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Abstract

In this second part of a series of articles dedicated to a detailed analysis of bromine chemistry in the atmosphere we address one (out of two) dominant natural sources of reactive bromine. The two main source categories are the release of bromine from sea salt and the decomposition of bromocarbons by photolysis and reaction with OH. Here, we focus on C\textsubscript{1}-bromocarbons. We show that the atmospheric chemistry general circulation model ECHAM5/MESSy realistically simulates their emission, transport and decomposition from the boundary layer up to the mesosphere. We included oceanic emission fluxes of the short-lived bromocarbons CH\textsubscript{2}Br\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}ClBr, CHClBr\textsubscript{2}, CHCl\textsubscript{2}Br, CHBr\textsubscript{3} and of CH\textsubscript{3}Br. The vertical profiles and the surface mixing ratios of the bromocarbons are in general agreement with the (few available) observations, especially in view of the limited information available and the consequent coarseness of the emission fields. For CHBr\textsubscript{3}, CHCl\textsubscript{2}Br and CHClBr\textsubscript{2} photolysis is the most important degradation process in the troposphere. In contrast to this, tropospheric CH\textsubscript{2}Br\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{3}Br and CH\textsubscript{2}ClBr are more efficiently decomposed by reaction with OH. In the free troposphere approximately one third of the C\textsubscript{1}-bromocarbons decomposes by reaction with OH. In the boundary layer the reaction with OH is relatively important, whereas it is negligible in the stratosphere. Our results indicate an approximately 50% longer lifetime of CH\textsubscript{3}Br (≈1 year) than assumed previously, implying a relatively strong contribution to stratospheric bromine and consequent ozone destruction.

1 Introduction

The abundance of bromine in the troposphere and the importance of individual bromine sources to atmospheric halogen chemistry is highly uncertain. Only few measurement data of reactive bromine precursors are available. The main sources are the release of inorganic bromine from sea salt and emissions of bromocarbons followed by photolysis or reaction with OH. In the present study about atmospheric bromine, the focus is
on C\textsubscript{1}-bromocarbons (further denotes as bromocarbons). Their emission fluxes, the transport and the main regions and processes of degradation are investigated here.

The most comprehensive model studies of bromocarbons thus far have been performed by Warwick et al. (2006a,b) who applied the Chemical Transport Model (CTM) p-TOMCAT to simulate atmospheric bromoform (CHBr\textsubscript{3}) and other short-lived bromocarbons. (Table 1 lists all abbreviations.) They concluded that global source estimates using the previously used top-down approach were too low and suggested a global emission flux of about 400-600 Gg(CHBr\textsubscript{3})/yr. These emissions largely take place in the tropics. In addition to bromoform, Warwick et al. (2006a) investigated the short-lived bromocarbons CH\textsubscript{2}Br\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}ClBr, CHClBr\textsubscript{2}, and CHCl\textsubscript{2}Br. The emission fields of these compounds have been scaled to the emission fields of bromoform. Yang et al. (2005) were the first to use these emission fields to simulate bromine chemistry in the troposphere together with semi-prognostically calculated bromine sources from sea-salt aerosol, also using the p-TOMCAT model.

In this study we apply the emission fields proposed by Warwick et al. (2006a) in our atmospheric chemistry general circulation model (AC-GCM). As the GCM is only weakly forced towards the analysed meteorology of the European Centre for Medium-Range WeatherForecasts (ECMWF), it consistently calculates its own meteorology, whereas p-TOMCAT is driven offline by 6-hourly ECMWF data. Furthermore, our model also includes the middle atmosphere and realistically simulates the exchange between stratosphere and troposphere (STE) in the applied vertical resolution. The p-TOMCAT model domain, in contrast, is restricted to below 30 km altitude. Hence, some differences between the simulated bromocarbon distributions in our simulation and those of Warwick et al. (2006a) and Yang et al. (2005) are to be expected.

Only few measurement data sets are available for an evaluation of the simulation results. Surface mixing ratios have often been observed in coastal regions, but in these locations bromocarbon emissions are usually higher than over the open ocean. Thus, many measurements have to be interpreted as upper limits, as coastlines and surf effects can not be resolved in a model with a horizontal resolution of approximately
250 km. Information about the vertical distribution of bromocarbons is even harder to obtain, since satellite observations of these species are not available. Thus the only source of height information are aircraft measurements. The three data sets used here are from CARIBIC (Brenninkmeijer et al., 1999), the Pacific Exploratory Mission (PEM): PEM-West B (Hoell et al., 1997), PEM-Tropics A (Hoell et al., 1999) and PEM-Tropics B (Raper et al., 2001) and the GABRIEL (Stickler et al., 2007; Lelieveld et al., 2008) campaign.

Section 2 describes the model setup. In Sect. 3 the simulated distributions of CHBr₃, CH₂Br₂, CH₂ClBr, CHClBr₂, CHCl₂Br and CH₃Br are evaluated. The relative importance of photolysis and the reaction with OH of the bromocarbons as a source of reactive bromine is discussed in Sect. 4. Finally, the results are summarised in Sect. 5.

2 Model description

For the first comprehensive study of bromine chemistry in the boundary layer (BL), the free troposphere (FT) and the stratosphere, the ECHAM5/MESSy1⁺ (E5/M1⁺) model is applied. The model system consists of the general circulation model ECHAM5 (Roeckner et al., 2003, 2004, 2006) and the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy, Jöckel et al., 2005, 2006). For this study the middle atmosphere setup of ECHAM5, (MAECHAM5 Giorgetta et al., 2002, 2006) has been applied in the T42L87 resolution, i.e., with a triangular truncation at wave number 42 for the spectral core of ECHAM5. This corresponds to a quadratic Gaussian grid of approximately 2.8°×2.8° in latitude and longitude, with 87 vertical levels on a hybrid-pressure grid, reaching up to 0.01hPa (middle of the uppermost layer). The model time step is 720 s. Instantaneous data output is triggered every five hours.

