
26 anterior from the bregma, 1.5 mm ventral from the dura), side by side (0.5 mm) with a control
27 biosensor covered with BSA. Both microbiosensors were covered with an additional Nafion
28 layer. Before to start the recording, currents were allowed to stabilize for at least 30 min. D-serine
29 1g/kg body weight was injected intraperitoneally after an additional 30 min period of control
30 recording. In order to determine their selectivity and sensitivity, both biosensors were calibrated
31 using 5-HT 20 µM and D-serine 1 µM dissolved in an artificial extracellular medium (see above)
32 before and after the *in vivo* experiment.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Statistics**

1
2
3 Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) except for *in vivo* or brain extracts
4
5 measurements, where we used mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Comparisons between
6
7 two data groups were performed using the Student's *t*-test for equal or unequal variances, as
8
9 determined by the F-test (significance level was $p < 0.05$). Statistics software was the analysis
10
11 tool-pack of Excel (Microsoft Office XP).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Principle of D-serine detection by the biosensor

D-serine detection was achieved by a two-step reaction using the enzyme DAAO: (1) DAAO converts D-amino acids into their corresponding α -ketoacids (hydroxypyruvate for D-serine) and generates equimolar amounts of H_2O_2 ; (2) H_2O_2 is oxidized at the surface of a platinum wire connected to a patch-clamp amplifier (Fig 1). The resulting H_2O_2 oxidation current corresponds to the D-serine concentration in the biosensor's microenvironment.

To optimize H_2O_2 detection by the microbiosensor, we generated cyclic voltammograms in a solution of H_2O_2 100 μM in PBS 0.01 M pH 7.4, using potential sweeps from 0 mV to 900 mV vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode. As described by other authors^{47, 48}, holding potentials around 0 mV gave rise to electrochemical reduction of H_2O_2 , whereas oxidation was achieved above +200 mV. Optimal H_2O_2 detection was performed at a holding potential of +500 mV vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode, at which background currents were kept minimal. This value falls in the +500 mV and +700 mV range vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode typically used for platinum wire enzymatic biosensors^{27, 33, 49, 50}.

Choice of the DAAO

The most commonly used and commercially available DAAO is the one isolated from pig kidney (pkDAAO). Several biosensors for D-amino acids detection in food samples have been successfully prepared using pkDAAO⁴¹⁻⁴⁴. However, these biosensors achieved detection limits in the 10^{-5} - 10^{-4} M range, which is not sufficient for detecting D-serine extracellular concentration

1
2
3 in the CNS, estimated at a few 10^{-6}M^{20-22} . This low sensitivity to D-amino acids might be due to
4
5 the low specific activity of pkDAAO used for these biosensors. However, the DAAO from the
6
7 yeast *Rhodotorula gracilis* shows better properties for biotechnological applications. It binds the
8
9 flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) cofactor with high affinity and shows a higher specific activity
10
11 and stability compared to its mammalian counterpart⁵¹.
12
13
14

15 We thus compared the sensitivity and selectivity of microbiosensors prepared with either
16
17 pkDAAO or RgDAAO. Sensitivity for D-serine was 42% higher with RgDAAO microbiosensors
18
19 than with pkDAAO ones: $9.2 \pm 3.4 \text{ pA}/\mu\text{M}$ (n=30) vs. $6.5 \pm 2.0 \text{ pA}/\mu\text{M}$ (n=4) respectively (Table
20
21 1). Moreover, RgDAAO microbiosensors were more selective for D-serine than pkDAAO ones.
22
23 For example, RgDAAO microbiosensors showed much smaller electrochemical responses to D-
24
25 aspartate (5.5% of the D-serine response vs. 38.6% for pkDAAO, n=4, Table 1) and were also
26
27 slightly less sensitive to D-alanine (104 % of D-serine response vs. 165% for pkDAAO, n=4,
28
29 Table 1). Because D-aspartate and D-alanine can also be found in the mammalian CNS, together
30
31 with D-serine^{52, 53}, the lower electrochemical responses produced by the RgDAAO biosensor to
32
33 these D-amino acids would contribute to a greater selectivity *in vivo*. For these reasons,
34
35 biosensors were prepared using RgDAAO in the rest of this study.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 ***In vitro* detection of D-serine**

44
45
46
47

48 We first calibrated the RgDAAO microbiosensors in D-serine standard solutions. The
49
50 electrodes responded to changes in D-serine concentration by a step increase in the recorded
51
52 oxidation current (Fig. 2A). The response time of the microbiosensors, defined as the duration of
53
54 the rise between 10% and 90% of the response, was $2 \pm 1 \text{ s}$ (n=5, Fig. 2B).
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 D-serine oxidation current showed a linear relationship with concentration from 100 nM
4 to 500 μM , with a sensitivity of 9.2 ± 3.4 pA/ μM D-serine (n=25, Fig. 2C). Importantly, only the
5 electrodes showing a sensitivity higher than 7 pA/ μM D-serine were used for further studies (see
6 Experimental section). When the recorded signal was averaged at 1 data point/s, the noise was
7 about 0.05 pA, yielding a theoretical detection limit of 16 nM (signal/noise = 3). This detection
8 limit is significantly lower than the estimated D-serine concentration in the CNS²⁰⁻²² and is
9 therefore compatible with *in vivo* detection of this D-amino acid.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Oxygen dependence

