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Abstract. This paper aims to evaluate the contribution of multitemporal polarimetric synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data for winter wheat and rapeseed crops parameters [height, leaf area index, and dry biomass (DB)] estimation, during their whole vegetation cycles in comparison to backscattering coefficients and optical data. Angular sensitivities and dynamics of polarimetric indicators were also analyzed following the growth stages of these two common crop types using, in total, 14 radar images (Radarsat-2), 16 optical images (Formosat-2, Spot-4/5), and numerous ground data. The results of this study show the importance of correcting the angular effect on SAR signals especially for copolarized signals and polarimetric indicators associated to single-bounce scattering mechanisms. The analysis of the temporal dynamic of polarimetric indicators has shown their high potential to detect crop growth changes. Moreover, this study shows the high interest of using SAR parameters (backscattering coefficients and polarimetric indicators) for crop parameters estimation during the whole vegetation cycle instead of optical vegetation index. They particularly revealed their high potential for rapeseed height and DB monitoring [i.e., Shannon entropy polarimetry ($r^2 = 0.70$) and radar vegetation index ($r^2 = 0.80$), respectively]. © 2016 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JRS.10.026020]
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1 Introduction

Land cover, land use dynamics, and general crop monitoring represent key variables involved in sustainable management of intensive agricultural landscapes. Crop monitoring traditionally consists in the estimation of surface parameters such as soil water content, crop height, leaf area index (LAI), or dry biomass (DB) throughout the vegetation cycle. These variables are very important as they are involved in future challenges, such as crop disease control, water resource management, or crop fertilizer applications. For example, they can be used as input parameters into crop models to simulate energy fluxes, water balance, biomass, or grain yield. However, when these models are applied over several fields at a landscape scale, input parameters, which are mostly acquired using accurate ground surveys, cannot be
collected on such an area due to time requirements. Worldwide, the monitoring of these data requires tools capable of mapping large spatial extent, while keeping fine spatial resolution. In this context, high spatial resolution (HSR) remotely sensed images offer a unique opportunity to accurately monitor cropland worldwide and to estimate crop parameters at a fine scale. Indeed, they can survey agro-systems at HSR over large areas, with medium to high temporal repetitiveness. Many studies demonstrated the interest of using optical reflectance and/or vegetation indices combined with models of culture to estimate crop parameters, such as DB, water content, or LAI. However, the acquisition of optical images is dependent on cloud-free periods and optical signal provides information on only the top layer of vegetation. Moreover, only the first crop phenological stages can be monitored using these wavelengths, since the signal saturates at high LAI levels.

Now, HSR microwave images provide a reliable alternative to optical time series since they are not significantly affected by atmospheric conditions and can be acquired during the day or night. In contrast to optical data, the backscattering signal acquired in the microwave domain mainly depends on soil conditions (e.g., roughness and moisture) at the beginning of the vegetation cycle and then on crop properties when the vegetation is sufficiently developed (e.g., DB, architecture, height). Some studies have successfully demonstrated the potential of backscattering coefficients derived from SAR time series to monitor vegetation parameters of different crop types such as wheat, rapeseed, or sugarcane using copolarized or cross-polarized backscattering coefficients or full-polarimetric synthetic aperture radar.

During the recent years, these approaches started to be completed by those dealing with the use of polarimetric indicators for crop parameters estimations. Polarimetric data provide interesting information about polarization amplitude and phase, allowing the identification of the backscattering mechanisms (i.e., single-bounce, double-bounce, or volume scattering) induced by the observed target properties. Most of the studies aiming to evaluate the sensitivity of polarimetric indicators derived from SAR time series to crop parameters were focused on data acquired at C-band spaceborne (Radarsat-2) or airborne ones. The SAR sensor system onboard Radarsat-2 is actually the only one able to provide full polarimetric data from space with a quite low repetitiveness (repeat cycle = 24 days), inadequate for crop parameters estimation like LAI, DB, or water content. Several SAR indicators can be extracted from full-polarization images to better identify changes in canopy structure and/or phenology of crops. However, although more and more studies investigate the contribution of PolSAR images for crop monitoring (e.g., maize, corn, soybean, wheat, rapeseed, rice), multitemporal and multangular approaches remain infrequent and do not provide an overview of the potential of polarimetric indicators throughout the entire crop vegetation cycle. This information is nonetheless essential for crop yield monitoring using the assimilation of SAR data into crop models.

Thus, in the context of current and upcoming space missions, such as Radarsat constellation, Sentinel-1 A/B, or Sentinel-2, the objective of this study is to investigate the contribution of multitemporal polarimetric indicators to estimate crop parameters during the whole vegetation cycle, at a fine scale through the analysis of angular sensitivity and backscattering mechanisms dynamics. The paper is structured as follows: study site and collected data (ground and SAR) are first presented in Sec. 2, followed by the description of the method in Sec. 3. Section 4 is divided into three parts, respectively, associated to (1) the angular normalization of SAR signals, (2) the analysis of the scattering mechanisms, and (3) the estimation of crop parameters using SAR polarimetric signals and optical vegetation index. Finally, global conclusions and perspectives are provided in Sec. 5.
2 Study Site and Data Collection