The following submodels included in MESSy were switched on: cloud formation (submodel CLOUD), convection (CONVECT; Tost et al., 2006b, 2007b), convective tracer transport (CVTRANS), scavenging of trace gases and aerosols and wet deposition (SCAV; Tost et al., 2006a), gas and aerosol phase chemistry (MECCA(-AERO);
Sander et al., 2005; Kerkweg et al., 2007), photolysis (JVAL; Landgraf and Crutzen, 1998) and heterogeneous chemistry in the stratosphere (HETCHEM), online and offline emissions as well as tracer nudging (ONLEM, OFFLEM and TNUDGE; Kerkweg et al., 2006b), the air-sea exchange of acetone and methanol (AIRSEA; Pozzer et al., 2006), emission of NO\textsubscript{x} from lightning (LNOX; Tost et al., 2007a), aerosol dynamics (M7; Vignati et al., 2004; Kerkweg et al., 2008), dry deposition of trace gases and aerosols (DRYDEP; Kerkweg et al., 2006a) calculated following the big leaf approach of Wesely (1989), sedimentation of aerosols (SEDI; Kerkweg et al., 2006a), radiation feedback (RAD4ALL), nudging of the QBO (QBO), polar stratospheric clouds (PSC) and TROPOP (used to determine the tropopause and the boundary layer height).

The individual submodels of the setup are described by Jöckel et al. (2006) and Kerkweg et al. (2008). Previously, atmospheric bromine was represented by prescribing CH\textsubscript{3}Br and halon mixing ratios (CH\textsubscript{3}Cl, CFCl\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}CCl\textsubscript{3}, CCI\textsubscript{4}, CF\textsubscript{2}BrCl, CF\textsubscript{3}Br) at the surface based on observations (Jöckel et al., 2006), whereas in the present study five additional bromocarbons are taken into account. In the following details are given for the submodels which are of special importance for the current study.

Bromocarbon emissions are included using the annually averaged emission fields as provided by Warwick et al. (2006a). They are processed by the submodel OFFLEM (Kerkweg et al., 2006b). Figure 1 shows the emission fields for CH\textsubscript{2}ClBr and bromoform (CHBr\textsubscript{3}). The emission fluxes of CHCl\textsubscript{2}Br, CH\textsubscript{2}Br\textsubscript{2} and CHClBr\textsubscript{2} show the same global pattern as CH\textsubscript{2}ClBr. They are scaled by a constant factor yielding the annual global emission fluxes as proposed by Warwick et al. (2006a). These values are listed in Table 2. It is assumed that the bromocarbon emissions are highest in the tropics, decrease towards the mid-latitudes and approach zero at high-latitudes (>50° N or S). For bromoform, additionally enhanced coastal emissions are assumed which result in a slightly different emission pattern for CHBr\textsubscript{3}. For methyl bromide pseudo-emissions are calculate using the submodel TNUDGE which is designed to nudge trace gases whose emissions are not well known but whose surface concentrations are observed. The technique of tracer nudging is described in Kerkweg et al. (2006b). In case of CH\textsubscript{3}Br
the observations from the measurement stations of the AGAGE database (Prinn et al., 2000) are expanded into the respective surrounding latitudinal band yielding monthly latitudinal averages. Figure 2 shows the surface CH$_3$Br mixing ratios which are used as input for the TNUDGE submodel.

To evaluate the relative importance of photolysis and reaction with OH for bromocarbon degradation, diagnostic tracers are introduced to track the yields of Br radicals by photolysis of the six bromocarbons and by their reactions with OH. The supplement of this article comprises a full list of all reactions taken into account in the chemistry mechanism of MECCA (Sander et al., 2005; Kerkweg et al., 2007). The rate coefficients for the reactions of the bromocarbons with OH (equations G7404 to G7408 in the supplement) have been estimated by Yang et al. (2005). Their photolysis rates have been included following the suggestions of Sander et al. (2003).

The simulation period is 3 years (January 1998 to December 2000). The first two years are taken as model spin up, whereas the year 2000 is analysed. As chemistry calculations which include gas and aerosol phase reactions are computationally very expensive, simulations of longer periods are not yet feasible. One simulation month took approximately 14 h wall clock time on 256 CPUs of the IBM pSeries Regatta system, based on Power 4 processor technology at the Rechenzentrum Garching (RZG).

### 3 Comparison of bromocarbon distributions

Bromocarbon observations are scarce because these compounds are difficult to measure and the interest to study them has been limited. We concentrate on the comparison with observations in the midlatitudes and tropics, as a meaningful comparison with high-latitude (polar) data would require a model which is much more adjusted to the specific needs of polar chemistry simulation. Most observations are limited to CH$_3$Br and CHBr$_3$. We start with the investigation of the species with the shortest lifetime, CHBr$_3$, followed by the analysis of the distributions of CH$_2$Br$_2$, CH$_2$ClBr, CHCl$_2$Br and CHClBr$_2$. We complete this section with the analysis of methyl bromide, the compound
with the longest lifetime of the six investigated bromocarbons.

