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Abstract. During the 2008 International Polar Year, the POLARCAT (Polar Study using Aircraft, Remote Sensing, Surface Measurements, and Models of Climate Chemistry, Aerosols, and Transport) campaign, conducted in summer over Greenland and Canada, produced a large number of measurements from three aircraft and seven ozonesonde stations. Here we present an observation-integrated analysis based on three different types of O₃ measurements: airborne lidar, airborne UV absorption or chemiluminescence measurement, and intensified electrochemical concentration cell (ECC) ozonesonde profiles. Discussion of the latitudinal and vertical variability of tropospheric ozone north of 55° N during this period is performed with the aid of a regional model (WFR-Chem). The model is able to reproduce the O₃ latitudinal and vertical variability but with a negative O₃ bias of 6–15 ppbv in the free troposphere above 4 km, especially over Canada.

For Canada, large average CO concentrations in the free troposphere above 4 km (> 130 ppbv) and the weak correlation (< 30 %) of O₃ and PV suggest that stratosphere–troposphere exchange (STE) is not the major contributor to average tropospheric ozone at latitudes less than 70° N, due to the fact that local biomass burning (BB) emissions were significant during the 2008 summer period. Conversely, significant STE is found over Greenland according to the better O₃ vs. PV correlation (> 40 %) and the higher values of the 75th PV percentile. It is related to the persistence of cyclonic activity during the summer over Baffin Bay.

Using differences between average concentration above Northern and Southern Canada, a weak negative latitudinal summer ozone gradient of −6 to −8 ppbv is found in the mid-troposphere between 4 and 8 km. This is attributed to an efficient O₃ photochemical production from BB emissions at latitudes less than 65° N, while the STE contribution is more homogeneous in the latitude range 55–70° N. A positive ozone latitudinal gradient of 12 ppbv is observed in the same altitude range over Greenland not because of an increasing latitudinal influence of STE, but because of different long-range transport from multiple mid-latitude sources (North America, Europe, and even Asia for latitudes higher than 77° N).

For the Arctic latitudes (> 80° N), free tropospheric O₃ concentrations during summer 2008 are related to a mixture of Asian pollution and stratospheric O₃ transport across the tropopause.
1 Introduction

Ozone concentrations are still increasing in many locations in the Northern Hemisphere mostly due to an increase in Asian precursor emissions (Lin et al., 2015; Cooper et al., 2010). Since tropospheric ozone is an effective greenhouse gas with a relatively long lifetime, its main impact on climate and air quality is within mid-latitude regions. Several studies have shown that ozone also makes an important contribution to the Arctic surface temperature increases due to direct local warming in the Arctic as well as heat transport following warming due to ozone at mid-latitudes (Shindell, 2007; Shindell et al., 2009; AMAP, 2015). The Arctic ozone budget still requires better quantification and is complicated by the interplay between the long-range transport, including the downward transport of stratospheric ozone (Hess and Zbinden, 2013; Walker et al., 2012), removal of boundary layer O\textsubscript{3} due to halogen chemistry, especially in springtime (Simpson et al., 2007; Abbatt et al., 2012), and photochemical production due to local sources, such as boreal forest fires (Stohl et al., 2007; Thomas et al., 2013), NO\textsubscript{x} enhancement from snowpack emissions (Honrath et al., 1999; Legrand et al., 2009), local summertime production from peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) decomposition (Walker et al., 2012), or ship emissions (Granier et al., 2006). The O\textsubscript{3} distribution over North America for the spring period at high latitude has been discussed using the Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox (TOPSE) and Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) data set in several publications (Browell et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Olson et al., 2012; Koo et al., 2012) showing (i) frequent occurrence of ozone depletion events (ODE) in the planetary boundary layer (PBL), (ii) a net O\textsubscript{3} photochemical production rate equal to zero throughout most of the troposphere, and (iii) a latitudinal increase of tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} concentrations due to transport from mid-latitudes and stratosphere–troposphere exchange (STE).

For the summer period ozone photochemical production is expected according to the numerous studies conducted at mid-latitudes (Crutzen et al., 1999; Parrish et al., 2012), but little attention has been given to the high latitude distribution during this season. During the ARCTAS-B (Jacob et al., 2010) and POLARCAT (Polar Study using Aircraft, Remote Sensing, Surface Measurements, and Models of Climate Chemistry, Aerosols, and Transport) campaigns (Law et al., 2014), many ozone measurements were carried out over Canada and Greenland from 15 June to 15 July 2008 via aircraft and regular ozone soundings. This allows a detailed analysis of the ozone regional distribution at high latitudes between 55 and 90°N and a discussion about the relevant ozone sources driving the summer ozone values. So far, knowledge of the relative influence of the main ozone summer sources has been mainly derived from modeling studies, e.g., summer simulations of global model simulation of the ozone source attribution (Wespes et al., 2012; Walker et al., 2012; Monks et al., 2015), or regional modeling of biomass burning case studies for North American fires (Thomas et al., 2013) or Asian fires (Dupont et al., 2012).

In order to interpret the measurements, we use a hemispheric simulation performed using the regional chemical transport model, WRF-Chem (Grell et al., 2005; Fast et al., 2006). WRF-Chem simultaneously produces a meteorological forecast, including the dynamics of the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) region, and online chemistry to predict ozone (and other trace gas and aerosol) concentrations. Here, we use the WRF-Chem model to investigate dynamics that determine ozone concentrations as a function of latitude, including STE processes, which can bring high-ozone air from the stratosphere into the upper troposphere in the Arctic. In addition, we use the model to compare directly predicted and measured ozone in summer 2008 and use CO as a tracer to separate air influenced by surface emissions and subsequent ozone formation via photochemistry from air influenced by stratosphere–troposphere mixing processes.

