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Abstract—This paper focuses on the microgrid control method in different operating modes. The conventional droop control scheme is typically used to achieve autonomous voltage and frequency regulation, which considers only local information, and the global optimal performance can’t be guaranteed. In island mode, when the load or generation inside the MG changes, circulations will be generated between the inverters in case the line impedences are mismatch. Furthermore, the MG active power output does not follow the reference in grid connected mode. Dealing with the above problems, a central controller is designed to maintain the stable operation of the microgrid in different modes in this paper. Some simulations are carried out and the results validate the efficiency of the proposed method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Microgrid is a controllable unit that supplies power to consumers on the combination of distributed generations (DGs), energy storage equipment, control devices and loads, which improves the reliability of power supply and represents a new development direction of power system [1, 2].

The interfaces between the DG units and MGs are often base on converters, and the final stage of these converters consists of dc/ac inverters. When multiple inverters are paralleled in grid connected, synchronization or islanding mode, a droop control scheme is typically adopted, which adjusts the frequency and amplitude of the output voltage, thus active and reactive power can be shared among the inverters [3-4]. But this method still has several drawbacks that limit its application.

Firstly, due to the impedance mismatch between the DG units and the line impedance, the conventional droop control is subject to reactive power coupling. In [5] and [6], the inner-loop output impedance of the inverter can be inductive by designing the parameters of the inner controller. But it complicates the controller design. In [7], virtual output impedance loops can solve this problem successfully. In this sense, the output impedance can be seen as a control variable.

Further, in island mode, when the load or generation inside the MG changes, the droop control regulates the output power by changing the amplitude and frequency of voltage [8]. Frequency and voltage deviations are inherent to this method [9]. The power balance causes the deviation of voltage and frequency, and the voltage drop on the line impedance lead to greater deviation [10]. When the line impedance of DG units is mismatch, the output voltage of inverter is not equal, and then the circulation will be generated between the inverters [11]. Moreover, in grid connected mode, the voltage and frequency of PCC can be supported by main grid. Due to the voltage drop on the impedance of line and inverter units, the output active power of the inverter is not a rating, thus it cause the deviation between the output power of inverter and reference value.

To overcome the aforementioned drawbacks, in this paper, a central control strategy is proposed. In island mode, the application of a secondary regulation control loop eliminates voltage deviations, and an active compensator is implemented to eliminate the circulation. In grid connected mode, an external control loop is proposed to regulate the output power of an inverter.

II. LOCAL CONTROL SYSTEM OF THE DG INVERTER

Fig.1 shows the local control structure of a DG inverter. It consists of inner control loop, droop control loop and P/Q calculations. \( v_o \) and \( i_o \) are the output voltage and current of the DG inverter respectively. The P/Q calculator calculates instantaneous values of active and reactive powers; the droop control generates the reference voltage of inner loop; and the inner loop control the output voltage of inverter.

Fig. 1. Block diagram of the inverter local control
A. Inner Control Loops With The Virtual Output Impedance

The inner control loop of the three-phase voltage source inverters (VSI) shown in Fig. 2 is designed in \(d-q\) reference frame. So Park transformation is used to transform the variables between \(abc\) and \(d-q\) frames. In Fig. 2, \(i_{ld}, i_{cd}\) and \(i_{od}\) are the Inductor current, capacitor current and output current of the inverter respectively. The inner control loop consists of an inner current loop, an external voltage loop and a virtual impedance loop. The proportional integral control used in voltage loop is to maintain the stability of the output voltage. The output of voltage loop as a reference of current loop. In order to control the resonant peak of LC filter and enhance the dynamic response, proportional control be used in current loop.

The output impedance of the closed-loop inverter affects the power sharing accuracy. The application of virtual impedance loop can fix the output impedance of the inverter and obtain the desired output impedance.

\[
\begin{align*}
G(s) &= \frac{k_{up}k_{q}k_{pm}}{LC^3 + C_k k_{pq}^2 s + (1 + k_{up}k_{pq})s + k_{up}k_{pq}} \\
Z(s) &= \frac{Ls^2 + (1 + R_k)k_{pq}^2 s + R_k k_{pq}}{LC^3 + C_k k_{pq}^2 s + (1 + k_{up}k_{pq})s + k_{up}k_{pq}}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(k_{up}\) and \(k_u\) are the proportional and integral coefficients of voltage loop, \(k_{pq}\) is the proportional coefficients of current loop, \(k_{pm}\) is the fundamental wave magnification of inverter. \(R\) is the virtual resistive-impedance. It can be observed that adding virtual resistive-impedance which does not affect the stability of the system and just change the output impedance of the inverter.