3.1 CHBr$_3$

Bromoform is the shortest-lived bromocarbon addressed here with a lifetime of approximately 3 weeks. Figure 3 presents the simulated annual average surface mixing ratios of bromoform in pmol/mol for the year 2000. Measurements of surface CHBr$_3$ are reported more often than for other short-lived bromocarbons. Surface CHBr$_3$ was measured by Yokouchi et al. (1997) during two western Pacific cruises (one from 31 January 1991, to 20 February 1991, in the area of approximately 135–165° E, 25° S to 40° N; and one from 16 September to 25 October 1992, in the area of 135–180° E, 40° S to 40° N). They report mixing ratios between 0.13 and 2.9 pmol/mol for the western Pacific cruises. For this region, the CHBr$_3$ mixing ratios in the simulation are higher reaching values between 0.14 and 33 pmol/mol with an average of 1.9 pmol/mol. The same holds for the Asian cruise with maxima of 7.1 pmol/mol and 10 pmol/mol for the observations and the simulation, respectively. Carpenter et al. (1999) observed bromoform at Mace Head, Ireland (53° 19’ N, 9° 54’ W) during May, 1997. The bromoform mixing ratios ranged from 1.9 to 16.3 pmol/mol with an average of 6.3 pmol/mol. Two factors contribute to these relatively high mixing ratios. First, the biological seasonal cycle induces higher bromoform mixing ratios (Carpenter et al., 2005) during summer (from mid-March to mid-October: on average 5.3 pmol/mol) and lower ones in winter (mid-October to mid-March: on average 1.8 pmol/mol). Thus, the mixing ratio measured by Carpenter et al. (1999) is at the high end of what is to be expected in summer at Mace Head. Second, Mace Head is a well-known coastal source region for bromocarbons. Carpenter and Liss (2000) observed bromoform at Mace Head during the PARFORCE campaign (average: 6.8 pmol/mol; 1.0–22.7 pmol/mol) and at Cape Grim, Tasmania during SOAPEX 2 (average: 2.6 pmol/mol; 0.6–8.0 pmol/mol) (Carpenter et al., 2003). Our simulated surface mixing ratios for this region are near the low end of this range possibly because of the coarse model resolution as there is no distinction between coastal zones and the open sea. Ramacher et al. (1999)
observed bromoform in Ny Ålesund, Norway (78°55′ N 11°56′ E) and report an average of 0.65 pmol/mol. The measurements range from below the detection limit up to 1.0 pmol/mol. Our simulation agrees well with these measurements.

The only available data for a comparison of the vertical profiles are those from the PEM-Tropics A and B campaigns (Emmons et al., 2000; Colman et al., 2001; Blake et al., 2001). Figure 4 shows the simulated vertical profiles for bromoform (black line) compared to the PEM-Tropics A data (red dots). The profiles for the PEM-Tropics B campaign are very similar and not shown here. Since this simulation was performed for the year 2000 only, we show values for this year. The solid line indicates a spatiotemporal average for the respective flight. The dashed lines indicate the simulated minimum and maximum values during the campaign. Near the surface the simulation matches the shape of the observations, i.e. an increase towards the surface with decreasing altitude in most profiles. In contrast, the upper parts of the profiles do not match well. In the observations the mixing ratios mostly decrease towards higher altitudes, whereas most simulated profiles increase towards the tropopause. This is also in contradiction to measurements of the NASA START campaign (Schaufler et al., 1998). During this campaign in January/February, July/August and December 1996 most bromocarbons discussed here were measured in the tropical tropopause region. Schaufler et al. (1998) found bromoform to cluster at or below 0.2 pmol/mol in the upper tropical troposphere. Our simulation reaches values as high as 2 pmol/mol. The overestimation of the vertical profiles in the upper troposphere and at the tropical tropopause layer is most probably due to dynamical reasons and the emission assumption, as we can exclude chemistry, e.g. too slow reaction with OH or photolysis, as reason for the partly overestimated mixing ratios in the upper troposphere, because the simulated lifetime of CHBr₃ (Table 2) is by 6 days shorter as the lifetime of 26 days reported by the WMO (WMO, 2007). There are two reasons adding up yielding this too high bromoform mixing ratios. First, the emission flux of CHBr₃ is too high, as almost all simulated profiles show a consistently higher bromoform mixing ratio as the observations. This is most probably due to our decision to use the largest emission flux scenario proposed by War-
wick et al. (2006b), which seems to overestimate the emissions in case of our model. Second, a detailed analysis of the vertical velocity fields at all the dates and places of the vertical profiles of PEM-Tropics A showed that all c-shaped profiles are associated with large scale downward motion which is mostly attributed to the Hadley cell circulation. Obviously, this motion transports bromoform downward into the upper to free troposphere. As the only source of bromoform is emission at the surface, it must have been transported upward by convection, i.e. in the upward branch of the Hadley cell circulation. Thus convection plays an important role for the simulated overestimation in the upper troposphere. But the convection scheme by Tiedtke does not overestimate neither convection intensity or height nor the frequency at which convection is triggered (Tost et al., 2006b). Hence, due to the high bromoform emission simply too much bromoform is transported upward by convection and leads to too high bromoform mixing ratios in the subsidence area of the Hadley cell. This is confirmed by the fact, that all regions which recently have been subject to convection show an overestimation of the bromoform mixing ratios in the lower and middle troposphere. These regions can be identified by their well mixed profiles.

3.2 CH$_2$Br$_2$

The number of measurements for dibromomethane is very limited. Yokouchi et al. (1997) measured surface CH$_2$Br$_2$ during their western Pacific cruises in the range of 0.14 pmol/mol to 1.58 pmol/mol. Figure 5 displays the simulated annually averaged surface mixing ratios of CH$_2$Br$_2$. They are well within the range of the measurements of Yokouchi et al. (1997).

Ramacher et al. (1999) observed 0.9–1.3 pmol/mol near Ny Ålesund, Norway during 29 March to 15 May 1996. At this location the simulation shows an average of 0.9 pmol/mol over the period from 29 March to 15 May 2000. This is at the lower end of the observed range. Ny Ålesund is located directly at the coast where CH$_2$Br$_2$ emissions are usually higher. Thus a single point measurement taken directly at the coast is likely to give higher mixing ratios than the average for a model grid-box of
≈250 km length. The same holds for the observations of Carpenter et al. (2003). They report CH₂Br₂ mixing ratios ranging from 0.28 to 3.39 pmol/mol with an average of 1.44 pmol/mol at Mace Head during the PARFORCE campaign (September 1998). This is again about a factor of 2 more CH₂Br₂ than predicted by the simulation.