23
24 D-amino acid oxidases require O_2 as a co-substrate to complete the catalytic cycle. In
25 order to evaluate the dependence of D-serine detection on O_2 concentration, we performed
26 similar calibration experiments using PBS in equilibrium with the ambient atmosphere, or
27 saturated with O_2 or N_2 (Fig. 2C-D). Provided that O_2 is non-limiting, the relationship between
28 the amplitude of the step in oxidation current detected by the biosensor and D-serine
29 concentration can be approximated by a Michaelis-Menten equation:
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

$$38 \quad (1) \quad C = \frac{C_{\max} \cdot [D\text{-serine}]}{K_{m,\text{app}} + [D\text{-serine}]}$$

39
40
41
42
43 where C is the oxidation current, and C_{\max} and $K_{m,\text{app}}$ are analogous to the classical V_{\max}
44 and K_m kinetic parameters used for the equation of the free enzyme. In a O_2 -saturated medium,
45 the response of the microbiosensor followed a Michaelis-Menten kinetics at least up to 2.5 mM
46 D-serine with a $K_{m,\text{app}}$ of 3.62 ± 0.38 mM, and a C_{\max} of 54.2 ± 12.5 nA (n=4, Fig. 2D). $K_{m,\text{app}}$
47 was significantly lower than the K_m of the free enzyme in solution (13.75 mM⁵¹). Such a
48 difference between free and immobilized RgDAAO was reported previously⁵⁴, and was attributed
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to the conformation of the immobilized enzyme and to a higher concentration of D-serine in the
4 hydrophobic enzymatic layer compared to the solution (partitioning effect). When calibrated in
5 air-equilibrated buffer, the microbiosensor yielded very similar responses to those obtained in O₂
6 saturated medium (Fig. 2C-D). However, the calibration curve obtained in N₂-saturated buffer
7 deviated significantly from the ones obtained in the presence of O₂ for D-serine concentrations
8 greater than 30 μM (Fig. 2C-D). The oxidation current detected by the microbiosensor reached a
9 plateau at about 8 nA, reflecting the decreased DAAO activity at low O₂ concentrations. The
10 calibrations in the 0-30 μM D-serine range show a weak dependence on pO₂, like most
11 biosensors based on the detection of enzymatically produced H₂O₂^{27, 33, 55}. This weak dependence
12 on pO₂ is probably due to O₂-regeneration during H₂O₂ oxidation on the Pt surface, that produces
13 a concentration of O₂ at which the rate of reoxidation of reduced DAAO is not limiting⁵⁵.
14 Because physiological D-serine concentrations in the CNS are expected around 5-10 μM²⁰⁻²², D-
15 serine detection at our microbiosensor should not depend on local pO₂, except, possibly, during
16 pathological states like cerebral ischemia.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **pH and temperature dependence**

39
40
41 D-serine detection by the biosensor showed the same pH dependence as RgDAAO in
42 solution^{51, 56}. The response at 10 μM D-serine increased from pH 5.4 to 8.0, reached a maximum
43 at pH 8.0-8.5, and decreased at higher values (n=4, Fig. 3A). The response at physiological pH
44 (7.4) was 80.7% of the maximum response observed at pH 8.5.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 The temperature dependence of D-serine detection was also similar to that of the free
52 enzyme in solution⁵¹. The microbiosensor response to D-serine increased with temperature, from
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 15°C to 40°C (n=4, Fig 3B). Its sensitivity at 37°C (*in vivo* temperature) is 75% higher than that
4
5
6 at 25°C (room temperature).
7

8 **Operational and storage stability**

9

10 The operational stability of the microbiosensors was estimated by placing the electrode in
11 PBS and then adding 5 μ M D-serine to produce an electrochemical signal. One hour later, the
12 recording medium was replaced and fresh D-serine was newly added. The microelectrode
13 response was thus evaluated every hour for 6 hours, during which it was almost constantly
14 exposed to 5 μ M D-serine. Under these conditions, response to D-serine remained stable (104 ± 4
15 % of the initial sensitivity after 6h, n=4), indicating that (1) the enzyme was strongly fixed to the
16 platinum wire and (2) the microbiosensor could be exposed to physiological D-serine
17 concentrations for several hours without any alteration of its sensitivity.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Storage stability was evaluated for microbiosensors kept at -20°C immediately after
30 manufacturing. Microbiosensors were tested for their response to 5 μ M D-serine 8, 21, 28, 35, 84
31 and 160 days after manufacturing. They were dried and frozen between each test. Response to D-
32 serine remained unchanged, showing only 2% loss in sensitivity after more than 160 days of
33 storage (n=3).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Selectivity**

