Modification of Structural Phase State and Mechanical Properties of Poly-Grained Titanium Alloy Implanted by Aluminum Ions
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Abstract. The paper presents TEM analysis of microstructure, phase composition, and mechanical properties of commercially pure titanium. These properties of two types of grains are compared before and after modification of titanium by aluminum ions, namely: large grains (1.4 µm) and small (0.5 µm) grains. The analysis shows that ion implantation results in a considerable improvement of mechanical properties of both large and small grains throughout their implantation depth. However, with increase of the grain size, the stress in the ion-modified surface layer decreases while in the subsurface layer it increases.

1. Introduction
The ion-beam treatment of materials as described in works of Gribkov et al. [1] and Kurzina et al. [2,3] is currently one of the most intensively developing techniques used for the synthesis of new materials. All experimentally proved models of phase formation at ion implantation are designed basically using coarse-grain metals [1]. However, the decrease of grain sizes, and respectively, the increase of density of grain boundaries and triple points lead to a rapid acceleration of such processes as diffusion, alloying, mixing, formation of secondary phases and defects as observed in works of Gribkov et al. [1] and Kurzina et al. [2,3], and the emergence of new phases including those not reflected on state diagrams. Thus, a study of phase formation in implanting aluminum ions in titanium materials having various structural conditions (at micro- and meso-levels) is rather relevant. It is possible to assume that physical processes occurred in materials characterized by a heterogeneous condition, i.e. a presence of both small (up to 0.5 µm) and coarse (up to 0.5-5.0 µm) grains or micro- or meso-levels, behave differently as compared to those characterized by a homogeneous condition.

The aim of this study is to analyse and compare the results of research carried out into the microstructure, phase composition, and strength properties of the yield stress, and titanium target in a poly-grained condition before and after aluminium ion implantation. A poly-grained condition refers to a presence of both small (up to 0.5 µm) and coarse (up to 0.5-5 µm) grains in the material workpiece.
2. Material and Methods

Workpieces of titanium alloy (VT1 0) were used in this experiment. These workpieces were obtained by uniaxial isothermal forging combined with reverse rolling as described in the work of Eroshenko et al. [4] and the additional annealing at 500°C. Aluminum ions were implanted in titanium workpieces using the new version of the Mevva (MEtal Vapor Vacuum Arc) ion source Mevva-V.RU described in detail in the work of Gushenets et al. [5]. Titanium workpieces were exposed to ion implantation at temperature 623 K, accelerating voltage 50 kV, ionic current density 6.5 mA/cm², distance 60 cm from the ion-optical system, implantation time 5.25 h, and fluence $1 \cdot 10^{18}$ ion/cm². The microstructure and phase composition were studied with the EM-125K transmission electron microscope (TEM) having the accelerating voltage of 120 kV. A study of the microstructure and phase composition of the implanted materials was carried out for two areas of the modified layer, namely: at a distance of 0-150 nm (surface area I) and ~300 nm (central area II) from the irradiated surface.

3. Results and discussion

Conditions described in the work of Kurzina et al. [6] for the alloy preparation provide two types of grains retained after the ion implantation. The size of small grains ranges from 0.1 to 0.5 µm. Their average size is 0.40 µm. The size of coarse grains ranges from 0.5 to 5.0 µm. Their average size is 1.40 µm. The content of small grains is not large and comes to 10%. Small grains are mostly located on triple points of coarse grains as described in the work of Kurzina et al. [6].

In this work [6], Kurzina et al. observed that the ion implantation lead to a modification of the elementary composition of titanium layers. As is known from the work of Kurzina et al. [7], the alloying admixture, namely, aluminum, is distributed non-uniformly both throughout the implanted layers and small and coarse grains of Ti alloy. At first, aluminum forms a solid solution. And the larger the matrix grain the higher the aluminum concentration in the solid solution. Relative to coarse grains, small grains are unified by aluminum. A non-uniform distribution of the alloying admixture along the material results in the different phase composition of small and coarse grains.

Investigations [6] show that at a distance of up to 200 nm from the irradiated surface (area I), both small and coarse grains are not only retained, but the content of small grains even increases by 30% of the total grain content. The average size of both grain types decreases. The both types of grains are also observed in the area II. The content of small grains is the same as before implantation (10%). The average size of coarse grains also remains as before implantation, and the size of small grains is similar to that of the area I.

Aluminum ion implantation in titanium coarse grains results in the formation of secondary phases, namely Ti₃Al and TiAl₃ [8], the total number of which in area I is 3% of the material volume, whilst in area II it is 10%. At the same time, Ti₃Al-phase formation is observed throughout the alloyed volume, whilst TiAl₃-phase is formed only in the centre of the implanted layer along the grain boundaries. In small grains these phases are not observed. TiO₂ is formed in all grain types and throughout the alloyed layer [8].

