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Abstract. An automated gas chromatograph with sample pre-concentration for the measurement of peroxyacetyl-nitrate (PAN) was constructed with a minimum detection limit below 1 pptv. This instrument was deployed at the British Antarctic Survey’s Halley Research Station, Antarctica (75.6°S, 26.6°W) as part of the CHABLIS (Chemistry of the Antarctic Boundary Layer and the Interface with Snow) campaign. Hourly measurements were carried out between July 2004 and February 2005 with observed maximum and minimum mixing ratios of 52.3 and <0.6 pptv, respectively with a mean PAN mixing ratio for the measurement period of 9.2 pptv (standard deviation: 6.2 pptv). The changes in PAN mixing ratios typically occurred over periods of several days to a week and showed a strong similarity to the variation in alkenes. The mixing ratio of PAN at Halley has a possible seasonal cycle with a winter maximum and summer minimum, though the cycle is incomplete and the data are very variable. Calculations indicate that gross local PAN production is approximately 1 pptv d$^{-1}$ in spring and 0.6 pptv d$^{-1}$ in summer. Net loss of PAN transported to Halley in the summer is a small gas-phase source of NOx and net production of PAN in the spring is a very small NOx sink.

Since its discovery as a component of photochemical smog, PAN has been measured throughout the troposphere, even in remote locations. The mixing ratios of PAN seen in highly polluted urban environments can reach tens of parts per billion (ppbv) (Gaffney et al., 1999; Rappengluck et al., 2000) whilst the levels seen in remote marine environments are often only a few pptv (Gallagher et al., 1990; Muller and Rudolph, 1992; Staudt et al., 2003)

While the production of the peroxyacetyl (PA) radical (which reacts with NO$_2$ to make PAN) is a photolytic process, the main loss process for PAN is initiated by dissociation into the peroxyacetyl radical and NO$_2$. This dissociation process is highly sensitive to temperature, so much so that a change in temperature from 300K to 270K changes the calculated rate of dissociation by over two orders of magnitude. Thus PAN produced in polluted environments and lifted to high altitudes, where the temperatures are low, could be transported great distances before the air descends and warms, releasing the NO$_2$ tied up in PAN. This transport of PAN away from source regions and its subsequent thermal decomposition has long been a subject of interest as a source of nitrogen oxides (NOx), and thus a potential impact on ozone production, in remote regions (Crutzen, 1979; Ridley et al., 1990, Hudman et al., 2004).

While there have been many studies of PAN in remote northern hemisphere locations, with several of the Arctic (Bottenheim and Gallant, 1989; Beine and Krognes, 2000; Dassau et al., 2004), there are fewer published studies of the southern hemisphere and only currently two of high southern latitudes.

Measurements made during the PEAN’99 campaign show PAN mixing ratios at Neumayer (70.6°S, 8.3°W) ranging between 48 pptv and <5pptv (the limit of detection) during February (Jacobi et al., 2000). This campaign was followed by a cruise north from Neumayer to Cape Town, South Africa in March and there was only 1 pptv variation in the observed daily mean PAN mixing ratios of 17.6 pptv at

1 Introduction

One of the products of the oxidation of most hydrocarbons by OH radicals in the presence of NO$_2$ is peroxyacetyl nitrate (CH$_3$C(O)OONO$_2$), hereafter referred to as PAN, which is the most abundant of a number of peroxy nitrates which have been observed in the troposphere (Roberts 1990, Roberts et al., 2003; Flocke et al., 2005).
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This study aims to add to the exceptionally sparse measurements of PAN so far reported in the Antarctic region and to investigate any seasonality of PAN mixing ratios in Antarctica. In this paper we present measurements taken over the austral winter, spring and summer of 2004–2005, made with a purpose built automated gas chromatograph which we describe.