2.1 Site Description

The investigated area is a part of a French observatory, named Regional Spatial Observatory, labeled by the CNRS in 2007. It is located in the southwest of France (centered on the coordinates 43°29’36”N, 01°14’14”E) and is dedicated to study agrosystems by combining both *in situ* and observations from space. The study site is subject to a temperate climate, characterized by a marked seasonality. During the year 2010, the annual cumulative rainfall was close to 600 mm and the thermal amplitude was ~20°C between winter and summer. Extreme mean values were observed during the months of January and July, with 3.5 and 22°C, respectively. The site is highly anthropized and mainly comprises seasonal crops (56.8%), grasslands (32.1%), forests (7.9%), and urban areas (2.4%). Among the cultivated crop species, this work focused more particularly on winter wheat (defined as wheat in the following) and rapeseed, representing half of annual crops. All along the year 2010, regular satellite acquisitions were acquired synchronously with ground measurements, during the Multisensor Crop Monitoring experiment conducted by CESBIO. Fourteen fields of wheat and four of rapeseed were intensively monitored, respectively, averaging 10 and 9 ha in size (Fig. 1). The main characteristics of the collected data are presented in Secs. 2.2 and 2.3.

2.2 Satellite Images

During the crop vegetation cycles, a series of 14 quad-polarization Radarsat-2 images was acquired, together with 16 optical images (provided by Formosat-2, Spot-4/5 sensors) at HSR. Radarsat-2 images were acquired in Fine-Quad-Pol mode, delivered with HH, VV, HV, and VH polarization states and with incidence angles ranging from 24 to 41 deg. The associated range and azimuth spatial resolutions were, respectively, equal to 5.4 and 8.0 m. The optical images were acquired with a spatial resolution of 8, 20, and 10 m according to the sensor and a spectral resolution of 4 bands. More characteristics of the optical and radar images are summarized in Table 1.

2.3 Field Surveys

Synchronous to satellite acquisitions, ground surveys were conducted, respectively, on 14 and 4 fields of wheat and rapeseed (Fig. 1) in order to monitor agricultural practices (dates of sowing and harvesting), vegetation parameters (crop height and DB), phenological stages following the Biologische Bundesanstalt, Bundessortenamt and Chemical industry (BBCH) stages (Tables 2 and 3 for wheat and rapeseed, respectively), and soil parameters [top soil moisture (TSM) and surface roughness].

![Map of the study site](image_url)
### Table 1  Characteristics of the satellite remote sensing data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radar images</th>
<th>Optical images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RadarSat-2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Spot-4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dates</strong></td>
<td>February 20, May 2, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 9, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 26, May 20, 2010</td>
<td>May 10, March 26, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 5, June 12, 2010</td>
<td>June 5, March 26, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>June 23, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 16, July 3, 2010</td>
<td>June 26, April 10, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>April 15, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground spatial resolutions</strong></td>
<td>Range: 5.4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth: 8 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spectral, geometric, and polarimetric characteristics</strong></td>
<td>C-band (5.5 cm, 5.405 GHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad polarization</td>
<td>B2: 0.61 to 0.68μm (Red)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HH/VV/HV/VH)</td>
<td>B3: 0.79 to 0.89μm (NIR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine-Quad-Pol mode</td>
<td>B4: 1.58 to 1.75μm (MIR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2  Main BBCH phenological stages of wheat considered in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BBCH</th>
<th>BBCH phenological stages of wheat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 to 20</td>
<td>Leaf development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 30</td>
<td>Tilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 40</td>
<td>Stem elongation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 50</td>
<td>Booting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 60</td>
<td>Inflorescence emergence, heading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 70</td>
<td>Flowering, anthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 to 80</td>
<td>Development of fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to 90</td>
<td>Ripening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 to 100</td>
<td>Senescence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DB measurements were performed in two fields of wheat and one of rapeseed. For each field, five samples of 50 cm length were collected in homogeneous areas (20 by 20 m²). Wet biomass was directly estimated \textit{in situ}, whereas DB was measured after crop drying (oven, 65°C, 48 h). Figure 2 shows the temporal dynamics of DB together with the crop height. The wheat plant height ranged from 0.1 to 0.8 m (maximal value was reached at day 140, before the head maturation) and the DB ranged from 35 to 2100 g · m⁻² between the sowing and harvest dates. The rapeseed plant height ranged from 0.2 to 1.8 m (maximal value reached at day 120, before the development of pods) and the DB ranged from 40 to 2200 g · m⁻².

