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Abstract: Well-preserved arthropod trackways are described from the laminated limestones of the Crayssac Lagerstätte (south-west France, Lower Tithonian). They occur in sediments deposited in the temporary coastal mudflats of intertidal to supratidal zones. The trackways are referred to Pterichnus isopodicus isp. nov., and are interpreted as the locomotion traces of isopods. Different trackway morphotypes are recognized and clearly resulted from variations in the original consistency of the sediment. Sinuous trackways may correspond to vagrant activity on wet mud whereas numerous straight ones indicate a more rapid crawling on a soft-to-firm substratum (e.g. tidal flat during emersion). The preferred orientation of trackways indicates that isopods were crawling in a direction perpendicular to shoreline as a result of possible taxis induced by sediment wetness and/or by a migratory behaviour controlled by tidal rhythm. Unusually long emergence of the sediments may have favoured the preservation of dense networks of trackways. An isopod identity is supported by the general morphology of the tracks and the association of trackways with isopod body fossils. Archaeoniscus, which occurs abundantly in Late Jurassic deposits of England and France, was probably the trace-maker.
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The Upper Jurassic Crayssac Lagerstätte (Lot, south-west France) is famous for its exceptional assemblages of trace fossils that provide firm evidence of the traverse of intertidal mudflats by a variety of aquatic, terrestrial (crocodiles, dinosaurs, turtles) and even predominantly aerial vertebrates (pterosaurs) (Hantzpergue and Lafaurie 1994; Mazin et al. 1995, 1997, 2003). By contrast, the traces made by the invertebrate component of this biota have so far received scant attention from palaeontologists. We report here the first invertebrate trace fossils from Crayssac, trackways made by small arthropods. Their fine preservation, numerical abundance and well-documented environmental background all make Crayssac an exceptional locality for both ichnological and palaeoecological studies. We aim to give here a detailed description of the trackways, to explain their variability and toponomy, and to identify possible track-makers. We also analyse their ecological significance and their implications for the reconstruction of Mesozoic shallow-marine environments.

Arthropod trackways occur frequently throughout the fossil record but their relevance and palaeoecological significance are often misunderstood. Although some trackways can be easily attributed to well-documented arthropod groups such as trilobites (Seilacher 1955; Birkenmajer and Bruton 1971; Goldring 1985; Fortey and Seilacher 1997), limulids (Caster 1944; Nielsen 1949; Goldring and Seilacher 1971), myriapods (Briggs et al. 1979) and eurypterids (Braddy 1996; Draganits et al. 2001), the vast majority are difficult to assign. This is especially true for numerous small and relatively featureless trackways such as Isopodichnus. In some cases, the search for potential track-makers in coeval deposits has proved successful. For example, Pollard (1985) related Isopodichnus in Triassic fluvial deposits to notostracans found in rocks of similar age. Similarly, Briggs and Almond (1994) realised the identity of arthropleurid trackways after arthropleurid body fossils were discovered in the same biota.

The main difficulty in interpreting arthropod trace fossils stems from the fact that diverse arthropod groups may produce similar trackways due to close morphological similarities in their walking legs. Moreover, environmental (i.e. wetness and consistency of sediment) and behavioural (i.e. locomotion speed) parameters greatly...
influence the trace-making process. In addition, the exact environmental setting under which the arthropod trackways were made is often unknown or imprecisely defined, relying on associated sedimentary structures. Trackways of small arthropods are known from continental to shallow-marine environments and are particularly abundant in Devonian–Triassic deposits (Brady 1947; Bandel 1967; Gevers et al. 1971; Trewin 1976; Briggs et al. 1979; Anderson 1981; Walter 1983; Pollard and Walker 1984; Walker 1985; Gordon 1988; Sadler 1993; Johnson et al. 1994; Bandel and Quinzio-Sinn 1999; Mangáno et al. 2002).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Crayssac Lagerstätte is situated 12 km north-west of Cahors (Lot, south-west France). The Lower Tithonian lithographic limestones known as the 'Pierre de Cahors' are well exposed in numerous quarries around the village of Crayssac. Between 1993 and 2001, the 'Mas de Pégourdy' quarry was intensively studied by scientists from the University of Poitiers and Lyon on a regular basis. The stratigraphical succession of the Lower Tithonian in this area was established by Hantzpergue and Lafaurie (1994) (Text-fig. 1). The limestones are about 100 m thick and subdivided into two formations, Salviac (Delfaud 1969) and Cazals, which is unconformably overlain by Cretaceous deposits (Cubaynes et al. 1989). Rare ammonites found in the basal part of the Cazals Formation indicate the Gravesiana Horizon of the Gigas Zone (Lower Tithonian). The Pierre de Cahors is therefore almost coeval with the Mörnsheimers Schichten of southern Germany and slightly older than the Solnhofen Plattenkalk which belongs to the Hybonotum Zone (Schweigert 1993).