The objectives of this paper are thus twofold: (i) to establish the summer tropospheric ozone latitudinal variability over Greenland and Canada based on the large number of ozone measurements available in the free troposphere during June-July 2008, and (ii) to explore the role of photochemistry and STE in the observed high latitude ozone distribution by extracting the potential vorticity (PV) and CO distribution from a 2 month WRF-Chem regional model simulation. The WRF-Chem simulation also provides the modeled ozone distribution to verify the coherence between the modeled PV and CO with the observed ozone distributions. The ozone data set and the WRF-Chem simulation are described in Sects. 2 and 3, respectively. The model vs. measurement ozone comparison is discussed in Sect. 4, while the latitudinal distributions of ozone, CO, and PV are presented in Sect. 5.

2 Summer 2008 ozone data set

We use three different ozone measuring instruments to build the data set considered in this work: airborne lidar, in situ aircraft ozone analyzer, and electrochemical concentration cell (ECC) ozonesonde. Two airborne ozone differential absorption lidars (DIAL) are considered: the NASA-DC-8 instrument and the ALTO lidar on the French ATR-42. In situ ozone analyzers have been installed onboard three different aircraft: the NASA DC-8, the DLR Falcon-20, and the French ATR-42. We also considered seven ground-based stations that participated in the ARC-IONS campaign (Tarsick et al., 2010) over Canada (latitudes > 50°N in the longitude range between −70 and −160°W) and over Greenland (latitudes > 55°N in the longitude range between −60 and −20°W). The times and positions of the June-July 2008 measurements included in our study are given in Table 1 for
Table 1. Characteristics of the ensemble of ozone measurements made with airborne instruments during summer 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Number of flights</th>
<th>Latitude range</th>
<th>Longitude range</th>
<th>Altitude range</th>
<th>Time period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATR-42 in situ</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>59–71° N</td>
<td>−60 to −20° W</td>
<td>0–7 km</td>
<td>30 Jun–14 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR-42 lidar</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>59–71° N</td>
<td>−60 to −20° W</td>
<td>2–12 km</td>
<td>30 Jun–14 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-8 in situ</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45–88° N</td>
<td>−132 to −38° W</td>
<td>0–12 km</td>
<td>26 Jun–13 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-8 lidar</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45–88° N</td>
<td>−132 to −38° W</td>
<td>0–15 km</td>
<td>26 Jun–10 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon-20 in situ</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57–79° N</td>
<td>−65 to −20° W</td>
<td>0–11 km</td>
<td>30 Jun–18 Jul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Characteristics of the ECC sounding stations used during summer 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Number of ECC</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Altitude range</th>
<th>Time period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summit</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>72.6° N</td>
<td>−38.5° W</td>
<td>3.2–15 km</td>
<td>6 Jun–22 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>82.5° N</td>
<td>−62.3° W</td>
<td>0–15 km</td>
<td>4 Jun–24 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolute</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>74.7° N</td>
<td>−95° W</td>
<td>0–15 km</td>
<td>4 Jun–30 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>58.7° N</td>
<td>−94° W</td>
<td>0–15 km</td>
<td>4 Jun–30 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowknife</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>62.5° N</td>
<td>−114.5° W</td>
<td>0–15 km</td>
<td>23 Jun–12 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehorse</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60.7° N</td>
<td>−135.1° W</td>
<td>0–15 km</td>
<td>27 Jun–12 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonyplain</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53.55° N</td>
<td>−114.11° W</td>
<td>0–15 km</td>
<td>26 Jun–12 Jul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Horizontal distribution of the DC-8 (green), ATR-42 (blue), DLR Falcon-20 (red) flights and ECC sounding locations (black dot) over the selected Canada (left panel) and Greenland regions (right panel).

The aircraft observations and in Table 2 for the ozonesondes. The aircraft flight paths over Canada and Greenland are shown in Fig. 1 and the measurements are always performed during daytime.

The German DLR Falcon-20 was based in Greenland and used an UV absorption instrument (Thermo Environment Instruments, Inc.; TEI49C) to measure O$_3$ with an uncertainty of ±2 ppbv (±5% of the signal) (Schlager et al., 1997; Roiger et al., 2011). The ATR-42 aircraft was also based in Greenland and the ozone measurements were made using a similar instrument (TEI49-103) calibrated against a NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology)-referenced O$_3$ calibrator, Model 49PS, at zero, 250, 500, and 750 ppbv (Marenco et al., 1998). A 4 ppbv negative bias related to O$_3$ loss in the ATR-42 air inlet (e.g., 10% for 40 ppbv and 5% for 80 ppbv) has been corrected in this study. On 14 July 2008, the comparison of the ATR-42 and the DLR Falcon-20 ozone data at two altitude levels over Greenland (near 67° N) shows an uncertainty better than 2 ppbv (Fig. 2). The NASA DC-8 (Weinheimer et al., 1994) O$_3$ measurements were made with a chemiluminescence technique, with the instrument calibrated by additions of O$_3$ determined by UV optical absorption at 254 nm. Uncertainties in the DC-8 O$_3$ data are typically ±2 ppbv (±5% of the signal). The NASA DC-8 flew mainly over Canadian forest fire regions in summer (Jacob et al., 2010). The comparison between the NASA DC-8 and the DLR Falcon-20 O$_3$ data during an aircraft intercomparison flight on 9 July over northern Greenland shows very good precision, but a 4 ppbv positive difference (Fig. 2). None of the Falcon-20 and NASA DC-8 data were corrected.