44

45 The preceding data demonstrate that our microbiosensor can reliably detect D-serine
46 concentrations as low as a few tens of nM for several hours in standard solutions. However, in
47 order to detect D-serine in complex biological media, it is necessary to achieve selective
48 measurements avoiding the detection of other endogenous molecules present *in vivo*. Endogenous
49 molecules can interfere in two ways: (1) direct oxidation on the surface of the platinum wire and
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (2) oxidation by the enzyme accompanied by H₂O₂ production. Both kinds of interference were
4 investigated:
5
6

7
8 *1- Endogenous oxidizable molecules*
9

10 The major endogenous molecules susceptible to oxidation at a platinum surface at +500
11 mV vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode are serotonin (5-HT) and its metabolite 5-
12 hydroxyindolacetic acid (5-HIAA), dopamine and its metabolite dihydroxyphenylacetic acid
13 (DOPAC), L-cysteine, ascorbic acid and uric acid. Biosensors using enzymes directly coated on a
14 bare platinum wire or carbon fiber typically produce strong interfering oxidation currents in
15 response to physiological concentrations of these endogenous molecules. For example,
16 microbiosensors consisting in RgDAAO directly deposited on a bare Pt wire showed such
17 interfering responses (Fig. 4A). An efficient strategy to overcome these non-specific signals is to
18 deposit a layer of electropolymerized PPD onto the Pt wire^{30, 39, 57, 58}. This process is highly
19 reproducible and generates a thin (10 nm), uniform membrane. The PPD layer forms a steric
20 barrier that allows H₂O₂ diffusion but blocks larger molecules^{57, 59}. Moreover, electropolymerized
21 films of m-phenylenediamine show superior selectivity compared to those prepared with its p- or
22 o- isomers³⁰. RgDAAO microbiosensors manufactured from a poly-m-phenylenediamine covered
23 Pt wire showed a dramatic reduction (97-99%) in the interfering responses produced by
24 endogenous oxidizable molecules (n=4, Fig. 4B). By contrast, D-serine detection was only
25 reduced by 12%.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 We also tested the operational stability of the PPD layer. As shown in Fig. 4C, the small
49 response to 20 μM 5-HT remained stable for 6 hours (in PBS containing 5 μM D-serine at room
50 temperature), indicating that the PPD layer retained its ability to reject 5-HT over several hours.
51
52
53
54
55 However the PPD layer had a tendency to lose its selectivity over several days, even when stored
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 at -20°C. We found that it could be reactivated by dipping the microbiosensor for 1-2 s in a 100
4 mM m-phenylenediamine solution, at 700 mV vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode. This procedure
5 barely affected the sensitivity of the microbiosensor and restored the original selectivity. Overall,
6 these results show that interfering responses caused by endogenous oxidizable molecules are
7 reduced by more than 97% by an electropolymerized film of m-phenylenediamine. Importantly,
8 this selectivity layer decreases the responses to physiological concentrations of these interfering
9 molecules to a negligible level compared to D-serine oxidation currents.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 2- Other D-amino acids

20 Free RgDAAO in solution preferentially oxidizes neutral D-amino acids (such as D-
21 alanine, D-proline, D-cysteine, D-valine and D-serine), shows low activity towards basic ones
22 and is practically inactive on acidic D-amino acids⁵¹. As expected, our RgDAAO
23 microbiosensors generated large electrochemical responses not only to D-serine, but also to other
24 D-amino acids, with the exception of D-aspartate and D-glutamate (6.5% and 1.7% respectively
25 of the D-serine response at the same concentration, n=4, Fig. 5A). Glycine also generated very
26 small responses (3.5% of D-serine response, n=4, Fig. 5A) whereas L-amino acids were not
27 detected (<1.5% of D-serine response for L-serine and L-cysteine, n=4, Fig. 5A). Therefore, and
28 consistent with earlier reports⁵⁴, RgDAAO showed a broader substrate specificity when fixed on
29 the microbiosensor than the free form, in particular, an increased activity towards basic amino
30 acids.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 In a recent study, Hamase et al.⁵³ showed that D-serine was the predominant D-amino
49 acid in the CNS, followed by D-aspartate (about 10% of D-serine contents), which is not detected
50 by the microbiosensor, and by D-alanine (<3% of D-serine contents), which is a good substrate
51 for RgDAAO (Fig. 5B). Therefore, the new RgDAAO microbiosensor would be selective for D-
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 serine when used in the CNS, since interference from endogenous D-amino acids is negligible
4
5 compared to D-serine signals.
6
7
8
9

10 **Validation of the microbiosensor on brain extracts**

11
12 To confirm the selectivity of the microbiosensor, we measured D-serine concentrations in
13 brain extracts, comparing amperometric recordings and HPLC analysis. Both methods yielded
14 comparable D-serine concentrations ($130 \pm 7 \mu\text{M}$ for HPLC vs. $139 \pm 8 \mu\text{M}$ for the
15 microbiosensor, $n=6$, NS, Fig. 6C): amperometric detection using the microbiosensor differed
16 from HPLC analysis by only 6%. To better quantify the amount of non-specific signals detected
17 by the microbiosensor in the brain extracts, we eliminated D-serine (and other DAAO substrates)
18 by incubating the sample for 2 hours with 20 U of RgDAAO and 200 U of catalase. This
19 enzymatic treatment completely eliminated the D-serine peak in the HPLC chromatograms (Fig.
20 6A) and reduced the amperometric signal by $95.0 \pm 1.3\%$ ($n=6$, $p<0.01$, Fig. 6B). This result
21 confirms that the sum of interfering signals detected by the microbiosensor in brain extracts is
22 about 5-6% of the D-serine signal, and that our RgDAAO microbiosensor specifically detects D-
23 serine when used in the CNS.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 ***In vivo* detection of D-serine**