The Tithonian deposits of Quercy (Aquitaine Basin) correspond to shallow-shelf restricted marine environments close to emergent areas (Central and Armorican land masses; see Thierry and Barrier 2000) (Text-fig. 2), with sequences culminating in tidalites (termed L1–L3). Numerous biosedimentary (cryptalgal laminates) and sedimentary features (ripple marks, mudcracks, raindrop marks) point to temporary lagoonal environments and/or coastal mudflats in the intertidal to supratidal zones. L3 has yielded an unusually rich and diverse ichnofauna with abundant vertebrate tracks including those of dinosaurs, crocodiles and pterosaurs preserved on the bedding planes of micritic laminated limestones (Mazin et al. 1997, 2003). The trace fossils are found associated with algae, pollen, bivalves, brachiopods, crustaceans, fish (complete individuals and scales) and isolated bones of crocodilians (Hantzpergue and Lafaurie 1994 and unpublished data).

In the Mas de Pégourdy quarry one particular limestone bed (c. 20 mm thick) is remarkable for the concentration of numerous trackways on three, discrete bedding planes (Text-fig. 1: Arthro 1–3). The trackways are relatively long, often exceeding 1 m, and are best preserved in bed Arthro 2. This bed can be correlated with level 973 m of the Solen 98 drill core (Courtinat et al. 2003). Repeated field observations have yielded detailed information concerning the general morphology, distribution and orientation of trackways preserved in Arthro 2. The best preserved material was photographed in situ and removed for further study. Silicone casts of large slabs and smaller key specimens were made in the quarry in order to obtain resin replicas for laboratory studies. Type specimens are housed in the collections of the Centre Commun de Collections de Géologie de l’Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 (CG3), France, prefixed FSL.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND ICHNOGENERIC ATTRIBUTION

All of the trackways studied are preserved as epichnia (epichnial grooves/concave epirelief) according to the toponomic nomenclature of Martinsson (1970). As they represent locomotory traces, they are referred to the ethological category repichnia (see terminology of Seilacher 1953). These trackways form a criss-crossed network produced by several animals of the same species. Each trackway typically consists of two roughly parallel rows of small individual tracks all similar in size and shape (homopody), suggesting that the legs of the trace-maker were numerous and similar in size and shape. All these general characteristics point to arthropod trackways. Our descriptions follow the terminological scheme of Trewin (1994) subsequently modified by Braddy (2001).

Considerable confusion concerning the ichnotaxonomy of arthropod trackways has arisen partly due to preservation and behavioural factors that influenced the trace-making. *Umfolozia* from the Permian of South Africa is a perfect example of extreme variability controlled by these factors (Anderson 1981). That said, among the numerous arthropod trackways described in the literature, very few resemble those illustrated here from Crayssac. *Oniscoidichnus* is a probable isopod trackway but it is 10 mm wide and characterized by a low, sinuous median ridge and forward-pointing bract-like tracks on each side at intervals of about 1 mm (Brady 1949). This pattern differs from the Crayssac material. Superficial resemblances should also be noted with a few ichnotaxa listed in Text-figure 3. For example, *Pernichnium* and *Hamipes* superficially resemble the trackways from Crayssac but the imprints have a double-scratch pattern not observed in the material from...
Crayssac (Hitchcock 1858; Guthörl 1934), *Paleohelcura* (Gilmore 1926), *Siskemia* and *Keircalia* (Smith 1909) revised by Pollard and Walker (1984) and Walker (1985), also differ from our trackways in having one or several mid-axial drag marks.