In contrast, the simulated southern hemispheric mixing ratios are slightly too high. During the SOAPEX 2 campaign Carpenter et al. (2003) observed average CH₂Br₂ mixing ratios of 0.43 pmol/mol, varying between 0.1 and 1.39 pmol/mol. For January/February 2000, the simulation shows an average mixing ratio of 1.2 pmol/mol, which is well within the range of measured values but significantly higher than the observed mean value.

To evaluate the vertical distribution of CH₂Br₂ aircraft measurements are required. The aircraft measurement campaigns PEM-Tropics-A and B (Colman et al., 2001) and the project CARIBIC provide the only available databases for CH₂Br₂ measurements. Colman et al. (2001) observed CH₂Br₂ mixing ratios between 0.41 and 2.55 pmol/mol for the PEM-Tropics A campaign. Figure 6 shows the vertical profiles of CH₂Br₂ mixing ratios for the PEM-Tropics B campaign. The simulation overestimates CH₂Br₂ in all profiles. The observations are mostly below the interval determined by the minimum and maximum values in the model for the whole period of the measurement campaign. Nevertheless, the mixing ratios usually increase towards the surface in the simulation and in the measurements. The comparison of the vertical profiles to the PEM-Tropics A data is similar and therefore not shown here.

The second collection of CH₂Br₂ aircraft measurements used is from the CARIBIC database (Oram et al., in preparation¹, Brenninkmeijer et al. (1999)). Figure 7 shows the comparison of the simulation (black line) with the CARIBIC data (red line) for eight flights in 2000. The simulation overestimates the amount of CH₂Br₂ at cruising altitude (≈9–11 km) by a factor of approximately 1.5. As a consequence of the rather coarse

horizontal resolution of the model setup, the variability in time is smaller in all simulations compared to the observations, partly also because averaging over the model grid-boxes smooths small scale variations.

In addition to these aircraft observations for which the data can be used for a direct comparison, some measurement data are available in the literature. Schauffler et al. (1993) measured a range of 0.15 to 1.02 pmol/mol, with an average of 0.72 pmol/mol during the AASE-II campaign at a flight altitude between 15.3 and 17.2 km. For the same area, the model predicts CH₂Br₂ mixing ratios of about 0.55 pmol/mol with a range from 0.11 to 0.9 pmol/mol. This matches the measurements by Schauffler et al. (1993), even though the average may be too low. Kourtidis et al. (1996) published three vertical profiles of CH₂Br₂. One was measured over Hyderabad, India (17.5° N) on 9 April 1990, the others were measured over Kiruna, Sweden (68° N). Figure 8 displays these measurements and the profiles resulting from the simulation on the same date, though for the year 2000. The thin black lines indicate the minimum and the maximum mixing ratios of the simulation in a 14-day interval centered at the respective observation dates. This provides a rough estimate of the variability at this time of year. However, the variability of the model is not high enough to explain the measurements. For Hyderabad (left), the shape of the model profile matches the observations relatively well, but the absolute values are too high. Apart from the low value at 10 km altitude, the gradient at the tropopause is relatively well captured. For January over Kiruna (middle) the simulated mixing ratios are lower by less than a factor of 2 for the measurements above the detection limit. In contrast, the simulation is off by a factor of 2 for March, 7. The steep gradient in the measurements is also found in the simulation, though at a higher altitude. This might be due to the offset of the tropopause height of the model (≈9 km) compared to the observed tropopause height of 7.2 km.

Figure 9 shows the seasonal cycle of the vertical distribution of CH₂Br₂. The CH₂Br₂ concentrations are highest in the southern hemisphere. The mixing ratio decrease in the northern summer hemisphere is much more pronounced than in the southern hemisphere. This is due to the distribution of the sources and sinks of CH₂Br₂. First,
the emission fluxes of CH$_2$Br$_2$ are assumed to be only oceanic and symmetric around the Equator. Since a larger part of the southern hemisphere is covered by the ocean, the total emissions are larger. Second, the losses by photolysis and reaction with OH give rise to a seasonal cycle. The zonally averaged vertical distributions of OH concentrations in 10$^6$ /cm$^3$ are given in Fig. 10. The concentration of OH is higher in the northern hemispheric summer than in the southern hemispheric summer, thus the oxidation of CH$_2$Br$_2$ by OH is stronger in the northern hemisphere. Schauffler et al. (1998) measured on average 0.5 ($\pm$0.2) pmol/mol in the tropical tropopause region. Figure 9 suggests that the model overestimates this mixing ratio by a factor of 2, depending on the position of the tropopause (the black line in the picture indicates the average position for this time interval).

3.3 CHClBr$_2$, CHCl$_2$Br and CH$_2$ClBr

Knowledge about these short-lived bromocarbons is sparse. Therefore, their emissions were scaled to the oceanic bromoform emissions according to the estimated global annual emission flux (see Table 2) as proposed by Warwick et al. (2006a).

Surface measurements are available only for CHClBr$_2$. Carpenter et al. (1999) report mixing ratios between 0.3 and 1.8 pmol/mol with an average of 0.8 pmol/mol for Mace Head, Ireland, whereas Schall and Heumann (1993) observed between <0.01 and 1.0 pmol/mol in Spitsbergen, Norway, with an average of 0.33 pmol/mol. The left panel of Fig. 11 presents the simulated annual average surface mixing ratios of CHClBr$_2$. The simulation agrees to the observations fairly well. No surface measurements are – to our knowledge – available for CHCl$_2$Br and CH$_2$ClBr. However, to give a full picture the simulated surface mixing ratios (in pmol/mol) are shown in the middle and right panels of Fig. 11, respectively.

