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Abstract. The mechanism of ice nucleation to form Type 2 PSCs is important for controlling the ice particle size and hence the possible dehydration in the polar winter stratosphere. This paper probes heterogeneous ice nucleation on sulfuric acid tetrahydrate (SAT). Laboratory experiments were performed using a thin-film, high-vacuum apparatus in which the condensed phase is monitored via Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and water pressure is monitored with the combination of an MKS baratron and an ionization gauge. Results show that SAT is an efficient ice nucleus with a critical ice saturation ratio of $S^{*}_{\text{ice}} = 1.3$ to 1.02 over the temperature range 169.8–194.5 K. This corresponds to a necessary supercooling of 0.1–1.3 K below the ice frost point. The laboratory data is used as input for a microphysical/photochemical model to probe the effect that this heterogeneous nucleation mechanism could have on Type 2 PSC formation and stratospheric dehydration. In the model simulations, even a very small number of SAT particles (e.g., $10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$) result in ice nucleation on SAT as the dominant mechanism for Type 2 PSC formation. As a result, Type 2 PSC formation is more widespread, leading to larger-scale dehydration. The characteristics of the clouds are controlled by the assumed number of SAT particles present, demonstrating that a proper treatment of SAT is critical for correctly modeling Type 2 PSC formation and stratospheric dehydration.

1 Introduction

Polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) have been observed for over a century (Stanford and Davis, 1974). However, it was not until the latter half of the twentieth century that their relevance to atmospheric chemistry was realized (Crutzen and Arnold, 1986; Solomon et al., 1986). For example, PSCs serve as surfaces upon which heterogeneous reactions occur that convert nonreactive halogen species to photochemically reactive forms that participate in the catalytic destruction of ozone (McElroy et al., 1986; Molina, 1991). In addition, PSCs play a pivotal role in the redistribution of chemical species throughout the stratosphere. Specifically, the sedimentation of large PSC particles can result in the irreversible removal of HNO$_3$. This process of denitrification can enhance ozone loss by delaying the sequestration of ozone-destroying chlorine species (Toon et al., 1986). Moreover, the sedimentation of PSCs can result in dehydration by removing H$_2$O, with possible consequences for subsequent PSC formation, the hydrogen oxide (HO$_x$) budget, and the stratosphere’s radiation balance (Mancini et al., 1992; Schiller et al., 1996). Finally, as the PSCs fall, they can release these chemical species back into the atmosphere at lower altitudes.

Polar stratospheric clouds are commonly divided into two classes based on their formation temperature and composition (Browell et al., 1990; Turco et al., 1989). Type 1 PSCs contain HNO$_3$ and form at approximately 190 to 195 K. Type 1 clouds are further separated into at least two subdivisions depending on whether the HNO$_3$ is in crystalline form (Type 1a), presumably as nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) or nitric acid dihydrate (NAD), or in solution as ternary HNO$_3$/H$_2$O/H$_2$SO$_4$ (Type 1b). The second type of PSC (Type 2) is composed of water ice and forms at temperatures near the ice frost point (~188–190 K). This paper focuses on a possible formation mechanism for Type 2 PSCs.

There are currently several theories on how Type 2 PSCs are formed: (1) vapor deposition of ice on top of NAT; (2) homogeneous nucleation of ice out of H$_2$SO$_4$/H$_2$O, H$_2$SO$_4$/HNO$_3$/H$_2$O, or HNO$_3$/H$_2$O liquid aerosol; and (3) heterogeneous nucleation of ice out of liquid aerosol containing insoluble nuclei such as mineral oxides or soot. Of these theories, the second is perhaps the most commonly invoked.
However, homogeneous nucleation of ice out of solutions such as $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}$, or $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ requires supercooling on the order of 2–3 K below the ice frost point (Bertram et al., 1996; Chang et al., 1999; Jensen et al., 1991; Koop et al., 1998; Middlebrook et al., 1993; Tabazadeh et al., 1997a, 1997b). In contrast, theoretical work suggests that the third nucleation mechanism, heterogeneous nucleation in aqueous solutions containing solid cores, may occur at temperatures warmer than those required for homogeneous nucleation (DeMott et al., 1997; Jensen and Toon, 1997; Sassen and Benson, 2000). However, there is limited laboratory data available to support these claims (Chen et al., 2000; Zuberi et al., 2001), all of which has focused on conditions and heterogeneous nuclei that are relevant to the troposphere. Finally, while no studies could be found that reported the vapor deposition of ice on NAT, Barone et al. (1997) observed that the nucleation of ice on NAT surfaces having a thin aqueous nitric acid layer on top requires a supercooling of $\sim 2$ K below the ice frost point.