*Isopodichnus* deserves special comment. It was erected by Bornemann (1889) and first revised by Schindewolf (1928) to accommodate Triassic specimens. Indeed *Isopodichnus* bears a confusing notation because the name implies the trace made by an isopod crustacean. It is

**TEXT-FIG. 1.** Lithology and stratigraphy of the Crayssac Fossil Lagerstätte. A, reconstructed lithological succession of the Upper Jurassic in the Quercy area (after Hantzpergue and Lafaurie 1994). B–C, Solen 98 drill core. D, occurrence of *Pterichnus* and other trackways in the Mas de Pégourdy quarry (Crayssac, Lot); Arthro 1–3, *Pterichnus* beds; Dino I–III, main surfaces with dinosaurus tracks.
characterized by a coffee-bean-shaped furrow or a double-ribbon trail striated by fine elongated scratches. *Isopodichnus*, *Cruziana* and *Rusophycus* are ill-defined ichnogenera that accommodate a wide variety of trails and resting traces, between which it is difficult to establish a sharp distinction. According to Trewin (1976), *Isopodichnus* may be distinguished by its smaller size. Moreover, its environmental significance is controversial although this ichnogenus is mainly considered as a good indicator of non-marine (Seilacher 1970), more precisely Mesozoic brackish-freshwater environments (Braddy 2001).

*Diplichnites* Dawson, 1873, with its type species *D. oenigma*, is characterized by two rows of elongate tracks lying very close to each other and almost perpendicular to the axis of the trackway. This peculiar pattern does not occur in the trackways of Crayssac. *Diplichnites* may represent the walking traces of trilobites, with numerous morphotypes known from the Cambrian through to the Permian (Seilacher 1955; Crimes 1970) or, more probably, of myriapods (Briggs *et al.* 1979; Johnson *et al.* 1994). Similarly *Ichnispica* has long perpendicular tracks distributed in two parallel rows. Hitchcock (1858, 1865) described numerous trackways in the Triassic of Massachusetts, USA (*Acanthichnus*, *Bifurculapes*, *Conopoides*, *Hexapodichnus*, *Isopodichnus*.

---

**TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAXA</th>
<th>average width</th>
<th>APPENDAGE IMPRINTS</th>
<th>drag trails</th>
<th>FREQUENT OCCURRENCE</th>
<th>GENERAL MORPHOLOGY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Diplichnites</em></td>
<td>15 mm</td>
<td>Two rows of close, short, elongate, perpendicular imprints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Carboniferous (Cambrian - Permian)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="D. oenigma" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ichnispica</em></td>
<td>25 mm</td>
<td>Two rows of long, elongate, perpendicular imprints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="I. pectinata" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pterichnus</em></td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>Two rows of long, elongate, oblique (15 - 20°) imprints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="P. tardigradus" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Permichnium</em></td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>Two rows of V-shaped imprints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Permian</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="P. voelckeri" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hamipes</em></td>
<td>40 mm</td>
<td>Two rows of double inward-curved imprints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="H. didactylus" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Isopodichnus</em></td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>Small double-ribbon trail transversely striated</td>
<td>median ridge</td>
<td>Cambrian - Triassic</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="I. problematicus" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paleohelicura</em></td>
<td>25 mm</td>
<td>Two rows of oblique series of three circular imprints</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Permian - Triassic</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="P. tridactyla" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Siskemia</em></td>
<td>11 mm</td>
<td>Two parallel rows of opposite series of 2 - 4 imprints</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Devonian</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="S. bipediculus" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Keiracina</em></td>
<td>13 mm</td>
<td>Two parallel rows of very closely spaced unclear imprints</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Devonian</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="K. multipedia" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEXT-FIG. 2.** Palaeogeography of the area studied after Thierry and Barrier (2000), modified.