44
45 We then implanted our microbiosensors in the frontal cortex of anesthetized rats. The
46 RgDAAO microbiosensor was implanted close to a control biosensor (devoid of DAAO) used to
47 control for non-specific variations in oxidation current. Both microbiosensors were coated with
48 an additional layer of Nafion to optimize biocompatibility (see Experimental Section).
49
50 Implantation in the CNS produced a small decrease in sensitivity (-13.2% , $10.05 \pm 1.03 \text{ pA}/\mu\text{M}$
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 D-serine before, 8.72 ± 0.97 pA/ μ M after *in vivo* implantation, n=8). This decrease in sensitivity
4
5 is common to virtually all microbiosensors and generally occurs during the first 15 min following
6
7 insertion into the brain⁶⁰.
8
9

10 About 1 hr after implantation into the CNS, the background currents stabilized, showing a
11
12 difference of 47.2 ± 7.5 pA (n=6) between the control and RgDAAO microbiosensor. This
13
14 difference was not detected in calibrations made in PBS or in an artificial extracellular medium of
15
16 ionic composition close to that of the rat cerebrospinal fluid (2.3 ± 1 pA, n=7). Because the
17
18 control electrode and the RgDAAO microbiosensor were equally sensitive to endogenous
19
20 oxidizable molecules like H₂O₂, ascorbate, etc., and because D-serine is the predominant D-
21
22 amino acid in the brain, the difference in background current mostly reflected the ambient basal
23
24 D-serine concentration in the frontal cortex. To estimate the D-serine concentration represented
25
26 by this difference in background currents, we calibrated our microbiosensors in artificial
27
28 extracellular solution. In this medium, the sensitivity of the microbiosensor was unchanged
29
30 compared to PBS. Moreover, addition of a physiological concentration (400 μ M) of ascorbate in
31
32 the recording medium did not change the sensitivity of the microbiosensor (n=3, data not shown).
33
34 Using these calibration experiments, we estimated the basal extracellular D-serine concentration
35
36 in the rat frontal cortex under ketamine-xylazine anesthesia at 3.1 ± 0.4 μ M. This value is of the
37
38 same order of magnitude as other estimations based on microdialysis experiments (6-8 μ M)²⁰⁻²².
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 We then injected 1 g/kg D-serine intraperitoneally. It induced a steady increase in
46
47 oxidation current at the RgDAAO microbiosensor, but not at the control sensor, indicating that
48
49 the electrochemical signal detected by the RgDAAO microbiosensor was specific for D-serine.
50
51 This increase in electrochemical signal was most probably due to the diffusion of D-serine across
52
53 the blood-brain barrier. Three hours after the injection, the increase in oxidation current at the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RgDAAO microbiosensor was 65.3 ± 14.2 pA whereas the background current at the control microbiosensor decreased by 5.8 ± 1.8 pA ($n=6$, Fig. 7). Using the same calibration experiments in artificial cerebrospinal fluid, we estimated that the D-serine extracellular concentration increased by 4.8 μM , to reach a final 7.9 ± 1.2 μM at the end of the 3 hour recording following the peripheral injection. This modest increase in D-serine concentration is in agreement with previous measurements made post-mortem on whole tissue⁶¹. However, these data contrast with an earlier report using microdialysis, that showed a much faster 6-fold increase in D-serine levels following the intraperitoneal injection of a smaller dose of D-serine (50 mg/kg)⁶². Indeed, implantation of microdialysis probes in the brain usually produces significant tissue damage, especially to neighboring blood vessels^{24, 25}. This problem might have led to an overestimation of D-serine diffusion into the brain. In this respect, biosensors of micrometric size seem preferable for studies requiring integrity of the blood-brain barrier²⁵. In particular, the slow and modest increase in D-serine levels detected by our microbiosensors after the peripheral D-serine injection, suggests that damage to the blood-brain barrier produced upon implantation of the electrodes in the frontal cortex was indeed minimal.

Conclusion

Overall, these data demonstrate that D-serine levels in the CNS can be monitored using platinum-wire microbiosensors coated with the enzyme RgDAAO. This is the first report, to our knowledge, showing successful detection of D-serine concentrations *in vivo* at the micromolar level using an amperometric biosensor. Compared to previous DAAO biosensors used for D-amino acid detection in food, D-serine detection *in vivo* requires an increased selectivity, a higher sensitivity, and miniaturization to allow implantation in the CNS of small laboratory animals.