2 Experimental

2.1 Measurement site

All the measurements used in this study were taken at the Clean Air Sector Laboratory (CASlab) at Halley located on the Brunt ice shelf, Antarctica. The predominant wind direction at Halley is easterly, with westerly and more southerly winds also contributing a significant proportion of surface wind directions seen at Halley (King, 1985; Renfrew and Anderson, 2002). Northerly winds are rare. The CASlab itself was situated approx. 1 km SSE from the main base.

The CASlab sample manifold consisted of an unheated inlet approximately 8m above the surface, connected to a 10 cm diameter stainless steel pipe from which the instrument sampled via a 2 m long 1/8” PFA line.

Measurements of ozone, CO and non-methane Hydrocarbons (NMHC) were made throughout the PAN measurement period, while measurements of NO and NO\(_2\) data were available for January and February only. Ozone was measured every minute using a commercial UV absorption instrument (2B Technologies). NMHC were measured on an hourly basis using a GC-FID with pre-concentration of samples on an adsorbent trap (Read et al., 2007), with detection limits in the range 2–5 pptv for C\(_2\)-C\(_5\) hydrocarbons. CO was measured as a 30 s average using a commercial fast-response resonance fluorescence instrument (Aerolaser GmbH). OH and HO\(_2\) radicals were also measured during the summer intensive period (late December to early February) using the FAGE technique (Bloss et al., 2007).

2.2 Instrument description

The GC used in this study was a 19” rack mounted computer controlled instrument and a schematic is shown in Fig. 1a. This schematic does not show details of the trap itself or the temperature controls.

2.2.1 Sample trap

The sample trap consists of a 1 m coil of 0.53 mm MxT-1 (100% dimethylpolysiloxane) metal column (Restek) with a film thickness of 7 µm. The coil was contained inside a circular groove cut into a 3×3×0.5 cm aluminium block and held in the groove by an aluminium plate. The block was cooled to between 223 K and 208 K using a triple stage cascade peltier (Melcor CP1.0-127-05L operated at 6 V on top of a Melcor 25C055045-127-63L running at 13 V) with a water cooled heatsink maintained at 263 K by a re-circulating water chiller. The temperatures of the aluminium block and the sample loop were recorded with T-type thermocouples. The cold block was insulated and sealed to prevent water condensing on the trap.

For injections, the sample loop was heated by passing a current directly through the sample loop using a 12 V DC supply. The heating of the sample loop was controlled by...
computer and heating rates of approximately 14°C s⁻¹ were used. An injection temperature of 308–318 K was used to minimise the thermal decomposition of PAN in the sample loop.

2.2.2 Column and column oven

The column was a 15 m long 0.25 mm i/d MXT-200 (trifluoropropylmethyl polysiloxane) with a 1 µm film (Restek) which was housed in a temperature programmable oven. The column was held at 263 K for 3 min and then heated up to 318 K, initially at 1.3 K min⁻¹ and then after PAN had eluted, at 5 K min⁻¹. Under typical operating conditions, PAN eluted after 12 min. The oven consisted of an insulated 1.3 cm thick aluminium block with a 10 cm diameter circular groove cut into it, into which the column sat and was held tightly in place by a covering plate. The block was cooled with a 58 W single-stage Peltier device and heated on the opposite side by a 50 W mat heater attached to the covering plate. The temperature of the column was measured in 3 places by k-type thermocouples to ensure an even temperature along the column. Maximum and minimum possible temperatures of the column were limited by temperature controllers and temperatures within this range were regulated by turning the heater/peltier combination on and off as necessary.

2.2.3 Sample manifold and zeros

The sample manifold consisted of six 3-way PTFE solenoid valves connected by silcosteel tubing (Restek). The sample manifold was flushed with sample air for 3 min before trapping started by actuating two bypass valves (D in Fig. 1) and the purge valve (C). Trapping was initiated by deactivating valves (D) and terminated by deactivation of valve (C). Because of the small diameter of the trap, the amount of water in 200 ml of Antarctic air could block the trap so the air samples were dried with a 72” nafion dryer (H) situated upstream of valve (A). All samples were trapped for 10 min at 20 sccm and purged with helium for 20 s prior to injection to reduce the disruption to the baseline caused by the water in the sample.