TSM measurements (5 cm depth) were collected along transects using a mobile Theta-probes sensor (ML2x) (the mean TSM was calculated from at least 15 measurements for each field). Each measure was normalized (% volumetric or m³ by m⁻³) using a calibration relationship established by Baup et al.³⁹ ($r^2_{\text{mean}} = 0.75$). TSM variations were inferior to 5% vol. between all 14 wheat fields for each acquisition date and inferior to 3.5% vol. between the four rapeseed fields. Surface roughness was collected along and perpendicular to the row direction on the 18 fields, after crop sowing. The root mean square height ($h_{\text{rms}}$) and correlation length (lc) were derived from 4 m roughness profiles. Surface roughness was small and stable in time since no tillage event occurred after the crop sowing. The soil roughness of fields cultivated with wheat had the following features: mean $h_{\text{rms}} = 10.0$ mm and mean lc = 71.2 mm. Concerning the fields of rapeseed, the mean $h_{\text{rms}}$ was equal to 10.1 mm and the mean lc was equal to 69.2 mm. Textural measurements were also conducted on the top soil layer of the monitored fields using core samples (25 cm depth). The wheat fields were classified into three textural classes of the textural triangle HYPRES⁴¹ (as defined by the European Soil Map): medium, medium fine, and fine, and rapeseed fields into two textural classes: medium and medium fine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BBCH</th>
<th>BBCH phenological stages of rapeseed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 to 30</td>
<td>Leaf development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 40</td>
<td>Formation of side shoots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 50</td>
<td>Stem elongation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 60</td>
<td>Inflorescence emergence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 70</td>
<td>Flowering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 to 80</td>
<td>Development of fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to 90</td>
<td>Ripening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 to 100</td>
<td>Senescence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2** Temporal dynamics of TSM (% vol.), rainfall (mm), crop height (m), and dry biomass (g · m⁻²) observed over one field of (a) wheat and (b) rapeseed.
3 Methodology

The method used to analyze the multitemporal polarimetric indicators acquired throughout the wheat and rapeseed agricultural season, through the analysis of backscattering mechanism involved to the total signal and of the sensitivity to crop parameters, is described in Fig. 3. The first step consisted in preprocessing time series of optical (i.e., atmospheric and geometric corrections—Sec. 3.1) and SAR (i.e., geometric corrections, radar signal calibration, polarimetric indicators extraction—Sec. 3.2) signals. According to Fieuzal et al., an angular correction was then applied to the SAR parameters to subtract the effect of incidence angle on C-band data (Sec. 3.3). SAR and optical signals were finally obtained by averaging all pixels at field scale. Then, the normalized SAR backscattered dynamics were qualitatively analyzed to study the scattering mechanisms involved during wheat and rapeseed phenological cycles. Nonlinear regressions [Eq. (1)] were finally established between the SAR or optical parameters [defined as remote sensing indicator (RSI)] and the measured ground variables including vegetation height, DB, and LAI.

\[
CP = a \times e^{(b \times RSI)} + c, \tag{1}
\]

where CP corresponds to the crop parameter (LAI, DB, or height) and RSI to the remote sensing indicator [polarimetric indicators, backscattering coefficients, or normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)].

The best indicator for each crop parameter estimation was selected based on the coefficient of determination \((r^2)\) and relative root mean square error (rRMSE) values.

3.1 Optical Images Processing

Optical images were corrected from atmospheric perturbations,42 ortho-rectified (accuracy less than 1/2 pixel) and geo-referenced based on the Lambert 93 reference system, using the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) processing chain.43 Two vegetation indices were derived from the optical images (Fig. 3): the well-known NDVI and the modified triangular vegetation index (MTVI2),9 which is calculated by using the reflectance in near-infrared, red, and green. NDVI was used in the angular correction step and to compare to SAR indicators for height and DB estimations. MTVI2 was used to derive LAI (Ref. 44) since the robustness of this vegetation

![Fig. 3 Workflow of spaceborne data set processing and signal analysis.](image-url)
index was demonstrated in a lot of previous studies ($r^2 > -0.8$)\textsuperscript{25,44,45} [Eq. (2)]. NDVI was not compared to LAI since these data were derived from the same optical time series and thus were linearly correlated ($r^2 ≥ 0.9$).

\[
\text{LAI} = -6.247 \times \ln(0.943 - 0.643 \times \text{MTVI}2).
\]

(2)

NDVI and LAI values derived from the three optical sensors (Formosat-2, Spot-4/5) were merged into the same temporal profile because no bias has been observed.\textsuperscript{17} These values were daily interpolated to match with the date of SAR acquisition. LAI values were consistent with LAI values derived from hemispherical photographs on wheat and rapeseed over same temperate climate.\textsuperscript{46–48}

3.2 Synthetic Aperture Radar Image Preprocessing

Radarsat-2 images were first radiometrically calibrated to extract the backscattering coefficients: $\sigma^H_H$, $\sigma^V_V$, and $\sigma^{HV}$, according to the following equation:\textsuperscript{49}

\[
\sigma^g(\text{dB}) = 20 \times \log_{10}\left(\frac{\text{DN}}{A^2}\right) + 10 \times \log_{10}[\sin(\theta_s)].
\]

(3)

This equation transforms the digital number of each pixel (DN) into a backscattering coefficient on a decibel scale, using the gain ($A^2$) and the radar incidence angle ($\theta_s$).

The images were then geo-coded using the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission data to correct the topographic deformations (geometric correction accuracy <1 pixel) and projected into the Lambert-RGF93/IGN-69 system (Fig. 3). There were additionally three intensity ratio channels produced (HH/VV, VV/HV, HH/HV) from the backscattering coefficient images.

