



Using the dual approach of FAO-56 for partitioning ET into soil and plant components for olive orchards in a semi-arid region

S. Er-Raki, A. Chehbouni, G. Boulet, D. G. Williams

► To cite this version:

S. Er-Raki, A. Chehbouni, G. Boulet, D. G. Williams. Using the dual approach of FAO-56 for partitioning ET into soil and plant components for olive orchards in a semi-arid region. Agricultural Water Management, Elsevier Masson, 2010, 97 (11), pp.1769-1778. <10.1016/j.agwat.2010.06.009>. <ird-00667360>

HAL Id: ird-00667360 http://hal.ird.fr/ird-00667360

Submitted on 7 Feb 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Using the dual approach of FAO-56 for partitioning ET into soil and plant
2	components for olive orchards in a semi-arid region
3	
4	S. Er-Raki ^{1*} , A. Chehbouni ² , G. Boulet ² , D.G. Williams ³
5	
6	¹ Cadi Ayyad University / IRD, Marrakech, Morocco
7	Avenue Prince Moulay Abdellah, BP 2390 Marrakech 40000 (Morocco)
8	² Centre d'Etudes Spatiales de la Biosphère, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, IRD, CNES, 18
9	Avenue. Edouard Belin, bpi 2801, 31401 Toulouse cedex 9 (France)
10	³ Departments of Renewable Resources and Botany, University of Wyoming,
11	Laramie, WY 82071, USA
12	
13	
14	
16	*Corresponding author and current address:
17	Dr. Salah Er-Raki
18	Projet SudMed - Centre Geber salle 26 – Faculty of Science Semlalia,
19	Cadi Ayyad University BP 2390, Marrakech, Morocco
20	Tel. /Fax. (+212) (0) 524 43 16 26
21	Email: <u>s.erraki@ucam.ac.ma</u>
22	

24 Abstract

25 The main goal of this research was to evaluate the potential of the dual approach of 26 FAO-56 for estimating actual crop evapotranspiration (AET) and its components (crop 27 transpiration and soil evaporation) of an olive (Olea europaea L.) orchard in the semi arid 28 region of Tensift-basin (central of Morocco). Two years (2003 and 2004) of continuous 29 measurements of AET with the eddy covariance technique were used to test the performance 30 of the model. The results showed that, by using the local values of basal crop coefficients, the 31 approach simulates reasonably well AET over two growing seasons. The Root Mean Square 32 Error (RMSE) between measured and simulated AET values during 2003 and 2004 were 33 respectively about 0.54 and 0.71 mm per day. The obtained value of basal crop coefficient (K_{ch}) for the olive orchard was similar in both seasons with an average of 0.54. This value 34 35 was lower than that suggested by the FAO-56 (0.62). Similarly, the single approach of FAO-36 56 has been tested in the previous work (Er-Raki et al., 2008) over the same study site and it 37 has been shown that this approach also simulates correctly AET when using the local crop 38 coefficient and under no stress conditions.

Since the dual approach predicts separately soil evaporation and plant transpiration, an attempt was made to compare the simulated components of AET with measurements obtained through a combination of eddy covariance and scaled-up sap flow measurements. The results showed that the model gives an acceptable estimate of plant transpiration and soil evaporation. The associated RMSE of plant transpiration and soil evaporation were 0.59 and 0.73 mm per day, respectively.

Additionally, the irrigation efficiency was investigated by comparing the irrigation scheduling design used by the farmer to those recommended by the FAO model. It was found that although the amount of irrigation applied by the farmer (800 mm) during the growing season of olives was twice that recommended one by the FAO model (411 mm), the

- 49 vegetation suffered from water stress during the summer. Such behaviour can be explained by
- 50 inadequate distribution of irrigation. Consequently, the FAO model can be considered as a
- 51 potentially useful tool for planning irrigation schedules on an operational basis.
- 52 Keywords: Crop coefficient, Evapotranspiration, Eddy covariance, FAO-56 model, Olea
- 53 europaea, Sap flow.

54 **1. Introduction**

55 Regions classified as semi-arid or arid constitute roughly one third of the total global 56 land cover. Within these regions, water scarcity is one of the main factors limiting agricultural 57 development. The impact of such water scarcity is amplified by inefficient irrigation practices, 58 especially since the irrigation consumes more than 85% of the available water in these regions 59 (Chehbouni et al., 2008). Therefore, the first step toward sound management of the scarce 60 water resources in these regions requires an accurate estimation of the water needs and 61 consumption of irrigated agriculture. The crop water need is defined as the amount of water 62 needed to meet the amount of water lost to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration.

63 During the last two decades, several models have been developed to simulate crop 64 evapotranspiration (ET) and in some cases, its components (soil evaporation and plant 65 transpiration). These models ranged from complex, physically based ones such as the Simple 66 Soil Plant Atmosphere SiSPAT (Braud et al., 1995), ISBA (Noilhan and Mahfouf, 1996), to more simple and conceptual ones (Sinclair and Seligman, 1996; Olioso et al., 1999) such as 67 68 SVAT simple (Boulet et al., 2000). Other models such as STICS (Brisson, 1998) or CERRES 69 (Ritchie, 1986) simulate ET through the combination of a water balance with a crop growth 70 component. All of these models need several input parameters which cannot easily be 71 obtained at the appropriate space-time scale, and therefore difficult for operational 72 applications.

In addition to the above models/methods, the FAO-56 approach has been extensively used to derive ET and schedule irrigation on an operational basis. This approach is often preferred due to its simplicity and its robustness for operational applications. It requires fewer input data, and provides acceptable ET estimates when compared to heavily parameterized physically-based models (Evett et al., 1995; Kite and Droogers, 2000; Eitzinger et al., 2002), to ground measurement (e.g. Paço et al., 2006; Er-Raki et al., 2007, 2008, 2009; Liu and Luo,

79 2010) or to satellite measurements (e.g. Allen, 2000; Kite and Droogers, 2000; Duchemin et al., 2006; Er-Raki et al., 2006, 2010). FAO-56 is based on the concepts of reference 80 evapotranspiration ET_0 and crop coefficients K_c , which have been introduced to separate the 81 82 climatic demand from the plant response (Allen et al., 1998). There are two approaches to 83 estimate crop evapotranspiration: the single and the dual crop coefficients. The FAO-56 dual 84 crop coefficient approach (Allen et al., 1998) describes the relationship between maximal evapotranspiration ET_c and reference evapotranspiration ET_0 by separating the single K_c 85 into the basal crop K_{cb} and soil water evaporation K_e coefficients, while in the FAO-56 86 87 single crop coefficient approach, the effect of both crop transpiration and soil evaporation are 88 integrated into a single crop coefficient. Many studies have focused on the application of the 89 single approach for determining olive water requirement within Mediterranean regions (e.g. 90 Palomo et al., 2002; Abid Karray et al., 2008; Martinez-Cob and Faci, 2010). In semi-arid 91 Mediterranean region of southern Morocco, Er-Raki et al. (2008) applied also the single 92 approach over the same study site of this work, and they found that the approach 93 overestimates AET by about 18% when using the crop coefficient suggested by Allen et al. 94 (1998). Knowing that the flood irrigation is the most widely used method by the majority of 95 the farmers in Morocco (as the case of our study site), which accompanied with a large 96 amount of the water lost through direct soil evaporation (Yunusa et al., 1997), it is worthwhile 97 to estimate this substantial amount. This can be achieved by partitioning ET into soil and plant 98 components, which is the main objective of this present study. For the FAO-56 dual crop 99 coefficient approach, it has been tested for many crops (bean, corn, and sugar beet) at 100 Kimberly, Idaho (Allen et al., 1996) and tomato and cotton at Fresno, California by Itenfisu 101 (1998). As reported by Allen (2000), the comparison between estimated and measured ET by 102 precision weighing lysimeters in all situations gives an error less than 10% for daily ET 103 estimates. Allen (1999) applied the FAO-56 procedure to a 200,000 ha irrigation project in 104 California to compare the estimated ET with that determined by water balance, and the results 105 showed that despite the simplicity of the FAO model, estimates of ET were reasonable with a 106 good accuracy (overestimation of 6%). Allen (2000) also applied his methodology to an 107 extensive multiple-cropped surface, and compared the estimated ET with one obtained by 108 remote sensing. Results have shown that the FAO-56 approach overestimated ET by more 109 than 20%. Recently, several studies used the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient for estimating 110 water consumptions of different crops (Allen et al., 2005 a, b; Hunsaker et al., 2003, 2005; 111 Paço et al., 2006; Er-Raki et al., 2007). Some of these studies adopted the FAO-56 dual 112 approach to use satellite based vegetation index (Hunsaker et al., 2003, 2005; Er-Raki et al., 113 2007; González-Dugo and Mateo, 2008; Er-Raki et al., 2010). The results show that relating the basal crop coefficient K_{cb} to remotely sensed vegetation index greatly improves the 114 115 performance of FAO-56 method. However, Er-Raki et al. (2006) showed that the performance 116 of the FAO-56 method has some limitations when there is high soil evaporation or when 117 stress occurs. To overcome this problem and then enhance the FAO-56 performances, ET 118 derived from thermal infrared (TIR) observations was assimilated into FAO-56 single source 119 model (Er-Raki et al., 2008) in order to estimate accurately the water consumption of olive 120 orchards in the semi-arid region of the Tensift basin (central of Morocco).