In this paper, we report on the possibility of a fourth nucleation mechanism for Type 2 PSCs, vapor deposition of ice on top of sulfuric acid tetrahydrate (SAT). While there is field (David et al., 1998; Dye et al., 1992), laboratory (Anthony et al., 1995; Carleton et al., 1997; Koop et al., 1997b), and theoretical (Luo et al., 1994) evidence that background sulfate aerosols often remain liquid down to very low temperatures, this is not always the case. Results from both the laboratory (Iraci et al., 1995; Koop et al., 1995; Middlebrook et al., 1993; Molina et al., 1993) and the field (Beyerle et al., 2001; Gobbi and Adriani, 1993; Larsen et al., 1995; Nagai et al., 1997; Rivière et al., 2000; Rosen et al., 1993; Sassen et al., 1994) suggest that sulfate aerosols could, even if only in small numbers, exist in a frozen state. According to the bulk thermodynamics (Gable et al., 1950; Koop et al., 1997a), SAT is the stable phase of such aerosols under most stratospheric conditions. It is important to note that, in contrast to stratospheric conditions, the laboratory experiments reported here have been performed in the absence of HNO$_3$ vapor. This is relevant since previous work has shown that the presence of HNO$_3$ renders SAT thermodynamically unstable at temperatures below the nitric acid “dew point” (Koop and Carslaw, 1996). However, the results of Iraci et al. (1998) indicate that the kinetics of this dissolution process may limit its occurrence in the atmosphere. This is demonstrated by the fact that only approximately $2/3$ of the SAT samples tested in that study exhibited a phase transformation forming ternary $\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution. Furthermore, formation of NAT would preclude the dissolution of SAT (Koop et al., 1997a), thereby highlighting the possibility that the growth of Type 2 PSCs on polycrystalline NAT/SAT particles may occur on either of these surfaces.

To address whether or not SAT could act as an effective ice nucleus, we have performed isothermal ice nucleation experiments on SAT films. In these studies, the saturation ratio with respect to ice is increased incrementally while we probe for ice nucleation using transmission Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. The results of these laboratory experiments have been incorporated into a microphysical model to assess whether ice nucleation on SAT could play an important role in the stratosphere. Specifically, simulations with a variety of assumed SAT particle concentrations have been performed to test the effect of this nucleation mechanism on Type 2 PSC existence temperatures, characteristics (e.g. ice particle radii and number concentration), and stratospheric dehydration.

2 Experimental

2.1 Thin-film apparatus

Experiments were performed using a thin-film, high-vacuum apparatus similar to that previously described in Fortin et al. (2002) and shown schematically in Fig. 1. Briefly, a silicon wafer (2.5 cm diameter, 1 mm thick) is supported on a copper mount that is in thermal contact with a liquid nitrogen reservoir. A stainless steel vacuum jacket isolates the cold finger from the remainder of the chamber; thereby ensuring the mount is the only exposed cold surface. Temperature is controlled by heating an annular resistive Kapton heater located between the copper mount and the cold finger via use of a Eurotherm temperature controller. The temperature is measured using T-type thermocouples that are attached to the copper mount at two different locations and are monitored by a PC utilizing LabView data collection software. The thermocouples are calibrated at the end of each experiment via reference to the ice frost point (Marti and Mauersberger, 1993). The $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ pressure is adjusted until
A calibrated UTI 100C quadrupole gauge, both of which are monitored with the same PC used for the thermocouples. A UTI 100C quadrupole gauge is used to verify that H$_2$O pressure is within ±0.2 K or ±0.4 K, depending on conditions. The water pressure is increased incrementally while the film is monitored with transmission FTIR spectroscopy for signs of ice. Condensed ice is indicated by absorption bands at 3220 cm$^{-1}$ (OH asymmetric stretch), 1730 cm$^{-1}$ (H$_2$O asymmetric bend), and 3148 cm$^{-1}$ (OH stretch). At the end of an experiment, the usual procedure is to warm the film to 215 K to reform a liquid H$_2$SO$_4$ film, which is then recrystallized to SAT following the above procedure. However, on five different occasions the SAT film was regenerated by merely warming to 190 K to drive off the condensed ice. Once the H$_2$O had been pumped away, the SAT film could be cooled to a desired temperature in preparation for a new experiment. It is important to note that these two distinct treatments of the SAT film do not appear to affect the results. However, both procedures could result in SAT surfaces that are reactivated for ice formation, similar to what has been suggested for NAT nucleation on SAT (Zhang et al., 1996). This point will be addressed further in the following section.