1
2
3 These technical challenges have been overcome through (1) the use of RgDAAO, a more active
4 and more selective enzyme than the previously used pkDAAO, (2) the development of
5 micrometric platinum wire electrodes for H₂O₂ monitoring, and (3) the use of a highly selective
6 PPD layer to block the non-specific oxidation of endogenous oxidizable molecules.
7
8
9
10
11

12 This new device will now pave the way for a better understanding of the physiological
13 and pathological situations that lead to changes in D-serine levels in the CNS as well as the
14 cellular mechanisms of D-serine release and elimination. It will also provide a powerful
15 analytical tool for screening new pharmacological agents designed to modify D-serine
16 metabolism in the CNS, in order to improve current treatments for neurological and psychiatric
17 disorders such as schizophrenia⁶².
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, grants from Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (bourse de retour 2004), Marie Curie Program (MIRG-CT-2005-017196 – SERELAS) and Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-06-EMPB-029, SERICAP) to SM, a NATO Collaborative Linkage Grant (CBP.NUKR.CLG 982788) and EGIDE ECO-NET program (#126758G) to AS and RC, and by grants from FAR to MP and LP, and from Fondazione CARIPLO to LP. PP is the recipient of a fellowship from Université Paris Sud. We are grateful to Sophie Luco and Josianne Thierry for their valuable assistance in HPLC experiments. We are indebted to Olexandr Soldatkin for participating in manufacturing and calibrating microbiosensors.

References

- (1) Hashimoto, A.; Nishikawa, T.; Hayashi, T.; Fujii, N.; Harada, K.; Oka, T.; Takahashi, K. *FEBS Lett* **1992**, *296*, 33-36.
- (2) Oliet, S. H.; Mothet, J. P. *Glia* **2006**, *54*, 726-737.
- (3) Wolosker, H.; Sheth, K. N.; Takahashi, M.; Mothet, J. P.; Brady, R. O., Jr.; Ferris, C. D.; Snyder, S. H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **1999**, *96*, 721-725.
- (4) Kartvelishvily, E.; Shleper, M.; Balan, L.; Dumin, E.; Wolosker, H. *J Biol Chem* **2006**, *281*, 14151-14162.
- (5) Williams, S. M.; Diaz, C. M.; Macnab, L. T.; Sullivan, R. K.; Pow, D. V. *Glia* **2006**, *53*, 401-411.
- (6) Schell, M. J.; Molliver, M. E.; Snyder, S. H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **1995**, *92*, 3948-3952.
- (7) Mothet, J. P.; Pollegioni, L.; Ouanounou, G.; Martineau, M.; Fossier, P.; Baux, G. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2005**, *102*, 5606-5611.
- (8) Mothet, J. P.; Parent, A. T.; Wolosker, H.; Brady, R. O., Jr.; Linden, D. J.; Ferris, C. D.; Rogawski, M. A.; Snyder, S. H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2000**, *97*, 4926-4931.
- (9) Yang, Y.; Ge, W.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Shen, W.; Wu, C.; Poo, M.; Duan, S. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2003**, *100*, 15194-15199.
- (10) Panatier, A.; Theodosis, D. T.; Mothet, J. P.; Touquet, B.; Pollegioni, L.; Poulain, D. A.; Oliet, S. H. *Cell* **2006**, *125*, 775-784.

