Mechanical behavior of polylactic acid/polycaprolactone porous layered functional composites
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A B S T R A C T

Biopolymeric porous devices exhibiting graded properties can play a crucial role in several fields, such as tissue engineering or controlled drugs release. In this context, the gradient of a specific property can be achieved by developing porous laminates composed by different types of materials. This work presents for the first time a multi-phasic porous laminate based on polycaprolactone (PCL) and polylactic acid (PLA) prepared by combining melt mixing, compression molding and particle leaching. All the materials were characterized from a morphological and a mechanical point of view.

The results put into evidence the possibility to tune and to predict the mechanical properties by controlling the process parameters together with geometrical features.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the past decades there has been a growing interest toward polymeric materials obtained by renewable sources and with potential biodegradability or compostability to reduce the overall environmental impact [1–10].

In particular, porous biopolymeric devices with engineered chemical, physical and mechanical properties can play a crucial role in biomedical fields, such as tissue engineering or controlled drugs release [11–14].

The development of porous laminates composed by different kinds of biopolymer allow controlling these parameters by tuning the properties of each single layer and by eventually assembling them into a single device [15–17]. This feature is of main concern for interface tissue engineering (ITE), a rapidly developing field that aims to the production of structures designed either to repair or to regenerate diseased or damaged zones at the interface of different types of tissue. Interface tissues are more complex than the homogeneous ones because of the presence of multi-phasic and/or anisotropic structures that gradually change from one tissue to another [11,16,17]. The desire to mimic these natural structures has driven biomechanical engineers to develop custom-made bio-inspired materials with mechanical properties similar to natural tissues [15,18,19]. Usually, porous multiphasic laminates are developed by 3D printing, suturing, glue or press fitting two/three layers, even if the best challenge is achieving a well joint monolith presenting functionally graded properties [17,20–28].

In this context, synthetic biodegradable polymers used in tissue engineering or in other biomedical applications include polylactic acid (PLA) and polycaprolactone (PCL).

PCL is a semicrystalline thermoplastic polymer with low melt temperature, thus enabling the possibility to be easily melt processed [29]. Hydrolytically labile ester linkages are responsible for its degradation although slow (2–3 years) [30]. In addition, it is a ductile polymer at room temperature, with a relative low elastic modulus [31,32].

PLA melting point is higher if compared with that of PCL, although it presents a fragile behavior with high elastic modulus and low elongation at break. For this reason, this latter is preferred for bone repair, whereas the former is usually chosen for the cartilage regeneration [33,34].

In this work, we developed for the first time a chemically graded laminate porous device composed by a PLA-based core and a PCL-based shell in form of monolith.

The novelty of this work can be principally ascribed to the preparation route of the multiphasic porous laminates, conducted
by melt. In fact, the devices were fabricated by combining melt mixing, compression molding and particulate leaching. The principal advantages of this method lay in the absence of organic solvents during the preparation and in the possibility to easily control and predict both porosity (void-to-total volume ratio) and pore size, by tuning the amount and the dimension of porogen particles, respectively.

The relative volume ratio was controlled by the thickness of each layer in order to investigate the correlation between the preparation, the morphology and mechanical properties of three-layered laminate based on PCL and PLA. In particular, the study of mechanical properties of porous bio-laminates were investigated as a function of the relative contribution of the layers to the whole laminated device.

2. Materials and methods

The PLA used in the frame of this work was a sample purchased by Natureworks (PLA 2002D, density 1.24 g/cm³) while poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), Mw = 2000 Da, and PCL, Mw = 80,000 Da, were purchased by Sigma Aldrich, as well as NaCl (purity > 99%, d = 2.17 g/cm³). Little amounts (less than 1%) of green PLA filament (Matter Hackers, USA) was used as a masterbatch in order to stain the PLA phase from PCL.

The temperature was set to 190 °C/C14 for PLA, 25 °C/C14 for PEG and 40 °C for PCL. NaCl was dried at 105 °C/C14 to ensure the highest drying degree.