In addition, 17 polarimetric indicators were extracted from each of the SLC Radarsat-2 image ($3 \times 3$), coherency matrices (T3) were first extracted from the scattering matrix images \(S\) using the Pauli spin basis \(k_T\) (Ref. 24) (Fig. 3) on PolSARpro v5.0 software (Polarimetric SAR Data Processing and Educational Toolbox).\textsuperscript{50} The geo-coding process was directly applied to the elements of the T3 matrices, which are independent of the polarimetric absolute phase.\textsuperscript{23} The first, $T_{11}$ ($\langle |S_{HH} + S_{VV}|^2 \rangle$), second, $T_{22}$ ($\langle |S_{HH} - S_{VV}|^2 \rangle$), and third, $T_{33}$ 4 ($\langle |S_{HV}|^2 \rangle$), elements of the diagonal were used to study single-bounce, double-bounce, and volume scattering mechanisms, respectively.

Both Cloude–Pottier\textsuperscript{51} and Freeman–Durden\textsuperscript{52} decompositions were also performed on the complex Radarsat-2 matrices. Cloude–Pottier (or eigenvector–eigenvalue) decomposition is based on the eigen decomposition of the coherency matrix (T3) into three matrices associated to orthogonal scattering mechanisms. Each of them denotes a scattering mechanism described by an eigenvector \(u_i\) and an intensity associated to the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_i$. In order to analyze the physical information provided by the Cloude–Pottier decomposition, three parameters were derived: entropy ($H$), which expresses the randomness of the polarimetric scattering process, alpha angle ($\alpha$), which describes the mean scattering mechanism, and anisotropy ($A$), which represents the relative power of the second and third eigenvectors. Freeman–Durden decomposition is used to model the T3 matrix as the sum of three scattering mechanisms for each pixel: the volume scattering (Freeman VOL), which generally reflects a complex vegetation canopy (randomly oriented dipoles), the double-bounce scattering (Freeman DB), which is characteristic of the incident wave interaction between a flat surface and a vertical object (dihedral corner reflector), and the surface or single-bounce scattering (Freeman SB), which corresponds to a flat or slightly rough surface.

Other polarimetric indicators that have also shown promising sensitivity to the vegetation growth were also extracted: SPAN, which corresponds to the total scattered power,\textsuperscript{24} Shannon entropy (SE), which corresponds to the sum of two contributions related to the intensity (SEi) and the degree of polarization (SEP) for each pixel,\textsuperscript{24} and the radar vegetation index (RVI).\textsuperscript{26}

Finally, the following 17 polarimetric indicators were analyzed: entropy, anisotropy, alpha angle, and eigenvalues ($\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$) (Cloude–Pottier decomposition), double-bounce, volume, and surface scattering (Freeman–Durden decomposition), SE, SEi, SEP, SPAN, RVI, and T11, T22, and T33.
3.3 Multiangular Synthetic Aperture Radar Data Processing

Previous studies have shown the strong influence of incidence angle on SAR responses during particular crop stages for contrasted soil conditions. To minimize the angular effect and increase the amount of observations offered by the initial Radarsat-2 orbital cycle (26 days), the backscattering coefficients and polarimetric indicators have been angular-normalized following the procedure developed by Fieuzal et al. Empirical relationships were established between the NDVI values and the backscattering coefficients or the polarimetric indicators differences estimated from two successive angular-contrasted images [Eq. (4)].

\[
\Gamma_{\text{SAR}}(\text{NDVI}) = \frac{y_{\text{SAR}}(t_2) - y_{\text{SAR}}(t_1)}{\theta(t_2) - \theta(t_1)} = \frac{\Delta y_{\text{SAR}}}{\Delta \theta} = a \times e^{b \times \text{NDVI}} + c, \tag{4}
\]

where \(\Gamma_{\text{SAR}}\) corresponds to the difference in backscattering coefficients or polarimetric indicators between two successive angular-contrasted radar acquisition dates (\(\Delta y_{\text{SAR}}\)) divided by the difference of their incidence angle (\(\Delta \theta\)). NDVI corresponds to the mean NDVI derived from optical images calculated between the SAR acquisitions. \(a, b,\) and \(c\) represent empirical parameters of the relationship.

The selected images were the closest in time (to minimize disturbances from surface changes) and with the largest gap relative to the incidence angle (to maximize the effect of the incidence angle). All the selected image pairs showed an incidence angle difference of at least 14 deg (between acquisitions at 26 and 40 deg) and a maximum time interval of 13 days. Moreover, the difference in topsoil moisture between the pairs of images was lower than 4% vol.

Finally, the angular-normalized backscattering coefficients or polarimetric indicators [noted \(y_{\text{SAR-normalized}}\) in Eq. (5)] were calculated for each radar acquisition as follows:

\[
y_{i,\text{SAR-normalized}} = y_{i,\text{SAR}} + \Delta y_{i,\text{SAR}}, \tag{5}
\]

where

\[
\Delta y_{i,\text{SAR}} = (\theta_i - \theta_{\text{ref}}) \times \Gamma_{\text{SAR}}(\text{NDVI}), \tag{6}
\]

where \(\theta_i\) and \(\theta_{\text{ref}}\) correspond to the incidence angle of the radar image and the reference incidence angle, respectively.

SAR signals were angular-normalized at 38.7 deg to compare the results obtained in this study with other analyses aiming to evaluate the potential of multifrequency SAR data (acquired or angular-normalized at 38.7 deg) for crops monitoring over the same study site.

4 Results

Section 4 is divided into three parts. The first part presents the results about the SAR angular normalization (Sec. 4.1), the second part describes the scattering mechanisms over rapeseed and wheat involved in the total SAR backscatter all along the crop cycle (Sec. 4.2), and the last part presents the results about crop parameter (LAI, DB, and height) estimation on wheat and rape-seed using optical or SAR parameters (Sec. 4.3).

