# Effects of soil compaction, rain exposure and their interaction on soil carbon dioxide emission

Agata Novara,<sup>1\*</sup> Alona Armstrong,<sup>2</sup> Luciano Gristina,<sup>1</sup> Kirk T. Semple<sup>2</sup> and John N. Quinton<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento dei Sistemi Agro-Ambientali, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Received 11 April 2011; Revised 26 January 2012; Accepted 30 January 2012

\*Correspondence to: Agata Novara, Dipartimento dei Sistemi Agro-Ambientali, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128-Palermo, Italy. E-mail: agata.novara@unipa.it



Earth Surface Processes and Landforms

ABSTRACT: Soils release more carbon, primarily as carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), per annum than current global anthropogenic emissions. Soils emit  $CO_2$  through mineralization and decomposition of organic matter and respiration of roots and soil organisms. Given this, the evaluation of the effects of abiotic factors on microbial activity is of major importance when considering the mitigation of greenhouse gases emissions. Previous studies demonstrate that soil  $CO_2$  emission is significantly affected by temperature and soil water content. A limited number of studies have illustrated the importance of bulk density and soil surface characteristics as a result of exposure to rain on  $CO_2$  emission, however, none examine their relative importance. Therefore, this study investigated the effects of soil compaction and exposure of the soil surface to rainfall and their interaction on  $CO_2$  release. We conducted a factorial laboratory experiment with three soil types after sieving (clay, silt and sand soil), three different bulk densities (1·1 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 1·3 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) and three different exposures to rainfall (no rain, 30 minutes and 90 minutes of rainfall). The results demonstrated  $CO_2$  release varied significantly with bulk density, exposure to rain and time. The relationship between rain exposure and  $CO_2$  is positive:  $CO_2$  emission was 53% and 42% greater for the 90 minutes and 30 minutes rainfall exposure, respectively, compared to those not exposed to rain. Bulk density exhibited a negative relationship with  $CO_2$  emission: soil compacted to a bulk density of 1·1 g cm<sup>-3</sup> emitted 32% more  $CO_2$  than soil compacted to 1·5 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Furthermore we found that the magnitude of  $CO_2$  effluxes depended on the interaction of these two abiotic factors. Given these results, understanding the influence of soil compaction and raindrop impact on  $CO_2$  emission could lead to modified soil management practices which promote carbon sequestration. Copyright © 2012 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEYWORDS: soil carbon dioxide flux; rain exposure; soil compaction

# Introduction

The total global emission of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) from soils is 68–75 Pg CO<sub>2</sub>-C yr<sup>-1</sup> (Mosier, 1998). This is one of the largest fluxes in the global carbon (C) cycle and small changes in the magnitude of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux can have a major influence on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels (Schlesinger and Andrews, 2000). Soils, with microbial catabolism, release more C per annum than current global anthropogenic emissions (Luo and Zhou, 2006) and therefore could play a key role in mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Soils emit CO<sub>2</sub> through mineralization and decomposition of organic matter and respiration of roots and soil organisms (Houghton, 2007), but can act as C sinks as they are able to accumulate C. Knowledge of the factors which influence the emission of soil CO<sub>2</sub> is therefore key to understanding the terrestrial C cycle and promoting soil C sequestration.

Many factors influence  $CO_2$  fluxes between the soil and the atmosphere. We divide these into two broad groups: processes which influence the production of  $CO_2$  by influencing soil microbial ecology; and those which influence the physical movement of  $CO_2$  between the soil and atmosphere. Much research has focused on how microbial ecology influences  $CO_2$  efflux (Cavigelli *et al.*, 2005; Nadezhda *et al.*, 2008).

However, there has been less research into the role of soil physical properties. Most work in this area has focused on how environmental variables such as, air temperature, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and air humidity, interact with the soil physical factors (Smith et al., 2003) and affect ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994; Davidson et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2006; Morell et al., 2010). Much of the temporal variation in soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux can be interpreted by a combination of soil temperature and moisture content (Xu and Qi, 2001). The release of CO<sub>2</sub> from soil organic matter generally increases exponentially with temperature (Anderson, 1973; Edwards, 1975; Ewel et al., 1987; Fang et al., 1998; Longdoz et al., 2000), high soil water contents have been shown to impede the diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> in soil and thus reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emission (Linn and Doran, 1984; Doran et al., 1990; Skopp et al., 1990) but conversely, a low soil water content can inhibit soil microbial activity and consequently root respiration (Davidson et al., 1998; Xu and Qi, 2001; Curiel et al., 2003).