The NaCl was sieved in the range of 90–110 μm to obtain homogeneous pore size distribution.

2.1. Laminates fabrication

Porous laminates were prepared by melt mixing as described in our previous works [12,13]. In brief, PLA (or PCL), PEG and NaCl, with weight percentage composition of respectively 20/5/75, were fed to a batch mixer (Plasticorder PLE-330 Brabender, Germany). The temperature was set to 190 °C in the case of PLA and to 100 °C for PCL, the rotor speed was 64 rpm, the mixing time was around 10 min, in order to achieve a constant value of torque. Thereafter, the samples were fed out and rapidly cooled in liquid nitrogen. The materials collected were ground and compression-molded in a laboratory press (Carver, USA) at 190 °C for PLA and 100 °C for PCL at 180 bar in appropriate cylindrical molds with a diameter of 10 mm and different thickness as summarized in Table 1. The pre-compressed monolayers were finally assembled by further compression molding at 130 °C at 180 bar, in order to obtain the final laminates.

Finally, the porogen part of the blends (NaCl and PEG) was removed by selective leaching in demineralized water for 5 h. The resulting structure were then allowed to dry for 12 h at room temperature. Laminates are schematically represented in Fig. 1.

Table 1
Sample code of the mono-phasic and multi-phasic porous laminates as a function of the thickness of each layer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>PCL Layer (mm)</th>
<th>PLA Layer (mm)</th>
<th>PCL Layer (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM_0.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM_1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM_2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Morphological analysis

The morphology of the laminates was evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), by using a Phenom Pro x, Phenom-World (The Netherlands). The samples, cryo-fractured in liquid nitrogen, were attached on an aluminum stub using an adhesive carbon tape and then sputter coated with gold (Sputtering Scancoat Six, Edwards, UK) for 90 s under argon atmosphere before imaging to avoid electrostatic discharge under the electron beam.

2.3. Laminates pore size

Pore size analysis was carried out using a MATLAB (MathWorks Inc., MA, USA)-based software previously described [36]. The custom-made software is able to accomplish the segmentation of images containing pores of any geometry in a semi-automatic way, and it converts the digital images into binary form, thus calculating the pores area distribution (PAD); the software reports the percentage of the total area occupied by the pores having diameters in a given interval. The red, green and blue colors are assigned to three increasing intervals of the size of the pores.

2.4. Porosity, connectivity, water uptake

The parameters related to porous properties of the laminate were obtained by gravimetric measurement. Theoretical porosity, porosity and connectivity were calculated according to the following expressions [37]:

\[
\text{Porosity}_{th}(\%) = \frac{V_{\text{porogen}}}{V_{\text{total}}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Porosity}(\%) = 1 - \frac{\rho_{\text{laminate}}}{\rho_{\text{matrix}}} \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{Connectivity} = \frac{m_0 - m_{\text{dry}}}{m_{\text{NaCl}} + m_{\text{PEG}}} \times 100\% \quad (3)
\]

Where, \(m_0\) and \(m_{\text{dry}}\) are the theoretical weights of NaCl and PEG, respectively, by assuming a homogeneous mixture. \(m_0\) and \(m_{\text{dry}}\) are, respectively, the weights of laminate before leaching and after leaching and drying. The density (ρ) of NaCl, PEG, PLA and PCL are respectively 2.16 g/cm³, 1.12 g/cm³ and 1.24 g/cm³ and 1.14 g/cm³. \(\rho_{\text{laminate}}\) is the apparent density of the laminate.

The theoretical porosity was calculated by assuming the complete solvation of all the porogen agents (PEG plus NaCl). The real porosity was calculated as the reciprocal of the ratio between the apparent densities of the laminate and of the non-porous polymeric material, by using expression (2). It expresses the ratio between the empty volume and the overall volume of the laminate (empty + full). The connectivity is a parameter indicating the continuity of the porogen phases and was assessed by using Eq. (3), i.e. by taking into account the weight variation of the laminate before and after the porogen agent extraction, with respect to the overall weight of porogen compounds.