121 Several studies have been specifically dedicated to estimate ET over olive trees. 122 Villalobos et al. (2000) determined olive ET by the estimation of its two components, through 123 a combination of a transpiration model based on the equation of Penmann-Monteith 124 (Monteith, 1965) and a soil evaporation model similar to that of Ritchie (1972). Palomo et al. 125 (2002) used a water balance approach, to determine water consumption in olive orchards. 126 Among the several disadvantages of this approach, summarized by Fernández and Moreno 127 (1999), is the variability of the hydraulic properties of the soil profile, such as the hydraulic 128 conductivity-soil water content relationship. Recently, Testi et al. (2004) used the eddy129 covariance technique to obtain direct estimates of ET of young irrigated olive orchards in 130 southern Spain. In the context of SudMed project (Chehbouni et al., 2008), Williams et al. 131 (2004) used the sap flow method combined with the isotopic method to estimate plant 132 transpiration and soil evaporation over olive orchards in southern Morocco.

133 Estimating total ET over olive orchards has been investigated by many studies in semi 134 arid regions (e.g. Fernández et al., 2001; Testi et al., 2004; Ezzahar et al., 2007; Er-Raki et al., 135 2008), but there is little information on the partitioning of the relevant components of ET in 136 such areas; this is one of the most important ecohydrological challenges in understanding 137 water exchange and vegetation dynamics in arid and semi arid ecosystems (Reynolds et al., 138 2000; Huxman et al., 2005). Partitioning ET is possible by using a combination of micro-139 meteorological measurements (e.g. Bowen ratio, eddy covariance system), and eco-140 physiological techniques (e.g. sap flow, stable isotopes) (Williams et al., 2004; Yepez et al., 141 2005; Scott et al., 2006). However, these methods are expensive and difficult to deploy and 142 maintain in both time and space. Recently, Moran et al. (2009) developed an operational 143 approach for partitioning ET with a minimal cost and suitable for operation over long time 144 periods. This approach is based on the difference between the mid-afternoon and pre-dawn 145 soil surface temperature, which is considered as the indicator of the soil evaporation. In the 146 same context, the present study aims to use an operational model of FAO-56 dual crop 147 coefficient approach for partitioning ET. We first compared actual ET derived from this 148 approach to that measured using an eddy covariance device, then the issue of the ability of 149 this approach to provide accurate estimates of components of AET through a comparison of 150 field data obtained from a combination of eddy covariance based AET measurements and 151 spatialized or scaled-up sap flow measurements of transpiration. In this context the objectives 152 of this study were:

153 1. to analyze the ability of the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient model to reproduce the 154 temporal evolution of evapotranspiration and its components: plant transpiration 155 and soil evaporation. Actual plant transpiration was compared with scaled-up sap 156 flow measurements.

157

2. to estimate the most adequate water quantity needed for the olive and to determine 158 the best timing of irrigation by using the FAO-dual approach.

159 This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a description of study site and 160 data collected during the 2003 and 2004 growing season. In Section 3, we provide a brief 161 theoretical overview of the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach. Section 4 presents an application of this approach over an olive site. Also in this section, we analyze the ability of 162 163 this approach to reproduce the temporal evolution of both components of evapotranspiration 164 (plant transpiration and soil evaporation), and to derive the soil water stress coefficient K_s of 165 olives orchards during growing season in order to determine the best timing of irrigation. In 166 the final section, summary and conclusion are provided.

167

2. Experimental Data

168 The experimental data used for this research are similar to those used in the previous 169 paper (Er-Raki et al., 2008). Here, we presented briefly the site description, climatic and eddy 170 covariance measurements. However, as the main objective of this work is the partitioning of 171 actual evapotranspiration (AET) into plant transpiration and soil evaporation through a 172 combination of eddy covariance and scaled-up sap flow measurements, more detailed 173 presentation of sap flow measurements and its scaled-up to stand level transpiration, which is 174 not used in a previous paper (Er-Raki et al., 2008), is required.

2.1. Site description 175

176 This study was carried out during 2003 and 2004, in the Agdal olive (Olea europaea L.) 177 orchard located in the semi-arid region of the Tensift basin, south-east of Marrakech, 178 Morocco (31.601 N, 7.974 W). This area has a mean total annual precipitation of 240mm and 179 a corresponding mean annual reference evapotranspiration of 1600 mm. The average annual 180 temperature is about 22°C, rising to 38 °C in the summer (July-August) and going down to 5 181 °C in winter (December-January). Mean seasonal wind speed was about 1.2 m/s. The 182 experimental field is almost flat, planted with 240-year old olive trees, grown in an orchard of 183 about 275 ha. The density of olive trees was about 225 trees per hectare, which provides an area of about 45 m^2 occupied by each tree. The soil type is homogeneous, with silt clay loamy 184 185 texture (30% clay, 25% silt, and 44% sand). The groundwater depth is approximately 40 m. 186 The soil surface was partly covered ($\approx 20\%$) by natural grass (under story) consisting mainly 187 of short weeds during most of the year. More details about the site description are given in 188 Williams et al. (2004) and Er-Raki et al. (2008).

189 2.2. Data description

190 2.2.1. Meteorological data and eddy covariance measurements

191 All climatic parameters (solar radiation, air temperature, relative humidity and wind 192 speed), needed for estimate daily reference evapotranspiration (ET_0) by the FAO-Penman 193 Monteith (Equation 6 in FAO-56, Allen et al., 1998) are measured. More details about the 194 instruments (type, position) used for measurements of these parameters collected over our 195 study site are provided in Er-Raki et al. (2008).

Figure 1 reports the daily pattern of ET_0 calculated by the FAO-Penman-Monteith equation for the two olive growing seasons (2003 and 2004). The temporal evolution of ET_0 during the year is typically that of a semi-arid continental climate. It is characterised by a high climatic demand, with the lowest during rainy periods (winter) and the highest values occurred in the sunny days (summer). Precipitation temporal patterns over the growing season of olive trees were characterized by low and irregular rainfall events, with a total precipitation amount of about 280 mm (Figure 1). The amount and timing of irrigations applied by the farmer are presented also in this figure. It was about 800 mm for each season (2003 and 2004)
of olives with around 100 mm in each supply. This irrigation scheme used by the farmer has
been evaluated in this study.