2.3 Procedure

In each experiment, the temperature is set and allowed to stabilize. Water vapor is then introduced into the chamber. The water pressure is increased incrementally while the film is monitored with transmission FTIR spectroscopy for signs of ice. Condensed ice is indicated by absorption bands at 3220 cm$^{-1}$, 1690 cm$^{-1}$ and 820 cm$^{-1}$, which correspond to the OH stretch ($v_1$), the HOH bend ($v_2$), and the hindered rotation (or libration) ($v_L$), respectively (Eisenberg and Kauzmann, 1969). The ice film is then allowed to grow so that an ice frost point calibration can be performed. Finally, the film is reprocessed in one of the two manners described above to prepare for another experiment.

3 Results and discussion

Figure 3a shows select spectra from a typical experiment conducted at 173.3 K. Spectrum I is that of the SAT film at the start of the experiment prior to introducing additional H$_2$O into the chamber. The H$_2$O pressure ($P_{H_2O}$) at this point was less than 1 × 10$^{-5}$ torr. Water vapor was then introduced...
Fig. 3. Spectral results for an ice deposition experiment at 173.3 K. The top panel (a) shows selected IR spectra. The bottom panel (b) shows the results after spectrum 1 has been subtracted out of each subsequent spectrum. In both panels, the spectra have been offset for clarity. Spectrum 1 is the initial SAT film with $P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ torr. As $P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is increased, no ice nucleation is apparent until spectrum 4 ($P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ torr). Ice features are more distinct a few minutes later (spectrum 5) once the ice film has had a chance to grow.

Fig. 4. Determination of the critical saturation ratio. The saturation ratio with respect to ice is plotted vs. time for the experiment shown in Fig. 3 (dashed line). Also shown is the integrated area 779 to 879 cm$^{-1}$ plotted vs. time (solid line). Ice nucleation is indicated by a sharp increase in the integrated area. The corresponding saturation ratio is referred to as the critical saturation ratio, $S_{\text{ice}}^*$. For this experiment, $S_{\text{ice}}^* = 1.3 \pm 0.1$.

been subtracted from each subsequent spectrum, and is therefore not shown. The remaining subtraction results are labeled accordingly. These results verify the above observations. No signs of ice growth are apparent until spectrum 4. At this point, peaks corresponding to the OH stretch (3220 cm$^{-1}$) and the libration (820 cm$^{-1}$) for ice are evident. These peaks become more conspicuous as the ice film continues to grow (spectrum 5).

Although both the spectra and spectral subtractions are valuable for determining the presence of ice, we do not use them to determine the onset of nucleation. Rather, we use integrated areas of two ice features previously discussed. Figure 4 shows the integrated area of the libration at 779–879 cm$^{-1}$ plotted as a function of time for the same experiment shown in Fig. 3. The integrated area of the OH stretch at 3181–3282 cm$^{-1}$ plotted as a function of time is also used, but is not shown here. Both integration areas yield similar results. In addition to the integrated peak area, Fig. 4 also shows the saturation ratio with respect to ice ($S_{\text{ice}}$) plotted as a function of time. $S_{\text{ice}}$ is defined as

$$S_{\text{ice}} = \frac{P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(T)}{P_{\text{eq}}(T)},$$

where $P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(T)$ is the experimental H$_2$O pressure for some experimental temperature $T$ and $P_{\text{eq}}(T)$ is the equilibrium vapor pressure of ice at that same temperature, as determined using the expression of Marti and Mauersberger (1993).
appears to be an efficient ice nucleus with a larger effective area profile that is used to determine \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \). For this experiment, \( S^*_{\text{ice}} = 1.3 \pm 0.1 \), corresponding to a critical water vapor pressure of \( P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^* \) of \( 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \) torr. The error in \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) is determined by propagating the estimated errors in both the water pressure measurement (\( \pm 2\% \) to \( \pm 10\% \)) and the temperature measurement (\( \pm 0.2 \) to \( \pm 0.4 \) K).