**TEXT-FIG. 3.** Principal ichnotaxa corresponding to small arthropod trackways.
Lithographus and Pterichnus), some being attributed to insects. Among them Pterichnus closely resembles the trackways of Crayssac because of its chevron-like pattern, and it is to this ichnogenus we refer our material.

SYSTEMATIC ICHNOLOGY

Ichnogenus PTERICHNUS Hitchcock, 1865

Diagnosis (after Hantzschel 1975, p. W99). 'Two rows of numerous [foot] imprints, turned outward from median line at angle of 15–20 degrees; width of trackway about 12 mm, foot imprints 3 mm long.'

Discussion. Pterichnus was erected by Hitchcock (1865) for trace fossils in continental Triassic deposits from Massachusetts (USA). The specimens described in this publication were named Pterichnus centipes although they were previously assigned by the same author to Acanthichnus tardigradus (Hitchcock 1858). The definition of Acanthichnus ('track linear; in two parallel rows'; see Hitchcock 1858, p. 150) is not helpful. That of the type species of Acanthichnus is more informative: 'width of the two lines of tracks, 0.33 inch. Length of the tracks, 0.15 inch. Tracks turned outward from the median line, from 15° to 20°. Distance between the successive tracks, 0.1 inch to 0.25 inch. Feet linear, acuminate; tracks opposite. Width of the trackway, 0.48 inch'. The reason why Hitchcock (1865, p. 14) changed the name is that the trace-maker was, according to him, a myriapod and not an insect. 'In my Ichnology, I have given a species of track under the name of Acanthichnus centipes from pterou a feather, which the track resembles) taking it out of the genus Acanthichnus'. This makes the validity of P. centipes highly debatable. However, we follow Hantzschel (1975) who considered tardigradus as the type species of Pterichnus. The trackways from Crayssac fit within Pterichnus but their novel characteristics lead us to create a new ichnospecies.

Pterichnus isopodicus isp. nov.

Text-figures 4–5

Derivation of name. From ‘isopod’, the assumed maker of the trackway.

Holotype. FSL 525001-1, Text-figures 4, 5A. Collections of the Centre Commun des Collections de Géologie C3G, University of Lyon 1.

Paratypes. Paratype 1, FSL 525001-2, Text-figure 5A; paratype 2, FSL 525002-1, Text-figure 5B; paratype 3, FSL 52002-2, Text-figure 5C. Collections of the Centre Commun des Collections de Géologie C3G, University of Lyon 1.

Type locality and horizon. Quarry 'Le Mas de Pe`gourdy' at Crayssac, Lot, France; Cazals Formation, Tithonian, Gigas Zone, laminated limestones, Arthro 2 bed (holotype in slab no. FSL 525001).