Thus, small and coarse grains differ not only by their phase composition but also by their amount. It is stated that, first, the larger grain size the larger phase precipitation. Second, in area II of the ion-alloyed Ti layer, the volume fraction of phase precipitation is always higher. Third, TiO₂ particles precipitate most of all in each area of the ion-alloyed Ti layer and in each grain type.

Also, small and coarse grains differ not only by their qualitative and quantitative phase compositions but also by the defect structure. These differences are retained before and after the ion implantation. Dislocations and dislocation entanglements are present in the material before the ion implantation. Dislocation density $\rho$ increases with the decrease of Ti grain size, namely: in coarse grains $\rho = 1.2 \cdot 10^9$ cm⁻², while in small grains $\rho = 2.5 \cdot 10^9$ cm⁻² (Figure 1a, curve 1). After the ion implantation, modification of the dislocation structure is observed in the surface layers. Scalar density decreases, while the excessive density increases. The dislocation structure is mostly cross-linked. In area I, the average value of dislocation density $\rho$ is twice as high in small grains as it is in coarse grains (Figure 1a, curve 2). Scalar density of dislocations in coarse grains in area II is over four times
as high as it is in area I, while in small grains it increases three times (Figure 1a, curve 3). Unlike coarse grains, the average value of dislocation density $\rho$ remains higher in small grains. In the whole material this value is three times higher in area II than in area I. Thus, with distance from the irradiated surface, the scalar density of dislocations both in coarse and small grains of $\alpha$-Ti phase increases with the different intensity, and is higher than that of the original state in both areas (Figure 1a).

**Figure 1.** Dependences of scalar density (a), shear stresses (b), and local stresses (c) and the average grain size $\alpha$-Ti before and after ion implantation: 1 – original Ti specimen; 2 – ion-alloyed layer in area I; 3 – surface layer of the area II

The ion-modified dislocation structure creates internal stresses $\sigma$ (shear stresses) shown in Figure 1b. As this Figure shows, first, with distance from the irradiated surface, the value $\sigma$ increases throughout the material volume. Second, dependences between the value $\sigma$ and grain sizes are similar to that of the value $\rho$. After the ion implantation, stresses increase by 3-5 times with distance from the irradiated surface and relative to the original state. Third, small grains are characterized by stresses higher than that of the coarse grains.

The ion-modified dislocation structure together with the scalar dislocation density is characterized by the high excessive density ($\rho_\pm$) which enables internal stresses, i.e. couple or local stresses $\sigma_{f.r}$. The amplitude of couple stresses both in small and coarse grains differs and also at an average, in each area of the implanted alloy. Ion implantation results in the formation of the elastoplastic couple stresses the amplitude of which significantly increases the value of the yield shear stress. At the same time, in coarse grains the elastic component of the couple stress amplitude is over two times higher than the plastic component. In small grains of area I couple stresses are completely absent, while in area II they are present due to dislocation charges (Figure 1c).

The stress yield can be found from equation (1) based on the obtained quantitative structural parameters for coarse and small grains individually. In this equation, contributions made to hardening by forest dislocations and internal fields are quadratic, all other contributions are additive [9]:

$$\sigma = \Delta \sigma_f + \Delta \sigma_s + \Delta \sigma_x + \Delta \sigma_y + \sqrt{\Delta \sigma_{f.r}^2 + \Delta \sigma_{i.r}^2},$$

(1)

where contributions made to hardening by forest dislocations and internal fields are quadratic, all other contributions are additive. This equation covers practically all contributions of resistance to deformation. Here, $\Delta \sigma_f$ is the frictional constraint of dislocations in a crystal lattice of $\alpha$-Ti phase; $\Delta \sigma_s$ is hardening of the solid solution based on $\alpha$-Ti atoms of alloying elements (Al, C, O); $\Delta \sigma_x$ is hardening by forest dislocations which cut off slip dislocations; $\Delta \sigma_{i.r}$ is hardening by far-ranging stress fields; $\Delta \sigma_y$ is hardening due to incoherent particles at the dislocation bypass called Orowan mechanism; $\Delta \sigma_{i.r}$ is hardening due to grain boundaries.