The bulk water absorption (water uptake) was calculated as the difference between the weight of the devices filled by water (\(m_{\text{wet}}\)) and the weight after drying in fume hood, according to Eq. (4):

\[
\text{Water uptake}(\%) = \frac{m_{\text{wet}} - m_{\text{dry}}}{m_{\text{dry}}} \times 100\% \quad (4)
\]

The percentage of pores filled by water was evaluated as the
ratio between the volume of water into the laminate and the empty volume, given by the real porosity. The volume of water filled by the devices was measured as the weight difference between the dry and wet sample. For these measurements a precision balance with a resolution of ±0.1 mg was used (Sartorius AX224, Germany).

2.5. Mechanical properties

The scaffolds were mechanically tested in compression using an Instron 3365 (UK) with BioPuls Bath (Norwood, MA). The tests were performed both in PBS, (pH = 7.4) at 37 °C (wet condition) and in air at room temperature (dry condition). Samples were prepared to measurements in BioPuls Bath, filling them with PBS in a vacuum flask for 5 min to let PBS to fill all the pores. Subsequently scaffolds were left in PBS at 37 °C for 15 min to achieve the testing temperature. The 10 mm × 3 mm (3:10 height to diameter ratio) scaffolds were tested in compression until failure with a uniform strain rate of 1 mm min⁻¹ with a 1 kN load cell. The data were analyzed to determine elastic modulus. The tensile stress-strain curves were determined by means of a custom-designed interfacial strength test equipment fitted to the Instron 3365 (UK) apparatus, following a method described in the scientific literature [13,21]. The rig design allows the secure fixation of the specimen during testing and ensures its correct alignment between load cell and base platen. Scaffold samples were adhered to aluminum test stubs using a high viscosity adhesive (Patter Power Epoxy) and inserted into the equipment for testing. A 1 kN load cell was used under a tensile load applied at a strain rate of 1 mm min⁻¹. Failure was expected to occur either at the ultimate tensile strength of one of the component layers of the scaffold or because of delamination at the layer interfaces. Interfacial adhesion strength was measured as the maximum strength of the stress strain curve.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological analysis

Fig. 2a–f show SEM micrographs of monolayer and multi-phasic laminates.

In particular, Fig. 2a refers to the device containing only PCL, characterized by a homogenous pores size distribution. Fig 2b shows the laminate LAM_0.5 characterized by a PLA-based core layer (500 μm thick), evidenced by the red lines and a PCL-based shell. Fig. 2c reports the laminate developed by assembling 1 mm of PCL (top), 1 mm of PLA (core) and 1 mm of PCL (bottom) (LAM_1). Fig. 2d shows the morphology of the LAM_2, the multilayer with the highest volumetric fraction of PLA, while Fig. 2e shows the device composed entirely of PLA.

These pictures suggest that the macro-pore architecture (about 100 μm) of the laminates is not dependent on the kind of material but only on the granulometry of the sieved NaCl filled during the melt mixing process, that was in the range of 90–110 μm. Indeed, the morphology of the multi-phasic laminates, reported in Fig. 2b,c, is very similar to those of the monophasic devices (Fig. 2a,e), as further confirmed by the pore area distribution (PAD) calculated by image processing and reported in Fig 3a–c. The histograms put into evidence that all the laminates present a similar PAD with a concentration of pores within the range 90–110 μm, the same as the sieved salt particles, thus confirming that the macropores network can be controlled, tuned and predicted by the granulometry of the salt filled in the polymer matrix.

Moreover, by comparing the morphologies of PCL (Fig. 3a) and PLA (Fig. 3c), it is possible to detect a higher amount of pores around 10 μm for PCL sample.