The results for \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) as a function of temperature are summarized in Fig. 5a. It can be seen in this figure that SAT appears to be an efficient ice nucleus with \( S^*_{\text{ice}} = 1.02-1.3 \) over the temperature range 194.5–169.8 K. This corresponds to a necessary supercooling of only 0.1–1.3 K below the ice frost point. When compared to the 2–3 K supercooling required for homogeneous nucleation (Bertram et al., 1996; Chang et al., 1999; Jensen et al., 1991; Koop et al., 1998; Middlebrook et al., 1993; Tabazadeh et al., 1997a, 1997b), our data indicates that vapor deposition of ice on SAT could be a competitive nucleation mechanism. The second observation is that there is a slight temperature dependence in \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \), with \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) increasing with decreasing temperature. The cause of this behavior is not entirely clear, although we offer one possible explanation below.

For comparison, Fig. 5b contains the same \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) data for ice on SAT in addition to \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) data for the vapor deposition of ice on silicon. Note the change in scale. These additional experiments were performed in the same manner as was previously described for ice on SAT but without a SAT film present. They were performed to verify that the previously observed ice nucleation occurred on SAT rather than on any exposed silicon or on the copper mount surfaces. It can be seen that at each temperature, \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) for ice on silicon is significantly higher than \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) for ice on SAT. Therefore, vapor deposition of ice is easier on SAT than on any other exposed surfaces that may exist in the apparatus and, as a result, will occur preferentially.

Our observation of efficient ice deposition on SAT raises two questions regarding the details of the nucleation process. First, in light of how SAT is prepared in this study, one possibility is that our SAT surfaces could be preactivated towards ice formation, thereby enhancing their efficiency as ice nuclei. However, since SAT is most likely to form in the stratosphere via a heterogeneous mechanism such as that utilized here (e.g., Peter, 1997), the distinction between SAT and preactivated SAT in this study is immaterial to the implications of the results. More importantly, despite the absence of HNO\(_3\) in these experiments, the possibility of SAT dissolution (or “deliquescence”) still needs to be considered (Koop and Carslaw, 1996). The dashed line in Fig. 5a represents \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) at the point SAT becomes thermodynamically unstable upon cooling, determined using the European Aerosol Inorganics Model (AIM) (Carslaw et al., 1995; Massucci et al., 1999). Comparison of this line to the experimental data demonstrates that, particularly at the higher temperatures, SAT dissolution followed by heterogeneous ice nucleation within the resulting liquid layer is indeed a possible mechanism. While neither the infrared spectra nor the spectral subtractions ever showed the formation of aqueous H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (see Fig. 3), the presence of a small amount of liquid below our detection limit cannot be ruled out. Integrating the SAT peak from 1060 to 1095 cm\(^{-1}\) showed a possible decrease in the peak area suggestive of a few percent decrease in the amount of SAT present in a fraction of our experiments. However, the magnitude of the decrease in signal is comparable to the spectral noise rendering this analysis inconclusive. Therefore, based on the available spectroscopic evidence, we believe we are observing the vapor deposition of ice on SAT.

Using our experimentally determined \( S^*_{\text{ice}} \) data, it is possible to calculate a contact parameter, \( m \), between ice and SAT for vapor deposition; keeping in mind that the following calculations would be somewhat altered if the mechanism is actually that of heterogeneous nucleation out of solution. The contact parameter is a measure of the degree of matching.
between these two lattices. A perfect match is indicated by $m = 1$, while a complete mismatch is indicated by $m = -1$. To calculate $m$, we use classical heterogeneous nucleation theory for nucleation on a planar substrate. Pruppacher and Klett (1997) define the nucleation rate, $J$, as

$$ J = Ae^{\left(-\frac{\Delta F}{RT}\right)}, $$

where $A$ is the prefactor, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin, and $\Delta F$ is the free energy associated with critical-sized germ formation. For ice nucleation, Fletcher (1962) estimated an $A$ on the order of $10^{24}$ to $10^{27} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Following this example, we use the approximations $J = 1 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and $A = 10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in our calculations. Such approximations are justified by the fact that $m$ is not very sensitive to either of these terms. For example, varying $J$ or $A$ by twenty-five orders of magnitude changes $m$ by $\sim 2\%$. By rearranging Eq. (2) we can calculate $\Delta F$, which is related to the experimentally determined $S_{\text{ice}}^*$ by the following expression