Calibrations were performed by actuating valve (A) which selects the output from the calibration unit and zeros were performed by diverting the sample flow through a 3m length of 1/8” silcosteel tubing wound onto an aluminium block (G) maintained at 423 K, a method commonly used in PAN instruments. At this temperature 99.9% of PAN should have dissociated in 0.14 s and at sample flow rate of 20 sccm the residence time in the tube is approx. 14 s. Bare stainless steel tubing was not used to avoid possible decomposition of propyl nitrate, which co-elutes with PAN on this column, on dry metal. Propyl nitrate should not thermally decompose at this temperature because it has a lifetime of over 2 h at 420 K based on the thermal dissociation rate constant of Barker et al. (1977).

All gases were drawn through the trap with a diaphragm pump and the flow rates were controlled by a downstream mass flow controller between the trap and the pump.

2.2.4 Others

The carrier and purge gases (both Helium) were regulated by pressure regulators and the detector make up gas (Air-CH₄(5%)) was controlled by a stainless steel double stage needle valve. Typical operating conditions were a column flow rate of 1-2 ml min⁻¹ and a makeup gas flow of 25 ml min⁻¹.

The detector was a CE80 Electron capture detector (Thermo Scientific) controlled with an ECD850 control unit (Thermo Scientific). The detector was held at 329 K to minimise PAN decomposition in the detector, which was significant at a detector temperature of 350 K.

Data was acquired using custom written software and Measurement Computing hardware and data was sampled at 81 Hz and averaged and recorded at 9 Hz.

2.2.5 Calibration unit

The calibration unit produces PAN using the widely used method of photolysis of acetone/air/NO mixtures (Warneck and Zerbach, 1992; Flocke et al., 2005). A schematic of the calibration unit is shown in Fig. 1b.

Acetone-containing air (50–100 ml min⁻¹) is mixed with NO (flow 0.5–2 ml min⁻¹) and the mixture is photolysed in a flow-through Pyrex cell. A Penray mercury lamp was employed and the Pyrex cell cuts out wavelengths below 290 nm, preventing photolysis of PAN in the cell. This minimises PAN photolysis within the cell, but requires a higher acetone concentration for maximum conversion of NO to PAN than the method of Flocke et al. (2005). The acetone containing air was generated by passing air through silicone tubing held above an acetone reservoir and the length of the silicone tube was optimised to minimise the amount of acetone required for maximum PAN production. The PAN produced in the photolysis cell is then further diluted by zero air, the flow of which is controlled by a flow control valve. The total air flow (acetone permeation + diluent) was measured upstream with a mass flow meter.

The lamp and the upstream solenoid on/off valves were controlled by PC allowing automatic selection of a variety of calibration unit outputs (zero air, non-photolysed mixture etc).

Prior to the field deployment, the output of the calibrator was analysed on a 4 channel chemiluminescence NOxy instrument (Brough et al., 2003) and a GC-ECD optimised for organic nitrate analysis (Jones et al., 1999). Within the calibration unit greater than 99.5% NO loss was observed, with approx. 1% of the NOy output being methyl nitrate. Because
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Instrument performance

To test if the instrument response was linear with concentration, 200 ml samples of calibration gas with PAN mixing ratios between 8 and 107 pptv were trapped at 223 K. A sample of photolysed air/acetone (NO not added) was also measured. It is clear from Fig. 2a that the instrument is linear over the range studied.

Figure 2b shows the detector response to a calibration of 20 pptv as a function of sample volume at two trapping temperature (210 and 223 K). As can be seen, the instrument response is linear for small sample volumes, but flattens out at higher volumes indicating that sample breakthrough has occurred and that at 200 ml the trap has reached equilibrium with the sample air. At 223 K the curve starts to become non-linear at a lower sample volume and the instrument response is lower at high sample volumes indicating a smaller effective volume of air is concentrated at 223 K than at 210 K. This suggests that variations in trap temperature could thus result in inaccurate PAN measurements. During the measurement period, the fluctuations in trap temperature were observed mainly in the winter when the laboratory temperature fluctuated most. These occurred over periods of several days and since calibrations were performed during these periods the change in temperature and thus effective sample volume should not have given spurious results. There was however a drift in the minimum attainable temperature during the campaign and at the start of the campaign a trapping temperature of 208 K was achieved, whilst at the end of the campaign the trapping temperature was 220 K.