Using the parameters listed in Table I, the frequency response of inverter output impedance is obtained, and illustrated in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the output impedance of the inverter is inductive without virtual resistive impedance. After adding the virtual resistive impedance, the output impedance becomes almost resistive, and the output impedance at line frequency (50 Hz) is about 0.3 dB and 0.26°. In this situation, the decoupling between \(P\) and \(Q\) can be guaranteed.

B. Droop control system

Fig. 4 shows the equivalent circuit of two inverters connected to a common load. The output active power and reactive power of inverter can be expressed as follows:

\[
P_i = \frac{EV}{Z} \cos \phi - \frac{V^2}{Z} \cos \theta + \frac{EV}{Z} \sin \phi \sin \theta
\]

\[
Q_i = \frac{EV}{Z} \cos \phi - \frac{V^2}{Z} \sin \phi \sin \theta
\]

where \(i=1,2\), \(Z\) and \(\theta\) are the magnitude and the phase of the output impedance, \(E_i\) and \(V\) are the amplitude of the inverter output voltage and the common bus voltage.

The output impedance of the inverters and the line impedance are mainly Resistive, and considering small phase difference between \(E_i\) and \(V (\cos \phi = 1\) and \(\sin \phi = 0\)). The equation (4) and (5) can be simplified as:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_i - E_i' &= -m(P_i - P_i') \\
f_i - f_i' &= n(Q_i - Q_i')
\end{align*}
\]

where \(f_i\) and \(E_i\) are the frequency and amplitude of the output voltage reference, \(f_i'\) and \(E_i'\) are their references, \(P_i\) and \(Q_i\) are the active and reactive power, \(P_i'\) and \(Q_i'\) are their references, and \(m\) and \(n\) are the droop frequency and amplitude coefficients. It can be seen that active power \(P\) is regulated by the inverter output voltage amplitude \(E_i\), while reactive power \(Q\) is controlled by the inverter output voltage frequency, which is the opposite strategy to the conventional droop method.

The droop coefficient \((m\) and \(n\)) can be designed as follows:

\[
m = \frac{\Delta V}{P_{\text{max}}} \\
n = \frac{\Delta f}{2Q_{\text{max}}}
\]

where \(P_{\text{max}}\) and \(Q_{\text{max}}\) are the maximum active and reactive
power of the inverter output, $\Delta V$ and $\Delta f$ are the maximum
frequency and voltage allowed of the inverters. The value of
droop coefficient relates to the system synchronized and the
voltage stability limits.

III. IMPROVED CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN

The MG can operate in island, grid connected and
switching mode. Using local controller, the global optimal
performance can't be guaranteed. The central controller should
be designed to maintain the stable operation of the MG in
different modes.

A. Control Strategy in Island Mode

In island operation, the inverter operates as a voltage
source. When the line impedance of the inverters is mismatch,
the output voltage amplitude of the MG is not equal. It
affects the active power sharing of DG units and produces
circulation between the inverters. Further droop control
regulates the output power by changing the amplitude and
frequency of voltage, when the load or generation inside the
MG changes. The inherent trade off of droop control between
frequency amplitude regulation and active, reactive power
sharing accuracy cannot be avoided. The stability of the
voltage at the PCC affects the quality of power supply and
seamless switch the microgrid between the different operating
modes.