The ALTO lidar was mounted in a zenith viewing mode making ozone vertical profiles above the aircraft, thus limit-
Figure 2. Intercomparison of O$_3$ measurements in ppbv (solid line and left vertical scale) during two wing tip-to-wing tip flights over Greenland between the ATR-42 (blue) and the DLR Falcon-20 (red) on 14 July (left panel) and between the NASA-DC-8 (black) and the DLR Falcon-20 (red) on 9 July (right panel). The aircraft altitude changes are in km (dotted line and right vertical scale).

The lidar measurement altitude range is of the order of 6 km above the aircraft flight level with a 300 m vertical resolution and a 10 km horizontal resolution (i.e., a 2 min integration time). The system is fully described in Ancellet and Ravetta (1998), and the instrument performances for different examples of daytime airborne measurements are discussed in Ancellet and Ravetta (2003) (urban pollution in the boundary layer, ozone in the UTLS, and long-range transport in the free troposphere). Several comparisons with in situ measurements (ECC ozonesonde and airborne UV photometer) show no specific biases in clear air measurements. Measurements taken near clouds or thick aerosol layers are not included here since corrections of systematic errors related to aerosol interference become very large (Papayannis et al., 1990). These represent 20% of the lidar profiles recorded during the campaign.

The NASA-DC-8 Ozone DIAL system and configuration implemented during the campaign is described by Richter et al. (1997). The instrument provides simultaneous zenith and nadir profiles to cover the troposphere and lower stratosphere. The O$_3$ measurement resolution is 300 m in the vertical and approximately 70 km (3 min) in the horizontal for daytime measurements. On all field experiments, the airborne DIAL O$_3$ measurements are compared with in situ O$_3$ measurements made on the DC-8 during ascents, descents, and spirals, while comparisons to ozonesondes during coincident overflights were also conducted. In the troposphere, the DIAL O$_3$ measurements have been shown to be accurate to better than 10% or 2 ppb, whichever is larger (Browell et al., 1983, 1985). More recently, the precision of the DIAL O$_3$ measurements during the high-latitude SAGE-III Ozone Loss and Validation Experiment in 2003 (SOLVE II) was found to be better than 5% from near the surface to about 24 km and the accuracy was found to be better than 10% in comparison with the Ny-Ålesund lidar, ozonesondes, and in situ DC-8 measurements (Lait et al., 2004). DIAL and Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) O$_3$ measurements from the Polar Aura Validation Experiment (PAVE) in 2005 were found to agree within 7% across the 12–24 km altitude range (Froidevaux et al., 2008). Comparisons between DIAL and MLS were also examined in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (215–100 hPa region) from data obtained during the International Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment (INTEX-B) field experiment, and these results show good agreement in the lower stratosphere, with decreasing performance of the MLS measurements into the troposphere (Livesey et al., 2008).

The ozonesonde monitoring was intensified in 2008 over North America in the framework of the ARClONS initiative and the characteristics of the ozone measurements are fully described in Tarasick et al. (2010). Nearly daily soundings were made during the aircraft flight period at four stations over Canada between 53 and 63° N, and at Summit, Greenland, while weekly soundings were made at the high latitude stations Alert and Resolute.

The latitudinal cross sections of the ozone data set selected in this study are shown in Fig. 3 considering two domains to produce a bidimensional latitude–altitude plot over Canada (−160 to −70° W) and Greenland (−70 to −20° W). The horizontal distributions of the data set used for producing the latitude–altitude plots are shown in Fig. 1. For latitudes higher than 80° N, the data comes from a limited number of observations: eight sondes from Alert and three DC-8 flights from 8 to 10 July. The locations of the ozonesonde stations are shown as red vertical bars in the latitudinal cross sections. In the troposphere at altitudes less than 8 km over Canada, lidar, in situ, and ozonesonde measurements contribute 67, 20, and 13% of the ozone data set, respectively, while they correspond to 26, 69, and 5%, respectively, over Greenland (see the number of observations using each technique in Table 3). The aircraft data (lidar and in situ) therefore strongly
contribute to the high measurement density even though they correspond to a limited number of flying days, i.e., 18 days from 26 June to 18 July. In this work, ozone data are averaged hourly when they are in the same cell of a grid, with 0.5 × 0.5° and 1 km vertical resolution in order to avoid an oversampling of similar air masses. Only hourly averages are considered in Table 3.

For both regions similar ozone vertical distributions were observed: low O₃ mixing ratio ≤ 40 ppbv below 3 km and high O₃ concentrations typical of the UTLS in the altitude range 8–11 km between 60 and 80° N (i.e., red and dark region with O₃ mixing ratio > 150 ppbv). The average O₃ mixing ratio in the altitude range 4–8 km is of the order of 65 ppbv for both regions but the latitudinal O₃ gradients are more visible over Greenland than over Canada. Two mid-tropospheric ozone branches are seen in the latitude band 65–73 and 78–85° N over Greenland, the former being tilted to the south at 70° N and the latter to the north at 80° N.