Soil compaction is widespread in agricultural systems as a result of the use of machinery (Lee *et al.*, 1996; Schäffer *et al.*, 2007; Ampoorter *et al.*, 2010) and the presence of animals (Martínez and Zinck, 2004; Hamza and Anderson, 2005). Soil compaction increases soil bulk density, compressing larger pores to smaller pores, thus decreases soil porosity and the infiltration capacity (Huang *et al.*, 1996). Increased soil bulk density has been shown to affect soil ecology by decreasing the numbers of soil bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes by 26–39% (Li *et al.*, 2002) and reducing the microbial activity, as indicated by changes in soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux (Liebig *et al.*, 1995; Jensen *et al.*, 1996; Pengthamkeerati *et al.*, 2005). However, Shestak and Busse (2005) attributed reduced CO<sub>2</sub> flux in compacted soil to reduced gas diffusivity rather than to any direct influence on the function of the soil microbial community.

Soil compaction is also associated with increased risk of erosion and some studies have linked an increase in  $CO_2$  following rewetting to mineralization of freshly exposed organic matter, and the subsequent mineralization of microbial C (Mikha *et al.*, 2005). Furthermore, the physical breakdown of soil aggregates, which occurs due to compaction and exposure to rainfall has been associated with increased  $CO_2$  (Beare *et al.*, 1994; Denef *et al.*, 2001).

To date there have been no studies which examine the influence of soil bulk density and rain exposure and their interaction on soil  $CO_2$  flux. To fill this gap we undertook laboratory core experiments to investigate the effects of soil compaction and rain exposure on  $CO_2$  flux at constant and variable soil moisture. We hypothesize that soils with different bulk densities and rainfall exposures emit different quantities of  $CO_2$  due to impacts on microbial activity,  $CO_2$  diffusivity, and availability of fresh C due to aggregate breakdown.

# **Materials and Methods**

Controlled laboratory experiments were undertaken using a factorial design with three contrasting soil types which had been under continuous arable cultivation, three bulk densities and three different rain exposures, each was replicated five times resulting in 135 soil cores in total. The three soils used were: a clay (59% clay, 24% silt, 17% sand), a silt (17% clay, 73% silt, 10% sand), and a sand (3% clay, 32% silt, 65% sand) with the same organic matter content (19 g  $kg^{-1}$ ). The soil was sieved to 5 mm and compacted in 5 mm layers into a 64.5 mm diameter, 60 mm tall plastic pipe with geotextile fixed across the base. Each of the three soils were compacted to 1.1 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 1.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, or 1.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, which are bulk densities representative of agricultural soils. Our rain exposures were no rain and 30 minutes or 90 minutes rain under a gravity fed rainfall simulator at  $52 \text{ mm h}^{-1}$ . The higher than field rainfall intensity was selected as it is difficult to generate reproducible rainfall rates at lower intensities and given the drops will not have reached fall velocity increases in the rainfall rate produces kinetic energies closer to that of natural rain. To minimize differences in moisture content at the beginning of the incubation the difference in water volume between the 90 minute and 30 minute and no exposure rainfall treatments was carefully added to the surface of the no exposure and 30 minute exposure experiments using a syringe. All soil cores were stored in open toped Kilner jars at  $22 \pm 1$  °C for the duration of the incubation.

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and water content were measured one, two, five, six, nine, and 10 days after the start of the incubation. To collect gas samples a lid with a rubber gas sampling septa was fitted to each of the Kilner jars and 5 ml of gas was extracted using a hypodermic needle immediately after closure, 60 minutes after closure and 120 minutes after closure. The samples were analysed using an infrared gas analyser calibrated with 1% standard CO<sub>2</sub> (IRGA model ADC.225. Mk3, manufacturer Asea Brown Boveri). The rate of CO<sub>2</sub> emission was determined from the three samples and the volume of CO<sub>2</sub> produced from the cores was converted to  $\mu g g^{-1}$  soil min<sup>-1</sup> using the universal gas law as used by Jassal *et al.* (2004) and Certini *et al.* (2003). Soil moisture was measured gravimetrically.