$$ \Delta F = \frac{16\pi M^2 a_{\text{iv}}^2}{3RT\rho \ln S_{\text{ice}}^*} f(m), $$

where $f(m)$ is a matching function, $R$ is the universal gas constant, and $M$ is the molecular weight (18.0152 g mol$^{-1}$). The density of ice, $\rho$, was calculated as a function of temperature using the expression of Pruppacher and Klett (1997)

$$ \rho = \sum_{n=0}^{2} a_n T (\degree {C})^n, $$

with $a_0 = 0.9167$, $a_1 = -1.75 \times 10^{-4}$, and $a_2 = -5.0 \times 10^{-7}$. Finally, $a_{\text{iv}}$ is the surface tension at the ice/vapor interface. The surface tension for both the ice/water and water/vapor interfaces exhibits a temperature dependence, the expressions for which are available in the literature (Pruppacher and Klett, 1997). Unfortunately, we were unable to find an explicit expression in the literature for the temperature dependence of $\sigma_{\text{iv}}$. However, Pruppacher and Klett (1997) cite values of $\sigma_{\text{iv}} = 104 \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ at 273 K and $\sigma_{\text{iv}} = 106 \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ at 233 K. To estimate the temperature dependence of $\sigma_{\text{iv}}$, we fit these two points with a straight line. Using the resulting expression

$$ \sigma_{\text{iv}} = -5 \times 10^{-2} \times T (\degree {C}) + 1.04 \times 10^2, $$

we were able to calculate $\sigma_{\text{iv}}$ at each of our experimental temperatures. Our resultant $\sigma_{\text{iv}}$ values range from 109.2 to 107.9 erg cm$^{-2}$ over the temperature range 169.8–194.5 K. By comparison, over the same temperature range, estimates of the temperature dependence for the ice/liquid water interface yields values of 112–116 erg cm$^{-2}$ (Drdla and Turco, 1991). Therefore, our estimate of the temperature dependence of $\sigma_{\text{iv}}$ does not seem unreasonable. By rearranging Eq. (3) and solving for $f(m)$, $m$ can be calculated using

$$ f(m) = \frac{(2 + m)(1 - m)^2}{4}. $$

Solving Eq. (6) numerically results in a determination of $m$. The results of these calculations are summarized in Fig. 6.

Figure 6 shows that $m$ ranges from 0.94 to 0.995 over the temperature range 169.8–194.5 K. This temperature dependence in $m$ is surprising. If $m$ truly represents the lattice matching between SAT and ice, one would expect a single value for $m$. One possible explanation for the observed temperature dependence could be that the crystal structures change over our temperature range. At our warmest temperatures we are confident that we are vapor depositing hexagonal ice. In contrast, at the colder temperatures we may be vapor depositing some fraction of the ice as cubic ice. The literature lends some credence to this hypothesis. There is a significant body of experimental evidence that puts the transition temperature between vapor deposition of hexagonal ice and vapor deposition of cubic ice at anywhere between 193 and 143 K (Hobbs, 1974).

Since the temperature dependence in $m$ mirrors that observed in $S_{\text{ice}}^*$ (Fig. 5), an alternative explanation for the observed temperature dependence is that the behavior in $m$ is an artifact of the calculation. If we had neglected the temperature dependence of either $\sigma_{\text{iv}}$ or $\rho$, this might be the case. However, since we have accounted for these dependencies in our calculations, we believe that the observed behavior is real, and thus favor the former explanation. Regardless of the cause of the temperature dependence, the results reported here indicate that SAT is an efficient ice nucleus.
4 Atmospheric implications

Since SAT is an effective ice nucleus, the vapor deposition of ice on SAT could compete with, and perhaps even dominate, the ice nucleation process in the formation of Type 2 PSCs. This, in turn, could significantly change our understanding of the existence temperature and properties of these clouds. To investigate this further, we have employed a microphysical/photocatalytic model to simulate Type 2 PSC formation when the vapor deposition of ice on SAT is invoked.

The model employed, the Integrated MicroPhysics and Aerosol Chemistry on Trajectories (IMPACT) model, is discussed in detail elsewhere (Drdla, 1996; Drdla et al., 2003). Briefly, the IMPACT model is a Lagrangian trajectory model that traces an individual air parcel as it moves through the atmosphere. It simulates the PSC microphysics (condensation, evaporation, sedimentation, nucleation, freezing, and melting), heterogeneous chemistry, and gas-phase chemistry. The model sedimentation scheme includes a calculation of the incoming particle flux from higher altitudes (causing renitrification and rehydration) as discussed by Drdla (2003).