This change in the effective volume trapped did not affect the observed linearity with concentration but did reduce the sensitivity and thus the ultimate limit of detection. This could be improved with a longer trap and/or a trap with a larger surface area. At 220 K, the precision of the instrument measuring calibrations (200 ml volume at 72 pptv) over a period of 48 h was 4.7% (3 sigma of 48 samples), and 5.2% for 18 consecutive calibrations at 14.1 pptv.

Figure 2c shows two chromatograms obtained in Antarctica on 11th of December 2004, an air sample containing 31 pptv of PAN and an air sample with the PAN decomposed by passing the sample through the zero heater.

It is evident from Fig. 2c that the PAN is removed by passing the sample through the heated section and there is no evidence of propyl nitrate which co-elutes with PAN on this column, but is not decomposed by the heater. The small peak after PAN in the air sample in Fig. 2c is an unknown component that is often not present at all, but when it is present is always very small it was not present in any air samples after the zero for many hours. No other peaks in the chromatogram showed a change upon passing through the heater. Propyl nitrate was never observed in any of the zeros during this study. This is consistent with propyl nitrate measurements of the presence of acetone, the NO2 could not be determined very accurately with this instrument, but was estimated at only 3–4% of the total NOy. We thus estimate that the calibration source converts NO to PAN with about a 95+/-2% efficiency. The accuracy in the calibration output, as calculated from the sum of the squares of the individual errors in gas flows, conversion efficiency and the NO mixing ratio, is estimated to be 14.2%. The NO standard used by the calibrator was re-analysed post campaign and was found to be 2% lower than its pre-campaign certification level (E. Williams, personal communication).
from bottle samples made regularly throughout the year at Halley being consistently below 0.2 pptv (and often below 0.05 pptv) with only a few exceptions in winter and mid summer where levels reached 1 pptv (data not shown).

The limit of detection (LoD) of the instrument was estimated from the standard deviation of the noise of the chromatogram in the PAN region during zeros and the instrument sensitivity calculated from the calibrations, and is defined here as 3σ of the noise.

The detection limit of the instrument varied throughout the measurement period between a minimum of 0.6 pptv and a maximum of 4.2 pptv with a median of 1.65 (LQ=0.89, UQ=2.85) pptv. The causes of the drifts in instrument sensitivity are probably mostly the result of trapping temperature changes—particularly in the winter months and, because of the small size of the trap and low injection temperatures used, possible variations in the cleanliness and effectiveness of the trap caused by occasional accumulation on the trap of less volatile components. The variation in baseline noise may be due to changes in the cleanliness of the detector and the efficacy of the sample drying throughout the campaign.

The mean short-term precision, which is determined by sample-sample reproducibility and variation of instrument sensitivity between calibrations, determined from 20 sets, taken throughout the whole measurement period, of 8 consecutive air injections was 16 +/-8%. This was considerably worse than the precision observed for lab calibrations before and after the campaign and also worse than that observed during night-time ambient air measurements made in Norwich post-campaign (9%). The cause of the poor precision in Antarctica is unknown.

### 3.2 Field measurements

PAN measurements were obtained from 7 July 2004 to the end of January 2005. Problems with the logging computer prior to July meant only sporadic data were obtained between March and June 2004 and these data are not included here. Samples were taken at 1 h intervals, calibrations were performed every 2 days and heated zeros performed every 4-5 days. Occasional blanks were also performed throughout the campaign, by sampling helium with no evidence of contamination observed at any time.