A second experiment was conducted to study the effect of rain exposure and soil compaction on  $CO_2$  emission under constant soil water content, a known influence on  $CO_2$  emission. We used a Columbus Instruments Micro-Oxymax respirometer, which was set up to maintain temperature at  $22 \pm 1$  °C, to maintain soil moisture and measure  $CO_2$  emissions every 80 minutes. For this experiment we compacted the silt loam soil, in 5 mm layers, into a 22 mm diameter, 90 mm tall plastic pipe to the same bulk densities as the other experiment (1.1 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 1.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 1.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup>). The cores were either not exposed to rainfall or exposed for 90 minutes. The respirometer was not used for all experiments given the small cores and concerns regarding boundary effects.

Data analysis was conducted using SAS statistical package (SAS Institute, 2001). The data were checked for normality and multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was conducted to test the effects of bulk density, exposure to rainfall and their interactions on  $CO_2$  emission.

# **Results and Discussion**

Incubation at constant temperature with variable water content

#### Soil water content

Soil water content decreased continuously during the incubation period and was statistically affected by rain exposure (p < 0.001). The highest decrease in soil water content was in soil not exposed to rainfall, followed by soil exposed for 30 minutes and for 90 minutes. In the clay soil, after 10 days of incubation, the water content decreased by  $5.8 \pm 1.1\%$ ,  $6.4 \pm 1.2\%$ ,  $7.9 \pm 1.7\%$  for soil exposed to rainfall for 90 minutes, 30 minutes and not exposed, respectively. In the silt soil, the water content reduction was  $7.6 \pm 0.5\%$ ,  $7.7 \pm 1.2\%$  and  $8.4 \pm 1.0\%$  for soil exposed to rainfall simulator for 90 minutes, 30 minutes and not exposed, respectively. Smaller differences between rainfall exposure treatments were found in sand soil with values of  $6.3 \pm 2.0\%$ , 6.51.2% and  $6.7 \pm 0.8\%$  for 90 minutes, 30 minutes and not exposed, respectively. The effect of rainfall exposure on water content is the result of evaporation reduction due to aggregate breakdown and soil seal formation. No statistically significant difference was found between the soil water content of the three soil compaction treatments.

#### Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission was significantly affected by soil texture, rain exposure and soil bulk density (Table I). The clay soil had a significantly (p < 0.001) higher average CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate than the other soils: the average CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates were 0.063 0.044 µg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, 0.017 ± 0.010 µg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> and 0.010 ± 0.004 µg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for the clay, silt and sand soils. Cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emission over nine days from

**Table I.** Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) test for soil carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emission rate during incubation at constant temperature

Source of variation	F	$\operatorname{Prob} > F$
Soil	876.09	<0.0001
Bulk density	16.31	<0.0001
Rain	119.48	<0.0001
Replicates	0.85	0.4947
Soil*bulk density	9.00	<0.0001
Soil*rain	45.99	<0.0001
Soil*rain*bulk density	8.61	<0.0001



**Figure 1.** Soil carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emission (in  $\mu$ g CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) during incubation at constant temperature. White, grey and black histograms indicate soil exposed to rainfall for 90, 30 and 0 minutes, respectively.

the clay soil was six times greater than for the silt soil and three times greater than for the sand soil. These results concur with Rastogi *et al.* (2002) who observed that  $CO_2$  evolution from fine-textured soil could be approximately twice as high as that from coarse textured soil. This occurs because fine textured soils have higher water holding capacities, which prolong the availability of water in surface layers, thus maintain favourable condition for microbial soil respiration (Feiziene *et al.*, 2010).

Average soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux significantly decreased (p < 0.001) with increased soil bulk density. On average soil CO2 emission rate decreased by 27% and 37% as soil bulk density increased from 1.1 g cm<sup>-3</sup> to 1.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and 1.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Similar observations were also reported by Liebig et al. (1995) and Pengthamkeerati et al. (2005) who found a significant negative correlation of soil bulk density with soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux. This occurs as increases in soil bulk density reduce gas diffusivity (Smith et al., 2000) which is linked with oxidation rate (Ball et al., 1997), and consequently rates of soil respiration and CO2 emission (Van der Linden et al., 1989; Yoo and Wander, 2006). However, examination of the CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate during the nine day incubation period for each soil type shows that emissions decreased with soil compaction for all soils except for the clay soil (Figure 1). In the clay soil the highest average of CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate was from samples compacted to  $1.3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , followed by 1.1 g $\rm cm^{-3}$  and 1.5 g cm^{-3}. The effect of bulk density on soil  $\rm CO_2$ emission resulted in statistically significant differences only on days 2 (p < 0.01) and 5 (p < 0.1) (Figure 2).