206 In addition to climatic measurements, an eddy covariance system, constituted with a 207 3D sonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific Ltd.) and an open-path infrared gas 208 analyzer (Li7500, Licor Inc.), was installed over olive tree to provide continuous 209 measurements of vertical fluxes of heat and water vapour at 9.2 m. A detailed description of 210 eddy covariance measurements can be found in Ezzahar et al. (2007) and Er-Raki et al. 211 (2008). As reported also in the same papers, the approximate fetch (spatial scale) of 212 evapotranspiration measurement is about 40m in the northwestern direction. It might be 213 considered adequate as it contributed 90% of the measured sensible heat flux (Hoedjes et al., 214 2007) and it includes the trees where the sap flow measurements were taken. Data sets of 215 latent heat and sensible heat fluxes have been available during 2003 and 2004 growing 216 seasons of olive orchards. Missing data in some days is associated to the collapse of power 217 supply.

The evaluation of the flux measurements is undertaken through the analyzing the energy balance closure. By ignoring the term of canopy heat storage and the radiative energy used in photosynthesis (Testi et al., 2004; Baldocchi et al., 2000), the energy balance closure is defined as:

222
$$R_n - G = H_{EC} + L_v E_{EC}$$
 (1)

223 Where R_n is the net radiation; *G* is the soil heat flux; H_{EC} and $L_v E_{EC}$ are respectively 224 the sensible heat flux and the latent heat flux measured by eddy covariance system. Figure 2 225 shows how well the available energy ($R_n - G$) was balanced by ($H_{EC} + L_v E_{EC}$) at daily time 226 scale for the 2003 and 2004 growing season of olive orchards. The slope of the regression 227 forced through the origin was 1.06 in 2003 and 1.07 in 2004, indicating an underestimation of the flux ($H_{EC} + L_v E_{EC}$) was less than 10% of the available energy ($R_n - G$), with the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) being about 17 w.m⁻² in 2003 and 19 w.m⁻² in 2004 (the equation used to calculate *RMSE* is presented in Appendix). These results indicate --at least at the daily time scale-- a good closure of the energy balance, which is in agreement with other studies (Testi et al., 2004; Baldocchi et al., 2000; Twine et al., 2000).

233 2.2.2. Sap flow measurements

234 Heat-pulse sap flow sensors (Heat Ratio Method, HRM, Burgess et al., 2001) were used to 235 measure xylem sap flux on eight olive trees. The HRM method has been described in detail in 236 Burgess et al. (1998) and Burgess et al. (2001). Briefly, the HRM is a modification of the 237 Heat Pulse Method (HPM) and it employs temperature probes inserted into the active xylem 238 at equal distances down- and upstream from a heat source. This method improves on the HPM 239 by its precision at very slow flow rates and even reverses sap flow can be measured. 240 Reliability of this technique for determining transpiration has been demonstrated by several 241 studies (Burgess et al., 2001; Fernández et al., 2001 and Williams et al., 2004). The heat pulse 242 sensors were installed on four large single-stemmed and on four large multi-stemmed trees 243 adjacent to the eddy covariance tower during the summer of 2003 and 2004. Sap flow measurements were conducted from the 14th of June (DOY 165) through the 30th of July 244 (DOY 211) during 2003 and from the 9th of May (DOY 130) through the 28th of September 245 246 (DOY 272) during 2004. Missing data in some days (from DOY 183 to DOY 194 and from 247 DOY 222 to DOY 224) is due to problems with the power supply. The same measurements of 248 sap flow, with the same sensors and over the same field have been made by Williams et al. 249 (2004) except in other climatic conditions (during the winter). A detailed description of HRM 250 technique and the principle of measurements can be found in Williams et al. (2004). 251 Volumetric sap flow (L day-1) was scaled to tree transpiration (mm day-1) using a survey of 252 the average ground area of each tree (45 m2). After the scaling the measured sap flow to the

253 single tree transpiration, we extrapolated this latter to the stand level transpiration, which is 254 representative for the whole field. The allometric method is the most one used for this up 255 scaling (e.g. Kumagai et al., 2005; Ford et al., 2007). However, this method is destructive and 256 very time-consuming. Nevertheless, in this study the extrapolation of the tree transpiration to 257 the stand level transpiration has been performed based on the measurements of eddy 258 covariance. This strategy was also proposed by Williams et al. (2004) and Oishi et al. (2008) 259 in the context of scaling ecosystem-level transpiration from sap flux measurements based on 260 the measured AET by eddy covariance system. In the same context, we tried to find the 261 relationship between the scaled measured sap flow and measured AET by eddy covariance, 262 equivalent to tree level transpiration, during the dry conditions (when the soil evaporation is 263 negligible). In order to select the dry period, daily evolution of $\Delta \theta$ was plotted from DOY 195 264 to DOY 219 (Figure 3), when $\Delta\theta$ means the difference between the soil moisture at 5 cm 265 depth on day i and on day i-1. One assumes that $\Delta \theta$ is proportional to the soil evaporation flux 266 at least several days after a major irrigation, i.e. when the excess water has been redistributed 267 within the soil moisture profile. By analysing this figure, one can see that $\Delta \theta$ increased in 268 absolute value from DOY 195 to DOY 202, and after it decreased until DOY 212. After this 269 day, $\Delta \theta$ is almost constant and close to zero. Therefore, the soil moisture (0.13 m³/m³) 270 correspond to DOY 212 was considered as the threshold which can be the indicator of the 271 presence of soil evaporation. When the soil moisture at 5 cm is lower than this threshold, the soil evaporation is considered negligible regarding the large values of ET_0 during the 272 273 summer. After the selection of the dry conditions, measured daily AET by eddy covariance 274 system was plotted against the daily scaled sap flow measurements (data not presented here). 275 Then, the obtained linear regression between daily stand level transpiration and daily scaled 276 sap flow is:

277 Stand level transpiration= 1.25^* (scaled sap flow), $R^2=0.74$ (2)

This model was applied also for the remaining days (wetting days) of sap flow measurements for calculating the stand level transpiration. This may create some errors in estimating stand level transpiration as reported by Oishi et al. (2008) when they found that the agreement between the estimates of components AET is greatly affected by the scaling procedure.

3. Theoretical overview of the FAO-56 dual approach

Detailed descriptions of the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach are available from Allen et al. (1998). In this section, we will briefly present this approach. The actual crop evapotranspiration (AET) estimated by this approach is given by the following equation:

$$287 AET = \left(K_s K_{cb} + K_e\right) ET_0 (3)$$

288 Where K_{cb} , K_{e} and K_{s} are basal crop coefficient, soil evaporation and water stress 289 coefficient, respectively. The required equations for deriving these three parameters are 290 presented henceforth.

291 **3.1.** Calculation of K_{ch}

The methodology adopted here to compute basal crop coefficient (K_{cb}) follows strictly the same method displayed in Er-Raki et al. (2008) for calculating crop coefficient (K_c). As mentioned previously in the introduction, two different crops (olives and under story) grew up together in the same field. A simple formula was used to calculate the equivalent basal crop coefficient (Allen et al., 1998):

297
$$K_{cbfield} = f_{c-olives} K_{cbngc} + (1 - f_{c-olives}) K_{cb \operatorname{cov} er}$$
(4)

298 Where K_{cbngc} and $K_{cb\ cov\ er}$ are basal crop coefficients for olive and under story, 299 respectively. The average seasonal value of basal crop coefficient of olive orchards (K_{cbngc}) 300 was derived based on sap flow measurements. For the basal crop coefficient of under story 301 ($K_{cb \text{ cov } er}$), it was assumed equal to the difference between the ratio of measured AET and ET_0

302
$$(K_c = \frac{AET}{ET_0})$$
 and K_{cbngc} during the dry conditions. $f_{c-olives}$ is the fraction of soil surface

303 covered by olive trees, calculated as $f_{c-alives} = \frac{\pi D^2 N}{40000}$ where D (m) is the average diameter of

the canopy and *N* is the number of trees per hectare. It was found to be equal to about 0.60.