To study the long term stability of the instrument and calibration source, the calibration-derived GC sensitivity can be compared with the sensitivity of the instrument to long lived halocarbons. In our chromatograms of air (but not the calibrations) we have a few peaks which on the MxT-200 column elute earlier than PAN and are probably halocarbons. The largest and one of the latest eluting of the unknowns, peak X, is likely to be mostly CCl_4. The integrated area of this peak is plotted with the calibration-derived sensitivity in Fig. 2d. The two measurements follow a very similar pattern over periods of a few days and also have the same longer term variations suggesting that we are witnessing changes in the GC sensitivity rather than changes in the calibrator output. It is clear from Fig. 2d that the relative magnitudes of the peak X area and sensitivity measurements vary over the short term, but it must be recognised that there are large uncertainties regarding peak X such as its sensitivity to trapping conditions, its resolution from other closely eluting components and a poor baseline in the early part of the chromatogram, all of which will contribute to the variation. Over the last 3 months of the campaign, there is a gradual decrease in the mean ratio of calibration sensitivity to peak X area. This may be caused by a change in calibrator output leading to a maximum potential overestimation of PAN of 10% in January, but equally it could be changes in peak X area that are responsible for this drift.

A time series of the hourly PAN measurements and the daily mean PAN mixing ratio is shown in Fig. 3 along with hourly data for CO, ozone, temperature, TUV calculated solar flux (as a guide to potential photochemically active radiation) and ΣC_2-C_5 alkenes. Table 1 shows a statistical overview of the PAN data and includes the February 1999 measurements of Jacobi et al. (2000), for comparison. Where samples were below the LoD of the instrument a value of half the LoD at that time was used in the calculation of the daily and monthly averages shown in both Table 1 and Figs. 3 and 4.

The daily mean PAN mixing ratio varied from 0.82 pptv to 33.2 pptv, whilst the individual hourly measurements ranged from below 0.6 pptv (lowest LoD) to 52.3 pptv (observed on 27 July.). Throughout the measurement period 17% of the individual hourly measurements were below the detection limit of the instrument and 23% were lost through instrument problems-mostly in groups of 2–3 days.

There was no example of a diurnal variation in PAN observed at any time during the measurement period and the variation in the mixing ratios typically took place over periods of several days to a week.

### Table 1. Statistical overview of PAN mixing ratios (in pptv) during CHABLIS. The Data for Feb 99 measured at Neumayer (Jacobi et al 2000) is included for comparison. Means and medians are calculated from the hourly data using 0.5* est LoD for periods where PAN was below the LoD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>std. dev.</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb (1999)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LoD = Limit of Detection*
The PAN mixing ratios observed in this study are consistent with those obtained at Neumayer, which is also a coastal site, by Jacobi et al. (2000) in February 1999, when a mean mixing ratio of 13 pptv was reported, with a maximum value of 48 pptv. The levels seen at Halley are somewhat lower than PAN levels typically observed in the MBL in the Southern Ocean and South Atlantic in early March (Jacobi and Schrems, 1999) though it is recognised that our data was obtained earlier in the season than those obtained in the South Atlantic. They are also much lower than the mixing ratios observed at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard (78.9° N, 11.9° E) in the comparable arctic seasons (Beine and Krognes 2000) and those seen at Alert, Nunavut (82.5° N, 62.5° W) and Summit, Greenland (72.5° N, 38.4° W)(Dassau et al., 2004). This is not surprising given the much greater distances from sources of pollution in Antarctica compared to the Arctic and the lower levels of PAN precursors in the southern hemisphere in general.

A linear regression of the daily means of PAN and ozone shows no correlation and the short –term variation of PAN in this study does not correlate with ozone or CO, though there is one occasion (24 to 31 January) where PAN correlates well with CO. This is in sharp contrast with a long term study of PAN in the Arctic at Ny-Ålesund where ozone and PAN were highly correlated throughout the year (Beine and Krognes; 2000). The PAN, ozone and alkene data all show short term variability and whilst there is no relationship between PAN and ozone variability, there are several periods where PAN and the alkenes vary in a very similar way for long periods (e.g. 21 January 2005–31 January 2005). There are also periods where large changes in one species are not matched by changes in the other (31 December 2004–14 January 2005 is a good example).