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission significantly (p < 0.001) increased with increased rain exposure time on days 1, 2 and 5 (Figure 3). The average CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates over the nine days were  $0.035 \pm 0$  $014 \,\mu g \,CO_2 \,g^{-1} \,min^{-1}$ ,  $0.030 \pm 0.015 \,\mu g \,CO_2 \,g^{-1} \,min^{-1}$ ,  $0.018 \pm$  $0.012 \,\mu g \,CO_2 \,g^{-1} \,min^{-1}$  for soil exposed to rainfall for 90 minutes, 30 minutes and not exposed, respectively. We believe there are two mechanisms that produced this effect: first the physical alteration of soil aggregates due to raindrop impact may have increased CO<sub>2</sub> emission by increasing substrate availability and enhancing access to non-biomass labile organic C (Van Gestel *et al.*, 1991, 1993). Secondly, that aggregate breakdown produced surface seals which reduced evaporation, thus maintaining



**Figure 2.** Average of soil carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emission rate during incubation period. The black line, the grey line and dotted line indicate soil compacted to  $1.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $1.3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $1.1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , respectively (\*\*p < 0.01; \*p < 0.1).

favourable conditions for soil respiration for a longer period, although this may be countered by reduced gas diffusivity (Tackett and Pearson, 1965; Shestak and Busse, 2005). This explains the higher water content after eight days in the soil cores exposed to rain for 90 minutes (Figure 4) and consequently the higher CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates. For all cores there was a rapid and substantial increase in soil respiration on day 1, after the simulated precipitation pulses, followed by a gradual decline. This has been observed in a number of studies (Kieft et al., 1987; Appel, 1998; Fierer and Schimel, 2003; Sponseller, 2007; Chen et al., 2008) and has been attributed to degassing of stored CO<sub>2</sub> from past microbial and plant CO<sub>2</sub> efflux (Liu et al., 2002). However, degassing happens within a few hours of water addition (Smart and Penuelas, 2005). In our study CO<sub>2</sub> emission was measured 24 hours after water addition, and we therefore attribute the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> efflux to an increase in microbial metabolism, in response to great substrate availability following



**Figure 3.** Average of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emission rate during incubation period. The black line, the grey line and dotted line indicate soil exposed to rainfall for 0, 30 and 90 minutes, respectively (\*\*\*p < 0.001).

aggregate breakdown, which takes several hours to days to occur (Steenwerth *et al.*, 2005, Chen *et al.*, 2008).

All interactions (soil\*bulk density, soil\*rain, soil\*rain\*bulk density) terms were significant (Table I). Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux rate from soil exposed to rainfall for 90 minutes was 171.7%, 181.5% and 32.3% higher for clay, silt and sand soil, respectively, compared to no rain exposure. The interaction between soil\*bulk density was also significant (p < 0.001) with soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux for the 1.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup> bulk density was 17.76%, 20.76% and 41.73% lower for clay, silt and sand soil, respectively, compared to the 1.1 g cm<sup>-3</sup> treatment.

# Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission during incubation at constant temperature and soil moisture

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate under constant soil moisture and temperature varied significantly with bulk density, rain exposure and their interaction (Table II). As found in the previous experiments, an increase of soil compaction significantly (p < 0 001) reduced soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission. Average CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates were  $0.025 \pm 0.002 \,\mu g \,min^{-1} \,g^{-1} \,0.024 \pm 0.002 \,\mu g \,min^{-1} \,g^{-1}$  and  $0.019 \,0.004 \,\mu g \,min^{-1} \,g^{-1}$ , respectively for soil compacted to  $1.1 \,g \,cm^{-3}$ ,  $1.3 \,g \,cm^{-3}$  and  $1.5 \,g \,cm^{-3}$ . Furthermore, as in the constant soil moisture experiments soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission was significantly ( $p < 0 \,001$ ) higher for the soil exposed to rainfall (Figure 5).

Cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emission in soil exposed to rain was 26% more than from the unexposed soil. The average CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates were  $0.026 \pm 0.004 \,\mu g \, min^{-1} g^{-1}$  and  $0.024 \pm 0.003 \,\mu g \, min^{-1} g^{-1}$ , respectively, for soil exposed to rainfall simulator for 90 minutes and not exposed. Given that the soils had the same moisture content the enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> flux measured from the

**Table II.** Results of analysis of repeated measure variance test for soil carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  emission rate during incubation at constant temperature and soil moisture

Source	F	$\operatorname{Prob} > F$
Time	6.65	0.0000
Time*bulk density	1.99	0.0000
Time*rain	4.46	0.0000
Time*rain*bulk density	1.91	0.0000



**Figure 5.** Carbon dioxide rate from soil exposed to rain simulator for 90 minutes (black line) and soil not exposed (grey line).

cores exposed to rainfall must be attributed to aggregate breakdown, due to raindrop impact, exposing previously encapsulated organic C, which then became available for decomposition. This is a substantial impact on  $CO_2$  emissions and corroborates the work of Reicosky (2003) who also attributed an increase in  $CO_2$  emissions from intensively tilled areas to the increase in surface area caused by aggregate breakdown. These results demonstrate the importance of adopting soil management activities which protect soil aggregates from raindrop impact, such as residue management and the use of cover crops.

Finally, the interaction between rain exposure and bulk density was significant with the effect of soil compaction on  $CO_2$  emission rate reduction being greater in soil exposed to rainfall simulator (9%), compared to the no rain exposure treatment (33%).

## Conclusion

This study revealed that soil respiration is strongly affected by soil texture, soil compaction, rain exposure, and their interaction.



Figure 4. (a) Soil core exposed under rain simulator for 90 minutes; (b) soil core wetted without the effect of raindrops. This figure is available in colour online at wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/espl

Our major findings were:

- i CO<sub>2</sub> emission from clay-textured soil was six and three times greater than silt and sand soil, respectively;
- ii soil compaction results in a decrease in soil CO2 emission;
- iii CO<sub>2</sub> emission is greater in soil exposed to rainfall than soil which was not exposed. This is attributable primarily to soil aggregate breakdown causing exposure of encapsulated organic C.

# References

- Ampoorter E, Van Nevel L, De Vos B, Hermy M, Verheyen K. 2010. Assessing the effects of initial soil characteristics, machine mass and traffic intensity on forest soil compaction. *Forest Ecology and Management* 260(10): 1664–1676. DOI. 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.08.002
- Anderson J. 1973. Carbon dioxide evolution from two temperate, deciduous woodland soils. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **10**: 361–378.
- Appel T. 1998. Non-biomass soil organic N: the substrate for N mineralization flushes following soil drying-rewetting and for organic N rendered CaCl<sub>2</sub>-extractable upon soil drying. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **30**: 1445–1456.
- Ball BC, Smith KA, Klemedtsson L, Brumme R, Sitaula BK, Hansen S. 1997. The influence of soil gas transport properties on methane oxidation in a selection of northern European soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **102**: 23309–23317.
- Beare MH, Cabrera ML, Hendrix PF, Coleman DC. 1994. Aggregateprotected and unprotected pools of organic matter in conventional and no-tillage soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 58: 787–795.
- Cavigelli MA, Lengnick LL, Buyer JS, Fravel D, Handoo Z, McCarty G, Millner P, Sikora L, Wright S, Vinyard B, Rabenhorst M. 2005. Landscape level variation in soil resources and microbial properties in a no-till corn field. *Applied Soil Ecology* **29**: 99–123.
- Certini G, Corti G, Agnelli A, Sanesi G. 2003. Carbon dioxide efflux and concentrations in two soils under temperate forests. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **37**: 39–46.
- Chen S, Lin G, Huang J, He M. 2008. Response of soil respiration to simulated precipitation pulses in semiarid steppe under different grazing regimes. *Journal of Plant Ecology* **1**(4): 237–246.
- Curiel YJ, Janssens IA, Carrara A, Meiresonne L, Ceulemans R. 2003. Interactive effects of temperature and precipitation on soil respiration in a temperate maritime pine forest. *Tree Physiology* **23**: 1263–1270.
- Davidson EA, Belk E, Boone RD. 1998. Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. *Global Change Biology* **4**: 217–227.
- Denef K, Six J, Bossuyt H, Frey SD, Elliott ET, Merckx R, Paustian K. 2001. Influence of dry–wet cycles on the interrelationship between aggregate, particulate organic matter, and microbial community dynamics. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **3**: 1599–1611.
- Doran JW, Mielke LN, Power JF. 1990. Microbial activity as regulated by soil water-filled pore space. In *Transactions of the 14th International Congress of Soil Science*, 12–18 August, Kyoto, Japan; vol. 3, 94–99.
- Edwards NT. 1975. Effects of temperature and moisture on CO<sub>2</sub> evolution in a mixed deciduous forest floor. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*.
- Ewel KC, Cropper WP, Gholz HL. 1987. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> evolution in Florida slash pine plantations. I. Changes through time. *Canadian Journal* of Forest Research **17**: 325–329.
- Fang C, Moncrieff JB, Gholz HL, Clark KL. 1998. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and its spatial variation in a Florida slash pine plantation. *Plant and Soil* **205**: 135–146.
- Feiziene D, Feiza V, Vaideliene A, Povilaitis V, Antanaitis S. 2010. Soil surface carbon dioxide exchange rate as affected by soil texture, different long term tillage application and weather. *Agriculture* **97**(3): 25–42.
- Fierer N, Schimel JP. 2003. A proposed mechanism for the pulse in carbon dioxide production commonly observed following the rapid rewetting of a dry soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 67: 789–805.
- Hamza MA, Anderson WK. 2005. Soil compaction in cropping systems – a review of the nature, causes and possible solutions. *Soil & Tillage Research* 82: 121–145.

