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Abstract

A spatial stochastic algorithm that aims to reconstruct an entire artificial drainage network of a cultivated landscape from disconnected reaches of the network is proposed here. This algorithm uses random network initialisation and a simulated annealing algorithm, both of which are based on random pruning or branching processes, to converge the multi-objective properties of the networks; the reconstructed networks are directed tree graphs, conform to a given cumulative length and maximise the proportion of reconnected reaches. This algorithm runs within a directed plot boundaries lattice, with the direction governed by elevation. The proposed algorithm was applied to a 2.6-km\textsuperscript{2} catchment of a Languedocian vineyard in the south of France. The 24-km-long reconstructed networks maximised the reconnection of the reaches obtained either from a hydrographic database or remote sensing data processing. The distribution of the reconstructed networks compared to the actual networks was determined using specific topographical and topological metrics on the networks. The results show that adding data on disconnected reaches.
reaches to constrain reconstruction, while increasing the accuracy of the reconstructed network topology, also adds biases to the geometry and topography of the reconstructed network. This network reconstruction method allows the mapping of uncertainties in the representation while integrating most of the available knowledge about the networks, including local data and global characteristics. It also permits the assessment of the benefits of the remote sensing partial detection process in drainage network mapping.
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1. Introduction

Artificial drainage networks of agrarian landscapes include connected linear features, such as channels, culverts, roads and reshaped gullies, that result from the constant efforts of farmers to adapt landscapes to the constraints of agricultural production. They constrain water flow paths in landscapes, which greatly impacts the environmental functioning of agrarian areas: drainage networks can be ecological hot-spots and corridors (Carpentier et al., 2003; Croxton et al., 2005; Pita et al., 2006) and control many fluxes, especially of water and pollutants (Bouldin et al., 2004; Dages et al., 2008).

When scaling up from an agricultural plot scale, the structure of drainage networks appears to be highly variable in space (Lagacherie et al., 2006). Therefore, most environmental diagnoses and impact assessments at the catchment scale in agrarian areas now take these networks and their spatial variabilities into account through physical modelling (Lagacherie et al., 2010; Moussa et al., 2002; Varado, 2004). However, to date, these approaches have only been used for small areas where major investments were made in terrain surveys to map drainage networks (Lagacherie et al., 2006), which limits the
generalisation of these studies. Consequently, the extension of environmental agrarian landscape diagnoses to wider areas with a reasonable cost is largely dependent on our ability to map such linear elements.

Similar to most surface linear mapping methods (Amini et al., 2002), remote sensing represents a candidate method for artificial drainage network mapping (Vassilopoulos et al., 2008). Methods allowing the delineation of drainage networks in natural areas from regular grid digital terrain models (DTMs) have existed since 1984 (O’Callaghan and Mark, 1984); these methods use drainage algorithms to search for the flow direction in each pixel (Wilson et al., 2007) and build aggregated drainage areas accordingly (e.g., vectorised thresholded drainage areas usually form the final drainage networks) (Soille and Gratin, 1994).

For cultivated landscapes, drainage algorithms used on DTMs are unable to represent anthropogenically modified overland flow paths (Duke et al., 2006; Garcia and Camarasa, 1999), even when using high resolution airborne scanner laser DTMs (Comoretto, 2003).

Instead, methods delineating network reaches from images extracted from whole networks have previously been assessed (Bailly et al., 2008; Vassilopoulos et al., 2008). However, as for most raw image processing results, confusion in remote sensing detection provides an incomplete view of a network by producing sets of unconnected and uncertain reaches.

Consequently, methods that aim to reconstruct an entire likely connected drainage network from an incomplete delineated network must be proposed. These methods could be used to reconnect reaches detected by remote sensing, as well as to extend known downstream regions of networks existing in geographical databases. In the case of mapping explicit uncertainties, these methods might allow assessment of the ultimate utility of specific remote
sensing processes for network mapping or the required amount of known
downstream reaches of networks in geographical databases. Furthermore,
with regard to the use of drainage network maps for modelling the geom-
etry of fluxes (Beven and Binley, 1992; Beven and Freer, 2001), methods
are also needed that represent uncertainties in network reconstruction, i.e.,
uncertainties in network mapping.