305 **3.2.** Calculation of K_e

306 This coefficient is calculated based on daily computation of the water balance for the 307 surface soil evaporation layer Ze (equation 71 in FAO-56 paper). The calculation procedure requires input of soil parameters such as the soil moisture at field capacity ($\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathit{fc}}$) and at the 308 wilting point (θ_{wp}), the total evaporable water (*TEW*), the readily evaporable water (*REW*) 309 and the depth of Z_e. An average value of 0.32 m³ m⁻³ for θ_{fc} and 0.19 m³ m⁻³ for θ_{wp} were 310 311 obtained (Er-Raki et al., 2008). For the depth of the soil surface evaporation layer Ze (m), 312 Allen et al. (1998) suggested values ranging between 0.10 and 0.15 m. Because the soil is 313 covered with herbs and is shaded by trees, the value of $Z_e = 0.15$ m is adopted in this study. A 314 typical REW value for a silt clay loamy soil of 9 mm (FAO-56, table19) was used in the calculations. Another parameter is needed for the calculation of K_e . This parameter is named 315 the exposed and wetted soil fraction (f_{ew}). It was derived following the suggestions of Allen 316 317 et al. (1998) (equation 75 in FAO-56 paper). In fact, following irrigation (flooding technique) 318 or rainfall, the soil was completely wetted except the area covered by the stem ground tree. 319 This area represents about 5% of the whole area occupied by each tree. Then, the fraction of soil surface wetted by irrigation or precipitation f_w was about 0.95 and f_{ew} was equal to (1-320 321 f_c). In the absence of the rainfall and irrigation, it was equal to f_w . f_c represents the fraction 322 of soil surface covered by olive trees and understory, which it was set at 0.80.

323 **3.3.** Derivation of K_s

When the dual crop coefficient approach is adapted, the effects of soil water stress on crop *AET* are accounted by multiplying the basal crop coefficient by the water stress coefficient, K_s . Mean water content of the root zone is expressed by the root zone depletion, D_r . At field capacity, the root zone depletion is zero ($D_r = 0$). Water stress occurs when D_r becomes greater than *RAW*, the depth of readily available water in the root zone. For Dr >RAW, K_s is given by:

330
$$K_{s} = \frac{TAW - D_{r}}{TAW - RAW} = \frac{TAW - D_{r}}{(1 - p)TAW}$$
(5)

331 Where K_s is a dimensionless transpiration reduction factor dependent on available 332 soil water [0–1], D_r is root zone depletion [mm], *TAW* is total available soil water in the root 333 zone [mm], and *p* is the fraction of *TAW* that a crop can extract from the root zone without 334 suffering water stress. When $D_r \le RAW$; $K_s = 1$.

335 *TAW* is estimated as the difference between the water content at field capacity and336 wilting point:

$$337 \quad TAW = 1000 \left(\theta_{fc} - \theta_{wp}\right) Z_r \tag{6}$$

338 Where Z_r is the effective rooting depth [m].

339 Readily available soil water of the root zone is estimated as:

$$340 \quad RAW = pTAW \tag{7}$$

The required soil parameters for calculation of K_s are taken from Er-Raki et al. (2008). *TAW* and *RAW* values calculated from equation (6) and (7) are 208 and 135.2 mm, respectively. The rooting depth Z_r of olive trees and the depletion fraction p were set at 1.60 m and 0.65 respectively, according to FAO-56 values (table 22).

345 **4. Results and discussions**

346 4.1. Estimating AET by the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach

347 We calculated the variation over time of actual evapotranspiration (AET) using the 348 FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach over olive trees during two consecutive growing 349 seasons (2003 and 2004). The simulation was performed from March, 1st (DOY 60) to November, 25th (DOY 329) for 2003, and from the March, 1st (DOY 61) to November, 7th 350 351 (DOY 312) for 2004. The average seasonal value of basal crop coefficient of olive orchards 352 used for the simulation was about 0.54. This value was derived based on sap flow 353 measurements during 2003. It was expressed as the ratio of measured transpiration by sap flow to the reference evapotranspiration ET_0 . When sap flow measurements are not available, 354 basal crop coefficient has been derived based on minimum values of measured crop 355 coefficient (ratio of measured AET to ET_0) according to Teixeira et al. (2008). The 356 comparison between measured and predicted AET (figure 4) shows good agreement between 357 358 observed and simulated AET values. The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) between 359 measured and simulated AET values during 2003 and 2004 were respectively about 0.54 and 360 0.71 mm per day. Some discrepancies between measured and simulated AET can be clearly observed around wetting events (irrigation and rainfall) and stress period. For wetting events, 361 the difference between measured and simulated AET values may be due to the deep 362 363 percolation and the rainfall interception, which not taken into account by the model. As 364 reported by Gomez et al. (2001), rainfall interception plays an important component of the 365 water balance, and they showed that the water intercepted by the rainfed olive orchards was about 8% for a heavy rainfall. Another factor that may partly explain some of the difference 366 between measured and simulated AET values is the flux source area measured by eddy 367 368 covariance which depends to the wind direction. In fact, the eddy covariance system measures 369 the evapotranspiration over a relatively large area (wet and dry) whereas the model simulates

370 it locally (wet or dry). Moreover, the dual approach tends to overestimate the crop 371 evapotranspiration at the peak values (wetting events). This is corroborated by Liu and Luo 372 (2010) when they found that the dual approach of FAO-56 is appropriate for simulating the 373 total quantity of evapotranspiration but inaccuracy in simulating the peak value after 374 precipitation or irrigation (Peng et al., 2007). This necessitates a more profound study for 375 improving some parameterisation used in the FAO-56 method. For the periods of hydric stress 376 (ex. from DOY 212 to DOY 238 during 2004), the approach leads to higher values of AET in 377 comparison with that measured by the eddy covariance system. This may be due to an 378 overestimation of soil water stress coefficient. The overestimation of soil water stress can be related to the overestimation of the rooting depth (1.6 m). This misrepresentation of the 379 380 rooting depth influences directly the ability of the plant to extract water. A similar result has 381 been found when using the single approach for estimating water consumption for the same 382 olive orchard (Er-Raki et al., 2008). To illustrate more clearly the effect of the rooting depth 383 (Z_r) on evapotranspiration, it is essential to perform a sensitivity analysis of the FAO model to 384 this parameter. The impact of rooting depth on AET (data not shown here) showed that the 385 values of simulated AET increase with increasing of Z_r. In fact, an increase in Z_r causes an 386 increase of Total Available Water (TAW) within the root zone and leads to an increase in the 387 soil water stress coefficient K_s which is straightforward according to the equations (6) and 388 (7). An increase in Z_r of 33.33%, 66.66%, and 166% (from 1.2 to 1.6, from 1.2 to 2 from 1.2 389 to 3.2 m, respectively) leads to an increase of AET by about 34%, 47% and 49%, respectively. 390 Note that the effect of rooting depth on AET is negligible when the water is not limiting 391 within the root zone.

According to this study, the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach simulates reasonably well the total evapotranspiration. The question addressed after is how efficiently this approach simulates the two components individually: plant transpiration and soilevaporation.