- Houghton RA. 2007. Climate change: state of the art (2001–2007). *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **35**: 313–347.
- Huang J, Lacey ST, Ryan PJ. 1996. Impact of forest harvesting on the hydraulic properties of surface soil. *Soil Science* **161**: 79–86.
- Jassal RS, Black T, Drewitt AGB, Novak MD, Gaumont-Guay D, Nesic Z. 2004. A model of the production and transport of CO<sub>2</sub> in soil: predicting soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from a forest floor. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **124**: 219–236.
- Jensen LS, McQueen DJ, Shepherd TG. 1996. Effects of soil compaction on N-mineralization and microbial-C and -N I. Field measurements. *Soil & Tillage Research* **38**: 175–188.
- Kieft TL, Soroker E, Firestone MK. 1987. Microbial biomass response to a rapid increase in water potential when dry soil is wetted. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 19: 119–126.
- Lee WJ, Wood CW, Reeves DW, Entry JA, Raper RL. 1996. Interactive effects of wheel-traffic and tillage system on soil carbon. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **27**: 3027–3043.
- Li CH, Ma BL, Zhang TQ. 2002. Soil bulk density effects on soil microbial populations and enzyme activities during the growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.) planted in large pots under field exposure. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* **82**: 147–154.
- Liebig MA, Jones AJ, Doran JW, Mielke LN. 1995. Potential soil respiration and relationship to soil properties in ridge tillage. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **59**: 1430–1435.
- Linn DM, Doran JW. 1984. Effect of water-filled pore space on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide production in tilled and non tilled soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **48**: 1267–1272.
- Liu Q, Edwards NT, Post WM, Gu L, Ledford J, Lenhart S. 2006. Temperature-independent diel variation in soil respiration observed from a temperate deciduous forest. *Global Change Biology* **12**(11): 2136–2145.
- Liu X, Wan S, Su B, Hui D, Luo Y. 2002. Response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux to water manipulation in a tallgrass prairie ecosystem. *Plant and Soil* 240: 213–223.
- Lloyd J, Taylor JA. 1994. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. *Functional Ecology* **8**: 315–323.
- Longdoz B, Yernaux M, Aubinet M. 2000. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux measurements in a mixed forest: impact of chamber disturbances, spatial variability and seasonal evolution. *Global Change Biology* 6: 907–917.
- Luo Y, Zhou X. 2006. *Soil Respiration and the Environment.* Academic Press: London.
- Martínez LJ, Zinck JA. 2004. Temporal variation of soil compaction and deterioration of soil quality in pasture areas of Colombian Amazonia. *Soil & Tillage Research* **75**: 3–17.
- Mikha MM, Rice CW, Milliken GA. 2005. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization as affected by drying and wetting of soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **37**: 339–347.
- Morell FJ, Álvaro-Fuentes J, Lampurlanés J, Cantero-Martínez C. 2010. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes following tillage and rainfall events in a semiarid Mediterranean agroecosystem: effects of tillage systems and nitrogen fertilization. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **139**(1–2): 167–173. DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2010.07.015
- Mosier AR. 1998. Soil processes and global change. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 24: 221–229.
- Nadezhda DA, Eugeny A, Susyana OV, Chernovab SW. 2008. Microbial respiration activities of soils from different climatic regions of European Russia. *European Journal of Soil Biology* **44**: 147–157.
- Pengthamkeerati P, Motavalli PP, Kremer RJ, Anderson SH. 2005. Soil carbon dioxide efflux from a claypan soil affected by surface compaction and applications of poultry litter. *Agriculture, Ecosystems* and Environment **109**: 75–86.
- Rastogi M, Singh S, Pathak H. 2002. Emission of carbon dioxide from soil. *Current Science* 82: 510–517.
- Reicosky DC. 2003. Conservation agriculture: global environmental benefits of soil carbon management. Tillage-induced CO2 emissions and carbon sequestration: effect of secondary tillage and compaction. In *Conservation Agriculture*, Garcia-Torres L, Benites J, Martinez-Vilela A, Holgado-Cabrera A (eds). Kluwer Acad. Pub.: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 291–300.
- SAS Institute. 2001. SAS/STAT, Release 8.01. SAS Institute: Cary, NC.
- Schäffer B, Attinger W, Schulin R. 2007. Compaction of restored soil by heavy agricultural machinery soil physical and mechanical aspects. *Soil & Tillage Research* **93**: 28–43.
- Schlesinger WH, Andrews JA. 2000. Soil respiration and the global carbon cycle. *Biogeochemistry* **48**(1): 7–20. DOI: 10.1023/A:1006247623877