Methods that aim to reconstruct line networks (e.g., roads and hydro-
graphic networks) from incomplete network delineations from remote sensing
(Sstoica et al., 2004; Lacoste et al., 2005) or vectorised cartographic elements
(Baltsavias and Zhang, 2005; Mariani et al., 1995) have previously been
proposed. The former method uses a random polyline stochastic simul-
ation process derived from the Candy model (Descombes et al., 2001), and
the latter uses a deterministic minimisation process (Kruskal, 1956; Prim,
1957). Both reconnect networks with geometric rules, favouring long, slightly
curved polylines without overlapping or aligned segments. However, at the
end of the process, both methods provide a single estimated network, with-
out computation of uncertainties.

Considering this last point, we chose first to develop a reconstruction
algorithm to: 1) randomly reconstruct various possible artificial drainage
networks from an incomplete delineation of the network and 2) reconstruct
networks taking into account a number of global network geometrical and
topological properties. The algorithm employed here is a spatially simulated
annealing algorithm that was chosen for its ability both to better explore all
network possibilities and to escape from local minima during convergence
(VanGroenigen and Stein, 1998). This algorithm generates networks corre-
spounding to directed trees (Fairfield and Leymarie, 1991) and reconnecting
reaches of the incomplete delineated network. The reconstructed networks
correspond to connected sub-graphs of the directed lattices of agricultural plot boundaries. The proposed algorithm falls within the scope of spatial conditional simulation methods (Lantuejoul, 2002). The sampled reaches of the network conditioning the simulation can come from ground observations, remote sensing detection or existing geographical databases. The direction of the graph comes from elevation gradients. Uncertainties in mapping networks are simulated through a set of equi-probable generated networks.

To stringently evaluate how these uncertainties impact network morphology in a catchment space, methods measuring how similar the reconstructed networks are to the actual networks need to be employed. In network mapping, this is usually carried out using only geographical overlap criteria (Molly and Stepinski, 2007; Heipke et al., 1997). However, this method does not permit the assessment of how realistic the morphology of the reconstructed network is. Thus, specific complementary similarity metrics of networks were developed for this purpose.

This paper is organised into four sections: 1) a description of the developed methods, i.e., the reconstruction algorithm (its assumptions, principles and general parameters) and the network topological and topographical dissimilarity metrics are first exposed; 2) a case study on the drainage ditch network of a 2.6 – km² vineyard catchment located in the south of France, with different sets of data conditioning the network reconstruction and two simulated annealing convergence criteria being used for network reconstruction is secondly presented; 3) comparisons of topological and topographical dissimilarities between the actual network and some reconstructed networks are performed; and 4) we conclude and expand on the limits of the proposed method to properly assess the implications of the findings produced from an incomplete delineation network from remote sensing.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. The reconstruction algorithm

An artificial drainage network (ADN) is considered to be a physical network corresponding to a directed and valued graph $d$ with an edge value equal to the reach length of an according physical network and a vertex value equal to the elevation. Using the usual graph theory notations, $d = (x_d, u_d)$ where $x_d$ denotes the set of $d$ vertices and $u_d$ the set of $d$ edges. An edge corresponds to a directed or ordered pair of vertices $(n_i^+, n_j^-)$, where $n_i^+$ denotes $n_i$ as the start-vertex and $n_i^-$ denotes $n_i$ as the end-vertex.

2.1.1. Assumptions

We first considered that the graph $d$ that we want to simulate is a connected sub-graph of the valued, connected, planar lattice representing the cultivated plot boundaries within a cultivated landscape. ADN reaches are, in fact, almost always located on plot boundaries. This lattice is denoted similarly as $l = (x_l, u_l)$.

$l$ is a directed graph (digraph), i.e., a directed lattice. The direction is given by the elevation gradient between edge vertices; it corresponds to the upstream-downstream direction. However, considering that elevation is uncertain due to the noise $\sigma_z$ parameter, an ambiguous direction for a given plot boundary ending on the two vertices $n_i, n_j$ can be obtained. In this case, we chose to retain the two edge directions, which meant that two distinct edges, $v$ and $v'$, were considered possible between vertices $n_i, n_j$:

$$\forall n_i, n_j \in x_l^2 / |z_{n_i} - z_{n_j}| < \sigma_z, v = (n_i^+, n_j^-) \in u_l, v' = (n_j^-, n_i^+) \in u_l$$ (1)
As has been done in most ADN hydrology studies (Lagacherie et al., 2010; Moussa et al., 2002; Varado, 2004), we considered that the $d$ graph is also a directed tree (ditree) with a root $r$ corresponding to the physical outlet.