The default mechanism for water ice formation in the model is homogeneous freezing of sulfate aerosol, using the rates of Tabazadeh et al. (2000). Heterogeneous nucleation can also be simulated. To include the heterogeneous nucleation of ice on SAT in the model, the data in Fig. 6 was fit with the following expression

\[
m(T) = -0.468262 + (0.0144292 \times T) + (-3.55968 \times 10^{-5} \times T^2)
\]  

(7)

where \( T \) is the temperature in Kelvin. Equation (7) is valid over the range \( 170 < T < 195 \) K. A prescribed number of SAT particles is assumed to be initially present, ranging from \( 1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) to \( 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) in different simulations. SAT is assumed to form by freezing at temperatures warmer than the ice frost point and to melt when temperatures exceed the SAT melting point (Zhang et al., 1993). The remainder of the aerosol remains liquid unless homogeneous freezing (Tabazadeh et al., 2000) is able to occur. Given the focus on Type 2 PSCs, no other PSC formation mechanisms were included in these simulations.

The model runs examined Type 2 PSC formation and dehydration during the 1999–2000 Arctic winter. Given the warmer temperatures in the Arctic than in the Antarctic, Arctic Type 2 PSC formation is more difficult and therefore more likely to be sensitive to the assumed formation mechanisms. The winter of 1999–2000 was particularly cold in the Arctic and a maximum dehydration of 0.63 ppmv was observed (Herman et al., 2003; Schiller et al., 2002). As in Drdla et al. (2003), a set of 2905 diabatic trajectories was used to provide complete coverage of the vortex for the entire winter. The trajectories were calculated from the standard 3.75 deg \( \times \) 2.5 deg UKMO 12Z analyses, and therefore only resolve synoptic scale cooling. One limitation of these trajectories is that the UKMO analysis was 1–2 K colder than other analyses during the coldest period of the winter (Drdla et al., 2003). Therefore, the model Type 2 PSCs cannot be readily compared with observations. However, the sensitivity of the model results to the ice formation process can be investigated.

Figure 7 demonstrates that adding ice nucleation on SAT to the simulations allows Type 2 PSCs (newly formed, fully developed, evaporating, etc.) to exist at warmer temperatures and, thus, occur more frequently. Results are plotted in terms of optical extinction, summed over all model particle types, to facilitate comparison with satellite measurements. Optical extinctions are calculated from the model size distributions using a Mie code (Steele et al., 1999). As Fig. 7a shows, if Type 2 PSCs can only form by homogeneous freezing (the same scenario as the “IceFrz” scenario in Drdla et al. (2003)), significant supercooling with respect to the ice frost point (>2 K) is required before Type 2 PSCs appear. Relatively few air parcels experience such cold temperatures,
thereby reducing the number of PSC events. Furthermore, these PSCs tend to sublimate at cold temperatures since rapid dehydration lowers the frost point. (Determination of the ice frost point in Fig. 7 assumes a constant value of 5 ppmv H$_2$O to mimic analyses applied to satellite data). On the other hand, nucleation on SAT permits Type 2 PSCs to exist at temperatures very near the ice frost point (Fig. 7b). The number of Type 2 PSC events is increased because more air parcels experience the required temperatures. Furthermore, homogeneous freezing of ice is suppressed when ice is able to nucleate on SAT. Even when temperatures fall several degrees below the ice frost point, the high supersaturations necessary for homogeneous freezing are prevented by water condensation onto the existing ice. Therefore, ice nucleation on SAT fundamentally changes the characteristics of the Type 2 PSCs at all temperatures. It is important to note that the Type 2 PSCs above the ice frost point in Fig. 7b are real. Some are the result of finite evaporation timescales; a PSC is considered to be present until 99% of the H$_2$O has evaporated. Others are in air that has been rehydrated. The additional water vapor allows Type 2 PSCs to persist at temperatures warmer than the 5 ppmv ice frost point shown in Fig. 7.