In order to restore the deviation of the PCC voltage and
eliminate the circulation between the inverters, the corresponding
controller is proposed. It is implemented as expressed in

$$\begin{align*}
E_i &= E_i^* - m(P_i - P_i^*) + \Delta E_i + \Delta E_2 \\
f_i &= f_i^* + n(Q_i - Q_i^*) + \Delta f
\end{align*}$$

(8)

where $\Delta E_i$ and $\Delta f$ are the deviations, that can be obtained in
(8) and (9) as

$$\begin{align*}
\Delta E_i &= (K_{pc} + \frac{K_{pe}}{s})(E_{pcc}^* - E_{pcc}) \\
\Delta f &= (K_{pf} + \frac{K_{pe}^*}{s})(f_{pcc}^* - f_{pcc})
\end{align*}$$

(9)

where $f_{pcc}, E_{pcc}$ are the frequency and amplitude of voltage at
PCC, $f_{pcc}^*$ are the reference voltage respectively, $K_{pc}, K_{pf},
K_{pe}, K_{pe}^*$ and $K_{pf}^*$ are the control parameters of PI regulation.
The frequency and amplitude of the MG, $f_{pcc}$ and $E_{pcc}$, are
measured then compared with the references $f_{pcc}^*$ and $E_{pcc}^*$. After
PI regulation, the deviations are sent to all the DG units
to restore the output-voltage frequency and amplitude.

Take the $P-V$ droop as an example, and analysis the control
principle. In Fig. 5, the intersection $a$ of the power curve $P_G$
and the load curve $P_L$ is a nominal operating point of the MG.
The load curve changes to $P_{L1}$, as the load increasing, then the
MG operates in the point $b$. It can be seen that there is a
deviation between the voltage amplitude and the rating. The
application of secondary regulation leads to the translation of
power curve. When the power curve is $P_{L1}$, the MG operates
in the point $c$, and the voltage of the MG is equal to the rating.

![Fig. 5. the diagram of the voltage deviations restore](image)

$\Delta E_2$ is the active compensation value, that can be
obtained in (10) and (11) as

$$\Delta E_2 = (K_{pc} + \frac{K_{pe}}{s})(\bar{P}_i - P_i)$$

(11)

$$\bar{P}_i = \frac{P_{total}}{n}$$

(12)

where $P_i$ is output active of the inverters, $P_{total}$ is the active
output by all the inverters, $n$ is the number of inverters, $\bar{P}_i$ is
the active power demand of the inverters, $K_{pc}$ and $K_{pe}$ are the
control parameters of PI regulation. Through calculation the
sum of active power output to obtain active power references
of the inverters[11]. The central controller regulates the active
power of each inverter via PI controllers.

B. Synchronization Control Strategy

In order to smoothly switch the MG between the islanding
mode and the connection mode, it is required to implement the
synchronous control loop. In synchronization mode, the
frequency and voltage of the MG and main grid should be
measured, and the $\theta_{m}, E_m$ can be compared with the $\theta_{g}$
and $E_g$, the control laws can be expressed as in the following

$$\begin{align*}
E_f &= E_g + \left(\frac{k_{p1} + k_{s2}}{s}\right)(E_g - E_m) \\
\theta_f &= \theta_g + \left(\frac{k_{p2} + k_{s2}}{s}\right)(\theta_g - \theta_m)
\end{align*}$$

(13)

where $\theta_{m}, E_m$ are the phase-angle and amplitude of main
grid voltage, $\theta_{g}, E_g$ are the phase-angle and amplitude of MG
voltage, $k_{p1}, k_{s2}$ are the control parameters of the
synchronous control loop. After several cycles, the
synchronization process will finish, and then the MG can be
connected to the mains grid through the static bypass switch.
Operation mode of MG from islanding to grid connected.
Then the synchronous control loop quit operation.

C. control strategy in grid connected mode

After synchronization procedure, it is allowed that connect
the microgrid to the main grid. In grid connected mode, the
amplitude and frequency of the MG voltage depend on the
main grid. Supposing the frequency of main grid remain
power frequency (50HZ) and the voltage of main grid stay the
same, equaling to the nominal voltage of inverter. From (10),
it can be seen that the output reactive power of inverter is the
rated power. Due to the voltage drop on the impedance of line
and inverter units, which produce deviations between the
output voltage of the inverter units and the nominal voltage. The output active power of the inverter is not a rating.