To assimilate any type of existing data for ADN locations, we also consider that an unconnected sub-graph exists: $f = (x_f, u_f) \in d$. $u_f$ denotes a set of fixed edges. This can be produced from an existing geographical database related to a hydrographic network for downstream reaches, from a remote sensing detection process, or from any field survey information. As an extremal case, $f$ can only contain the $r$ vertex, the fixed outlet. Additionally, the subsets of fixed edges $u_{f_1}$ and $u_{f_2}$, such as $u_{f_1} + u_{f_2} = u_f$, are also distinguished. $u_{f_2}$ denotes the set of fixed edges with a fixed direction (typically obtained from a geographical database), regardless of the slope gradient. $u_{f_1}$ denotes the set of fixed edges with an uncertain direction (typically obtained from a remote sensing detection process).

2.1.2. Spatial simulated annealing algorithm general scheme

Simulated annealing is carried out for the optimisation of stochastic algorithms based on the Metropolis-Hastings (MH) heuristic. Basically, it allows the optimisation of paths through costly points when the temperature is still high in the first iterations of the algorithm. It is an heuristic that is usually employed for spatial problems, and it permits a better exploration of space from an initial solution (VanGroenigen and Stein, 1998).

The proposed formulation of the algorithm can be written in pseudo-code as follows:

In this algorithm, the parameters are the generally employed simulated annealing parameters: $k_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum number of iterations; $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ is
Algorithm 1 Reconstruction digraph algorithm

1: procedure SIMULATED.ANNNEALING($d_0, e_{max}, k_{max}, T_{ini}, g$)
2:     $k \leftarrow 0$
3:     $d \leftarrow d_0$ \hfill \triangleright \text{initial state}
4:     $e \leftarrow energy(d_0)$ \hfill \triangleright \text{initial energy}
5:     $T \leftarrow T_{ini}$ \hfill \triangleright \text{initial temperature}
6:     while $k < k_{max}$ \& $e > e_{max}$ do
7:         $d_{new} \leftarrow \text{neighb}(d)$ \hfill \triangleright \text{random neighbour state}
8:         $e_{new} \leftarrow energy(d_{new})$ \hfill \triangleright \text{energy function}
9:         if $e_{new} < e$ then
10:             $d \leftarrow d_{new}; e \leftarrow e_{new}$
11:         else if $\exp\left(\frac{e-e_{new}}{T}\right) > \text{random}(U[0,1])$ then \hfill \triangleright \text{MH heuristic}
12:             $d \leftarrow d_{new}; e \leftarrow e_{new}$
13:         end if
14:     $k \leftarrow k + 1$
15:     $T \leftarrow g(T, k)$ \hfill \triangleright \text{Temperature decreasing law}
16: end while
17: return $d$ \hfill \triangleright \text{Return reconstructed digraph}
18: end procedure

the energy objective criteria to reach; and $T_{ini}$ is the initial temperature. $g(T, k)$ denotes a given decreasing law of temperature. $d_0$ corresponds to the initial state for digraph reconstruction. The $\text{random}(U[0,1])$ function gives a sample from the uniform distribution.

At the end of the algorithm 1, a digraph $d$ connected to root $r$ is obtained satisfying the $e_{max}$ criterion. Due to its construction, few cycles can occur in this digraph. To ensure a final ditree structure for $d$, the cycles are broken...
in a final deterministic uncycling process that disconnects the longest paths from the root (in edges number) and guarantees continuity in successive $u_{f_2}$ edges.

By replicating this stochastic reconstruction process, a forest of $n$ reconstructed ADN, denoted $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$, can be simulated. This forest represents uncertainties in ADN mapping.

In the following, the initialisation $d_0$, the production of a random neighbour state $\text{neighb}$, the decreasing temperature law and the definition of the energy function are successively detailed. Prior to this, the random walk algorithm, on which the two former algorithms are based, is briefly presented.

2.1.3. Random walk

Here, a random walk process consists of random sequential and independent paths in a directed lattice starting from an upstream vertex and moving down to a set of downstream end vertices (Spitzer, 1970).