Similar features, namely more widespread Type 2 PSCs with events near the ice frost point, were found for a wide range of assumed SAT particle concentrations. However, the characteristics of the PSCs were strongly influenced by this assumption. Larger SAT particle concentrations allow larger ice particle concentrations, smaller particle radii, and larger extinctions. In turn, dehydration is affected, as shown in Fig. 8. Dehydration is four times more frequent, with more than 40% of the vortex experiencing some dehydration for all simulations with SAT concentrations of 10$^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ or higher (Fig. 8a). However, the dehydration associated with ice nucleation on SAT is less intense than for homogeneous freezing. Whereas homogeneous freezing causes dehydration that frequently exceeds 30% in individual air parcels, with nucleation on SAT almost all the air parcels experience less than 30% dehydration, and many experience less than 10% dehydration. This less intense dehydration can be attributed to two factors. First, with the vapor deposition mechanism, ice particles are able to form in less intense cooling events than is possible with homogeneous freezing. Consequently, the brief PSC duration limits the extent of dehydration. Second, for SAT concentrations greater than 10$^{-2}$ cm$^{-3}$, the large number of resulting ice particles are small in size, thereby reducing the efficiency of dehydration. As a result, vortex-averaged dehydration only increases by a factor of two to three (Fig. 8b). Dehydration is strongest for SAT concentrations near 10$^{-2}$ cm$^{-3}$, where the ice particle number and radius combine to yield the most efficient dehydration. Examination of the sources of the model Type 2 PSCs reveals that in all scenarios with SAT concentrations of 10$^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ or higher, homogeneous freezing to form ice is suppressed. However, for lower SAT concentrations ice supersaturations can exceed the homogeneous freezing threshold. For example, at a SAT concentration of 10$^{-4}$ cm$^{-3}$, only 25% of the model dehydration is a result of the vapor deposition of ice on SAT, while the rest is attributed to homogeneous freezing.

It is important to note that none of these simulations produced realistic denitrification or Type 1 PSC formation. A mechanism for directly forming NAT particles is necessary to reproduce these features. To confirm whether the results shown in Figs. 7 and 8 are applicable in more complete scenarios, an additional set of simulations was created. In these simulations, Type 1 PSCs were allowed to form by heterogeneous freezing of sulfate aerosols and ice nucleation was either turned off ($m = 0.75$) or turned on ($m$ calculated using Eq. 7). Again, ice nucleation on SAT produced much more widespread Type 2 PSC formation and dehydration, and homogeneous freezing of ice was suppressed. Therefore, even in more realistic scenarios, SAT particles are present in sufficient concentrations for ice nucleation on SAT to control the characteristics of Type 2 PSCs.

Few observations exist that can be used to determine whether the model results are more realistic with or without
ice nucleation on SAT, in part because relatively few studies have focused on Type 2 PSCs. However, POAM II satellite observations provide some information about the onset temperature of Type 2 PSCs (Fromm et al., 1999). Statistics of all Arctic POAM II Type 2 PSCs from 1993 to 1996 show an onset temperature near or above the ice frost point. These observations are qualitatively more consistent with the model results in which ice nucleation on SAT is considered (Fig. 7). Future work will exploit more detailed model/measurement comparisons to provide better tests of the Type 2 PSC formation mechanisms.

5 Conclusions

We have suggested an alternative formation mechanism for Type 2 PSCs, the vapor deposition of ice on SAT. Our laboratory results show that SAT is an efficient ice nucleus with $S_{\text{ice}} = 1.3 - 1.02$ over the temperature range 169.8–194.5 K. This corresponds to a necessary supercooling of 0.1 – 1.3 K below the ice frost point. Comparison of our results to previous work on the homogenous nucleation of ice out of liquid solution implies that this nucleation mechanism could be competitive, and perhaps even dominate, in Type 2 PSC formation. Furthermore, since the present work demonstrates that the vapor deposition of ice can occur at low $S_{\text{ice}}$, we recommend further study of the conditions required to deposit ice on SAT surfaces.

Using these experimental results in the IMPACT model allows us to probe the effect this heterogeneous nucleation mechanism could have on Type 2 PSC existence temperature, characteristics, and dehydration. The model simulations show ice nucleation on SAT permits Type 2 PSCs to form with little supercooling, thus increasing the extent of Type 2 PSCs relative to a simulation in which only homogenous freezing is responsible for ice formation. As a result, the extent of dehydration is increased, although the severity of the dehydration is dependent upon the number of SAT nuclei assumed to be present.
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