4.1 Synthetic Aperture Radar Angular Normalization

The aim of this section was (1) to study the angular sensitivity of SAR parameters and (2) to correct the effects of the incidence angle on SAR signal according to their sensitivity. Different relationships were established between the angular sensitivity of radar backscattering coefficients or polarimetric indicators and NDVI values for rapeseed (10 points) and wheat fields (23 points) (e.g., Fig. 4).

The overall analysis of angular sensitivities is presented in Fig. 5, and discussed on the basis of the statistical performances (\(r^2\) and \(r\text{RMSE}\)) calculated for each \(\Gamma\) function [Eq. (4)]. The coefficients of determination (\(r^2\)) exhibit a wide range of values, linked to the nonangular
sensitivity (e.g., in the case of the HH/VV ratio for both wheat and rapeseed) or to the strong angular sensitivity (e.g., for $\lambda_1$ over wheat fields, and $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$, $\sigma_{\text{HH}}$, and Freeman SB over rapeseed fields) of SAR parameters. The backscattering coefficients $\sigma_{\text{HH}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$ and the polarimetric indicators related to the single-bounce scattering mechanism (i.e., $\lambda_1$, T11, and Freeman SB) are very sensitive to angular variations during the vegetation cycle ($0.7 < r^2 < 0.98$), decreasing exponentially as NDVI increases (Fig. 4), regardless of the considered crop. On the other hand, the backscattering coefficients $\sigma_{\text{HV}}$ and the polarimetric indicators associated with double-bounce and volume scattering mechanisms (i.e., Freeman DB, Freeman VOL, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$, T22, and T33) present low coefficients of determination ($r^2 < 0.55$). Moreover, the SAR parameters alpha, entropy, SEi, and SEp are more sensitive to angular variation on rapeseed fields than on wheat fields. Indeed, at the beginning of the vegetation cycle, these parameters are highly sensitive to angular variations since the vegetation does not fully cover the soil. This sensitivity decreases since the canopy becomes denser. This phenomenon is thus more pronounced for rapeseed fields, which shows a dense and complex canopy.

This study confirms the higher sensitivity of HH and VV polarizations to the incidence angle compared to HV polarization and extends results to polarimetric indicators. In the following sections, all SAR indicators are angular-normalized in accordance with Eqs. (4) and (5).

### 4.2 Scattering Mechanism Analysis

Scattering mechanisms of wheat and rapeseed fields are analyzed using polarimetric indicators that were the most sensitive to the crop phenological stages: polarimetric indicators derived from the Freeman–Durden and Cloude–Pottier decompositions, and the SE (which corresponds to the sum of SEi and SEp) (Figs. 6, 7, and 8). This analysis was part of a larger survey of polarimetric indicators that is not related here, for the sake of conciseness.

![Fig. 4](image-url) Examples of relationships obtained between NDVI and the difference in Freeman SB between two successive images acquired at high and low incidence angles ($\Gamma_{\text{Freeman SB}}$) for (a) wheat and (b) rapeseed.

![Fig. 5](image-url) Coefficients of determination and rRMSE of empirical relationships established between the NDVI values and the difference in backscattering coefficients or in polarimetric indicators between two successive images acquired at high and low incidence angles on (a) wheat and (b) rapeseed fields.
Concerning wheat, results show that surface scattering dominates at the early stages of the crop development (peak of surface scattering [Fig. 6(a)] and dot in zone 9-5 of the H-α plan [Fig. 6(b)], until the end of March [BBCH stage = 20-Tillering; day of year (DoY) 85]. At these stages, the crop height was around 15 cm and NDVI was close to 0.6. This behavior could be explained by the presence of bare soil between the crop rows, inducing a majority of soil contribution to the total backscattered signal. Cookmartin et al.\textsuperscript{53} confirm that at C-band, the scatter contributions are first dominated by the ground before being masked by leaves and stems. As vegetation grows, from mid-April (BBCH stage 25—Tillering; DoY 105) to the beginning of June (BBCH stage 70—Flowering, Anthesis; DoY 160; NDVI ∼0.8), the contributions of both single-bounce and volume scattering mechanisms are significant in almost the same proportion. On June 12, 2010 (DoY 163, BBCH stage 80—Ripening), the surface scattering decreases due to the presence of leaves and heads. On June 23, 2010 (DoY 174; BBCH stage 90—Ripening), a peak in volume scattering can be observed during the maturation phenological stage, due to an increase of biomass [Fig. 6(a)]. As illustrated in Fig. 6(b), the intensity of entropy increases with the α angle from DoY 105 to DoY 163 (inducing a shift of the dot in zone 5), which confirms the increase of volume scattering until its peak on DoY 174. At the same time, the NDVI decreases...
as the crop is drying and color-changing. These temporal behaviors can be associated with an increase in crop absorption when the vegetation is wet and a decrease when the vegetation is drying. These results are in agreement with the ones obtained by Cable et al., who observed an increase in volume scattering over wheat fields from June 20 to July 14 (BBCH stage 80—Ripening) due to a rise in biomass. Then, during the senescence phenological stage (BBCH stage 100—Senescence), the volume scattering decreases [Fig. 6(a)] as DB decreases (Fig. 2). At this period, the dots are located in zone 6 (rough surface), confirming the decrease of volume scattering and the increase in surface scattering [Fig. 6(b)], as it was demonstrated by Cable et al. In contrast to optical data, the harvest period can thus be clearly identified using SAR data, by identifying a strong decrease of volume scattering.