An advanced control strategy is proposed to improve this weakness. The block diagram of control law is presented in Fig. 6, and it can be expressed as in the following

\[ E_i = E_i' - m(P_i - P_i') + \left( k_{ip} + \frac{k_{ip}}{S} \right) (P_{ref} - P_i) \]  

(15)

where \( P_i \) and \( P_{ref} \) are the output active power of inverter and its reference. \( K_{ip} \) and \( K_{ip} \) are the control parameters of active power control loop. By measuring the active output power of the inverter, \( P \) can be compared with the \( P_{ref} \), after PI controller, the deviations are sent to the droop control loop to regulate amplitude reference of the inverter. The output active voltage can be controlled by this means. When the microgrid operates in the grid connecting mode, the constant power control can be achieved.

According to the control strategy proposed above, the control scheme is shown in Fig. 6.

**IV. SIMULATION RESULTS**

In this paper, a microgrid model has been established using MATLAB/Simulink to verify the feasibility of the control method. As shown in Fig. 7, the microgrid includes two parallel inverter and load. The two inverters (DG1, DG2) parallel operate sharing the load. The inverters consist of a Three-phase full-bridge with an LC filter. The controller consists of the voltage and current control loop with virtual impedance, the droop control loop, the \( P/Q \) calculator and the central controller. The power stage and controller parameters are listed in Table I and Table II. Switching frequency of the DGs inverters is set to 6 kHz. The inverter active and reactive power reference value are 5kW and 0kVar respectively. The DC link voltage: \( V_{dc1}=650V, V_{dc2}=600V \). The line impedance of the two inverters: \( Z_1=0.64+j0.0083, Z_2=0.34+j0.0083 \).

![Fig. 6. Block diagram of the proposed control scheme](image)

**A. islanding operating**

First, the microgrid operates in islanding mode and two inverter share the 5 kW load. At 0.6 s, the load changes from 5kW to 9kW, 2kVar. At 0.12 s, the load decreases to 7kW, 1kVar. The result is shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9.

![Fig. 7. The structure of microgrid in the simulation.](image)
Fig. 8. The result of the microgrid without the central controller.

Fig. 9. The result of the microgrid with the central controller.

Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show the active and reactive power delivered by DG1 and DG2, the transient response of the frequency and amplitude of the MG and the circulation between the two inverters for load changes. It can be seen from the result that the increase of output power of inverters leads to the decrease of the voltage amplitude and the increase of the frequency, which conform to the $P-V$, $Q-f$ control characteristic. From the Fig. 8 (a) and (e), the active power output of two DGs is not equal; the mismatch of the line impedance can produce the circulation between the two inverters. From the Fig. 8(c) and (d), the load changes, the frequency and amplitude of voltage have deviations.

Fig. 9 shows the result of the MG with the central controller. From the Fig. 8 (a) and (e), the active power output of two DGs is equal and the circulation between two inverters is reduced. From the Fig. 8(c) and (d), the application of the central controller can quickly respond to the changes, the voltage frequency and amplitude deviations are regulated toward zero after every change of load. The control strategy enhanced the power quality of the MG.

### B. Islanding To Grid Connected

First, the MG is in islanded mode, two inverter share the 5 kW load. At 0.5 s synchronization control works to prepare for connecting to the main grid. After the synchronization process, at 1.3 s the MG is connected to the main grid. The simulation result is showed in Fig. 10, Fig. 11 and Fig. 12.
and grid connected modes and the transitions modes.

At t=1.3s, the MG connects to the main grid, the power inject to the main grid. The current total harmonic distortion (THD) value of is THD=0.45%, fundamental current=14.18A. THD<0.5%, it meet the requirements of connection to main grid [12]. Fig. 12 (a) shows the Voltage waveform at the PCC, The THD value of is THD=0.01%, fundamental Voltage=311.1V. The result shows that the Seamless switching from islanding to grid connected can be achieved.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a central control strategy was proposed by analyzing the operation characteristics of the MG. According to the different operation mode of the MG, the corresponding control method is proposed. In island mode, the implement of secondary regulation loop eliminated voltage deviations of PCC, and enhanced the power quality of the MG. The application of active compensator eliminated the circulation between two inverters. In grid connected mode, the output power of inverter followed the rating using an active power control loop. In addition, the application of synchronous control strategy enhanced seamless switching microgrid between islanding and grid connected mode. The simulation results showed the high reliability and flexibility of the microgrid during different operation mode.
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