396

397 4.2. Performance of the dual crop coefficient approach for Partitioning of 398 evaporation and transpiration

399 The FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach computes separately soil evaporation and 400 plant transpiration; it is of interest to investigate how well these individual components are 401 simulated. To achieve this objective, we combined eddy covariance based measurement of 402 AET with scaled-up sap flow measurements to estimate soil evaporation and plant 403 transpiration against which the simulated components will be compared. As stated above, sap 404 flow measurements were conducted from DOY 130 to DOY 272 during 2004. The sum of 405 measured soil evaporation and the under story transpiration was computed as the difference 406 between AET measured by the eddy covariance and the olive transpiration measured by the 407 sap flow method. For practical reasons, the heat pulse sensors were inserted only into the 408 active xylem of olive trees. Therefore, we compared the simulated soil evaporation with the 409 sum of measured soil evaporation and the under story transpiration. Also, because the FAO-410 dual approach predicts separately only soil evaporation and plant transpiration (olives + under 411 story) and does not discriminate between the three components (soil evaporation, olive 412 transpiration and under story transpiration), we compared the simulated plant transpiration 413 with the measured olive transpiration only.

Figure 5a presents a comparison between the measured and simulated transpiration for the 2004 growing season. Daily patterns are very similar and respond to that of ET_0 (see Figure1-bottom). The RMSE between measured and simulated olive transpiration was about 0.59 mm per day. The cumulated values of the entire experimental period (128 days) are 362 mm for the transpiration measured by the HRM method and 387 mm for the model, leading to a difference of 7%. This difference is consistent with the fact that the model simulates the
olive transpiration and the under story transpiration while the measurement estimates only the
olive transpiration. Another factor that may partly explain this difference is that the scaling
approach (Eq. 2) is not error-free (Fernández et al., 2001; Williams et al., 2004).

Regarding soil evaporation, Figure 5b shows that in dry conditions (absence of irrigation and rainfall) both simulated and measured soil evaporation are almost zero. It should be noted that the observed negative values of measured soil evaporation are considered as an artefact and set to zero. After irrigation or rainfall, as expected, both measured and estimated soil evaporation increased. However the increasing magnitude is different. This error is likely due to the fact that:

429 1) the model simulate only soil evaporation, but the measurement gives the soil430 evaporation and under story transpiration;

2) the scaling approach of measured transpiration from the eddy covariance (Eq. 2)
may not be valid in humid conditions and tends to underestimate the measured plant
transpiration and thus overestimate soil evaporation, also this error can be related also to the
under story contribution;

435 3) the impact of the difference in the footprint of the eddy covariance and the area
436 where the measured sap flow was taken during the irrigation events. This may be
437 underestimates or overestimates measured evaporation.

Despite those discrepancies between the measured and simulated soil evaporation, the model gives acceptable results in estimating soil evaporation. The RMSE between simulated and measured soil evaporation (+ under story transpiration) was 0.73 mm per day.

The ratio of plant transpiration and soil evaporation to total evapotranspiration (data not shown here) showed that before irrigation, plant transpiration represents 100% of total evapotranspiration and decrease to about 65% after irrigation. An amount of 35% of water

444 was lost by direct soil evaporation due to flooding irrigation and the olive trees are widely 445 spaced. Another study was done over the same field by Williams et al. (2003, 2004) in winter. 446 It showed that after the irrigation, the soil evaporation represents about 14–28% of the total evapotranspiration. Yunusa et al. (1997) also studied the partitioning of seasonal 447 448 evapotranspiration from a commercial furrow-irrigated Sultana vineyard, and they found that 449 substantial amounts of the soil water (about 49% of total ET) were lost through soil 450 evaporation. In order to prevent the water losses by evaporation from the ground, it is very 451 important to wet the maximum volume of root zone and the minimum soil surface. Therefore, 452 a localized irrigation system is usually the most appropriate.

Despite the simplicity of the water balance model used in the FAO-56 formulation, the obtained results showed that the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient can simulate correctly crop evapotranspiration and gives also an encouraging result for partitioning of evapotranspiration into soil evaporation and plant transpiration. Because this approach is very simple and designed to schedule irrigation on an operational basis, it is of interest to check the irrigation planning practiced by the farmer over the study site. This can be achieved by applying the FAO model in order to determine when to irrigate and how much water to apply.

460

461 4

4.3. Assessment of irrigation planning

In order to assess the efficiency of the irrigation planning over the study site, we calculated the soil water stress coefficient K_s by the FAO-56 dual approach (Figure 6a). The soil water stress coefficient, K_s , for olive orchards ranges from 0 to 1 according to Equation (5), and it shows how the soil water depletion, D_r , changes to limit crop evapotranspiration. The value of K_s depends on the soil water depletion linked to water supply (rainfall or irrigation). The soil water stress is equal to 1 when the soil water depletion is less than the readily available water of the root zone (*RAW*). The absence of irrigation and rainfall (from 469 DOY 191 to DOY 237) results in an increase in the root zone depletion that exceeds RAW and 470 generates stress (K_s below to 1). The increase in soil water depletion is due to the removal of 471 water by evapotranspiration and percolation losses that induces water stress conditions. The 472 information obtained from the soil water stress coefficient can be used in an irrigation 473 scheduling program for deciding when and how much to irrigate. For this purpose, we used 474 the FAO-56 dual approach to simulate the amount and the frequency of irrigation needed (figure 6a) for olive orchards in 2004 to avoid water stress (i.e. so that $K_s = 1$ at all times). 475 476 Following the FAO-56 procedure, irrigation is required when rainfall is insufficient to 477 compensate the water lost by evapotranspiration. By calculating the soil water balance of the 478 root zone on a daily basis (Equation 85 in FAO-56), the timing and the depth of the irrigations 479 can be planned (Figure 6a). According to this figure, the average amount of irrigation in each 480 supply was about 136 mm which corresponds to the value of RAW. The total irrigation 481 recommended by the model was about 411 mm which it is half that given by the farmer (800 482 mm). It can be noticed also in this figure, that although the amount of irrigation given by the 483 farmer was greater than the one simulated by the FAO model, the vegetation suffered from 484 water stress during the summer (between DOY 228 and DOY 237). Such behaviour can be 485 explained by inadequate distribution of irrigation: the wrong quantity is applied at the wrong 486 moment. In fact, the farmer didn't apply the irrigation in this period while the model 487 recommends the irrigation in the same period. It can be seen also that the farmer applied a 488 large amount relatively to the required quantity given by the model. This is due to some 489 unnecessary irrigation events during the rainfall period (e.g. DOY 169). The results revealed 490 that the method of irrigation applied by the farmer was not appropriate for the olive orchards 491 in the Tensift plain.

492 As we found above that an important amount of water (\approx 35%) was lost by direct soil 493 evaporation during the wetting event, it is of interest to quantify the amount of water needed

to fulfill this water depleted from the topsoil layer (Z_e). A similar parameter to K_s named soil 494 495 evaporation reduction coefficient (K_r) was deployed to schedule irrigation in the topsoil layer 496 (Allen et al., 1998). Similarly to K_s , the estimation of K_r requires a daily water balance 497 computation but for the surface soil evaporation layer Ze. Figure 6b presents the evolution of 498 K_r with the timing and the amount of irrigation required. According to this figure, K_r varied 499 between 0 and 1 depending to the amount of water available in the topsoil. Following heavy 500 rainfall or irrigation, the evolution of Kr or soil evaporation rates can be described as two-501 stage process: an energy limiting stage, and a falling rate stage. In the first stage, the soil 502 surface remains wet, the amount of water depleted by evaporation is equal to 0 and K_r is equal 503 to 1. When the water content was reduced due to the depletion of water by evaporation 504 (second stage) with the absence of rainfall and irrigation, K_r decreases and reaches 0 when the 505 total evaporable water (TEW) was totally depleted. In this second stage, the soil evaporation 506 rate decreases depending to the amount of water remaining in the surface soil layer and the 507 soil hydraulic properties that determine the transfer of liquid and vaporized water to the 508 surface. Ritchie (1972) funded that in the second stage the evaporation rate decreases as a 509 function of the square root of time after wetting event. Based on the calculation of K_r by using 510 the water balance equation (equations 74 and 77 in FAO 56), the timing and the amount of 511 irrigations needed in the topsoil layer can be planned (Figure 6b) in order to compensate the 512 water depleted from the topsoil layer (Z_e). According to this figure, the irrigation is required 513 by FAO when the soil water depletion is higher than the readily evaporable water (REW) and 514 no irrigation otherwise. The average value of irrigation recommended by FAO model in each 515 supply was about 9 mm which corresponds to the value of REW except in the beginning of the 516 calculation where it would be assumed as the total evaporable water (TEW=33.55 mm). The 517 total amount of irrigation recommended by the FAO model for maintaining soil wet was about 518 186 mm, which presents about 45% of the irrigation needed (411 mm) for avoiding olive