2.1.4. Random branching initialisation

A random initial state for a digraph $d_0$ is obtained using a sequential branching process based on random walks within lattice $l$. The process begins from the single graph $r$. At each iteration based on ordered $x_f$ vertices related to ascending elevation, the process connects the $x_f$ considered vertex to the digraph obtained in the previous iteration by a random walk. The digraph grows to obtain a given ratio of $u_f$ edges connected to the root $r$.

2.1.5. Neighbour state process: random pruning and branching

During simulated annealing, neighbouring random states for digraph $d$ are generated (see line 7 in algorithm 1). A neighbour state is based on
random pruning (Fig. 1-b) and branching (Fig. 1-a) of the $d$ graph as depicted on figure 1 on a virtual example.

Figure 1: A neighbour state of tree $d$ generated through random branching (a) or random pruning (b) processes. The two wide black segments are fixed parts of the network and the wide grey point corresponds to the tree root (outlet).

In this process, an edge belonging to the $(u_l - u_f)$ set is first randomly selected (selection among the uncertain reaches), and two cases are therefore distinguished:

- If this selected edge already belongs to the $u_d$ digraph set of edges, then the directed sub-graph (branch) upstream of the ending vertex of this edge is first pruned from digraph $d$ to form $d_{new}$. Second, a re-branching process is selected through a binary process with a probability of 0.5. If selected, this re-branching process connects each of
the $u_f$ edges belonging to the upstream sub-graph with same sequential branching process as was used for the initialisation of $d_0$, and this new branch is added to $d_{new}$.

- If the selected edge does not belong to $(u_d)$, a random walk starting from its end node, reaching one of the $d$ vertices, is performed. Consequently, a new branch is added to form $d_{new}$.

Due to its construction, this neighbour process allows the exploration of the entire $l$ lattice for the reconstruction of $d$.

2.1.6. Energy functions

The choice of the energy function in the algorithm governs the objective properties for the reconstructed networks. Here, we chose a bi-objective energy function. Our aim was that a reconstructed network should:

- converge to a target overall cumulative network length related to the extent of the catchment: this defines the catchment objective $catch$; by using this convergence criterion, it is assumed that in future cases, the drainage network density or the cumulative length of a catchment can be predicted from environmental or anthropogenic variables (e.g., soil, catchment morphology and cultivated plot areas...).

- reconnect a maximum number of fixed edges at the extent of the catchment: this defines the reconnection ratio objective $ratio$, equal to the fraction between the number of reconnected fixed edges and the number of fixed edges;

The multi-objective energy function is $energy = max(e_1, e_2)$, where $e_1, e_2$ denote component energy objective functions:
catchment energy: \( e_1 = \frac{|L_d - \text{catch}|}{\sigma_1} \) with \( \sigma_1 = \text{catch} \ast \gamma_1 \)

reconnection ratio energy: \( e_2 = \frac{|L_{f \in d} - L_f|}{\sigma_2} \) with \( \sigma_2 = L_f \ast \gamma_2 \)

In these formulations, \( L_d = \sum_{v \in u_d} \text{length}(v) \), \( L_f = \sum_{v \in u_f} \text{length}(v) \),
\( L_{f \in d} \sum_{v \in (u_d \& u_f)} \text{length}(v) \) denotes the cumulative length of \( f \), \( d \) and \( f \), respectively, within \( d \) edges. \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) are convergence tuning parameters that permit the differential adjustment of each of the objectives \( (e_1, e_2) \) relative to the unique \( e_{max} \) parameter.

Due to the construction of these formulations, all of the energies have normalised magnitude ranging from 0 to 1.

2.1.7. Simulated annealing decreasing temperature law

The simulated annealing initial decreasing temperature law follows the commonly employed equation:

\[
T_k = T_{ini} \ast (\alpha^k)
\]  

where:

- \( \delta = \text{max}(1, \frac{(L_l - \text{catch})}{\text{catch}} \ast 0.5) \)
- \( T_{ini} = \frac{\delta}{0.51} \)

The initial temperature parameter \( \delta \) was fixed to a 'great' cost, divided by 2 and normalised by the target catchment cumulative length. The \( \alpha \) parameter was fixed such that \( \exp\left(\frac{-0.2}{(\alpha(\frac{L_{max}}{\gamma}))^5}\right) \) approximates 0.1. Both 0.51 and 0.1 values were fixed arbitrarily after trials and errors tests since automated fitted parameters for simulated annealing is still an open question (Wright, 2010). There were also fixed according to suggestions from Aarts et al. (2003) for initial temperature related to the maximal cost between
two neighbouring solutions and from Zhang et al. (2010) in order to get a rejection rate of about 5-10%.