Several studies have shown a clear seasonal cycle in the Arctic with a spring maximum in PAN and a summer minimum. The monthly medians of PAN, ozone and CO are plotted in Fig. 4 and whilst CO and ozone show clear seasonal variability, with a September maximum for CO and ozone (although we do not have data for earlier in the year) the pattern in PAN is less clear. Whilst the observed median PAN concentrations are highest in winter and lowest in summer, and although the median PAN and ozone values follow a very
similar pattern, the much greater day to day variation in PAN mixing ratios means that any seasonal cycle inferred from these data alone are tentative. The alkene data presented here for the July to January period show no clear seasonal pattern, but the full cycle peaks in April-May with a sharp decline into July (Read et al., 2007).

For the measurement period the daily local gross production rate of PAN was estimated, in the same manner as Dassau et al. (2004), using the following simplified reaction scheme and assuming that all radicals formed are lost. The loss due to OH was an order of magnitude lower than that of photolysis and has not been considered further. Apart from the spring, when the total rate is still small, photolysis was only a minor contributor to the total PAN decomposition rate. The loss due to OH was an order of magnitude lower than that of photolysis and has not been considered further.

The maximum PAN loss rate was estimated using Eq. (1) and assuming that all radicals formed are lost.

\[
\frac{d[PAN]}{dt} = (k_D + J_{PAN})[PAN] 
\]  

\[
\text{(1)} 
\]

Table 2. Rate constants and concentrations used in calculations. First order rate constants are in \( \text{s}^{-1} \) and 2nd order are in \( \text{molec}^{-1} \text{cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \). Photolysis rates are calculated from TUV and NO/NO\(_2\) is calculated from the Leighton relationship.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mixing ratio used</th>
<th>Rate Constant</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>observed</td>
<td>( 6.9 \times 10^{-12} \exp(–1000/T) )</td>
<td>Atkinson et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>scaled to Jan mean of ( 4 \times 10^5 ) molec cm(^{-3})</td>
<td>( 5.4 \times 10^{16} \exp(–13830/T) )</td>
<td>Roberts and Bertman., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO(_2)</td>
<td>scaled to Jan mean of 0.76 pptv</td>
<td>( 4.2 \times 10^{12} \exp(365/T) )</td>
<td>Sivakumaran and Crowley, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>observed</td>
<td>( 1.2 \times 10^{-11} (T/300)^{-0.9} )</td>
<td>Bridier et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_3)</td>
<td>observed</td>
<td>( 5.2 \times 10^{-13} \exp(980/T) )</td>
<td>Moortgat et al. (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_x)</td>
<td>25 pptv</td>
<td>( 7.5 \times 10^{-12} \exp(290/T) )</td>
<td>Villalta and Howard, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO/NO(_2)</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>( 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \exp(–1310/T) )</td>
<td>Atkinson et al. (2004).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( k_1 \)

\( CH_3CHO + OH \rightarrow PA \) (k\(_{k3}\))

\( PAN \rightarrow PA + NO_2 \) (k\(_{kD}\))

\( PA + NO \rightarrow PA + NO_2 \) (k\(_{k5}\))

\( O_3 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2 \)

\( J_{CH3CHO} \rightarrow \text{ products} \) (k\(_{k5}\))

C\(_2\)H\(_6\) + OH \rightarrow CH\(_3\)CHO

CH\(_3\)CHO + h\(_v\) \rightarrow \text{ loss} 

CH\(_3\)CHO + OH \rightarrow PA

PA + NO\(_2\) \rightarrow PAN

PA + NO \rightarrow \text{ loss} 

PA + HO\(_2\) \rightarrow \text{ loss} 

Clear-sky photolysis rates were estimated using TUV derived actinic flux (Madronich and Flocke, 1988) and the OH and HO\(_2\) concentrations were estimated by scaling the measured January mean concentrations with the actinic flux using the same method as Bloss et al. (2007).