### Table 3. Mean, median, and standard deviation of the observed ozone mixing ratio in the different boxes shown in Figs. 7 and 9, except for the two boxes at latitudes > 80° N, which have been merged in the last row of the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone N°</th>
<th>Latitude range</th>
<th>Longitude range</th>
<th>Altitude km</th>
<th>O₃ mean ppbv</th>
<th>O₃ median ppbv</th>
<th>O₃ SD ppbv</th>
<th>Number lidar</th>
<th>Number in situ</th>
<th>Number ECC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50–65° N</td>
<td>−132 to −70° W</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>65–80° N</td>
<td>−132 to −70° W</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50–63° N</td>
<td>−132 to −70° W</td>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63–75° N</td>
<td>−132 to −70° W</td>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60–80° N</td>
<td>−70 to −20° W</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57–65° N</td>
<td>−70 to −20° W</td>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>65–75° N</td>
<td>−70 to −20° W</td>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>80–87° N</td>
<td>−132 to −20° W</td>
<td>3–8</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 WRF-Chem model simulation

#### 3.1 Model description

For this study we use the regional Weather Research Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem) to study ozone during this period. WRF-Chem is a fully coupled, online meteorology and chemistry, and transport mesoscale model. It has been successfully used in Arctic-focused studies in the past (Thomas et al., 2013; Marelle et al., 2015) for both gas phase and aerosol analysis. Initial meteorological conditions and boundaries are from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Global Forecast System (GFS) with nudging applied to temperature, wind, and humidity every 6 h. The simulation uses the Noah Land Surface model scheme with four soil layers, the YSU (Yonsei University) planetary boundary layer (PBL) scheme (Hong et al., 2006), coupled with the MM5 similarity surface layer physics, the Morrison 2-moment (Morrison et al., 2009) microphysics scheme, and the Grell-3D ensemble (Grell and Dévényi, 2002) convective implicit parametrization. The radiation schemes are the Goddard (Chou and Suarez, 1994)
and rapid radiative transfer model (Mlawer et al., 1997) for shortwave and long wave radiation, respectively. Chemical boundary conditions were taken from the Model For Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (Emmons et al., 2010). For gas phase chemical calculations the CBM–Z (Zaveri and Peters, 1999) chemical scheme is used and aerosols were calculated using the Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (Zaveri et al., 2008). The model was run from 15 March to 1 August 2008 using a polar stereographic grid (100 × 100 km resolution) over a domain that covers most of the Northern Hemisphere, from about 28° N. Vertically, 50 hybrid layers up to 50 hPa are used with approximately 10 levels in the first 2 km. The corresponding vertical resolution ranges from 100 m in the PBL to 500 m in the free troposphere. Anthropogenic emissions with a 0.5° × 0.5° spatial resolution were taken from the ECLIPSE (Evaluating the Climate and Air Quality Impacts of Short–Lived Pollutants) version 4.0 (Klimont et al., 2013). Wildfire emissions were taken from GFED 3.1 (van der Werf et al., 2010), while aircraft and shipping emissions were from the RCP 6.0 scenario (Lee et al., 2009 and Buhaug et al., 2010). Biogenic emissions were calculated online thanks to MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature) (Guenther et al., 2012).

Using observations from aircraft, surface stations, and satellites, atmospheric model simulations of O3 have been evaluated as part of POLMIP, including WRF-Chem (Monks et al., 2015; AMAP, 2015). The model was run using different emissions and gas/aerosol schemes than in the POLMIP simulations, but the POLMIP results are still a good basis to choose WRF-Chem. While all models have deficiencies in reproducing trace gas concentration in the Arctic, WRF-Chem performs better than many models in re-producing tropospheric O3 and CO, which are used here. Given the advantages of also predicting stratosphere–troposphere exchange processes online for this study, WRF-Chem is a good model for interpreting these ozone climatologies constructed from measurements in summer 2008.

The WRF-Chem model does not explicitly calculate potential vorticity (PV). As a result, PV was calculated offline based on WRF meteorological fields: potential temperature, total mass density, geopotential height, and wind speed and direction. For each model grid cell, wind and temperature are interpolated from model vertical levels to the potential temperature in the center of the grid cell and the curl of the wind vector is calculated on the corresponding isentropic surfaces using the original model grid (100 km × 100 km) and the full model vertical resolution, i.e., approximately 500 m in the free troposphere. Potential vorticity is expressed in potential vorticity units (PVu) using the definition 1 PVu = 10⁻⁶ Kkg⁻¹ m⁻¹ s⁻¹. The main uncertainty in the PV calculation is related to the representation of smaller scales (50 km) than the model resolution (e. g., narrow stratospheric streamers near the tropopause).

### 3.2 Model results interpolations

The measurements used in this study vary in temporal and spatial resolution (Sect. 2), where the model results are 3 hourly on a polar stereographic grid (described in Sect. 3). In order to avoid favoring data with the highest temporal resolution, the measured data were averaged to 1 min for all the in situ and lidar measurements. The ozonesondes are considered to be instantaneous at the time of the balloon release. A vertical resolution of 1 km is used for the lidars and ozonesondes. The measured data is then gridded to 0.5° × 0.5°. If more than one measurement is available in the same grid box from the same campaign and instrument within an interval of one hour, then these are considered to be the same measurement, and a mean value is calculated.