2.2. Evaluating the ADN simulations with drainage network similarity metrics

The previous subsection showed the way an ADN would be reconstructed given the following information: $r$, the known outlet of the network; $l$, the plot boundary lattice graph valued by elevation, and $f$, the set of fixed network reaches used to condition the reconstruction.

To assess the accuracy of the proposed ADN mapping method with respect to the $f$ data or algorithm parameters, we computed the ditree network similarities based on scalar metrics. These metrics were used to compare each of the simulated ADNs to the actual one and, consequently, the forest dissimilarity distribution was computed.

As the objective function in algorithm 1 already uses global network geometry criteria (the drainage density), we chose to develop two complementary network similarity metrics based on: 1) network topography and 2) network topology.

2.2.1. ADN topographical similarity metric

The topography of an ADN is fully contained in the slope of its network reaches. To summarize these slope distributions, any single synthetic slope statistic (e.g., mean and quantile) performs poorly. Therefore, we chose to use a metric based on the cumulative distribution of edge slopes. For a given network, we calculated the curve representing the cumulative distribution of slopes as follows: 1- for a given slope value $\theta$, the proportion of the network length having slope smaller or equal than $\theta$ was computed; 2- this
was repeated for discretized and crescent values of the slope ranging from −90 to 90 degrees (see Figure 2).

For two networks, the topographical similarity corresponds to the area between the two curves. This metric $h_t$ can be defined as:

\[
h_t(d_1, d_{\text{ref}}) = \left| \int_{-90}^{90} F_i(\theta) d\theta - \int_{-90}^{90} F_{\text{ref}}(\theta) d\theta \right|,
\]  

where $\theta$ denotes the slope in degrees and $F_i(\theta)$ denotes the empirical cumulative distribution function of the network slopes in relative cumulative lengths.

Figure 2: ADN topographical similarity computation through empirical cumulative edge slope distribution difference (grey areas): example of small (a) and great (b) dissimilarities for ditree $d_1$ and $d_2$ compared to $d_{\text{ref}}$

2.2.2. ADN topological similarity metric

Methods for the computation of the similarity between tree topologies have previously been developed in computational science to answer prob-
lems related to character chains, phylogenetic tree comparisons or the description of deciduous tree architecture (Ferraro and Godin, 2000). These methods are all based on the Robinson-Foulds metric (Day, 1985) or Levenshtein or Jaro-Winkler distances (Jaro, 1985). These metrics are dedicated to valued trees with qualitative node labels. However, this is clearly not the problem in our case. In hydrology, topological characterisation of drainage networks is usually based on the Horton-Strahler, Shreve or Tokunaga upstream-downstream edge or node taxonomies (Barker et al., 1973). However, for a given ditree, these taxonomies cannot be easily reduced to a single value: it is usually represented in vectors or matrices as a branching matrix based on bi-order distributions, i.e. distributions of the twostrahler orders for stream joining downstream (Janey, 1992, chapitre3).

To address this problem, we chose to develop the simple similarity metric \( h_c \), as proposed initially by Moslonka-Lefebvre et al. (2010) for comparison of gully networks in badlands extracted from remote sensing data (Thommeret et al., 2010). This metric is the distance between the Strahler barycenters of networks, where the Strahler barycenter of a network is the weighted barycenter of the network edge upstream nodes, with weights equal to Strahler orders:

\[
h_c(d_1, d_2) = distance(G_1, G_2)
\]

- \( x_{G_1} = \frac{1}{\sum_i w_1} \sum_i w_1 x_{1_i} ; y_{G_1} = \frac{1}{\sum_i w_1} \sum_i w_1 y_{1_i} \) are the coordinates of the \( d_1 \) Strahler barycenter tree;

- \( x_{G_2} = \frac{1}{\sum_j w_2} \sum_j w_2 x_{2_j} ; y_{G_2} = \frac{1}{\sum_j w_2} \sum_j w_2 y_{2_j} \) are the coordinates of the \( d_2 \) Strahler barycenter tree;
• $w_i, w_j$ denotes the Strahler order of a node equal to that of the edge for which the node is the upstream for trees $d_1, d_2$ respectively. $x_{1i}, x_{2j}$ and $y_{1i}, y_{2j}$ denote the coordinates of the edge upstream vertices of trees $d_1, d_2$ respectively.