Calculation results are presented in Figure 2. It should be underlined that this type of alloy is practically a single-phase, namely, $\alpha$-Ti phase in its original state independently of the average grain size. Hence, the contribution to hardening due to the secondary phases $\Delta \sigma_0$ is not observed. Hardening
by far-ranging stress fields is completely absent also. Components $\Delta \sigma_f$ (the frictional constraint of dislocations in a crystal lattice of $\alpha$-Ti phase) and $\Delta \sigma_h$ (hardening of the solid solution based on $\alpha$-Ti atoms of alloying elements Al, C, O) also make minimum contribution to hardening, since according to Auger spectroscopy and the XRD analysis [3], carbon and oxygen are not observed in the solid solution of the original alloys. Therefore, hardening of the original material will be defined by $\Delta \sigma_g$ (hardening due to grain boundaries) and $\Delta \sigma_f$ (hardening by forest dislocations which cut off slip dislocations).

Figure 2. Dependence of the yield stress and certain hardening mechanisms contribution upon $\alpha$-Ti grain size across the ion-alloyed Ti layer: $a$ – area I; $b$ – area II; $\sigma_0$ - yield stress of the original alloy

These factors have different behavior for the ion-modified alloy. Since the ion implantation slightly modifies the grain size in the ion-alloyed layer, the grain-boundary strengthening is also modified. Hardening increases due to grain boundaries of $\alpha$-Ti phase that are the barriers for the flow propagation. It can be described by the Hall-Petch relation [10]:

$$\Delta \sigma_g = k \times d^{-0.5},$$

where $k$ is the proportionality factor depending on the material purity, the degree of deformation, the type and the structure of grain boundaries, the boundary hardening by the secondary phase particles, and the grain size [7].

According to Koneva et al. [9], forest dislocations play the important part in hardening implanted alloys, both for non-polarized (uncharged) and polarized (charged) dislocation ensembles. A non-polarized dislocation ensemble has no excessive dislocations at $\rho_+ = \rho_-$, where $\rho_+$ and $\rho_-$ are densities of positively and negatively charged dislocations, respectively. The excessive density of dislocations is then $\rho_+ = \rho_+ - \rho_- = 0$. In this case, the uncharged dislocation ensemble creates a shear stress (for stress fields generated by the structural dislocation) that can be obtained from [11]:

$$\Delta \sigma_f = m \alpha G b \sqrt{\rho},$$
where $m$ is the orientation multiplier; $\alpha$ is the dimensionless factor ranged between 0.05-1.0 depending on the type of dislocation ensemble [9]; $G$ is the shear modulus of the material matrix; $b$ is Burgers vector; $\rho$ is the average value of scalar density of dislocations. In case of a charged dislocation ensemble when the excessive density of dislocations equals to $\rho_\pm = \rho_+ - \rho_\mp \neq 0$, long-range couple stresses occur. TEM provides their detection by bend extinction contours observed in the material structure [11,12]. The value of long-range couple stresses can be obtained from

$$\Delta\sigma_{\alpha,\gamma} = m\alpha_S G b\sqrt{\rho_\alpha},$$

where $\alpha_S = 1$ is Strunin coefficient [13]. Ion implantation resulted in the accumulation of scalar dislocation density higher than that of the original state of the alloy (Fig. 1a). This dislocation structure forms, in turn, higher shear stresses the values of which are also given in Figure 1b.

Ion implantation results in polarization of the structural dislocation: bend extinction contours can be observed on TEM images. Thereby, couple (far-ranging) stresses are formed as shown in Figure 1c.

As stated above, the ion implantation results in the formation of phase compositions. It is detected that the phase composition depends on the average grain size of the alloy. As is known from the work of Honeycomb [14], the dispersion hardening of the alloy depends on the number of particles, their size, distribution, and distance between them, and also the degree of atomic mismatching between the lattices of the precipitate and the matrix. Mechanisms of dispersion hardening are created for incoherent particles when dislocations bypass precipitates and also for coherent particles when dislocations cut off the particles. In alloys under study, TiO$_2$ particles are incoherent, whilst particles of phases Ti$_3$Al, and TiAl$_3$ are coherent. Moreover, mechanisms of dispersion hardening are divided into direct (particles are located inside grains) and indirect (particles are located on grain boundaries and triple points). Direct mechanisms lead to the increase of the yield stress of the alloy, while indirect mechanisms affect its strength. In all cases, hardening can be obtained using the Orowan equation [15]:

$$\Delta\sigma_0 = 2\lambda G b/r,$$

where $\lambda = 0.5$ is the coefficient accounting for the abovementioned cases; $r$ is the distance between particles.

4. Conclusion
Calculations made according to equation (1), showed that both in coarse and small grains, the ion implantation resulted in a considerable hardening of the layer throughout its thickness. However, the effect of grain size on the value $\sigma$ is different in areas I and II. In other words, nearby the surface of the alloyed layer (area I) the value $\sigma$ decreases with the increase of the grain size, while in area II it increases. Moreover, the contribution of certain hardening mechanisms to the general alloy hardening differed, and in each case it was different as well.
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