High standard deviations can be observed for the three temporal profiles derived from the Freeman–Durden decomposition throughout the vegetation cycle, more particularly on single-bounce profiles at the beginning of the wheat vegetation cycles. Indeed, the differences in soil properties (i.e., from medium to fine according to the HYPRES textural triangle) over the 14 fields induced single-bounce values variability at this period of the vegetation season. As the crop is growing, the soil contribution to the total SAR signal decreases, inducing a decrease of the single-bounce signals variability between fields.

Concerning rapeseed, results show that surface scattering dominates at the early stages of the crop development, until the end of March (BBCH stage ~45—Stem elongation; DoY 85). This could be explained by the presence of bare soil between the crop rows at these first phenological stages, inducing soil contribution to the total backscattered signal. At these stages, the crop height was ~65 cm and the NDVI was close to 0.7.

An increase in volume scattering is observed on April 8, 2010, when the crop starts to flower (DoY 98; BBCH stage 60—Inflorescence emergence). Since May 2, 2010 (DoY 122, BBCH stage 70—Flowering), single-bounce scattering mechanisms decrease, leading to volume scattering as the principal scattering mechanism. After flowering, the geometry of the rapeseed canopy becomes more complex (with randomly oriented canopy components), due to fruit development and fall of leaves, inducing a strong increase in the contribution of volume scattering [ΔVOL ~ 0.5, Fig. 7(a)] until a peak on June 12, 2010 (DoY 163, BBCH stage 80—Ripening). This phenomenon is also observed following the Cloude–Pottier decomposition, with a shift of the dot from zone 6 to zone 5 [Fig. 7(b)]. This peak can be explained by the presence of mature pods at the end of the ripening phenological stage. On DoY 170 (BBCH stage 90—Senescence), the volume scattering mechanism decreases [dot in zone 6 regarding the Cloude–Pottier decomposition, Fig. 7(b)] since the vegetation reaches the senescence phenological stage. This decrease can be explained by a strong decline of the water content of the top
vegetation layer (from 60 to 30%), inducing higher wave penetration into the soil and thus a decrease of the volume scattering. It is interesting to notice that this decrease cannot be perceived on the backscattering coefficient $\sigma_{HV}^\circ$ temporal profiles, showing that volume scattering is more sensitive to the plant water content than $\sigma_{HV}^\circ$. Moreover, from DoY 98 to DoY 184, radar signal is not affected by the TSM. Again, the harvest is clearly identifiable by a decrease of volume scattering [Fig. 7(b)], which is not possible using optical vegetation indexes.

Temporal dynamics of SE, SEi, and SEp on rapeseed and wheat fields are for the first time in our knowledge analyzed using multitemporal and multiangular SAR data (Fig. 8). The SE measures the randomness of scattering of a pixel, which can be due to the variation of backscattering power SEi or the variation of the backscattering polarization SEp. The high dynamic observed on SE, regardless of the considered crop, is mainly due to SEi variations ($\Delta$SE and $\Delta$SEi $\sim$ 3), the two profiles being strongly correlated.

Concerning fields of wheat [Fig. 8(a)], SEp first decreases from DoY 51 to DoY 64 (BBCH stage 10—Leaf development) and then increases to reach signal saturation on DoY 85 (BBCH stage 20—End of leaf development). This variation of backscattering polarization is quite low ($\Delta$SEp $\sim$ 1.5) and seems to be mainly induced by the development of the leaves and stems (first BBCH stages). The highest dynamics are observed on the SE and SEi temporal profiles. At the beginning of the phenological stages [from February (DoY 51) to mid-April (DoY 104)], SEi fluctuations are mainly related to TSM variations ($r^2 = 0.7$) (Fig. 9). On DoY 130 and DoY 162, the little inflection of SEi signals can be attributed to important rain events (increasing the TSM and the free water at the surface of the crop), as it was demonstrated by Fieuzal et al. on backscattering coefficients temporal profiles. During the senescence phenological stage, SEi decreases, since the water content of the crop decreases inducing higher wave penetration into the soil.

Concerning fields of rapeseed [Fig. 8(b)], SEi and SEp are not sensitive to the same rapeseed phenological stages. SEi shows its highest dynamic during the filling pods phenological stages and SEp during the first phenological stages. SEp regularly increases from DoY 64 (BBCH stage 30—Formation of side shoots) to crop flowering (DoY 105) and then saturates until the pod maturation (DoY 163). During this first period, the architecture of the plant changed (from a thick rosette of leaves to a flowering stalk), inducing high variation of backscattering polarization, and thus an increase in SEp values. It can also be noticed that all rapeseed fields show the same profile behavior since the standard deviation is very low throughout the vegetation cycle (mainly explained by the similar soil textural properties on the four instrumented fields). SEi is mainly affected from the flowering (DoY 98; BBCH stage 60, DB $\sim$1000 g · m$^{-2}$) to the pods maturation (DoY 163; BBCH stage 90, DB $\sim$2200 g · m$^{-2}$). During this period, the total biomass of the crop becomes higher and the canopy more complex (randomly oriented components), inducing an increase of the backscattered power, due to the increase of volume scattering as demonstrated by Cloude–Pottier and Freeman–Durden decompositions. In comparison to optical data, SEp shows its highest dynamic during the first phenological stages, as NDVI temporal profile. SEi is more sensitive to the filling pods phenological stages, which are not identifiable by optical data, demonstrating the complementarity of SAR data.