This unique metric combines the topology, geometry and geography of the network. It is further simply considered as the topological similarity metric.

3. Case study

3.1. The Muscat catchment and drainage network

3.1.1. Study site

The study area used here is the 2.6-km$^2$ Muscat catchment located in the Languedoc region (south of France). This area was selected as being representative of the Languedocian vineyard landscape, where linear elements play a major role in hydrological transfers. The area is successively composed of plateaus, sloping areas with terraces, gentle foot slopes formed from alluvial and colluvial deposits and a flat depression from upstream to downstream. The study area includes the Roujan elementary catchment, which has been equipped for conducting measurements of pollution, erosion and flooding since 1992 (Voltz and Albergel, 2002). The drainage network drains cultivated plots and prevents erosion in the case of major runoff events. The positioning of the reaches that comprise most of this network within the landscape is highly variable and is highly dependent on reach functions. When the reach function is to capture surface waters, as in the case of high slopes, they are perpendicular to the terrain slope, especially
at the footstep of terrassettes. When the reach function is water transport, the reaches follow the slope direction. When reach function is to drain subsurface waters, there is no evident relationship with the local relief.

3.1.2. The actual Muscat drainage network

The actual drainage network of the studied catchment was exhaustively surveyed in 2004. It contains 239 edges and 240 nodes with 123 sources and 116 confluences (Fig. 3-a). Its cumulative length is 23,995 m that defines the catch parameter value.

Figure 3: The Muscat catchment data: a) the cultural plot lattice depicted on the 5-m hillshaded DTM; b) the actual drainage network in blue, with the reach width related to Strahler order ranging from 1 to 5; c) the plot lattice with the set $f$ of known edges (blue: © BD-Topo for $f_2$, red: remote sensing for $f_1$)

3.1.3. The plot lattice and DTM data

For this catchment, a cultivated connected plot lattice containing 2,645 edges and 1,079 nodes was used (Fig. 3-b). The elevation was assigned to
each vertex of this lattice based on a 5-m resolution DTM having a random error of $\sigma_z = 1$ m for the standard deviation. There is no internal sink within this lattice that can trap random directed walks.

3.1.4. The ADN conditioning data

We used the whole set of known edges, $f$, to condition the reconstruction, as depicted in Figure 3-c. This set was produced from:

- remote sensing detection based on LIDAR raw data (red lines). Remote sensing provides disconnected reaches, which may represent false detection, with a probability of 0.17 of false detection for upstream reaches that were not previously mapped in a geographical database. The cumulative length of the reaches is 15,400 m, i.e., 47% of the actual network cumulative length. This set of fixed reaches corresponds to the $f_1$ edge set because the direction of the reaches is unknown.

- the © BD-Topo-geographical database for hydrographic networks for the main downstream reaches (blue). Their cumulative length is 2,500 m, i.e., 7.5% of the actual network. This set of fixed reaches corresponds to the $f_2$ edge set, which has a known direction.

- $r$ which is the known outlet of the network that we want to reconstruct. Although it is not appropriate (no edge), we further consider that $r$ can be the extreme case for the $f$ conditioning data: only the outlet is fixed.
4. Results: reconstructed ADN on the Muscat catchment

4.1. Convergence efficiency

Beginning from the cultivated plot lattice shapefiles, algorithms were developed in the R statistical computing program (Ihaka and Gentleman, 1996) using the shapefiles and e1076 libraries (Team, 2009). It took 9, 7.2 and 48 minutes on average to reconstruct a network using the \((f = r)\), \((f = f_2)\) \((f = f_1 + f_2)\) sets for conditioning, respectively, on a dual core PC with 1 GB RAM. Additionally, \(r, f_2, f_1 + f_2\) denote the three exclusive cases of \(f\) fixed edges used to condition the reconstruction.

The \(e_{max}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, k_{max}\) parameters were adapted depending on the \(f\) conditioning data:

- when using \(r\) or \(f_2\) to condition the reconstruction, the convergence parameters were \(\gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 1, e_{max} = 0.01\) and \(k_{max} = 500\).