519 water stress. The cumulated values of total irrigation in the topsoil and in the root zone are 520 about 597 mm for the simulated irrigation requirement by the FAO model and 800 mm for 521 that given by the farmer, with a difference of 25%. This difference may be related to the 522 inadequate amount and planning of irrigation by the farmer. Water amounts and timing are 523 planned only by the understanding and perception of the farmer without using any guideline 524 for scheduling the amount and timing of irrigation water applications. Consequently, some 525 irrigations are missing or unnecessary. For example on DOY 201 (July, 19), the model 526 recommends the irrigation while the farmer didn't apply it in this day. Effectively, in this 527 period, the irrigation is needed because the climatic demand was very higher in the summer. 528 During the rainfall period (e.g. DOY 169), the irrigation is not necessary, but the farmer apply 529 one. The results revealed that the method of irrigation applied by the farmer was not 530 appropriate for the olive orchards in the Tensift plain. It would be advisable to improve the 531 irrigation management and to recommend the farmer to use the simple FAO model, which can 532 be considered as a potentially useful tool for planning irrigation schedules on an operational 533 basis.

534 **5. Conclusions**

The main objective of this study was to investigate the potential of the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach to provide accurate estimates of actual evapotranspiration (AET) and its components of the olive orchard in semi-arid region. Model simulations of evaporation and transpiration were compared to data obtained from a combination of eddy covariance based AET measurements and scaled-up sap flow measurements of transpiration.

The results showed that, by using the local values of basal crop coefficients derived from sap flow measurements, the approach simulates reasonably well AET over two growing seasons. The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) between measured and simulated AET values during 2003 and 2004 were respectively about 0.54 and 0.71 mm per day. The value of basal

544 crop coefficient for the olive orchard used in this study was about 0.54. This value was lower545 than that suggested by the FAO-56 (0.62).

546 Since the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach predicts separately soil evaporation 547 and plant transpiration, an attempt of comparison of the simulated components of 548 evapotranspiration (soil evaporation and plant transpiration) with the measurements showed 549 that the model gives an acceptable estimate of plant transpiration and soil evaporation. The 550 RMSE between measured and simulated transpiration and soil evaporation (resp) were 0.59 551 and 0.73 mm per day. In conclusion, it can be stated that the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient 552 gives an encouraging result for partitioning of evaporation and transpiration despite the 553 simplicity of the formulation used to derive such partition. Further effort will be necessary if 554 more accurate simulation of ET partitioning is needed by this approach. This can be achieved 555 by subdividing the plant transpiration into olive transpiration and understory transpiration and 556 so two water balance are necessary for calculation stress coefficient K_s .

557 Additionally, the results of this study revealed that the irrigation scheme used by the 558 farmer was not appropriate for the olive orchards in the Tensift plain. It was found that 559 although the amount of irrigation applied by the farmer (800 mm) during the growing season 560 of olive orchards was greater than the simulated one by the FAO model (411 mm), the 561 vegetation suffered from water stress especially during the summer. Such behaviour can be 562 explained by inadequate distribution of irrigation. It would be advisable to improve the 563 irrigation management and to recommend the farmer to use the simple and operational FAO 564 model for planning irrigation schedules.

565

6. Acknowledgements

566 This study was supported by SUDMED (IRD-UCAM) and <u>PLEADeS</u> projects funded 567 by the European Union (PCRD). The authors are grateful to ORMVAH ('*Office Regional de* 568 *Mise en Valeur Agricole du Haouz*', Marrakech, Morocco) for its technical help. We thank the 569 director and staff of the Agdal olive orchard for access and use of the field site and for 570 assistance with irrigation scheduling and security.

571 **7. Appendix**

572 Three statistics were used for analyzing the data: 1) the Mean Bias Error (MBE), 573 which indicates the average deviation of the predicted values from the measured values; 2) the 574 Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), which measures the discrepancy of predicted values around 575 observed values; 3) the efficiency (E), which judges the performances of simulation data.

576
$$MBE = \overline{y}_{mod} - \overline{y}_{obs}$$

577
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i \mod} - y_{i obs})^2}$$

578
$$E = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i \mod} - y_{i obs})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i obs} - \overline{y}_{obs})^{2}}$$

579 Where \overline{y}_{mod} and \overline{y}_{obs} are the averages of simulations and observations, *n* is the number of 580 available observations, $y_{i mod}$ and $y_{i obs}$ are daily values of modeled and observed variables 581 respectively.

582

583 8. References

Abid Karray, J., Lhomme, J.P., Masmoudi, M.M., Ben Mechlia, N. 2008. Water balance of
the olive tree–annual crop association: A modeling approach. Agric. Water Manage. 95, 575586.

- Allen, R.G. 1996. Assessing integrity of weather data for use in reference evapotranspiration
 estimation. J. Irrig. Drain Eng. ASCE 122 (2), 97-106.
- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D., Smith, M. 1998. Crop Evapotranspiration—Guidelines
 for Computing Crop Water Requirements, Irrigation and Drain, Paper No. 56. FAO, Rome,
 Italy, 300 pp.
- Allen, R.G. 1999. Concept paper—accuracy of predictions of project-wide evapotranspiration
 using crop coefficients and reference evapotranspiration in a large irrigation project. In:
 Proceedings of the United States Committee on Irrigation and Drainage Conference on
 "Benchmarking Irrigation System Performance Using Water Measurement and Water
 Balances", San Luis Obispo, CA, 10–13 March 1999.
- Allen R.G. 2000. Using the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient method over an irrigated region as
 part of an evapotranspiration intercomparison study. J. Hydrol. 229, 27–41.
- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Smith, M., Raes, D, and Wright, J.L. 2005a. FAO-56 dual crop coefficient method for estimating evaporation from soil and application extensions. J. Irrig.
- 601 Drain Eng. ASCE 131 (1), 2-13.
- Allen, R.G., Clemmens, A.J., Burt, C.M., Solomon, K, and O'Halloran, T. 2005b. Prediction
 accuracy for project-wide evapotranspiration using crop coefficient and reference
 evapotranspiration. J. Irrig. Drain Eng. ASCE 131 (1), 24-36.
- Baldocchi, D.D., Law, B.E., Anthoni, P.M. 2000. On measuring and modeling energy fluxes
 above the floor of a homogeneous and heterogeneous conifer forest. Agric. For. Meteorol.
 102, 187–206.
- 608 Boulet, G., Chehbouni, A., Braud, I., Vauclin, M., Haverkamp, R., Zammit, C. 2000. A
- 609 simple water and energy balance model designed for regionalization and remote sensing data
- 610 utilization. Agric. For. Meteorol. 105, 117–132.