Figure 12 shows the seasonal cycle of the vertical distributions (in fmol/mol) of CHClBr$_2$ (top), CHCl$_2$Br (middle) and CH$_2$ClBr (bottom). The southern hemispheric mixing ratios of all three bromocarbons are higher than the northern hemispheric ones except for DJF. The gradient is largest during the northern hemispheric summer. Gen-
erally, due to the higher irradiation the photolysis rates and the OH concentration are higher in summer leading to a higher rate of decomposition of the bromocarbons. During the STRAT campaign Schaufler et al. (1998) measured CHClBr$_2$, CHCl$_2$Br and CH$_2$ClBr mixing ratios in the tropical tropopause of about 40, 20 and 120 fmol/mol, respectively. These values are for CHClBr$_2$ and CH$_2$ClBr in general agreement with the average mixing ratios as shown in Fig. 12 whereas the CHCl$_2$Br mixing ratio in the tropical tropopause is up to a factor of 3 larger than measured by Schaufler et al. (1998).

The only available vertical profiles from aircraft measurements for these three compounds are from the PEM-Tropics A and B campaign (Emmons et al., 2000; Colman et al., 2001; Blake et al., 2001). Figure 13 presents the vertical profiles for CHClBr$_2$ measured during the PEM-Tropics B campaign. The observations and the simulation agree well. The picture for the PEM-Tropics A campaign is very similar and thus not shown here. Figures 14 and 15 show a comparison of the observed and simulated CHCl$_2$Br vertical profiles during PEM-Tropics A and PEM-Tropics B, respectively. For PEM-Tropics B the model underestimates the CHCl$_2$Br mixing ratios, whereas for PEM-Tropics A they are slightly overestimated. The model tends to simulate increasing mixing ratios towards the surface, which is not always evident in the observations. CH$_2$ClBr measurements are only available for PEM-Tropics B. Figure 16 shows the vertical profiles. The simulation underestimates the CH$_2$ClBr mixing ratio by up to a factor of 2. At the beginning and the end of the PEM-Tropics B campaign the observed mixing ratios of CH$_2$ClBr and CHCl$_2$Br are very high. The feature is not covered by the simulation indicating a sofar unknown source process of CH$_2$ClBr and CHCl$_2$Br.

In general, no systematic model biases are apparent from Fig. 13 – 16 and the observations seem well captured. Some of the model-measurement discrepancies may be attributed to the simplified emission distribution of CHClBr$_2$, CHCl$_2$Br and CH$_2$ClBr rather than to their overall emission strength.
3.4 CH$_3$Br

Methyl bromide is the longest-lived species of all six bromocarbons investigated here, and relatively many measurement data are available. Andreae et al. (1996) measured 10 to 60 pmol/mol of methyl bromide in air influenced by forest fires. This may be considered as an upper limit as methyl bromide is enhanced in biomass burning plumes. At Mace Head Carpenter et al. (1999) observed a mean methyl bromide mixing ratio of 13.9 pmol/mol, with a range from 9.3 to 26.1 pmol/mol. Since the coastal region near Mace Head is known to be a strong source of bromocarbons, these mixing ratios probably also represent upper limits for methyl bromide from the viewpoint of the model grid scale of about 250 km. Similar values – also measured in coastal regions –, i.e. a mean of 13.7 pmol/mol (10.6–17 pmol/mol), are reported by Ramacher et al. (1999) for Ny Ålesund. Gros et al. (2003) measured 12 (±3) pmol/mol in Finokalia (35° 19’ N 25°40’ E) during the MINOS campaign. Simmonds et al. (2004) observed mixing ratios of 10.37 (±0.05) pmol/mol methyl bromide at Mace Head and considerably lower mixing ratios with a mean of 7.94 (±0.03) pmol/mol at Cape Grim, Tasmania (41° S, 145° E). This is in accord with the simulation. The surface mixing ratios of CH$_3$Br displayed in Fig. 17 also show a distinct north-south gradient. These measurements are all taken in coastal regions, thus a distinct influence of the tidal forcing on bromocarbon emissions (due to algae falling dry during low tide) is likely.

Montzka et al. (2003) observed a decrease of methyl bromide since 1998 on the basis of ten globally distributed baseline stations. From their measurements a decrease of the methyl bromide mixing ratios from $\approx 10.5$ pmol/mol for 1998 to 9 pmol/mol in 2003 can be inferred in the northern hemisphere. The mixing ratio of methyl bromide is lower in the southern hemisphere, decreasing from $\approx 8.2$ pmol/mol in 1998 to $\approx 7$ pmol/mol in 2003.

Figure 18 presents the annual average CH$_3$Br emission fluxes resulting from the tracer nudging (see Kerkweg et al. (2006b) for a description of the tracer nudging technique). The globally integrated emission fluxes as proposed by Warwick et al.
(2006a) and as derived from our model simulation do not differ much (131 Gg/yr vs. 130.6 Gg/yr).

For higher altitudes only data from the aircraft campaigns PEM-West B (Blake et al., 1997), PEM-Tropics A and B (Colman et al., 2001; Blake et al., 2001; Emmons et al., 2000), STRAT (Schauffler et al., 1998), GABRIEL (Gebhardt et al., 2008) and CARIBIC (Brenninkmeijer et al., 1999) are available.

Schauffler et al. (1998) report an average of 9.45 pmol/mol and Colman et al. (2001) summarises measurements from the PEM-Tropics A (5 August to 6 October 1996) and PEM-Tropics B (6 March to 18 April 1999) campaigns. Mixing ratios of CH$_3$Br between 6.0 and 11.9 pmol/mol were observed, with averages of 8.9 pmol/mol and 8.6 pmol/mol for PEM-Tropics A and PEM-Tropics B, respectively. Figure 19 shows the simulated zonally averaged vertical methyl bromide distribution for the campaign periods of PEM-Tropics A and B though for the year 2000. The simulated mixing ratios compare well to the observations of Colman et al. (2001) and Schauffler et al. (1998).