![Fig. 9](image_url) Relationships established between SEi (from DoY 51 to DoY 104) and TSM (%. vol.) (mean value of the 14 instrumented fields for each acquisition date).
4.3 Optical and Synthetic Aperture Radar Angular-Normalized Signals Sensitivity to Crop Parameters

Performances of exponential empirical relationships established between optical or SAR angular-normalized signals and LAI, vegetation heights, or DB [Eq. (1)] are summarized in Figs. 10 and 11. The results show that SAR indicators are more suitable than optical parameter (i.e., NDVI) for crop parameters estimation for the two studied crops.

Concerning wheat, significant nonlinear regressions can be observed between the wheat height, LAI, or DB measured all along the vegetation cycle and some backscattering coefficients or polarimetric indicators derived from the Radarsat-2 time series (Fig. 10). The best results [Table 4 and Fig. 12(a)] are obtained (1) from $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$ and alpha angle for monitoring height ($r^2 = 0.60$ and 0.57, respectively), (2) from the ratio HH/HV for monitoring LAI ($r^2 = 0.53$), and (3) from $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$ for monitoring DB ($r^2 = 0.69$). It is interesting to notice that the SAR signal shows saturation for a wheat height of 60 cm and DB of 250 g · m$^{-2}$ (BBCH phenological cycle $\sim$ 50) [Fig. 12(a), Table 4]. For this crop, the contribution of polarimetric indicators is poor, and the use of backscattering coefficients significantly increases the variance explained of the statistical models (+40% for vegetation height and +20% for DB).

In comparison, Fieuzal et al.\textsuperscript{17} showed strong correlations between $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$ and wheat height ($r^2 = 0.61$) until signal saturation reached at 65 cm, which is in accordance with our results. Moreover, they demonstrated a higher potential of $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$ for wheat LAI estimation than of $\sigma_{\text{HH}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{HV}}$ ($r^2 = 0.58$, $r^2 = 0.33$, and $r^2 = 0.21$, respectively). Our results further confirmed these results regarding co- and cross-polarization signals behavior (Fig. 10). Other studies\textsuperscript{12,30} demonstrated the potential of $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$ for wheat DB estimation at 23 deg incidence angle (at least before heading, modulated by changes in soil moisture) and of the ratio HH/VV at 43 deg incidence angle (during the growing season). In this study, we showed that $\sigma_{\text{VV}}$ corresponds to the best SAR indicators for wheat DB estimation until the inflorescence emergence (BBCH phenological stage $\sim$ 50 and DB $= 250$ g · m$^{-2}$). This difference can mainly be explained by the number of fields monitored: 1 in the study conducted by Mattia et al.\textsuperscript{12} and 14 in our study (landscape approach), leading to a strong heterogeneity in surfaces conditions (e.g., soil moisture, roughness, texture, crop species, maturity).

**Fig. 10** Coefficients of determination and rRMSE of exponential empirical relationships established between the wheat height, LAI, and DB and satellite signals (backscattering coefficients, polarimetric indicators, and NDVI).
Concerning polarimetric indicators, Wiseman et al. found that the best PolSAR parameters for wheat DB estimations in a linear way corresponds to the circular polarization ratio LR/LL ($r^2 = 0.44$). They also showed that some polarimetric indicators (i.e., volume scattering and pedestrian height) were capable of detecting when wheat entered the milking stage, which could be a good indicator for the timing of wheat harvest. Jin et al. demonstrated the high performances of RVI and double-bounce eigenvalue relative difference time series for wheat DB and LAI estimations ($r^2 = 0.65$ and $r^2 = 0.8$, respectively). Because of the different approaches adopted, the results cannot be compared directly. Indeed, Wiseman et al. did not take into account the VV backscattering coefficients and considered only a part of the vegetation cycle, contrary to this work. Spring wheat was already matured (entering the milking stage) at the time of the last observation.

Table 4 Parameter values ($a$, $b$, and $c$) of the best exponential relationships established between the height, LAI, and DB of wheat and rapeseed and satellite parameters (backscattering coefficients and polarimetric indicators). Their corresponding statistics for determination coefficient, absolute and relative root mean square error ($r^2$, RMSE, and $rRMSE$, respectively) are also given. Moreover, when the SAR or optical signal saturates, the values of crop parameters and BBCH phenological stage are specified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CP</th>
<th>Remote sensing indicators</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>RMSE (%)</th>
<th>rRMSE (%)</th>
<th>BBCH phenological stage</th>
<th>CP saturation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>$\sigma_{VV}$</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-14.87</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>$\sigma_{HH}/\sigma_{HV}$</td>
<td>2320</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-2292</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DB</td>
<td>$\sigma_{VV}$</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapeseed</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>SEp</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>SEp</td>
<td>-10.61</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DB</td>
<td>RVI</td>
<td>-8.99</td>
<td>-0.0005</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stage and stem elongation) at the beginning of their field acquisition campaign. Jin et al.\textsuperscript{29} studied only a part of the vegetation cycle using four RADARSAT-2 images (from tillering to filling).