- 1
2
3 (11) Chumakov, I.; Blumenfeld, M.; Guerassimenko, O.; Cavarec, L.; Palicio, M.;
4
5 Abderrahim, H.; Bougueleret, L.; Barry, C.; Tanaka, H.; La Rosa, P.; Puech, A.; Tahri,
6
7 N.; Cohen-Akenine, A.; Delabrosse, S.; Lissarrague, S.; Picard, F. P.; Maurice, K.;
8
9 Essioux, L.; Millasseau, P.; Grel, P.; Debailleul, V.; Simon, A. M.; Caterina, D.; Dufaure,
10
11 I.; Malekzadeh, K.; Belova, M.; Luan, J. J.; Bouillot, M.; Sambucy, J. L.; Primas, G.;
12
13 Saumier, M.; Boubkiri, N.; Martin-Saumier, S.; Nasroune, M.; Peixoto, H.; Delaye, A.;
14
15 Pinchot, V.; Bastucci, M.; Guillou, S.; Chevillon, M.; Sainz-Fuertes, R.; Meguenni, S.;
16
17 Aurich-Costa, J.; Cherif, D.; Gimalac, A.; Van Duijn, C.; Gauvreau, D.; Ouellette, G.;
18
19 Fortier, I.; Raelson, J.; Sherbatich, T.; Riazanskaia, N.; Rogaev, E.; Raeymaekers, P.;
20
21 Aerssens, J.; Konings, F.; Luyten, W.; Macciardi, F.; Sham, P. C.; Straub, R. E.;
22
23 Weinberger, D. R.; Cohen, N.; Cohen, D. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2002**, *99*, 13675-
24
25 13680.
26
27
28
29
30
31 (12) Shinkai, T.; De Luca, V.; Hwang, R.; Muller, D. J.; Lanktree, M.; Zai, G.; Shaikh, S.;
32
33 Wong, G.; Sicard, T.; Potapova, N.; Trakalo, J.; King, N.; Matsumoto, C.; Hori, H.;
34
35 Wong, A. H.; Ohmori, O.; Macciardi, F.; Nakamura, J.; Kennedy, J. L. *Neuromolecular*
36
37 *Med* **2007**, *9*, 169-177.
38
39
40
41 (13) Katsuki, H.; Nonaka, M.; Shirakawa, H.; Kume, T.; Akaike, A. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*
42
43 **2004**, *311*, 836-844.
44
45
46 (14) Wu, S. Z.; Bodles, A. M.; Porter, M. M.; Griffin, W. S.; Basile, A. S.; Barger, S. W. *J*
47
48 *Neuroinflammation* **2004**, *1*, 2.
49
50
51 (15) Sasabe, J.; Chiba, T.; Yamada, M.; Okamoto, K.; Nishimoto, I.; Matsuoka, M.; Aiso, S.
52
53 *Embo J* **2007**, *26*, 4149-4159.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (16) Hashimoto, K.; Engberg, G.; Shimizu, E.; Nordin, C.; Lindstrom, L. H.; Iyo, M. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **2005**, *29*, 767-769.
- (17) Bendikov, I.; Nadri, C.; Amar, S.; Panizzutti, R.; De Miranda, J.; Wolosker, H.; Agam, G. *Schizophr Res* **2007**, *90*, 41-51.
- (18) Tsai, G.; Yang, P.; Chung, L. C.; Lange, N.; Coyle, J. T. *Biol Psychiatry* **1998**, *44*, 1081-1089.
- (19) Lane, H. Y.; Chang, Y. C.; Liu, Y. C.; Chiu, C. C.; Tsai, G. E. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **2005**, *62*, 1196-1204.
- (20) Hashimoto, A.; Oka, T.; Nishikawa, T. *Neuroscience* **1995**, *66*, 635-643.
- (21) Matsui, T.; Sekiguchi, M.; Hashimoto, A.; Tomita, U.; Nishikawa, T.; Wada, K. *J Neurochem* **1995**, *65*, 454-458.
- (22) Ciriacks, C. M.; Bowser, M. T. *Anal Chem* **2004**, *76*, 6582-6587.
- (23) O'Brien, K. B.; Esguerra, M.; Klug, C. T.; Miller, R. F.; Bowser, M. T. *Electrophoresis* **2003**, *24*, 1227-1235.
- (24) Morgan, M. E.; Singhal, D.; Anderson, B. D. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **1996**, *277*, 1167-1176.
- (25) Khan, A. S.; Michael, A. C. *Trends Anal Chem* **2003**, *22*, 503-508.
- (26) Borland, L. M.; Shi, G.; Yang, H.; Michael, A. C. *J Neurosci Methods* **2005**, *146*, 149-158.
- (27) Hu, Y.; Mitchell, K. M.; Albahadily, F. N.; Michaelis, E. K.; Wilson, G. S. *Brain Res* **1994**, *659*, 117-125.
- (28) Pomerleau, F.; Day, B. K.; Huettl, P.; Burmeister, J. J.; Gerhardt, G. A. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **2003**, *1003*, 454-457.