The model grid cell, which is the most representative for the measurement’s location in space, is selected and a linear interpolation in time is done to calculate 1 min increments from the two modeled values separated by 3 h and surrounding the measurement time. In this way the two resulting data sets (modeled and measured) both have 1 min temporal resolution, and spatially only the vertical resolution is changed to set the altitude increment to 1 km. The model results, including PV, are also horizontally interpolated from 100 km resolution to match the new 0.5° × 0.5° horizontal data resolution. Each model grid cell is split into 100 mini-grid cells. Then each mini-grid cell is assigned to the appropriate 0.5° × 0.5° final grid cell before calculating the new model values.

### 4 Comparison of measured and modeled ozone

The WRF-Chem ozone mixing ratios corresponding to the times and locations of the June–July observations have been used to produce latitudinal cross sections comparable to the results shown in Fig. 3. The modeled O3 latitudinal cross sections are shown for Canada and for Greenland in Fig. 4, while the corresponding relative differences between the model results and the measurements are shown in Fig. 5. The altitude and latitude ozone variability of the model is comparable to the observations over both regions. The vertical structure, i.e., the transition between the low ozone values below 3 km and the higher ozone concentration in the free troposphere, and the UTLS ozone variability, are well reproduced. The latitudinal gradient of the ozone concentration in the 4–8 km altitude range over Greenland is also visible in the simulation results where the two mid-tropospheric ozone branches are also visible at 70 and 80°N. This structure is slightly shifted in the modeled cross section explaining both positive and relative differences in the free troposphere over Greenland (Fig. 5). The agreement is less good for the latitudinal gradient over Canada where the low ozone values (< 50 ppbv) seen in the model at 65° N in the mid-troposphere are not
seen in the observations, although the signs of the latitudinal gradients seem correct.

To quantify the observation–model agreement the scatter plot of modeled vs. measured ozone is also presented in Fig. 6 using a PV color scale to distinguish the tropospheric and stratospheric contributions. The measured vs. modeled \( \text{O}_3 \) correlation (red line in Fig. 6) is of the order of 0.9 in the altitude range 0–15 km over both regions because the occurrence of stratospheric ozone intrusions are very well reproduced by WRF-Chem. The correlation is however between 0.5 and 0.7 in the troposphere only using observations with PV values less than 1 PVu (green line in Fig. 6). Considering that the ozone variability in the free troposphere is not very large (< 50 ppb), the spatial and temporal variability is still well reproduced by WRF-Chem even below the tropopause region. Even though the UTLS temporal variability is well reproduced by the model (Fig. 4), there is a significant underestimation of ozone by a factor 1.5 in the WRF-Chem simulation for the lowermost stratosphere (PV > 2 PVu) (Figs. 5 and 6). In the troposphere there is also a negative bias of the model data of the order of −6 to −15 ppb with the largest differences over Canada (see Table 5). A fraction of this tropospheric underestimate by WRF-Chem is likely related to the \( \text{O}_3 \) underestimate in the lowermost stratosphere, causing the stratospheric ozone source in the upper troposphere to be too small. The modeled \( \text{O}_3 \) underestimate in the lower stratosphere likely originates from the \( \text{O}_3 \) climatology used to initialize the model in the stratosphere. The other part of this 10-ppbv bias is due to an underestimate of the lightning NO\(_x\) contribution in the WRF-Chem simulation and/or an underestimate of the vertical transport of continental emissions to the mid- and upper troposphere. Wespes et al. (2012) have shown that the lightning NO\(_x\) source and vertical transport of continental emissions both contribute to 15 % of the \( \text{O}_3 \) concentration in the free troposphere at latitudes higher than 60° N in summer. Despite these flaws, the WRF-Chem simulations are still very valuable because the spatial \( \text{O}_3 \) variability compares rather well with the observations. The PV and CO distribution available from the WRF-Chem simulations will therefore be used to examine the respective roles of photochemistry and STE in the observed ozone distribution in the following sections.

5 Latitudinal ozone distribution at high latitudes

In this section, we investigate the mean latitudinal and vertical ozone gradient in the troposphere using the POLARCAT observations. To quantify these gradients, the \( \text{O}_3 \) cross sec-
Figure 6. Scatter plot of WRF-Chem modeled vs. measured O$_3$ mixing ratio in ppbv for Canada (top panel) and Greenland (bottom panel) domains. The black lines are the one-to-one line and the PV color scale distinguishes stratospheric (red and white dots) and tropospheric data (blue and green dots). The regression line parameters and the Pearson correlation coefficient with its $p$ value are colored in red and green for the PV range 0–4 and 0–1 PVu, respectively.

5.1 Measurements over Canada

For the data taken over Canada, five regions were considered to calculate the mean O$_3$ latitudinal gradient. They correspond to the blue boxes with the labels 1, 2, 3, 4, and 8 shown in the CO latitudinal distribution plotted in Fig. 7. The different zones have similar regional extent in order to make them comparable. Two are below 4 km in the altitude range where the lowest ozone values were recorded for latitudes $>$ 60° N. The boundary between these two boxes is set according to the strong CO latitudinal difference, due to the biomass burning emissions south of 65° N and lack of local emissions of ozone precursors in the region between 65 and 80° N. In the altitude range 4–8 km, which corresponds to the largest tropospheric ozone values, two other regions were defined. The latitude boundary at 63° N is again prescribed according to the latitude where CO and O$_3$ concentrations are simultaneously decreasing. The last box corresponds to the tropospheric observations at high latitudes ($>$ 80° N) where CO concentrations are increasing, especially in the altitude range 3–8 km. These ozone observations were mainly made in northeastern Canada by the DC-8 aircraft. The CO distribution derived from the WRF-Chem simulation is consistent with the analysis of CO observations by Bian et al. (2013), who show the major influence of boreal and Asian emissions on the ARCTAS-B CO observations.