NO\(_x\) was assumed to be a constant 25 pptv and the NO/NO\(_2\) ratio was estimated from the Leighton relationship (Leighton, 1961) using observed ozone. Table 2 shows the source of the data and the rate constants used in the calculation of both steady state acetaldehyde and PAN production.

These calculations suggest that gross PAN production is roughly constant at approx 0.9–1 pptv d\(^{-1}\) throughout the spring and early summer and that in January it is only 0.6 pptv d\(^{-1}\) at Halley, though there may well be additional sources of both acetaldehyde and peroxycetyl radicals, such as ketone, which would alter this production rate. Unfortunately no measurements of these oxygenates were made.

PAN loss rates were similarly estimated for the entire measurement period using the thermal and photolysis rate constants. Figure 5a shows the calculated thermal dissociation and photolysis rate constants throughout the measurement period, as well as the combined total rate constant.

Except in spring, when the total rate is still small, photolysis was only a minor contributor to the total PAN decomposition rate. The loss due to OH was an order of magnitude lower than that of photolysis and has not been considered further.

The maximum PAN loss rate was estimated using Eq. (1) and assuming that all radicals formed are lost.

\[
\frac{d[PAN]}{dt} = (k_D + J_{PAN})[PAN] 
\]  

\[
\text{(1)} 
\]
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Fig. 5. (A) Calculated rate constants for PAN showing thermal dissociation (solid blue line), photolysis (dashed line) and the total (line and circle). (B) Maximum (black solid) and the minimum (red dashed) PAN loss rates calculated from equations 1 and 2, for the whole measurement period. (C) The same two PAN decomposition rates for January 2005 with the measured NOx (pink circles) also shown.

Estimates of the minimum loss rates were made by considering only the proportion of radicals formed by thermal dissociation reacting with NO to be lost:

\[-\frac{d[\text{PAN}]}{dt} = k_D[\text{PAN}](1 - \alpha)\] (2)

where

\[\alpha = \frac{k_4}{k_5[\text{NO}]/[\text{NO}_2] + k_4}\] (3)

The resulting maximum and minimum daily PAN loss rates are shown in Fig. 5b.

During the spring, the calculated production rates are higher than the maximum loss rates indicating that PAN production is a small net NOx sink during this period. As the season progresses, the loss rates become larger and by January, at PAN mixing ratios above about 6 pptv the calculated minimum loss rates are greater than the estimated production rates and PAN is a net source of NOx. Measured daily mean NOx during January ranged from 6–31 pptv (shown in Fig. 5c with PAN loss rates for January only) while PAN loss rates between 4 and 14 pptv (minimum and maximum respectively) are calculated during the elevated PAN episodes. Thus PAN can be an important gas-phase source of NOx in Antarctica during the summer.

The low calculated production rates at Halley suggest that the episodes of high PAN are unlikely to have been formed locally and that the PAN has been formed elsewhere and transported to Halley (or formed in transit over a period of many days). It is, however, impossible to rule out local, sporadic sources of PAN or its precursors as a cause of these episodes.

The source regions of PAN are however impossible to determine. The PAN mixing ratio does not show any relationship to the type of back trajectory in any period of the year nor do the short – term variations correspond to changes in either ozone or CO, which is different to the pattern seen in the Arctic (Beine and Krognes, 2000) where PAN and ozone are co-transported from mid latitudes. Other common tracers such as acetylene/CO ratios are uninformative since throughout the early part of the campaign the ratio decreases smoothly with season with no significant deviations and during late spring and summer acetylene, like several of the hydrocarbons is often below detection limit.

The absence of large deviations from the smooth seasonal change in CO suggests that the air sampled at Halley was well mixed, aged air with background levels of CO and that transport of polluted air is a rare event.