Diagnosis. Trackways with two symmetrical track rows; external width between 4 and 12 mm (average 7 mm). Each row with repeated, elongate scratch-like tracks (up to 10 mm long; average 5 mm) making an angle of 15–20 degrees with the midline (=trackway axis). Tracks have alternate symmetry. Internal or peripheral drag marks absent.
Description. Eighty per cent of the trackways found at Crayssac possess the whole set of diagnostic features given in the diagnosis. However, variations occur and four different morphotypes (Types 1–4) can be recognized. Type 1 displays well-defined parallel rows but no scratch-like pattern (paratype 1, Text-fig. 5A). Type 2 corresponds to the holotype (Text-figs 4, 5A). In Type 3 the two rows consist of successive scratches lying almost parallel to the trackway axis and often connected to each other (paratype 2, Text-fig. 5B). It is unclear whether these markings were made by the appendages of the trace-maker or by the trailing edges of its exoskeleton (e.g. tips of pleurae). Type 4 is represented by a shallow depression with a flat bottom (paratype 3, Text-fig. 5C). It was probably made by the ventral part of the animal’s body ploughing into the sediment. All intermediate morphotypes occur. The possible taphonomic origin of the trackway variability at Crayssac is discussed later.
Remarks. Previously, *P. tardigradus* was the only ichnospecies assigned to *Pterichnus*. The trackways are wider in *P. tardigradus* (12 mm) than in *P. isopodicus* (average width, 7 mm; maximum, 12 mm). The individual tracks of *P. tardigradus* are opposite simple straight grooves whereas they are alternate and more complex in *P. isopodicus*. Another difference lies in the angle of imprints to the central axis of the trackway. It is relatively low in *P. isopodicus* (maximum 20 degrees) compared with *P. tardigradus* (15–20 degrees after the diagnosis, but up to 40 degrees according to the original drawing in Hitchcock 1858, pl. 28, text-fig. 1).

**AN IDENTITY FOR THE TRACE-MAKER**

Evidence from the morphology of trackways

Although isopod crustaceans may appear to be the possible makers of some fossil trackways, the literature provides no convincing evidence for this identity. Small unnamed trackways, in some aspects comparable to those from Crayssac, occur in the Upper Pennsylvanian estuarine sandstones of Kansas and have been tentatively attributed to isopods (Bandel 1967) and myriapods (Mangaño et al. 2002). Detailed comparisons between the putative isopod trackways and the actual locomotion trackways of Recent isopods may provide some clues. In this way, the ichnogenus *Oniscoïdicnus* may be an isopod trackway because it resembles trackways of the Recent isopod *Oniscus* (Brady 1949). We carried out ichnological experiments in the laboratory using living isopods (both marine and terrestrial forms). Preliminary results indicate that the trackways produced by these isopods on mud superficially resemble those from Crayssac in their general aspect (Margérand 2000). However, detailed comparisons (e.g. grouping of leg imprints) between experimental and fossil traces remain difficult to establish.

Fossil isopods associated with their trackways

The co-occurrence of a trace fossil with the trace-maker is extremely rare. It has been illustrated, for example, by a few specimens of limulids from the Solnhofen Plattenkalk. These limulids are found *in situ* lying at the end of their trackway (Goldring and Seilacher 1971; Barthel et al. 1990). Such trace fossils are convincingly interpreted as death trackways and are markedly different from the regular locomotion trackways produced by limulids, both Recent and fossil. A comparable association of a trail and a body fossil also occurs in the laminated limestones of Crayssac, as exemplified by one specimen from Arthro 3. The trail in question is 12 mm wide and consists of a very shallow groove with neither visible individual footprints nor scratches (Text-fig. 6). It falls within the trackway morphotype defined earlier as Type 4 and shows a conspicuous oval body at one end, bearing traces of trunk segmentation, hemispherical extremities and the possible remains of antennae. Although poorly preserved, this body resembles that of an isopod crustacean. Similarly with the limulids from Solnhofen, the abrupt termination of the trackway and the orientation of the trace-maker suggest that the assumed isopod died at the end of its trackway.