- 1
2
3 (29) Oldenziel, W. H.; Dijkstra, G.; Cremers, T. I.; Westerink, B. H. *Brain Res* **2006**, *1118*,
4 34-42.
5
6
7
8 (30) Schuvailo, O. N.; Soldatkin, O. O.; Lefebvre, A.; Cespuglio, R.; Soldatkin, A. P. *Anal*
9
10 *Chim Acta* **2006**, *573-574*, 110-116.
11
12
13 (31) Kulagina, N. V.; Shankar, L.; Michael, A. C. *Anal Chem* **1999**, *71*, 5093-5100.
14
15 (32) Llaudet, E.; Botting, N. P.; Crayston, J. A.; Dale, N. *Biosens Bioelectron* **2003**, *18*, 43-52.
16
17 (33) Llaudet, E.; Hatz, S.; Droniou, M.; Dale, N. *Anal Chem* **2005**, *77*, 3267-3273.
18
19
20 (34) Garguilo, M. G.; Michael, A. C. *J Neurosci Methods* **1996**, *70*, 73-82.
21
22 (35) Parikh, V.; Pomerleau, F.; Huettl, P.; Gerhardt, G. A.; Sarter, M.; Bruno, J. P. *Eur J*
23 *Neurosci* **2004**, *20*, 1545-1554.
24
25
26 (36) Mitchell, K. M. *Anal Chem* **2004**, *76*, 1098-1106.
27
28
29 (37) Bruno, J. P.; Gash, C.; Martin, B.; Zmarowski, A.; Pomerleau, F.; Burmeister, J.; Huettl,
30 P.; Gerhardt, G. A. *Eur J Neurosci* **2006**, *24*, 2749-2757.
31
32
33 (38) Schuvailo, O. N.; Dzyadevych, S. V.; El'skaya, A. V.; Gautier-Sauvigne, S.; Csoregi, E.;
34 Cespuglio, R.; Soldatkin, A. P. *Biosens Bioelectron* **2005**, *21*, 87-94.
35
36
37 (39) Netchiporouk, L. I.; Shram, N. F.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Martelet, C.; Cespuglio, R. *Anal*
38 *Chem* **1996**, *68*, 4358-4364.
39
40
41 (40) Shram, N. F.; Netchiporouk, L. I.; Martelet, C.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Bonnet, C.;
42 Cespuglio, R. *Anal Chem* **1998**, *70*, 2618-2622.
43
44
45 (41) Varadi, M.; Adanyi, N.; Szabo, E. E.; Trummer, N. *Biosens Bioelectron* **1999**, *14*, 335-
46 340.
47
48
49 (42) Dominguez, R.; Serra, B.; Reviejo, A. J.; Pingarron, J. M. *Anal Biochem* **2001**, *298*, 275-
50 282.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (43) Wcislo, M.; Compagnone, D.; Trojanowicz, M. *Bioelectrochemistry* **2006**.
4
5
6 (44) Wu, X.; Van Wie, B. J.; D.A., K. *Biosens Bioelectron* **2004**, *20*, 879-886.
7
8 (45) Pernot, P.; Mothet, J. P.; Schuvailo, O. N.; Soldatkin, A. P.; Pollegioni, L.; Pilone, M.;
9
10 Cespuglio, R.; Marinesco, S., The 14th International Conference on Solid-State Sensors,
11
12 Actuators and Microsystems, Lyon 2007.
13
14 (46) Molla, G.; Vegezzi, C.; Pilone, M. S.; Pollegioni, L. *Protein Expr Purif* **1998**, *14*, 289-
15
16 294.
17
18 (47) Hall, S. B.; Khudaish, E. A.; Hart, A. L. *Electrochimica Acta* **1998**, *43*, 2015-2024.
19
20 (48) Cai, L. T.; Chen, H. Y. *Sensors and Actuators B* **1999**, *55*, 14-18.
21
22 (49) Burmeister, J. J.; Pomerleau, F.; Palmer, M.; Day, B. K.; Huettl, P.; Gerhardt, G. A. *J*
23
24 *Neurosci Methods* **2002**, *119*, 163-171.
25
26
27 (50) Dale, N.; Hatz, S.; Tian, F.; Llaudet, E. *Trends Biotechnol* **2005**, *23*, 420-428.
28
29 (51) Pollegioni, L.; Falbo, A.; Pilone, M. S. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1992**, *1120*, 11-16.
30
31 (52) Hashimoto, A.; Nishikawa, T.; Oka, T.; Takahashi, K.; Hayashi, T. *J Chromatogr* **1992**,
32
33 582, 41-48.
34
35 (53) Hamase, K.; Konno, R.; Morikawa, A.; Zaitso, K. *Biol Pharm Bull* **2005**, *28*, 1578-1584.
36
37 (54) Pilone, M. S.; Pollegioni, L.; Buto, S. *Biotechnol. and Appl. Biochem.* **1992**, *16*, 252-262.
38
39 (55) Oldenzel, W. H.; Dijkstra, G.; Cremers, T. I.; Westerink, B. H. *Anal Chem* **2006**, *78*,
40
41 3366-3378.
42
43 (56) Pollegioni, L.; Caldinelli, L.; Molla, G.; Sacchi, S.; Pilone, M. S. *Biotechnol Prog* **2004**,
44
45 20, 467-473.
46
47 (57) Malitesta, C.; Palmisano, F.; Torsi, L.; Zambonin, P. G. *Anal Chem* **1990**, *62*, 2735-2740.
48
49 (58) Moussy, F.; Jakeway, S.; Harrison, D. J.; Rajotte, R. V. *Anal Chem* **1994**, *66*, 3882-3888.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (59) Yuqing, M.; Jianrong, C.; Xiaohua, W. *Trends Biotechnol* **2004**, 22, 227-231.
4
5
6 (60) Marinesco, S.; Carew, T. J. *J Neurosci* **2002**, 22, 2299-2312.
7
8 (61) Hashimoto, A.; Chiba, S. *Eur J Pharmacol* **2004**, 495, 153-158.
9
10 (62) Fukushima, T.; Kawai, J.; Imai, K.; Toyo'oka, T. *Biomed Chromatogr* **2004**, 18, 813-819.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1

Substrate	pkDAAO microbiosensor		RgDAAO microbiosensor	
	Sensitivity (pA/ μ M)	% of D-serine detection	Sensitivity (pA/ μ M)	% of D-serine detection
D-Ser	6.5 \pm 2.0	100%	9.2 \pm 3.4	100%
D-Asp	2.5 \pm 0.8	38.6%	0.5 \pm 0.2	5.5%
D-Ala	10.8 \pm 2.9	165%	9.6 \pm 1.4	104%

Table 1: Response of microbiosensors produced with pkDAAO or RgDAAO on different D-amino acids. Sensitivity to D-serine, D-aspartate and D-alanine were assessed for the two microbiosensors. RgDAAO microbiosensors show greater sensitivity and improved selectivity for D-serine compared to pkDAAO ones (n=4).