For a more detailed comparison Fig. 20 shows the vertical profiles as measured during the PEM-Tropics B campaign (red dots) and those resulting from the simulation (black lines). For the PEM-Tropics campaigns the simulation and the observations match very well. The vertical profiles for PEM-Tropics A are very similar and are not shown here.

The correspondence between simulations and observations decreases towards the north of the measurement domain. This might indicate some deficiency in the emission flux estimates for the mid-latitudes. Figure 21 shows the vertical profiles for PEM-West B. The mixing ratios are often underestimated by the model, while the shape of the profiles is reproduced. Part of the discrepancies may be explained by the negative CH$_3$Br trend of about 10% between 1994 (PEM-West B measurements) and 2000 due to a reduction in anthropogenic emissions (WMO, 2007).

However, some underestimation of the CH$_3$Br abundance is also apparent from the comparison with CARIBIC (Brenninkmeijer et al., 1999) as well as with GABRIEL (Gebhardt et al., 2008) data. Figure 22 displays 8 CARIBIC flights of the year 2000 and Fig. 23 shows the 7 GABRIEL flights, where the measurements of October 2005 are
compared to the simulation results of October 2000. The red line indicates the measurements, the black line shows the simulation results, respectively. For most flights the simulation yields too low CH$_3$Br mixing ratios. The methane lifetime in our simulation is 7.8 years. This is slightly lower as by Jöckel et al. (2006) (8.02 years) and well within the range of other models (8.67±1.32) (Stevenson et al., 2006). Thus chemistry is not the reason for the low CH$_3$Br mixing ratios. They are most likely a consequence of a still underestimated methyl bromide source. We recall that also the assumptions about the surface mixing ratios of CH$_3$Br to which surface CH$_3$Br is relaxed by TNUDGE are rather crude, i.e. because of the data paucity only latitudinal averages of surface CH$_3$Br mixing ratios are used. This is probably most relevant for the GABRIEL campaign as CH$_3$Br emissions of the tropical rainforest are not explicitly taken into account in our emission inventories. The derived lifetime of CH$_3$Br of about a year (Table 2), is up to a factor of two larger than previous estimates (WMO, 2007), This helps to reduce an imbalance between estimated sources and sinks (Reeves, 2003). One consequence is that substantially more CH$_3$Br may enter the stratosphere and contribute to ozone loss, both presently and in the natural pre-industrial atmosphere, than previously assumed.

4 Bromocarbons as sources of reactive bromine

Subsequently to the evaluation of the individual bromocarbon distributions we focus on the production of reactive bromine by photolysis of bromocarbons and their reactions with OH and the relative importance of the two pathways. In the following, the term "organic bromine" denominates the bromine contained in all six previously investigated compounds and in the halons CF$_2$BrCl and CF$_3$Br. “Reactive bromine” refers to bromine found in all gaseous compounds which are not organic bromine.

Table 3 lists the stratospheric and tropospheric global production in g(Br)/s of Br radicals by photolysis of bromocarbons and reaction with OH, respectively. The degradation of bromocarbons is by far larger in the troposphere with a total production of Br radicals of 22.08 g(Br)/s than in the stratosphere. Reaction with OH amounts
to 5.9 g(Br)/s, i.e. slightly more than one third of the total amount. Conversely, this means that photolysis is the dominant source process accounting for approximately two thirds of the bromine radical production from organic bromine. The stratospheric source is much smaller producing just as large as 0.44 g(Br)/s. Nevertheless, photolysis of CH$_3$Br, CH$_2$Br$_2$ and CH$_2$ClBr is more efficient in the stratosphere than in the troposphere. This is also illustrated by Fig. 24. In accordance with most stratospheric chemistry models (e.g. Steil et al. (1998); Teyssèdre et al. (2007)), which usually take only methyl bromide into account, CH$_3$Br is indeed a major stratospheric source of reactive bromine. Nevertheless, Table 3 includes a contribution from stratospheric bromoform photolysis of 0.211 g(Br)/s, which is $\approx$2.5 times higher than from methyl bromide (0.064 g(Br)/s) in the stratosphere. There might be two reasons for this surprisingly high number: First, as discussed at the end of Sect. 3.1, the simulated amount of bromoform is too high in the tropopause region leading to an overestimation of the production of Br radicals by the photolysis of bromoform. Second, the analysis shown in Table 3 was performed using the tropopause height being online diagnosed by the model. If a fixed height of 100 hPa (instead of the tropopause) would be assumed as a threshold, the stratospheric source by photolysis of bromoform is only 0.063 g(Br)/s. Thus production of bromine radicals by photolysis of bromoform is a large bromine source in the lowermost stratosphere, but its importance decreases rapidly with altitude. In contrast, the importance of methyl bromide photolysis reaches its maximum at much higher altitudes. This is also illustrated in Fig. 24. Stratospheric bromine chemistry becomes important above the altitude where stratospheric production of bromine radicals by photolysis of bromoform has decreased to a negligible amount. Hence, our simulation does not contradict the assumption that methyl bromide is the only important bromocarbon for stratospheric chemistry as is often used for stratospheric chemistry simulations.