Upper Jurassic isopods

Isopods are relatively abundant and diverse in Upper Jurassic fine-grained limestones. For example, four different species, namely *Palaega kunthi* (Ammon, 1882), *Urda rostrata* Münster, 1840, and *Urda punctata* Münster, 1842
(Frickhinger 1994; Van Straelen 1928), and the exquisitely preserved Schweglerella strobli Polz, 1998 (Polz 1998; Brandt et al. 1999) occur in the Early Tithonian Solnhofen Plattenkalk of southern Germany. Isopods are also present in the Upper Jurassic lithographic limestones of Cerin (Ain, south-east France; unpublished data). Archaeoniscus brodiei Milne-Edwards, 1843 has long been known from the uppermost Tithonian–Berriasian Purbeck Limestone Group of Wiltshire and Dorset, southern England (see complete references in Ross and Vannier 2002) (Text-fig. 7C) and from coeval deposits in Germany (e.g. Serpulite of Hagen: Haack 1918; Van Straelen 1928). A congeneric undescribed species with close affinities to A. brodiei also occurs abundantly in the Upper Kimmeridgian fine-grained limestones at Brémond (Jura Mountains, Ain, France) (Text-fig. 7B). Two other species of Archaeoniscus have been described from the Upper Cretaceous of Texas (Wieder and Feldmann 1992) and the Albion of Mexico (Feldmann et al. 1998). A. brodiei is an oval and moderately vaulted isopod with its frontal part entirely occupied by a kidney-shaped unit (cephalon + first pereionite). The rest of its exoskeleton consists of a uniform series of seven pereionites and terminates at a hemispherical pleotelson.

The isopods found at Crayssac are extremely rare and poorly preserved. The best individual most probably belongs to Archaeoniscus and resembles A. brodiei from the Purbeck of England (Text-fig. 7A). However, its specific assignment within Archaeoniscus remains uncertain because of the scarcity and poor preservation of the material. One particular bedding plane from the Mas de Pégourdy quarry is, in places, crowded by imprints of isopods (Text-fig. 8). Although poorly preserved, most of these specimens have isopod characters such as a reniform cephalon with lateral eyes, a series of trunk somites, a hemispherical pleotelson and associated scratches possibly left by appendages. Whether these small specimens belong to Archaeoniscus is uncertain.

The taphonomy of this peculiar bedding plane is noteworthy as the isopods are orientated in the same direction. This assemblage may be the result of the transportation and deposition of dead isopods or exuviae by, for instance, tidal currents. If so, the preferential orientation of dead animals would result from current action. An alternative hypothesis is that these imprints are the resting traces of isopods which lived on this surface. They are not associated with trackways and could indicate a precise behaviour in the presence of bottom currents. Isopods possibly rested on the bottom, aligned themselves to the current and slightly burrowed into the mud to avoid drifting away. We have no examples of such behaviour in the Recent, but Weber and Braddy (2004) have noted a similar situation for Selenichnites in Ordovician tidal deposits in Antarctica.
Additional supporting evidence for Archaeoniscus as the possible trace-maker of Pterichnus isopodicus comes from measurements and more precisely from direct comparisons between the width of trackways and the width of the associated isopod fossils (Text-fig. 9). Some 128 well-preserved trackways (Arthro 2) and 113 isopods from Crayssac were measured. Width measurements of Archaeoniscus sp. from Brénod were also used for additional comparisons. The width of isopods from both Crayssac and Brénod falls within the external width range of trackways from Crayssac. However, the vast majority of isopods from Crayssac are relatively small (2–5 mm) and do not correspond to the most frequent trackway width (87 per cent between 5 and 10 mm). The same is true for the isopod assemblage from Brénod. This discrepancy may be explained by the fact that juvenile isopods were not living in the same environment as adults or were simply not heavy enough to leave traces on the sediment. The small isopods from Crayssac (see Text-fig. 8) seem to have been transported and sorted by currents and do not show a normal size distribution. According to the second hypothesis (resting traces of living isopods), mainly isopods of the same age interval could have presented the peculiar behaviour previously proposed.
ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE

The Crayssac environment

The Lower Tithonian deposits of Crayssac are nearshore shallow-water carbonates among which six main lithofacies (from grainstone to mudstone) are recognized, indicating diverse environmental conditions from very proximal (tidal flats; possibly brackish environments) to more distal (oolitic shoals) (Courtinat et al. 2003). The occurrence of marine (algae, bivalves, brachiopods, crustaceans, fish) and terrestrial (reptiles, plants) organisms are good indicators of the vicinity of land and marine influence (Hantzpergue and Lafaurie 1994; Mazin et al. 1995, 1997). The isopod trackways occur in laminated limestones deposited in the most proximal facies, i.e. of a probable intertidal mudflat, as attested to by tidal laminations, algal mats, mudcracks and raindrop marks. The presence of dense networks of superimposed isopod trackways associated with vertebrate footprints (e.g. pterosaurs) on the surface of mudcracked bedding planes suggest that animal activity occurred over temporary emergent areas.