Figure 1: Design of the biosensor. A. Photomicrograph of the tip of a RgDAAO microbiosensor. The enzymatic layer appears as a translucent, yellow membrane. B. Schematic representation of the D-amino acid oxidase (RgDAAO) microbiosensor. A platinum wire is covered with a layer of poly-m-phenylenediamine (PPD) and an enzymatic membrane of RgDAAO. C. Enzymatic reaction allowing D-serine detection at the microbiosensor: D-serine is oxidized into hydroxypyruvate by RgDAAO with equimolar production of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) that diffuses through the PPD layer and is oxidized by the platinum wire. H_2O_2 oxidation gives rise to two electrons detected by the patch-clamp amplifier.

82x43mm (420 x 420 DPI)




Figure 2: D-serine detection. A. Response of the biosensor to changes in D-serine concentration. The electrode was rapidly transferred from phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to a 500 nM or 1 μM D-serine solution and back to PBS. The change in D-serine concentration was detected as a step in the oxidation current recorded by the electrode. B. Response time. The electrode was switched from 0 to 10 μM D-serine. The response time was defined as the time between 10% and 90% of the current step. In order to obtain a higher time resolution, the signal was averaged over 10 ms (instead of 1 s). C. Calibration curves of a microbiosensor at three oxygen concentrations (N₂-saturation, air equilibrated and O₂-saturation) in the 0-50 μM D-serine concentration range. Except for the N₂-saturated medium, the oxidation current shows a linear dependence on the D-serine concentration. D. Calibration curves of the microbiosensor in the 0-2.5 mM D-serine concentration range. The calibration was made in N₂-saturated, in air equilibrated and in O₂-saturated PBS. The relationship between the oxidation current and D-serine concentration fits with a classical Michaelis-Menten equation in which O₂ is not-limiting in catalysis.

177x148mm (384 x 384 DPI)




Figure 3: pH and temperature dependence. A. pH-dependence of D-serine detection. pH was adjusted in 0.01 M PBS from 5.4 to 8.0 and 0.01 M borate from 8.3 to 11.5. Data are expressed as a percentage of the response at pH 8.0 (n=4). B. Temperature-dependence of the microbiosensor response (n=4). All measurements were performed in 10 μ M D-serine, PBS 0.01M, pH 7.4.
82x126mm (384 x 384 DPI)




Figure 4: Selectivity of the biosensor. Responses of the biosensor to physiological concentrations of different interfering molecules present in the CNS, without (A) and with (B) the PPD layer (n=4). The PPD layer dramatically decreased the sensitivity of the biosensor to interfering substances. C. Stability of the PPD layer. Electrochemical responses to 5-HT remained low for at least 6 hours of in vitro experiment at room temperature (n=4, measuring conditions: PBS 0.01 M, pH 7.4).

82x193mm (384 x 384 DPI)




Figure 5: Detection of other amino acids. A. Detection of the D-isomers of the 20 standard amino acids, as well as glycine, L-serine and L-cysteine (10 μ M). Data are expressed as a percentage of the response obtained with 10 μ M D-serine (n=4). As expected from the biochemical properties of RgDAAO, the biosensor detected all D-amino acids that we tested, except D-aspartate and D-glutamate. Glycine and L-amino acids produced negligible responses. B. Amounts of the most abundant D-amino acids in the mouse brain as reported by Hamase et al⁵³. The predominant D-amino acid is D-serine (red), followed by D-aspartate (blue) and D-alanine (green).

82x126mm (384 x 384 DPI)




Figure 6: D-serine detection in brain extracts. A. Example chromatogram showing separation between D-serine (elution time = 12 min) and L-serine (= 13 min). The D-serine peak completely disappeared after incubation with RgDAAO and catalase. **B.** Example of electrochemical responses recorded by the microbiosensor upon addition of a brain extract (40× dilution) and 3 µM D-serine. The response almost disappeared when the brain extract was preincubated with RgDAAO and catalase. **C.** Summary of the results of D-serine concentrations in brain extracts estimated by HPLC and the RgDAAO biosensor. Both techniques yielded comparable results, thus indicating an excellent selectivity for D-serine in brain extracts. * significant difference with D-serine levels following DAAO incubation ($p < 0.05$). Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM ($n=6$).
82x174mm (400 x 400 DPI)




Figure 7: D-serine detection in vivo. Effect of an intraperitoneal injection of D-serine (1 g/kg body weight) on the electrochemical signal detected in the frontal cortex by a RgDAAO microbiosensor and a control (BSA) microbiosensor implanted in its vicinity (about 0.5 mm). Background currents were systematically higher at the RgDAAO microbiosensor compared to the control electrode, revealing a detectable basal extracellular D-serine concentration. Peripheral D-serine injection produced a specific increase in oxidation current at the RgDAAO microbiosensor, reflecting D-serine diffusion across the blood brain barrier. Data are expressed as mean (solid line) \pm SEM (shading) of 6 experiments.

82x54mm (384 x 384 DPI)