- Braud, I., Dantas-Antonino, A.C., Vauclin, M., Thony, J.L., Ruelle, P. 1995. A simple soilplant-atmosphere transfer model (SiSPAT) development and field verification. J. Hydrol. 166,
 213–250.
- 614 Brisson N., Mary B., Ripoche D et al. 1998. STICS: a generic model for the simulation of 615 crops and their water and nitrogen balances. I. Theory and parametrization applied to wheat 616 and corn. Agron. J. 18, 311-346.
- Burgess S.S.O., M.A. Adams, N.C. Turner, and C.K. Ong. 1998. The redistribution of soil
 water by tree root systems. Oecologia. 115, 306-311.
- 619 Burgess, S.S.O., Adams, M.A., Turner, N.C., Beverly, C.R., Ong, C.K., Khan, A.A.H., Bleby,
- 620 T.M. 2001. An improved heat pulse method to measure slow and reverse flow in woody
- 621 plants. Tree Physiol. 21, 589-598
- 622 Chehbouni, A., Escadafal, R., Duchemin, B., Boulet, G., Simonneaux, V., Dedieu, G.,
- 623 Mougenot, B., Khabba, S., Kharrou, H., Maisongrande, P., Merlin, O., Chaponnière, A.,
- 624 Ezzahar, J., Er-Raki, S., Hoedjes, J., Hadria, R., Abourida, A., Cheggour, A., Raibi, F., A.
- 625 Boudhar, A., Benhadj, I., Hanich, L., Benkaddour, A., Guemouria, N., Chehbouni, Ah.,
- 626 Lahrouni, A., Olioso, A., Jacob, F., Williams, D. G., Sobrino, J. 2008. An integrated
- 627 modelling and remote sensing approach for hydrological study in arid and semi-arid regions:
- 628 the SUDMED Programme. Int. J. Remote Sens., 29, 17 & 18, 5161 5181.
- 629 Duchemin, B., Hadria, R., Er-Raki S., Boulet, G., Maisongrande, P., Chehbouni, A.,
- 630 Escadafal, R., Ezzahar, J., Hoedjes, J., Karrou, H., Khabba, S., Mougenot, B., Olioso, A.,
- 631 Rodriguez, J-C., Simonneaux, V. 2006. Monitoring wheat phenology and irrigation in Central
- 632 Morocco: on the use of relationship between evapotranspiration, crops coefficients, leaf area
- 633 index and remotely-sensed vegetation indices. Agric. Water Manage. 79, 1-27.
- 634 Eitzinger J., Marinkovic D., Hösch J. 2002. Sensitivity of different evapotranspiration
- 635 calculation methods in different crop-weather models. In Rizzoli, A.E., Jakeman, A.J. (Eds.):

Integrated Assessment and Decision Support; Proc., 1st biennial meeting of the International
Environmental Modelling and Software Society (IEMSS), 24-27 June 2002, Lugano,
Switzerland. 2, 395-400.

Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Guemouria, N., Duchemin, B., Ezzahar, J., Hadria, R., BenHadj,
I. 2006. Driven FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach with remotely-sensed data for
estimating water consumptions of wheat crops in a semi-arid region. The 2nd International
Symposium on Recent Advances in Quantitative Remote Sensing: RAQRS'II, 25-29
September 2006, Valencia, Spain.

- Er-Raki S., Chehbouni G., Guemouria, N., Duchemin B., Ezzahar J., Hadria R.
 2007. Combining FAO-56 model and ground-based remote sensing to estimate water
 consumptions of wheat crops in a semi-arid region. Agric. Water Manage. 87, 41–54.
- Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Hoedjes, J., Ezzahar, J., Duchemin, B., Jacob, F. 2008.
 Improvement of FAO-56 method for olive orchards through sequential assimilation of
 Thermal infrared based estimates of ET. Agric. Water Manage. 95, 309–321.
- Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Guemouria, N., Ezzahar, J., Khabba, S., Boulet, G. and Hanich,
 L. 2009. Citrus orchard evapotranspiration: Comparison between eddy covariance
- measurements and the FAO 56 approach estimates. Plant Biosys. 143 (1), 201-208.
- Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Duchemin, B. 2010. Combining satellite remote sensing data with
- the FAO-56 dual approach for water use mapping in irrigated wheat fields of a semi-arid
- 655 region. Remote Sens. 2(1), 375-387.
- 656 Evett, S.R., Howell, T.A., Schneider, A.D., Tolk, J.A. 1995. Crop Coefficient Based
- 657 Evapotranspiration Estimates Compared with Mechanistic Model Results. In W.H. Espey, and
- 658 P.G. Combs (ed.). Water resources engineering, vol. 2, Proc. of the First International
- 659 Conference, Aug. 14-18 1995, San Antonio (Texas, USA).

- Ezzahar J., Chehbouni, A., Hoedjes, J.C.B., Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, Ah., Bonnefond, J-M.
 and De Bruin, H.A.R. 2007. The use of the Scintillation Technique for estimating and
 monitoring water consumption of olive orchards in a semi-arid region. Agric. Water Manage.
 89, 173-184.
- Fernández, J.E., Moreno, F., 1999. Water use by the olive tree. Journal of Crop Production. 2
 (2), 101–162.
- 666 Fernández, J. E., M. J. Palomo, A. Díaz-Espejo et al. 2001. Heat-pulse measurements of sap
- flow in olives for automating irrigation: tests, root flow and diagnostics of water stress. Agric.
 Water Manage. 51, 99-123.
- 669 Ford, C. R., Hubbard, R.M., Kloeppel, B. D, Vose, J. M. 2007. A comparison of sap flux-
- based evapotranspiration estimates with catchment-scale water balance. Agric. For. Meteorol.
 145, 176–185.
- Gómez, J. A., Giráldez, J. V. and Fereres E. 2001. Rainfall interception by olive trees in
 relation to leaf area. Agric. Water Manage. 49(1), 56-78.
- 674 González-Dugo, M.P., Mateos, L. 2008. Spectral vegetation indices for benchmarking water
- 675 productivity of irrigated cotton and sugarbeet crops. Agric. Water Manage. 95(1), 48-58.
- 676 Hoedjes, J.C.B., Chehbouni, A., Ezzahar, J., Escadafal, R., De Bruin, H.A.R., 2007.
- 677 Comparison of large aperture scintillometer and eddy covariance measurements: can thermal
 678 infrared data be used to capture footprint induced differences? J. Hydrometeorol. 8 (2), 144679 159.
- Hunsaker, DJ., Pinter, PJ Jr., Barnes, EM., Kimball, BA. 2003. Estimating cotton
 evapotranspiration crop coefficients with a multispectral vegetation index. Irrig. Sci. 22, 95104.
- 683 Hunsaker, DJ., Pinter, PJ Jr., Kimball, BA. 2005. Wheat basal crop coefficients determined
- by normalized difference vegetation index. Irrig. Sci. 24, 1-14.

- Huxman, T.E., Wilcox, B.P., Breshears, D.D., Scott, R.L., Snyder, K.A., Small, E.E., Hultine,
- K., Pockman, W.T., Jackson, R.B. 2005. Ecohydrological implications of woody plant
 encroachment. Ecology. 86, 308–319.
- 688 Itenfisu, D. 1998. Adaptation of resistance-based evapotranspiration functions to row crops.
- 689 Unpublished PhD dissertation, Dept. Biol. and Irrig. Engng, Utah State Univ., Logan, UT,690 207pp.
- Kite, G. W., Droogers P. 2000. Comparing evapotranspiration estimates from satellites,
 hydrological models and field data. J. Hydrol. 209, 3-18.
- 693 Kumagai, T., Nagasawa, H., Mabuchi, T., Ohsakia, S., Kubota, K., Kogia, K., Utsumi, Y.,
- 694 Kogaa, S., Otsuki, K., 2005. Sources of error in estimating stand transpiration using allometric
- relationships between stem diameter and sapwood area for Cryptomeria japonica andChamaecyparis obtuse. Agric. For. Meteorol. 206, 191–195.
- 697 Liu,Y., Luo, Y. 2010. A consolidated evaluation of the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient
- approach using the lysimeter data in the North China Plain. Agric. Water Manage. 97, 31-40.
- 699 Martinez-Cob, A., Faci, J.M. 2010. Evapotranspiration of an hedge-pruned olive orchard in a
- semiarid area of NE Spain. Agric. Water Manage. 97, 410-418.
- Monteith, J. L. 1965. Evaporation and Environment. 19th Symposia of the Society for
 Experimental Biology, University Press, Cambridge, 19:205-234.
- 703 Moran, M.S., R.L. Scott, T.O. Keefer, W.E. Emmerich, M. Hernandez, G.S. Nearing, G.B.
- 704 Paige, M.H. Cosh, P.E. O'Neill. 2009. Partitioning evapotranspiration in semiarid grassland
- and shrubland ecosystems using time series of soil surface temperature. Agric. For. Meteorol.
- 706 149, 59-72.
- Noilhan, J., Mahfouf, J.F. 1996. The ISBA land surface parameterisation scheme. Global and
- 708 Planetary Change, 13:145-159.