The morphological variability of arthropod trackways is closely related to physical factors such as the consistency and wetness of sediment (Johnson et al. 1994; Trewin 1994). The Crayssac trackways are likely to have been imprinted in the soft-to-firm mud of a temporarily emerged tidal flat. The chronology of traversal of these wet areas by isopods can be reconstructed by examining the cross-cutting relationship of the trackways. Type 4 trackways were produced first and indicate animal activity on a substratum saturated with water. They were followed successively by Type 3, Type 2 (well-defined tracks; humid conditions) and eventually Type 1 (early desiccation) (Text-fig. 10). In the final stage, the mud dried up and the whole network of trackways was fragmented by mudcracks. Microbial mats probably played an important role in the preservation of trackways (stabilization of sediment after the formation of trackways). This is well

**TEXT-FIG. 9.** Width distribution of trackways and probable related isopods. A, *Pterichnus isopodicus* isp. nov. from Crayssac. B, *Archaeoniscus* sp. from Crayssac, all from FSL 525 006 (see Text-fig. 8) except 1, FSL 525004 (see Text-fig. 6) and 2, FSL 525007 (see Text-fig. 7A). C, *Archaeoniscus* sp. from Brénod.

**TEXT-FIG. 10.** Relation between the morphology of *Pterichnus isopodicus* isp. nov. and the consistency of the sediment (see Text-fig. 5 and description of Types 1–4 in text).
demonstrated in Upper Jurassic deposits similar to those of Crayssac (Gall et al. 1985; Gaillard et al. 2003) and, possibly, in sediments representing other environments in which arthropod trackways are preserved (Draganits et al. 2001).

Ecology of Crayssac isopods

The unusual density of isopod trackways at Crayssac raises important questions concerning the ecological niche and lifestyle of these animals and their role in the intertidal ecosystem. Were tidal flats the preferred and permanent habitat of the isopods or simply a temporary migration area? Were the isopods marine or supratidal crustaceans?

Morphology of trackways. Trackway Types 3 and 4 were made on the surface of wet sediment whereas Types 1 and 2 result from locomotory activities in much drier conditions (Text-fig. 10). The variety of trackway types suggests that the track-maker crawled on both damp (possibly submerged by a film of water) and dry muddy substrates. The trajectory of the trackways is also very informative. It is straight in Types 1 and 2 and typically sinuous in Types 3 and 4 (Text-fig. 11). Ichnologists usually consider straight trajectories as reliable indicators of locomotion (repichnia). At Crayssac, the unidirectional and probable rapid crawling of isopods (trackways of Types 1 and 2) may have resulted from a taxis induced by sediment wetness, daylight or topographic gradient. More sinuous trackways (Types 3 and 4) would merely correspond to vagrant activities possibly connected with the search for food (pascichnia). Similar interpretations have been proposed for sinuous trilobite trackways (Seilacher 1970). Because Types 3 and 4 (pascichnia) usually precede Types 1 and 2 (repichnia) in the succession of trackways (see above), one may envisage that the isopods had vagrant activities related to feeding and were migrating in response to the desiccation of the substratum. Rare trackways that end abruptly and terminate as a burrowing-like trace suggest that isopods could dig into the top few millimetres or centimetres of sediment (Text-fig. 12). Such burrowing behaviour occurs in Recent isopods such as Eurydice (Jones and Naylor 1970), Paragynatia and Limnoria (Roman and Dalens 1999).