Even though CH$_3$Br is the largest organic bromine source in the stratosphere, most of it reacts with OH in the troposphere, yielding 2.2 g(Br)/s. This also holds for the two bromocarbons (CH$_2$Br$_2$ and CH$_2$ClBr), whose photolysis is also more efficient in
the stratosphere than in the troposphere. The sink by reaction with OH in the troposphere amounts for CH$_2$Br$_2$ and CH$_2$ClBr to 2.588 and 0.123 g(Br)/s, respectively. The largest loss for the other three bromocarbons (CHBr$_3$, CHCl$_2$Br and CHClBr$_2$) occurs through photolysis in the troposphere. Reaction with OH in the stratosphere is negligibly small compared to the other production terms. However, the largest source species for bromine radicals in the troposphere is bromoform. The reason for this is twofold. First, bromoform emissions are highest and second, each molecule decomposition yields three bromine radicals. Figure 25 shows the production rate of bromine radicals by photolysis (left) of all bromine containing carbons (including halons) and by reaction with OH (middle), respectively. The right panel gives the fractional contribution of photolysis to the overall (photolysis + reaction with OH) Br production. These distributions are rather similar. As the sources of bromocarbons are largest in the tropics, the maximum production takes place in the tropics. In contrast to the reaction of bromocarbons with OH, of which the importance decreases with height, production by photolysis reaches a second relative maximum above 100 hPa which is due to photolysis of methyl bromide and the halons (which are not analysed in detail here). From the right panel of Fig. 25 it is apparent that photolysis dominates as a source process throughout the atmosphere. The only regions where reaction with OH seems to become important are the polar regions. However, since both processes are dependent on sunlight, this is in fact a ratio of two very small terms resulting in a large uncertainty. Thus the relative contribution of the reaction with OH to the decomposition of the bromocarbons is highest in the boundary layer.

In summary, photolysis and reaction with OH are both important decomposition processes of bromocarbons in the troposphere although photolysis dominates, especially above the boundary layer. In the stratosphere the photolysis of halons contributes substantially to the Br production.
5 Conclusions

In this part of a series of articles, focusing on atmospheric bromine chemistry, we investigate the distribution of bromocarbons as simulated with the AC-GCM ECHAM5/MESSy. The applied emission fields follow the estimates of Warwick et al. (2006a), more specifically emission scenario 5. Depending on the bromocarbon species, the model tends to slightly under- or overestimate the abundance. For bromoform, the observed (PEM) increase from the free troposphere towards the surface is very well reproduced. The model predicts an increase towards higher altitudes while the observations indicate a decrease. The CH$_2$Br$_2$ mixing ratios are overestimated by the simulation for most PEM profiles. Nevertheless, the simulated profile shape, especially the observed increase in the mixing ratio towards the surface is reproduced by the simulation. Compared to the CARIBIC data the CH$_2$Br$_2$ mixing ratios are somewhat overestimated.

The simulated CH$_2$ClBr mixing ratios are generally too low compared to PEM observations. On the other hand the simulated CHClBr$_2$ mixing ratios are in agreement with the measurements (PEM), while the simulated CHCl$_2$Br mixing ratios are slightly underestimated compared to PEM-B data and partly overestimated for PEM-A.

Bromoform is too high in our simulation compared to the measurements. We conclude that this is a consequence of the overestimated emission flux, as we applied the relatively high emission scenario proposed by Warwick et al. (2006b).

The simulated methyl bromide profiles match the observations very well in the tropics, whereas this agreement decreases somewhat towards the north. This is most probably a result of the simplifying assumptions about the surface mixing ratios of CH$_3$Br used for the tracer nudging. The comparison with CARIBIC and GABRIEL data shows an underestimation for the same reason. Despite this underestimation our results indicate a $\approx$50% longer CH$_3$Br lifetime than previously thought, implying a larger contribution to stratospheric ozone loss.

In summary, many bromocarbon mixing ratios are slightly overestimated by the...
model. However, as the measurements are mostly within the uncertainty of the simulation, we conclude that the agreement is relatively good while the shape of the vertical profiles and the total mixing ratios are realistically reproduced except the overestimations in the UTLS for CHBr$_3$ and CH$_2$Br$_2$.

Based on the evaluated bromocarbon distributions the production processes of reactive bromine were investigated. Photolysis was found to be the dominant decomposition process in the stratosphere for all bromocarbons. In the troposphere reaction with OH is the dominant loss process for CH$_3$Br, CH$_2$Br$_2$ and CH$_2$ClBr, whereas photolysis is most important for CHBr$_3$, CHCl$_2$Br and CHClBr$_2$.

