



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Hayton, Richard

Book Review: "The Blair Effect: 2001-2005", edited by Anthony Seldon and Dennis Kavanagh, Cambridge University Press.

Original Citation

Hayton, Richard (2007) Book Review: "The Blair Effect: 2001-2005", edited by Anthony Seldon and Dennis Kavanagh, Cambridge University Press. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 36 (1). pp. 176-177. ISSN 0305-8298

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/9140/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

Anthony Seldon & Dennis Kavanagh, *The Blair Effect 2001-5* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005, 483 pp., \$27.99 USD/£17.99 pbk., \$70.00 USD/£40.00 hbk.).

The 'hand of history' has been a weight upon Tony Blair's shoulders since the early days of his premiership. Landslide victory in 1997 and the disintegration of the Conservative opposition presented New Labour with a fortuitous opportunity to forge a new political settlement in Britain, an opportunity that it has largely missed. The advantageous circumstances that Blair enjoyed raised the level of expectation, and consequently the level of disillusion and disappointment with what followed. As Seldon notes in his 'Verdict' chapter in this volume, whilst the government has successfully met many of its manifesto commitments, 'against historical parallels and the aspirations aired repeatedly by the Prime Minister himself, the cracks show' (p. 427). Blair's desire for a lasting legacy comparable to that of Attlee or Thatcher has been stymied by his government's timidity in its first term and the long shadow of Iraq over its second. The historic third election victory 'earned a reprieve' (p. 410) for the reputation of the second Blair government, but this may yet prove temporary. Ultimately political actions (however worthy their motives) are judged by their consequences, and in some areas the full effect of decisions taken in the 2001-5 period will not be known for many years to come. Assessments such as those contained in this volume necessarily reflect their historical perspective, but are no less valuable for that.

This book is an indispensable early assessment of the 'Blair Effect' between 2001 and 2005. Readers familiar with the previous volumes in this series (on the Thatcher, Major, and first Blair governments) will be unsurprised to find that the editors, armed with a winning formula, have stuck to it. A range of leading academics and policy

experts assess the impact of Blair and his government across a number of areas. As one would expect, a consistently high standard is maintained throughout. Thoughtful chapters provide informative considerations of the net Blair effect on politics and government (for example on Parliament, the Conservative and Labour parties, and on media management); and on most major policy areas, such as education, health, transport and economic policy. Further chapters discuss the broader issues of the devolution settlement, Europe, and foreign policy. These can all stand alone, or be read as part of a broader appraisal of the period. Dennis Kavanagh provides the introductory overview, and Anthony Seldon the concluding verdict. The volume ends with short but stimulating commentaries by Andrew Gamble, Robert Skidelsky, and Vernon Bogdanor, considering the Third Way, Blair the politician, and foreign policy respectively.

A wide-ranging collection such as this, written by a diversity of authors, does not lend itself to over-arching conclusions. However, a number of key themes emerge. As Pippa Norris demonstrates, whatever his other failings, Blair's three election victories ensure that his place in history 'as the most successful Labour prime minister we have ever known' is secure (p. 43). Despite (or perhaps because of) this electoral success, the overall Blair effect has failed to live up to either public expectations or the Prime Minister's own rhetoric. This may be necessarily so. Norris argues that 'Blair's unprecedented electoral success, and the lack of radical policy achievements during his first and second administrations are, in fact, perhaps intimately related', linked by the cautious 'centrist strategy' adopted by New Labour (p. 65). Gamble argues that this Third Way strategy 'still indicates the policies, the electoral stance and the political style that are most likely to be successful in present circumstances for a party of the left' (p.

437). But for all its electoral utility, the Third Way has demonstrably lacked ideological fibre, and has failed to provide a steer for government policy beyond the demands of the focus group. This may itself prove to be electorally damaging, and add still further to public cynicism about politics.

The general impression that emerges from this book is one of an overly circumspect administration that failed to capitalise on the propitious conditions presented to it. This failure is all the more damning for a government in its second term, and one assisted, as Seldon and Snowdon describe it, by a Conservative opposition apparently unable or unwilling to learn the lessons of defeat (pp. 136-156). Labour can perhaps be forgiven for being caught unawares in 1997, but no such excuse applies in 2001. In his concluding chapter, Seldon suggests that 'Blair was always driven more by the desire to win power than to use power' and that 'he failed to work out until too late exactly what he wanted to do with power' (p. 423). Only in the area of foreign policy has Blair used his power with zeal, expending much of his political capital in the process. He may wish that it were not so, but it is this field, particularly Iraq, that defines his legacy.

RICHARD HAYTON

Richard Hayton
Department of Politics
University of Sheffield
Elmfield
Northumberland Road
Sheffield, S10 2TU
United Kingdom

E-mail: richard@richardhayton.com