- Oishi, C.A., Oren, R., Stoy, P.C. 2008. Estimating components of forest evapotranspiration: A
 footprint approach for scaling sap flux measurements. Agric. For. Meteorol, 148, 1719-1732.
- Olioso, A., Chauki, H., Courault, D., and Wigneron, J.-P. 1999. Estimation of
 evapotranspiration and photosynthesis by assimilation of remote sensing data into SVAT
 models. Remote Sens. Environ. 68, 341–356.
- 714 Paço, T. A., Ferreira, M. I., Conceiçao, N. 2006. Peach orchard evapotranspiration in a sandy
- soil: Comparison between eddy covariance measurements and estimates by the FAO 56
 approach. Agric. Water Manage. 85, 305-313.
- 717 Palomo, M.J., Moreno, F., Fernandez, J.E., Diaz- Espejo, A., Giron, I.F. 2002. Determining
- 718 water consumption in olive orchards using the water balance approach. Agric. Water Manage.
 719 55, 15-35.
- 720 Peng, S., Ding, J., Mao, Z., Xu, Z., Li, D., 2007. Estimation and verification of crop
- 721 coefficient for water saving irrigation of late rice using the FAO-56 method. Transactions of722 the CSAE 23 (7), 30–34.
- Reynolds, JF, Kemp, PR, Tenhunen, JD. 2000. Effects of long-term rainfall variability on
 evapotranspiration and soil water distribution in the Chihuahuan Desert: a modeling analysis.
- 725 Plant Ecology. 150, 145–159.
- 726 Ritchie, J.T., 1986. The CERES-Maize model In: CERES-Maize: Simulation model of maize
- growth and development. Ed by Jones C.A., and Kiniry J.R., Texas A M University press,
- 728 college station, Tx., (1986) 3-6.
- Ritchie, J.T. 1972. Model for predicting evaporation from a row crop with incomplete cover.
 Water Resour. Res. 8:1204-1213.
- Scott, R.L., Huxman, T.E., Cable, W.L. and Emmerich, W.E. 2006. Partitioning of
 evapotranspiration and its relation to carbon dioxide exchange in a Chihuahuan desert
 shrubland. Hydrol. Proces. 20, 3227–3243.

- Sinclair, T.R., and Seligman, N.G. 1996. Crop modeling: from infancy to maturity. Agron. J.
 88, 698-704.
- Teixeira, A.H. de C., Bastiaanssen, W.G.M., Moura, M.S.B., Soares, J.M., Ahmad, M.D.,
 Bos, M.G. 2008. Energy and water balance measurements for water productivity analysis in
 irrigated mango trees, Northeast Brazil. Agric. For. Meteorol. 148, 1524-1537.
- Testi, L., Villalobos, F.J., Orgaz, F. 2004. Evapotranspiration of a young irrigated olive
 orchard in southern Spain. Agric. For. Meteorol. 12, 1-18.
- Twine, T.E., Kustas, W.P., Norman, J.M et al. 2000. Correcting Eddy-Covariance Flux
 Underestimates over a Grassland. Agric. For. Meteorol. 103, 279-300.
- Villalobos, F.J., Orgaz, F., Testi, L., Fereres, E. 2000. Measurements and modeling of
 evapotranspiration of olive (Olea europaea L.) orchards. Eur. J. Agron. 13, 155-163.
- 745 Williams, D.G., Cable, W., Hultine, K., Yepez, E.A., Er-Raki, S., Hoedjes, J.C.B., Boulet, G.,
- de Bruin, H.A.R., Chehbouni, A. et Timouk, F. 2003. Suivi de la répartition de
 l'évapotranspiration dans une oliveraie (Olea europaea L.) à l'aide des techniques de l'eddy
 covariance, des flux de sève et des isotopes stables ». Vèmes Journées de l'Ecologie
 Fonctionnelle, 12 au 14 Mars 2003 à Nancy.
- 750 Williams, D.G., Cable, W., Hultine, K., Hoedjes, J.C.B., Yepez, E.A., Simonneaux, V., Er-
- 751 Raki, S., Boulet, G., de Bruin, H.A.R., Chehbouni, A., Hartogensis, O.K. and Timouk, F.
- 2004. Evapotranspiration components determined by stable isotope, sap flow and eddycovariance techniques. Agric. For. Meteorol. 125, 241-258.
- Yepez, E.A., Huxman, T.E., Ignace, D.D., English, N.B., Weltzin, J.F., Castellanos, A.E. and
- 755 Williams, D.G. 2005. Transpiration and evaporation following a moisture pulse in semiarid
- 756 grassland: a chamber-based isotope method for partitioning evapotranspiration. Agri. For.
- 757 Meteorol. 132, 359–376.

- 758 Yunusa, I.A.M., Walker, R.R., Guy, J.R. 1997. Partitioning of seasonal evapotranspiration
- 759 from a commercial furrow irrigated Sultana vineyard. Irrig. Sci. 18, 45–54.

761 Figure captions

Figure 1. Daily reference evapotranspiration ET_0 calculated following the FAO-Penman-Monteith equation during 2003 (top) and 2004 (bottom) growing seasons. Rainfall and irrigation events are shown in the same figures.

Figure 2. Assessment of energy balance closure. Daily average fluxes of net radiation (R_n) minus the soil heat flux (G) are compared against the sums of sensible (H_{EC}) and latent heat $(L_{\nu}E_{EC})$ measured by the eddy covariance system.

Figure 3. Daily evolution of the difference between the soil moisture at 5 cm depth on day i and on day i-1 ($\Delta\theta$). The vertical dotted line shows the date on which the soil evaporation was negligible.

Figure 4. Time course of observed (triangles on dotted line) and simulated (solid line) actual
evapotranspiration using the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach for 2003 (top) and 2004
(bottom) growing seasons of olives orchard in Tensift Alhaouz, Marrakech Morocco.

774 Figure 5. Time course of observed (triangles on dotted line) and simulated (solid line) two 775 components of evapotranspiration using the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach of olives 776 orchard in Tensift Alhaouz, Marrakech Morocco during the 2004 growing season (from 777 DOY130 to DOY272): a) plant transpiration, b) soil evaporation. The measured soil 778 evaporation is computed as the difference between measured evapotranspiration by eddy 779 covariance system and measured transpiration by sap flow. Note that the negative values of 780 measured soil evaporation obtained when the measured transpiration is higher than measured 781 (AET) by eddy covariance system, were taken equal zero.

Figure 6. Estimated daily soil water stress coefficient K_s (figure a) and daily soil evaporation reduction coefficient K_r (figure b) by the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient approach for the olives orchard in Tensift Alhouz, Marrakech Morocco during 2004 growing season. Amount and

- 785 frequency of irrigation given by the farmer and recommended by the FAO model are shown
- in the same figures.

Figure 1





Figure 2

















Figure 5





Figure 6