The mean and median measured O$_3$ mixing ratios for the different boxes are shown in Table 3, along with the number of observations from the different measurement techniques (lidar, in situ, and sondes). The mean and median O$_3$ and CO mixing ratios from the model are also reported in Table 4 and the statistics relevant to the model evaluation are reported in Table 5. The $-10$ to $-15$ ppbv differences between the...
In the 3–6 km altitude range (the large PV values at altitudes below 2 km should not be considered as they are related to the low level cyclonic circulation due the orographic circulation around the Greenland ice cap). This suggests that the latitudinal ozone gradients between zones 3 and 4 are not related to the latitudinal distribution of stratospheric intrusions. However, O₃ mixing ratios larger than 70 ppbv (Fig. 3) corresponds to the sampling of one biomass burning plume with CO > 500 ppbv, which biases the mean). Zone 8 data in Tables 3 and 4 combines the aircraft sampling over both Canada and Greenland for the high latitude boxes (> 80° N) because they characterize regions with similar O₃ and CO distributions. The O₃ mean for zone 8 (70 ppbv) is similar to the one found in zone 3, while CO is increasing again at high latitudes (40 ppbv above the CO tropospheric baseline of 60 ppbv).

The average PV latitudinal cross section is also calculated for the ozone data set as it is a good tracer of the latitudinal variability of the stratospheric O₃ source (Fig. 8). Although frequent stratospheric air mass intrusions are seen in the 8–10 km altitude range at latitudes higher than 55° N, the role of the stratospheric source is not clearly visible in the average PV values in the free troposphere. Mean PV values larger than 0.5 PVu are only seen for latitudes higher than 75° N in the 3–6 km altitude range (the large PV values at altitudes below 2 km should not be considered as they are related to the low level cyclonic circulation due the orographic circulation around the Greenland ice cap). This suggests that the latitudinal ozone gradients between zones 3 and 4 are not related to the latitudinal distribution of stratospheric intrusions.
are correlated with the descending high PV tongue at latitudes between 77 and 87° N (Fig. 8). Because the analysis of the ozone spatial distribution shows contrasting behavior of the ozone–PV relationship, it is also necessary to look at the PV vs. $O_3$ mixing ratio scatter plot. When only tropospheric ozone data are considered (PV < 1 PVu), there is a poor Pearson correlation ($r < 0.3$) between ozone and PV, implying that stratospheric intrusions are not the only source of high tropospheric ozone values (Fig. 8). Indeed one can see that a significant number of high tropospheric ozone mixing ratios (> 70 ppbv) are related to PV less than 1 PVu when using the altitude color scale to separate the UTLS (8–10 km) and tropospheric data. The difference in the slopes of the regression line, when including or not including the PV values larger than 1 PVu (red vs. green lines in Fig. 8), is also larger than similar variation observed at mid-latitudes in Europe where the $O_3$ to PV ratio decreases from 30 to 150 ppbv/PVu (Ravetta et al., 1999). This suggests that the ozone variability during summer 2008 is not driven by the variability of stratospheric air mixed into the free troposphere, but mainly related to emissions and photochemistry over Canada.

### 5.2 Measurements over Greenland

The same procedure was applied to the latitudinal distribution over Greenland. The regions considered for the latitudinal gradient analysis are slightly different because the CO latitudinal cross sections and the ozone vertical structure are different. The selected regions are shown by the blue boxes in Fig. 9. Only one zone is chosen between 60 and 80° N for the altitude range below 4 km, where there are the lowest tropospheric $O_3$ and CO concentrations values. The CO latitudinal gradient is indeed very weak in the lower troposphere between 60 and 80° N (< 5 ppbv). However, as over Canada, two boxes are chosen in the altitude range 4–8 km because of their differences in terms of CO and $O_3$ concentrations. An additional box corresponds to the tropospheric observations at high latitudes (> 80° N) where the CO concentrations are also higher, especially in the altitude range 3–8 km. This is related to the observations mainly made in northwestern Greenland by the DC-8 aircraft.

Table 5 shows that there is no $O_3$ underestimate by the model in the lower troposphere and the model bias above 4 km is of the order of −6 ppbv. This suggests that the model performance over Greenland, away from the continental sources, is better than over Canada. The remaining
−6 ppbv bias can be easily explained by the stratospheric O3 climatology having too low values, while lightning is known to be less important over Greenland (Cecil et al., 2014; Christian et al., 2003). The CO concentration in the lower troposphere (zone 5 of Table 3) is close to the tropospheric baseline, while O3 is not markedly different from the values seen over Canada. The positive latitudinal O3 gradient between zones 6 and 7 in the mid-troposphere above 4 km (ΔO3 = 12 ppbv) is two times larger than the latitudinal gradient over Canada, but the overall O3 mid-tropospheric concentration over Greenland is similar to its counterpart over Canada (62 vs. 65 ppbv). The corresponding negative CO latitudinal gradient between zone 6 and 7 is weak (difference of 8 ppbv), but it is anticorrelated with the ozone gradient. The CO excess above baseline is only 20 ppbv in zone 6, where CO is maximum. The anticorrelation between the O3 and CO latitudinal gradient may indicate more occurrences of stratospheric ozone intrusion in zone 7 at latitudes higher than 65° N during the POLARCAT period. If the lower CO were due to upwelling of pristine air from the Arctic lower troposphere, it would be accompanied by smaller O3 concentrations according to Fig. 3.