This analysis focussed on the bromine source from bromocarbons. In Part-III of this series we will investigate the importance of bromine release from sea salt aerosol.
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Table 1. This Table contains a list of all abbreviations used in this article.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>abbreviation</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASE-II</td>
<td>Airborne Arctic Stratospheric Expedition II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC-GCM</td>
<td>Atmospheric Chemistry General Circulation Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRSEA</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): trace gas air-sea exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARIBIC</td>
<td>Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the atmosphere. Based on an Instrument Container</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTM</td>
<td>Chemical Transport Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOUD</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): cloud microphysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVCT</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): convection parameterisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVTRANS</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): convective transport of tracers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRYDEP</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): dry deposition of gases and aerosols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5/M1(^+)</td>
<td>extended ECHAM5/MESSy version 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHAM5</td>
<td>atmospheric general circulation model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMWF</td>
<td>European Centre of Medium-range Weather Forecasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRIEL</td>
<td>Guyanas Atmosphere-Biosphere exchange and Radicals Intensive Experiment with the Learjet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCM</td>
<td>general circulation model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): feedback control for chemical tendency of H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HETCHEM</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): heterogenious chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JVAL</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): photolysis rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L87</td>
<td>abbr. for vertical number of layers (L87 = 87 vertical layers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNOX</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): Lightning NO(_x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): aerosol dynamical model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAECHAM5</td>
<td>middle atmosphere version of ECHAM5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBL</td>
<td>Marine Boundary Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECCA (-AERO)</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): gas and aerosol phase chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESSy</td>
<td>Modular Earth Submodel System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINOS</td>
<td>Mediterranean Intensive Oxidant Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFLEM</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): calculating offline emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONLEM</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): calculating online emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARFORCE</td>
<td>Particle Formation and Fate in the Coastal Environment, measurement campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM</td>
<td>Pacific Exploratory Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): polar stratospheric clouds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD4ALL</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBO</td>
<td>Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (process and Ms.(^a))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOMCAT</td>
<td>Nowadays only a name for a CTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAV</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): scavenging and cloud chemistry of gases and aerosol particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEDI</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): sedimentation of aerosol particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAPEX</td>
<td>Southern Ocean Atmospheric Photochemistry EXperiment, measurement campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRAT</td>
<td>Stratospheric Tracers of Atmospheric Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROPOP</td>
<td>Ms.(^a): diagnostics of tropopause and boundary layer height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTLS</td>
<td>upper troposphere/ lower stratosphere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ms.\(^a\): refers to MESSy submodel, i.e. the abbreviation is a name of a MESSy submodel describing the respective process.
Table 2. Simulated annually averaged global column burdens, the annually integrated emission and dry deposition fluxes, and annually averaged lifetimes of the six bromocarbons. The emission fluxes are adopted from Warwick et al. (2006a), scenario 5. The WMO lifetimes are taken from WMO (2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>species</th>
<th>burden Gg</th>
<th>emission Gg/yr</th>
<th>dry deposition Gg/yr</th>
<th>life time days</th>
<th>WMO lifetime days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₃Br</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHBr₃</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>595.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₂Br₂</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₂ClBr</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCl₂Br</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHClBr₂</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The emission fluxes are adopted from Warwick et al. (2006a), scenario 5. The WMO lifetimes are taken from WMO (2007).
Table 3. Production of Br by photolysis ($h\nu$) and reaction with OH in g/sin the stratosphere (strat) and troposphere (trop), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>species</th>
<th>$h\nu$ strat</th>
<th>$h\nu$ trop</th>
<th>OH strat</th>
<th>OH trop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$Br</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>2.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHBr$_3$</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>15.804</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$Br$_2$</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>2.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$ClBr</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCl$_2$Br</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHClBr$_2$</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>5.909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Simulated annually integrated bromocarbon emission fluxes for CH$_2$ClBr (left µg/(m$^2$yr)) and CHBr$_3$ (right, mg/(m$^2$yr)).
Fig. 2. Annual cycle of the surface CH$_3$Br mixing ratios (pmol/mol) as used by the submodel TNUDGE.
Fig. 3. Simulated annually averaged CHBr$_3$ mixing ratio (pmol/mol) in the lowermost model layer for the year 2000.
Fig. 4. CHBr$_3$ vertical profiles (pmol/mol) as measured during the PEM-Tropics-A DC8 campaign (red dots). The black lines show the simulated vertical profiles averaged over the flight period and area. The dashed lines indicate the simulated minimum and maximum CHBr$_3$ mixing ratios during the whole campaign period.
Fig. 5. Simulated annual average CH$_2$Br$_2$ in pmol/mol in the lowermost model layer.
Fig. 6. As Fig. 4 but for CH₂Br₂ and the PEM-Tropics B campaign.
Fig. 7. Point to point comparison of CH$_2$Br$_2$ mixing ratios from the CARIBIC aircraft data (red line) and model results (black) as a function of time of day from March - December 2000.
Fig. 8. CH₂Br₂ profiles in pmol/mol: Left: 9 April, Hyderabad, India; middle: 18 January, Kiruna, Sweden; right: 7 March, Kiruna, Sweden. The thick black line indicates the simulated profile. The thin black lines show the minimum and maximum simulated mixing ratios during a 14-day interval around the actual measurements. The red lines and the circles present the measurements of Kourtidis et al. (1996). The blue circles indicate measurements below the detection limit.
Fig. 10. Simulated vertical distribution of OH in $10^6 / \text{cm}^3$. Seasons as in Fig. 9.
Fig. 11. Annual average CHClBr$_2$ (left), CHCl$_2$Br (middle) and CH$_2$ClBr (right) in the lowermost model layer in pmol/mol.
Fig. 12. Simulated vertical distribution of CHClBr$_2$ (upper row), CHCl$_2$Br (middle row) and CH$_2$ClBr (lower row) in fmol/mol. Shown are seasonal averages from left to right; DJF: December 1999–February 2000; MAM: March–May 2000; JJA: June–August 2000; SON: September–November 2000.
Fig. 13. As Figure 4 but for CHClBr₂ and the PEM-Tropics B campaign.
Fig. 14. As Fig. 4 but for CHCl₂Br.
Fig. 15. As Fig. 4 but for CHCl$_2$Br and PEM-Tropics B.
Fig. 16. As Fig. 4 but for CH$_2$ClBr and PEM-Tropics B.
Fig. 17. (a) Annual average of CH$_3$Br (pmol/mol) in the lowest model layer.
Fig. 18. Annual averages of the CH$_3$Br pseudo-emission flux (10$^{11}$ molecules/(m$^2$ s)) resulting from the tracer nudging in the lowest model layer.
Fig. 19. Zonal averages of simulated CH$_3$Br mixing ratios (pmol/mol). The periods coincide with the PEM-Tropics A (5 August–6 October) and PEM-Tropics B (6 March–16 April) campaigns, though for the year 2000.
**Fig. 20.** CH$_3$Br vertical profiles (pmol/mol) as measured during the PEM-Tropics-B campaign (red dots). The black lines show the simulated vertical profiles averaged over the flight period and area. The dashed lines indicate the simulated minimum and maximum mixing ratios.
Fig. 21. As Fig. 4 for CH$_3$Br but from PEM-West B.
Fig. 22. Point to point comparison of CH$_3$Br mixing ratios from CARIBIC aircraft data (red line) and model results (black) as a function of time of day from March–December 2000.
Fig. 23. Point to point comparison of CH$_3$Br mixing ratios from GABRIEL aircraft data (red line) and model results (black) as a function of time of day in October 2000.
Fig. 24. Simulated production of Br radicals in g(Br)/s by photolysis of bromocarbons
Fig. 25. Simulated zonally averaged Br radical production (in pmol/mol/day) by photolysis (left) and reaction with OH (middle) of bromocarbons, respectively. Here, photolysis of all six discussed bromocarbons and halons are taken into account. Right: Fraction (in%) of Br generated by photolysis to total Br production (photolysis+reaction with OH) from bromocarbons.