Abundance and direction of trackways. The abundance of the trackways is high, reaching approximately 150 per m² on the surface of the Arthro 2 horizon. This density suggests that the traces were made within the area inhabited by the isopod populations. The orientation of numerous
straight trackways (Types 1 and 2) was measured both in the field and from resin replicas (Text-fig. 11). The direction of movement was inferred from experiments made in the laboratory using living isopods (e.g. Ligia; Margérard 2000). The chevron-like structures produced by crawling isopods during experiments point in the direction of movement of the animal. We consider that it was the same for the isopods of Crayssac. Our measurements clearly indicate a preferential orientation of trackways, which may be interpreted as the unidirectional migration of isopods (Text-fig. 13). A similar case of orientated trackways is described for Devonian arthropods (abundant, straight, subparallel trackways; Draganits et al. 2001). Such migratory behaviour may be triggered by the drying up of the habitat or predatory pressure, factors that may have forced the animals to seek refuge (Trewin and McNamara 1995; Draganits et al. 2001).

Tidal migrators? The tidally rhythmic behaviour of marine isopods is well documented (Naylor 1985). The link between onshore migrations and endogenous rhythmicity has been proposed for Eurydice pulchra (Jones and Naylor 1970) and Excirolana chiltoni (Enright 1972). For example, Eurydice pulchra, common on sandy beaches, migrates over the intertidal zone during high tide. This isopod emerges from the sand during the flood tide, feeds actively during high tide and reburies on the ebb (Salvat 1966; Jones and Naylor 1970; Fish and Fish 1972; Warman et al. 1991). It is active for about 5–6 h before it reburies at approximately the same place from which it emerged (Jones and Naylor 1970). However, the sandy beaches where these observations were made are high-energy settings that differ markedly from the low-energy mudflats of Crayssac.

According to our measurements, the preferential direction of trackways is northwards (present-day orientation). This is in a direction perpendicular to that of most reptile trackways which co-occur with isopod traces in the Crayssac biota. It is reasonable to envisage that the reptiles, mainly dinosaurs and pterosaurs (Mazin et al. 1995, 1997) were walking (or hovering; pterosaurs) along the shoreline searching for food on tidal flats (e.g. marine invertebrates or strand-line carcasses). If this hypothesis is correct, then isopods would have migrated landwards or seawards perpendicular to the shoreline. This assumed polarity may reflect the natural behaviour of isopods, escaping either from desiccation (falling tide) or from flooded areas (flood tide). The numerical dominance of Types 1 and 2 trackways, i.e. those produced on a wet but not submerged substratum, seems to indicate that the activity of isopods chiefly took place in the intertidal area at a particular time in the desiccation process. The tidal rhythmicity and its cascade of environmental variations (e.g. humidity) are likely to have exerted a major control on the behaviour of the Crayssac isopods. To us, the most plausible interpretation is that the isopods lived under water at high tide and crawled back to the sea across exposed tidal flats at low tide (Text-fig. 14). Similar tidal-influenced behaviour is known to occur in Recent sphaeromid isopods. Unusually long emersions may have favoured the abundance and concentration of trackways in contrast to shorter periods of emersion. This would explain the relative scarcity of Pterichnus beds at Crayssac.
CONCLUSIONS

Well-preserved criss-crossed networks of small trackways (*Pterichnus isopodicus* isp. nov.) are described from the Crayssac Lagerstätte (Tithonian) and attributed to a single species of isopod crustacean similar to *Archaeoniscus*. The different trackway morphotypes correspond to locomotory traces made within a range of substratum from wet (mud saturated with water) to almost dry. The most sinuous trackways seem to indicate a regular vagrant activity (pascichnia?) in wet conditions whereas the numerous straight trackways merely indicate rapid crawling on a firmer ground (repichnia). The possible migratory behaviour of isopods inferred from trackway-orientation may have been influenced by tidal rhythm. Unusually long emersions may have favoured the preservation of dense networks of trackways. Both high-density trackway networks and assemblages (body fossils) at Crayssac and coeval localities in similar environmental settings indicate that isopods were important colonizers of intertidal/supratidal environments in the Upper Jurassic.
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