Looking at the average PV distribution extracted from the WRF-Chem simulation (Fig. 10), frequent stratospheric air mass intrusions are seen in the altitude range 8–10 km, especially at 75° N (PV > 1 PVu). PV values are also larger in the mid-troposphere over Greenland than over Canada (75th PV percentile is 0.45 PVu over Greenland but 0.3 PVu over Canada). The PV vs. O3 mixing ratio scatter plot also shows a higher Pearson correlation (r > 0.4) between ozone and stratospheric intrusion than over Canada (Fig. 10). Compared to the results obtained over Canada, the difference between the O3-to-PV ratio, including PV values larger than 1, and that excluding them (red vs. green regression line) is smaller (200 ppbv / PVu instead of 400 ppbv / PVu for Canada). This is consistent with a larger fraction of ozone from the UTLS over Greenland. However, there is not a significant latitudinal PV gradient in the mid-troposphere between zones 6 and 7 where a positive 12 ppbv ozone latitudinal gradient is detected. At high latitudes above 80° N, the PV and CO latitudinal distribution in the troposphere is almost identical to the latitudinal cross section over Canada (Fig. 8), which supports merging data in a single zone 8 for the statistics reported in Tables 3 and 4.

5.3 Discussion

This section aims to discuss the latitudinal ozone distribution above Greenland and Canada using (i) existing studies of the role of biomass burning, anthropogenic emissions, and STE on high latitude tropospheric ozone, (ii) satellite observations of biomass burning locations and associated plumes during summer 2008, and (iii) back trajectory analysis of the air masses where observations were made.

In the lower troposphere (0–4 km), the fact that ozone is lower than 50 ppbv everywhere (except close to the fires southwest of Hudson Bay) is expected, considering a near zero net ozone photochemical production (+0.3 ppbv day−1 near the surface and −0.3 ppbv day−1 in the lowermost free troposphere) and a weak influence of STE below 5 km in Northern Canada (Walker et al., 2012; Mauzerall et al., 1996).

In the free troposphere above 4 km, a negative CO latitudinal gradient in the latitude range 50–75° N is seen over both Greenland and Canada. The large CO latitudinal gradient over Canada can only be explained when considering the biomass burning plume at the continental scale which is superimposed onto the anthropogenic emissions south of 50° N. The position of the biomass burning plume is shown by the MODIS monthly mean aerosol optical depth, together with the Canadian Forest Service (CFS) fire counts (Fig. 11). Maxima of aerosol optical depth are seen both southwest
of Hudson Bay and over the Atlantic Ocean in the latitude band 50 to 60° N. The ozone latitudinal gradient is also negative and correlated with CO over Canada, which can be explained by ozone photochemical production in the Canadian biomass burning plumes. Thomas et al. (2013) showed a 3 ppbv ozone increase downwind of biomass burning emissions and an enhancement ratio $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$ ranging from 0.1 near the fires to 0.5 downwind from the fires. This is consistent with a $\Delta O_3$ of 6–8 ppbv between zone 3 and 4 over Canada where a 40 ppbv difference in the median CO value
is found in the model simulation. The contribution of other emission sources can be also estimated using 4 day backward trajectories calculated with the FLEXTRA model and T213/L91 ECMWF analysis (Fig. 12). According to the upper panels of Fig. 12, long-range transport into zones 3 and 4 over Canada shows similar patterns with 75% of the air masses coming from North American mid-latitudes, i.e., the area between −60 and −150° W and 50 and 70° N. Regional variability of STE also has a weak influence during this period, considering the weak dependency of O$_3$ with PV over Canada. Local emissions from biomass burning are the most reasonable explanation for the ozone latitudinal gradient between 50 and 75° N.

Over southern Greenland the effect of ozone photochemical production related to fire plumes and the North American anthropogenic emissions appears to be less than 4 ppbv, considering the weak CO gradient between zones 6 and 7 ($\approx$ −8 ppbv). The negative O$_3$ gradient due to increased photochemical production in zone 6 can then be easily counterbalanced by another source in zone 7 to explain the positive latitudinal O$_3$ gradient over Greenland. The STE ozone source is a likely contributor, as suggested by the better correlation between O$_3$ and PV over Greenland than Canada and larger PV over Greenland. Frequent tropopause polar vortices developing over Baffin Bay and Davis Strait still occur during the summer period because of the important role of radiation to maintain and intensify the upper troposphere cyclonic activity during the summer (Cavallo and Hakim, 2010). However, in the absence of a clear PV latitudinal gradient between 55 and 75° N in the model, simulation cannot explain the significant +12 ppbv ozone latitudinal gradient. Therefore, while STE certainly contributes to the ozone budget over both southern and northern Greenland, it does not explain the largest O$_3$ values found in zone 7. Looking at the long-range transport plot for zones 6 and 7 (lower panels of Fig. 12), the fraction of air masses coming from the North American mid-latitude area are now 34 and 18%, respectively. Multiple mid-latitude sources, including North America, Europe, and even Asia, are also related to ozone observations in zone 7, and may explain the differences in ozone production efficiency between zone 6 and zone 7. Wespes et al. (2012) concluded using the Model MOZART-4 that anthropogenic pollution from Europe dominates O$_3$ concentrations in summer 2008 in the Arctic, while Roiger et al. (2011) shows, using aircraft measurements, that Asian anthropogenic pollution can be mixed with stratospheric air masses over Greenland in the 75–80° latitude band.