In fact, SEM analysis carried out at higher magnification showed that the two phases exhibit slight differences in the pores architecture. Fig. 4a,b reports the micrographs taken at the interface region between the PCL (top) and the PLA (bottom) layer of the
LAM_1, chosen as representative multi-phasic laminate for sake of brevity. Fig. 4a confirms that the macropore structure of the two layers is very similar but the PCL phase is characterized by a continuous network of micro-pores (about 5 μm), likely due to the solvation of the PEG phase [12], clearly visible at higher magnification (see Fig. 4b). Even the PLA region presents a microporous network due to the PEG solvation [13] but, owing to the higher solubility of PEG in PLA, the concentration of micro-pores is significantly lower than those observed within PCL layers. Moreover, PAD analysis carried out by image processing strongly confirm this hypothesis. (Fig. 3c).

The presence of the microporous network in the PCL phase allowed us to better distinguish each layer during SEM observations; however, one of the polymeric phases (PLA) was colored with a green pigment in order to better identify each polymer, thus providing further confirmation that each relative layer thickness was effectively developed according to the previous design. Fig. 5a—c show the digital pictures of LAM_0.5, LAM_1 and LAM_2 sections. The images confirmed that the method here presented allowed achieving the full control of the thickness for each layer composing the laminate. In fact, the whole sample is 3 mm high in all the cases, the thickness of green stained PLA layer is about equal to 500 μm (Fig. 5a), 1000 μm (Fig. 5b) and 2000 μm (Fig. 5c), in compliance with the planned design.

Another important morphological analysis regarded the interface between each layer of the composite. In Fig. 2b—d it can be observed that the entire device does not present any internal cleavages; moreover, no discontinuity can be distinguished in the interlayer region. The high adhesion is clearly visible even in Fig. 4a,b and further confirmed by tensile tests, as will be better discussed below.

3.2. Porosity, connectivity and water uptake analysis

Table 2 summarizes theoretical porosity (%), porosity (%), connectivity (%) and water uptake (%) for each different laminate. In this work, the formulation of the mixture was designed in order to obtain scaffolds with a theoretical porosity of 70.8%. The porosity, calculated by Eq. (2), is very close to that theoretically predicted for all the laminates, hence suggesting that all the porogen agents were extracted from devices within the leaching step [12,13]. The data collected about the connectivity, calculated according to Eq. (3) and resulted higher than 99% for all the samples, strongly confirm this hypothesis. The laminates showed a water uptake ranging within 220% (for neat PCL) and 290% (for neat PLA) likely due to the different wettability of the polymers. Consequently, the multi-phasic samples displayed a water uptake dependent on the content of PLA. The higher the PLA amount the higher the water uptake.

3.3. Laminates tensile mechanical behavior

Fig. 6 reports the experimental and theoretical (iso-stress model prediction) data carried out from tensile tests. In particular, Young’s modulus, reported in Fig. 6a, was found to strongly depend on the relative thickness of the different layers, i.e. the larger the PLA layer the higher the E value. Furthermore, the iso-stress model, which is valid if each layer of the composite is ideally subjected at the same stress, fits very well the experimental data collected; that is reasonably due to the high adhesion strength between the different layers of the laminates. The high adhesion strength is confirmed by the maximum stress values plotted in Fig. 6b. Tensile strength is 1.6 MPa for the porous PCL and 360 kPa for PLA. The multi-phasic laminates showed a tensile strength comparable with the PLA laminate and...
the fracture always occurred in the PLA region, as highlighted in Fig. 7a–d, and never at the interface. This feature demonstrates that the adhesion strength is higher than the ultimate resistance of the PLA layer.

In order to ensure that the fracture of the device occurred in the PLA layer, ATR analysis were carried out on both the fracture surfaces. The spectra, reported in Fig. 8a,b, show the typical peaks of the PLA, as listed in Table 3, thus ensuring that the fracture occurred within the PLA layer for all the multi-phasic laminates investigated [38].