Concerning the estimation of rapeseed parameters, this study demonstrated the high potential of polarimetric indicators. Indeed, correlations between the height, LAI, or DB measured all along the vegetation cycle and polarimetric indicators are always higher than the ones established with backscattering coefficients or NDVI (Fig. 11). Again, radar indicators significantly increased the variance explained by the statistical models in comparison to optical parameter (+30\% for rapeseed height and +75\% for rapeseed DB). The best results (Table 4) are obtained from (1) SEp and Freeman SB for monitoring height ($r^2 \sim 0.7$), (2) SEp for monitoring LAI ($r^2 = 0.45$), and (3) RVI for monitoring DB ($r^2 = 0.80$). A signal saturation of SEp can be observed for a BBCH phenological stage of 70 (when LAI reached $3.5 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$) [Fig. 12(b)].

Polarimetric parameters SEp and RVI demonstrated their potential to estimate in a linear way rapeseed height and DB all along the vegetation cycle [Fig. 12(b)]. Fieuzal et al.\textsuperscript{17} shows a high sensitivity of the ratio $HH/HV$ to LAI of rapeseed ($r^2 = 0.66$ for an LAI maximum of $3.83 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$) and to rapeseed height ($r^2 = 0.73$ for a height maximum of 200 cm, without the senescence phenological stage). Results presented here demonstrate that unlike backscattering coefficients (where relationships are restricted to the first phenological stages), polarimetric indicators allow estimating LAI and DB during the whole vegetation cycle. Better results were found in this study for DB estimations in comparison to Wiseman et al.\textsuperscript{19} (using HV or volume scattering mechanisms ($r^2 \sim 0.75$) without taking into account the flowering phenological stages).

![Fig. 12](image-url) 

**Fig. 12** Best relationships obtained between (a) wheat and (b) rapeseed height, LAI or DB and backscattering coefficients or polarimetric parameters.
5 Conclusion

This paper aimed to evaluate the contribution of polarimetric SAR indicator compared to backscattering coefficient and to optical vegetation index (NDVI) for rapeseed and wheat monitoring. Three dependent sections have been developed.

First, the results showed the importance of correcting the angular effect on SAR signals especially for copolarized signals and polarimetric indicators reflecting single-bounce scattering mechanisms. They also revealed that cross-polarized signals (i.e., HV) and polarimetric indicators linked with double-bounce or volume scattering mechanism (i.e., Freeman volume, Freeman DB, T22, T33) were insensitive to incidence angle variations; thus, angular correction was not as critical for those indicators.

Second, the study has made a significant contribution to the analysis of polarimetric data responses (including Freeman–Durden and Cloude–Pottier decomposition, SE) to rapeseed and wheat crop development (growth stages) during the entire vegetation cycle (thanks to a dense satellite temporal sampling from first phenological stages to harvest). The analysis of the temporal dynamic of polarimetric indicators has shown their high potential to detect crop growth changes. Surface scattering dominates at the early stages of the crop development followed by an increase in volume scattering during the crop maturation over wheat fields and after flowering over rapeseed fields (according to the Freeman–Durden and Cloude–Pottier decompositions). As expected, higher backscatter values and volume scattering mechanisms were observed on rapeseed fields than on wheat fields, due to the more complex and dense rapeseed canopy. The analysis of SEi and SEp temporal profiles showed their interest since they are not sensitive to the same phenological stages particularly over rapeseed fields. SEi shows its highest dynamic during the filling pods phenological stages and SEp during the first phenological stages. Moreover, the harvest is clearly identifiable for these two crops, by a strong decrease of volume scattering, which is not possible using optical images.

Finally, this study has shown the high interest of using SAR parameters (backscattering coefficients and polarimetric indicators) for crop parameters estimation during the whole vegetation cycle instead of using optical vegetation index (results based on $r^2$ and rRMSE values). Although polarimetric indicators did not improve wheat parameters, backscattering coefficients acquired in VV polarization show their interest for wheat height and DB estimations in comparison to optical data (+40% of variance explained for vegetation height modeling and +20% for DB modeling). Concerning rapeseed, polarimetric indicators have shown their high potential for crop height and DB monitoring (i.e., SEp; $r^2 = 0.67$ and RVI; $r^2 = 0.80$, respectively, and rRMSE < 15%) instead of using backscattering coefficients or optical vegetation index. Results also demonstrated that contrary to backscattering coefficients (where relationships are restricted to the first phenological stages), the use of polarimetric signal allows estimating the LAI and DB during the whole vegetation cycle.

In the perspective of this study, it would be interesting to extend this approach to another crop type (corn, sunflower, and so on) and investigate polarimetric indicators derived from other SAR configurations (X- and L-band) for wheat and rapeseed monitoring. Finally, this study opened interesting perspectives for crop yield estimation at a fine scale, using the assimilation of SAR (polarimetric and backscatter) data acquired by sensors with high repetitiveness (e.g., Sentinel-1) into agrometeorological models.
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