- when using \(f_1 + f_2\) to condition the reconstruction, it took a long time to achieve convergence due to both considerable constraints related to lattice space exploration and a multi-objective \((e_1, e_2)\) dilemma (Kung et al., 1975). A Pareto optimum providing a compromise between the duration of and the objective of accuracy for the simulation was found with the following convergence parameters: \(\gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 4\) for \(e_{max} = 0.05\) and \(k_{max} = 2,000\).

In Figure 4, the evolution of energy within the simulated annealing process using \(f = (f_1 + f_2)\), as well as according to the reconstructed digraph, is depicted for a simulation example at three intermediary states.
Figure 4: Simulated annealing algorithm 1 intermediary states: a) initial digraph and energy for $k = 0$; b) digraph and energy at iteration $k = 30$; c) digraph and energy at final iteration $k = 309$; d) final obtained ditree. On the top, the lattice edges are depicted in grey; the directed reconstructed graphs are indicated by black arrows. The fixed edges of $f$ with a known direction (© BD-Topo) are depicted in blue, and the fixed edges of $f$ with an unknown direction (remote sensing) are depicted in red. On the bottom, the energy $e$ is depicted as a function of $k$.

In Figure 4, the cumulative length of the initial digraph $d$ passing through at least 95% of the $f$ edges covers most of the lattice $l$ and, consequently, has a high energy $e$ due to its overly long cumulative network length. At the intermediary state ($k = 30$), the energy is still high (around 0.2) due to a weak $f$ edge reconnection, and the graph $d$ is segmented in spatial clusters due to a great amount of pruning occurring when the temperature remains high enough. For larger iterations ($k > 200$), the energy slowly decreases with local pruning and branching to converge to the desired maximum level of energy ($e_{\text{max}} = 0.05$) at iteration $k = 308$. 
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4.1.1. Reconstructed network variability

Three forests of 100 reconstructed networks were built using $r$, $f_2$, $f_1 + f_2$ to condition the reconstruction. Some of the networks sampled from these three forests are depicted in Figure 5.

![Figure 5](image)

Figure 5: Example of five reconstructed networks using a) $r$, b) $f_2$, c) $f_1 + f_2$. All networks are depicted with a line width related to their Strahler orders.

In this Figure, the reconstructed networks look realistic compared to the actual network (Figure 3-b). However, differences appear between cases $r$, $f_2$ and $f_1 + f_2$. The topology seems less realistic for $r$ than for $f_1 + f_2$. However, the reconstructed networks look more realistic in the parts of the catchment where the actual main branches belong to the $f_2$ edges.
4.2. Conditioning data effects on network similarities

Similarities of the reconstructed network forests using the different sets of fixed edges \( r \), \( f_2 \) and \( f_1 + f_2 \) were computed. The \( h_t, h_c \) similarity distributions can be deduced from the graphs in Figure 6.

![Figure 6: Similarity distribution of forests using a) \( r \), b) \( f_2 \), c) \( f_1 + f_2 \). On the top, the \( F_\theta(slope) \) curves are depicted for the actual network (red) and the reconstructed networks (black). On the bottom, the Strahler barycenter of the actual network is depicted by the black point from which red segments join the reconstructed network Strahler barycenters.](image)

The top part of Figure 6 shows that the \( h_t \) topographic similarity distribution is quite similar for \( r \) and \( f_2 \). For \( f_1 + f_2 \), the slope distribution appears to be of higher magnitude where the slope is greater than 0.2 rad. In all cases, the reconstructed network slopes are not totally centred on the
actual slope distribution and overestimate very low and negative slopes. The bottom part of Figure 6 shows that the $h_c$ topological similarity distribution is once again quite similar for $r$ and $f_2$, but with a lower magnitude for $f_2$. For $f_1 + f_2$, the topological dissimilarities appear to be better centred, despite an apparently higher magnitude.