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Theoretical porosity (%)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Connectivity (%)</th>
<th>Water uptake (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>70.84</td>
<td>70.18 ± 0.55</td>
<td>99.45 ± 0.15</td>
<td>220.2 ± 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM_0.5</td>
<td>70.84</td>
<td>69.84 ± 0.42</td>
<td>99.32 ± 0.14</td>
<td>270.3 ± 14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM_1</td>
<td>70.84</td>
<td>69.97 ± 0.47</td>
<td>99.54 ± 0.22</td>
<td>280.8 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM_2</td>
<td>70.84</td>
<td>69.55 ± 0.72</td>
<td>99.60 ± 0.20</td>
<td>285.5 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>70.84</td>
<td>70.25 ± 0.42</td>
<td>99.50 ± 0.22</td>
<td>290.5 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 6.** a) E modulus and iso-stress model of the tensile mechanical test for the different laminates; b) Tensile stress for the different laminates.
3.4. Laminates compressive mechanical behavior

Fig. 9a,b reports the mechanical behavior under compression and the corresponding iso-stress model predictions for all the samples. The elastic modulus (Fig. 9a), in this case, was practically constant and equal to about 7 MPa.

Getting into the details, one may notice that the compressive modulus was found to depend only on the weakest layer (PCL), regardless of PLA thickness [12,13]. For this reason, the iso-stress model was unable to predict the experimental data (Fig. 9a).

These results can be likely explained by considering that the aspect ratio of the cell ribs, characterized by a very low thickness in comparison with their height (i.e. pore diameter), makes them prone to elastic buckling [25]. This phenomenon, occurring only under compression, mainly affects the softest layer. Likely due to this feature, the experimental data for the multi-phasic structures remain practically constant and close to that of PCL.

In the last region of the stress-strain curves, \( \sigma \) was found to

---

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak number</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Wavenumber cm(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{CH}) stretch</td>
<td>3000 (asym.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) carbonyl stretch</td>
<td>2948 (sym.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{CH}) bending</td>
<td>1747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{CH}) asymmetric; symmetric deformation</td>
<td>1456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{CH}) bending</td>
<td>1382; 1360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) stretching</td>
<td>1315–1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) bending</td>
<td>1265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,9,10</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) stretching</td>
<td>1211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{OH}) bending</td>
<td>1180, 1129, 1083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{CH}_3) rocking mode</td>
<td>955, 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) stretching crystalline phase</td>
<td>869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Amorphous phase</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 7. Digital photo of the LAM_1 tensile test at different deformation. a) not deformed; b) the crack start in the PLA layer (green); c) complete fracture; d) surface fracture of the sample is green, the white laminate in the center is to see better the color contrast. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 8. ATR-FTIR of both the fractured surfaces of the LAM_1 sample. It presents the typical peaks of the PLA for both the fractured surfaces.
linearly increase as a function of the strain. This feature can be ascribed to the densification phenomena occurring since the pore walls collapsed thus filling the voids. The slope of this linear zone (\(E_D\)) was then measured and plotted in Fig. 9b as a function of the PLA thickness.

Except for the PCL, this property levels off, remaining equal to that of PLA, i.e., around 55 MPa. Even in this case, the iso-stress model is unable to fit the experimental data. Interestingly, \(E_D\) seems to be totally controlled by the PLA layer.

### 4. Conclusions

In this work, porous PCL/PLA multi-phasic laminates were prepared by combining melt mixing, compression molding and selective leaching. The devices showed highly interconnected porous structure with a porosity of 70%. This eco-friendly technique ensures achieving high predictability of both pore size and porosity, by simply tuning the amount and the granulometry of NaCl, respectively as confirmed by SEM, image processing and gravimetric analyses.

Morphological and mechanical analyses highlighted that three-layered laminates are characterized by well joint regions without loss of adhesion or interface fracture.

Tensile mechanical tests showed the strong correlation between \(E\) value and the relative thickness of the layers composing the laminates, with a trend that strongly follows the iso-stress model predictions. Compressive mechanical tests showed that the \(E\) value is controlled by the weakest layer composing the multi-phasic laminates i.e. the PCL.

In conclusion, this approach permits to design multi-phasic porous devices with a high control of both morphological and mechanical characteristics.
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