The absence of any clear relationship between recent air-mass history and PAN is evident from Fig. 6, where Fig. 6a shows 8-day back trajectories for days when the daily mean PAN mixing ratio was greater than 140% of the monthly mean level, and Fig. 6b shows trajectories for days when PAN was less than 60% of the monthly mean. The data show that trajectories that originate over the sea are typically low level and those from the continent, by necessity are higher, but that there is no obvious difference between the patterns of the trajectories for high and low PAN, nor any significant difference between mean PAN mixing ratios measured in marine or continental trajectories. The data are taken from the entire measurement period, but there is still no obvious relationship between trajectory type and PAN even when viewed on a monthly or seasonal basis.

This lack of a relationship between the apparent recent air-mass history and PAN mixing ratio is not entirely surprising since under high latitude conditions the lifetime of PAN can be much longer than the calculable length of a trajectory, even in summer, and the PAN lifetime itself is extremely sensitive to differences in temperature during transport.

While the PAN shows no relationship with back-trajectories, there is, for a notable proportion of the data, a clear qualitative relationship with the alkenes (mostly ethene and some propene). Figure 7 shows timeseries for PAN and the alkenes for such a period.

Ethene has an OH dependent lifetime of about 15 days under typical high latitude winter conditions and the ratio of ethene to propene is consistent with an oceanic origin of these species, though emissions from snowpack cannot be excluded as a possibility (Read et al., 2007). The clear relationship between PAN and alkenes suggests that the alkenes...
and precursors of PAN share a common source and that much of the variation in PAN is caused by differing influence of oceanic emissions on the air arriving at Halley. Because of the different principal loss processes for PAN and alkenes, differences in temperature, time since emission and NO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratios will all affect the PAN/alkene ratio observed at Halley. There is again no clear relationship between back trajectory and the PAN-alkene relationship. As for the alkenes, a variable and sporadic snowpack source of either PAN or its precursors cannot be ruled out. On only one occasion is it possible to identify the potential source region. Between 25 and 30 January 2005, PAN increased and then decreased along with CO and alkenes with maxima occurring on 27 and 28 January. During this period, 8-day back trajectories suggest that the airmass arrived at Halley from the South Atlantic marine boundary layer (MBL) near the Falkland Islands, whilst on the 26th and 30th, the air was descending from high altitudes above the Antarctic continent. Even in this period an ozone increase was not observed and during this period, there was no change in NOx, nor the sum of C\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{5} alkanes. This trajectory was the furthest north in the summer, but apart from this, does not stand out as unique when compared to the rest of the data.

The PAN mixing ratios we observe are not incompatible with those of the surrounding region, with the July mean value at Halley being very similar to the few observations made in Punta Arenas, Chile, during June (Rudolph et al., 1987) and the South Atlantic measurements in late summer (Jacobi and Schrems, 2000) fit well with our higher observations. Mid-tropospheric air in remote regions (the Pacific being the most widely studied) has been observed to...
often contain higher levels of PAN than the boundary layer (Roberts et al., 2004; Kotchenruther et al., 2001) though in these studies there was greater variation in mixing ratios at a given altitude than between different altitudes. Thus mid-tropospheric air transported to Antarctica could also often contain high levels of PAN.

4 Conclusions

The instrument as used at Halley worked well with detection limits as low as 0.6 pptv achieved in the field. The system was also reliable for the 7 months of its active deployment thus allowing good data coverage within this period. The main limitations of the instrument are the frequency of sampling and the variations in the precision and sensitivity of the instrument in the Antarctic. An increase in trap size, using a packed trap with a much larger surface area, and an improvement in temperature control should help with the latter issues.

The measurements made in this study show that there is a possible seasonal cycle in PAN which is different to those typically observed in the Arctic and the absolute levels of PAN are much lower than observed in the Arctic during the equivalent season. Although the data presented here are not a complete annual cycle, the cycle appears to peak in winter, but the obvious relationship with alkenes (which are highest in autumn/early winter) means that an autumn maximum can not be ruled out.

In summer, the decomposition of PAN can be an important source of NOx in the Antarctic, whilst at other times of the year PAN decomposition is negligible.
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