Mean and standard deviation statistics were computed from these different similarity distributions (Table 4.2) and then tested for statistically significant differences, using a two sample comparison Welch T test (Table 4.2). These statistics confirm that the use of $f_2$ slightly improves the topological and topographical similarities of the networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$f$:</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$f_2$</th>
<th>$f_1 + f_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual edges length (%)</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{L}_d$</td>
<td>23850.5</td>
<td>23868.5</td>
<td>24763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{h}_t$</td>
<td>0.00101</td>
<td>0.00118</td>
<td>0.00394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{ht}$</td>
<td>0.00201</td>
<td>0.00217</td>
<td>0.00275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{h}_c$</td>
<td>244.9</td>
<td>230.2</td>
<td>197.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{hc}$</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Dissimilarities statistics

Despite having a higher ratio of fixed reaches compared to $f_2$, the use of $f_1 + f_2$ significantly improves the topological similarity of the networks but a significantly higher topographic dissimilarity also arises (Table 4.2).
Table 2: Welch two sample T test probability values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability value $H_0$:</th>
<th>$r$ vs $f_2$</th>
<th>$r$ vs $f_1f_2$</th>
<th>$f_2$ vs $f_1f_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topographical metric $h_t$</td>
<td>3.05e-02</td>
<td>8.96e-44</td>
<td>5.91e-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topological metric $h_c$</td>
<td>2.36e-03</td>
<td>3.03e-15</td>
<td>6.33e-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is probably due to the locations of the $f_1$ edges corresponding to false remote sensing detection (Bailly et al., 2008), with more being located in the terraced hillslides of the Muscat catchment and favouring higher slopes in the networks. This could also be produced from the necessary $e_{max}$ difference (relaxation), favouring added edges upstream on terraced hillslides.

From the reconstructed forests and similarity distributions, a median network corresponding to the reconstructed network with minimized median orders on similarity metrics was computed. This median network is showed using a) $r$, b) $f_2$, c) $f_1 + f_2$ on the left of figure 7. In addition, uncertainties in network reconstruction was computed through the frequency in reconstructed networks for each one of the cultivated plot boundaries lattice edge. These uncertainties are also mapped using a) $r$, b) $f_2$, c) $f_1 + f_2$ on the right on figure 7. On this figure, the median tree using $f_1 + f_2$ looks the more realistic and close to the actual one. As expected, uncertainties are higher on upstream areas corresponding to terraced hillslides.

5. Conclusions

In this study, a simulated spatial annealing algorithm for the stochastic reconstruction of artificial drainage networks was proposed. This algorithm uses the plot boundary lattice of a cultivated landscape directed by DTM
Figure 7: The median reconstructed network (left) and frequencies of lattice edges in reconstructed networks (right) using a) r, b) $f_2$, c) $f_1 + f_2$.

elevation and is conditioned by unconnected known reaches. A number of equi-probable drainage networks connected to a given outlet with an
upstream-downstream ditree structure were produced. They follow a given cumulative length and maximise the reconnection of unconnected known reaches. Randomness in the algorithm comes from upstream-downstream random walks along the cultivated plot lattice and according to random pruning or branching processes in the network.

This algorithm can be used to complete or interpolate from known regions of networks produced from ground observations, geographical databases or remote sensing detection. It also permits the representation of uncertainties in drainage network mapping through multiple realisations of the algorithm.

The results of applying the algorithm to the Muscat catchment with or without using edges detected from airborne scanner laser, from the © BD-Topo database, showed quite realistic reconstructed networks in all cases; the simulations are highly constrained by the 3D structure of the plot lattice. However, a quantitative study of the geometric, topographical and topological properties of the reconstructed networks using different criteria than were used as the objectives for simulated annealing resulted in equivocal conclusions. Adding uncertain information from remote sensing of network locations does not always benefit the model, as it increases the biases of some parameters of the network and reduces others, while adding considerable constraints related to convergence and a long duration to the reconstruction process. It appears that the location, rather than the ratio, of the unconnected true reaches is more important. This assumption could be assessed in future studies using the proposed reconstruction algorithm.

Another question regarding the realistic character of the simulations obtained using this algorithm is related to the final usage of the mapped drainage networks. In this case, only descriptive criteria were used, but cri-
teria based on the hydrological functioning of the simulated networks also need to be assessed. In other words, do uncertainties in drainage network maps resulting from the available data and the proposed algorithm have a significant influence when they are propagated into a given hydrological model?

The proposed algorithm is generic and can be extended to other networks, to other catchments and even using objectives related to other network properties. Its main requirements are a cultivated plot lattice that can be valued with elevations and known outlets. It also requires a value of the cumulative length of the network to be simulated. This drainage density is expected to be predicted spatially in future studies.
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