- Shestak CJ, Busse MD. 2005. Compaction alters physical but not biological indices of soil health. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **69**: 236–246.
- Skopp J, Jawson MD, Doran JW. 1990. Steady-state aerobic microbial activity as a function of soil water content. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 54(6): 1619–1625.
- Smart DR, Penuelas J. 2005. Short-term CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from planted soil subject to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and simulated precipitation. *Applied Soil Ecology* **28**: 247–257.
- Smith KA, Ball T, Conen F, Dobbie KE, Massheder J, Rey A. 2003. Exchange of greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological processes. *European Journal of Soil Science* **54**: 779–791.
- Smith KA, Dobbie KE, Ball BC, Bakken LR, Sitaula BK, Hansen S. 2000. Oxidation of atmospheric methane in northern European soils, comparison with other ecosystems, and uncertainties in the global terrestrial sink. *Global Change Biology* 6: 791–803.
- Sponseller RA. 2007. Precipitation pulses and soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a Sonoran Desert ecosystem. *Global Change Biology* **13**: 426–436.
- Steenwerth KL, Jackson LE, Calderon FJ. 2005. Response of microbial community composition and activity in agricultural and grassland

soils after a simulated rainfall. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 37: 2249–2262.

- Tackett JTL, Pearson RW. 1965. Some characteristics of soil crusts formed by simulated rainfall *Soil Science* **99**: 407–413.
- Van der Linden AMA, Jeurissen LJJ, van Veen JA, Schippers B. 1989. Turnover of the soil microbial biomass as influenced by soil compaction. In *Nitrogen in Organic Wastes Applied to Soils*, Hansen JA, Hendriksen K (eds). Academic Press: London; 25–46.
- Van Gestel M, Ladd JN, Amato M. 1991. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization from two soils of contrasting texture and microaggregate stability: influence of sequential fumigation, drying and storage. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 23: 313–322.
- Van Gestel M, Merckx R, Vlassak K. 1993. Microbial biomass and activity in soils with fluctuating water content. *Geoderma* **56**: 617–626.
- Xu M, Qi Y. 2001. Soil-surface CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and its spatial and temporal variations in young ponderosa pine plantation in northern California. *Global Change Biology* **7**: 667–677.
- Yoo G, Wander MW. 2006. Influence of tillage practices on soil structural controls over carbon mineralization. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **70**: 651–659.