For the free troposphere at high latitudes above 80° N, the STE contribution is maximum in this region for the 4–8 km altitude range according to the average PV distribution shown in Fig. 8 or Fig. 10. The 75th percentile of the PV distribution is also > 0.5 PVu in zone 8, while it is 0.3 PVu at latitudes lower than 75° over Canada and 0.4 PVu over Greenland. Zängl and Hoinka (2001) discussed the horizontal gradient of the tropopause height over the Arctic using ECMWF analysis and radiosondes. The region with
the largest horizontal gradient is displaced to the north near 80° N in July over North America, while vertical tilting of the isentropic surfaces remains small. These conditions are favorable for isentropic motion across the tropopause with more efficient STE. However CO also increases at high latitude because of transport from Asian and northern Siberian pollution sources, according to the air mass transport pathway for zone 8 (Fig. 13). Such a mixture of stratospheric O₃ and Asian pollution has already been suggested by Roiger et al. (2011) to explain the ozone concentrations observed at very high latitudes in the Arctic. Our study, using more ozone measurements, leads to the same conclusions.

6 Conclusions

The purpose of this work is to provide a complete picture of Arctic ozone using measurements available during the summer 2008 POLARCAT campaigns over Canada and Greenland, where three aircraft were deployed and seven ozonesonde stations intensified their ozone vertical profiling. This is the first case of such complete temporal and geographical coverage. We take advantage of the large number of airborne lidar profiles (representing 67% of the O₃ measurements over Canada and 26% over Greenland). The measured ozone climatology established in this paper can also be used for future model evaluation at high latitudes. For example, in our work, while good correspondence of the measured O₃ vertical and latitudinal distribution is found with model results from WRF-Chem, a negative O₃ bias of −6 to −15 ppbv between the model and the observations is found in the free troposphere over 4 km, especially over Canada. This deficiency is partly related to the WRF-Chem model stratospheric ozone initialization.

The WRF-Chem model simulation is also used to discuss the relative influence of tropospheric ozone sources at high latitude in summer. Ozone average concentrations are of the order of 65 ppbv at altitudes above 4 km both over Canada and Greenland, while they are less than 50 ppbv in the lower troposphere. For Canada, the analysis of the modeled CO distribution and the weak correlation (<30%) of O₃ and PV suggest that stratosphere–troposphere exchange (STE) is not the major contribution to tropospheric ozone at latitudes less than 70° N, where transport of North American biomass burning (BB) emissions took place during the 2008 summer. Conversely, significant STE is found over Greenland according to the better O₃ vs. PV correlation (>40%) and the higher value of the 75th PV percentile. This is related to the persistence of cyclonic activity over Baffin Bay during the summer.

A weak negative latitudinal summer ozone gradient of −6 to −8 ppbv is found over Canada in the mid-troposphere between 4 and 8 km because the O₃ photochemical production from BB emissions mainly takes place at latitudes less than 65° N, while STE plays a larger role at latitudes higher than 70° N. A positive ozone latitudinal gradient of 12 ppbv is observed in the same altitude range over Greenland not because of an increasing latitudinal influence of STE, but because of different long-range transport from multiple mid-latitude sources (North America, Europe, and even Asia for latitudes higher than 77° N).

For the Arctic latitudes (> 80° N), free tropospheric O₃ concentrations are related to a mixture of stratospheric O₃ transport across the tropopause and Asian pollution, as already suggested by Roiger et al. (2011) using a case study of aircraft observations in the Arctic. Our study, which uses more ozone measurements, leads to the same conclusions.

7 Data availability

The CNRS ATR-42 aircraft ozone lidar observations can be downloaded from ftp://polarcat@ftp.aero.jussieu.fr/Kanger/LidarO3/ (Ancellet, 2009a) and the the meta-data file is called “ReadmeO3lidardata”. The CNRS ATR-42 ozone in-situ measurements from the MOZART instrument are deposited on ftp://ftp.aero.jussieu.fr/Kanger/Data_ATR/ (Ancellet, 2009b) and the meta-data file is called “Readme_MOZART.txt”. Requested password is “kanger” to access the CNRS data. The data from the NASA DC-8 aircraft have been downloaded from the NASA archive website, using a direct Link to the DIAL data archive (http://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ArcView/arctas#HAIR.JOHN/, Hair, 2008) and to the ozone in-situ measurements (http://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ArcView/arctas#WEINHEIMER.ANDREW/, ). No passwords are required as this is open and public access. The POLARCAT ozone data for DLR Falcon-20 is available from the HALO/Falcon database (https://halo-db.pa.op.dlr.de/). ECC ozonesonde data have been downloaded from the ARCHONS web site ftp://es-ee.tor.ec.gc.ca/pub/ftpdt/ARC-IONSData/summer/ICARTT/ (Tarasick, 2008) and the WOUDC web site (http://woudc.org/data/explore.php?lang=en). Data files from the WRF model simulation are too big to be publicly available but could be obtained from LATMOS. Air mass trajectories are calculated with ECMWF meteorological analysis downloaded from ECMWF MARS data base which is not publicly available.
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