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Abstract – Making use of the addition theorem for the cylindrical wave functions and the complex-source-point method in cylindrical coordinates, an exact solution to the Helmholtz equation is derived, which corresponds to a tightly focused (or collimated) cylindrical quasi-Gaussian beam with arbitrary waist. The solution is termed “quasi-Gaussian” to make a distinction from the standard Gaussian beam solution obtained in the paraxial approximation. The advantage of introducing this new solution is the efficient and fast computational modeling of tightly focused or quasi-collimated cylindrical wave-fronts depending on the dimensionless waist parameter $kw_0$, where $k$ is the wave number of the acoustical radiation. Moreover, a closed-form partial-wave series expansion is obtained for the incident field, which has the property that the axial scattering (i.e. along the direction of wave propagation) and the axial acoustic radiation force (which is a time-averaged quantity) on a cylinder, can be calculated without any approximations in the limit of linear acoustical waves in a nonviscous fluid. Examples are found where the extinction in the radiation force function plot is found to be correlated with conditions giving reduction of the backscattering from an elastic cylinder. Those results are useful in beam-forming design, particle manipulation in acoustic tweezers operating with focused cylindrical beams, and the prediction of the scattering and radiation forces on a cylindrical particle or liquid bridges.
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1. Introduction

Acoustic beam modeling of focused ultrasonic transducers is an important process in beam-forming design in order to optimize design parameters, such as geometry, focus depth, acoustic beam width and directivity. This process consists of determining the acoustic pressure and its spatial distribution in front of the radiating surface. Various software packages exist (such as Abersim [1], Field II [2], FOCUS [3] or k-Wave [4]), in which the acoustic beam-profile can be modeled using the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction integral [5]. Apertures ranging from simple geometrical shapes, such as planar, spherically/cylindrically focused waves, to more complex (arbitrarily-shaped) wave-fronts have been considered in the aforementioned packages. However, the numerical simulations for the beam-forming are generally time-consuming, which require numerical integration procedures. It is therefore important to devise fast and efficient analytical tools which allow optimized design of experimental tools and the development of novel devices.

Along that line of research, the complex-source-point method (CSPM) [6,7,8,9,10] has been introduced, and exact solutions of the Helmholtz equation were developed and analyzed for the fast beam-profile modeling of spherically focused quasi-Gaussian beams, both in acoustics [11] and optics [12]. Moreover, the CSPM has been applied for cylindrically focused quasi-Gaussian optical beams [13]. The “quasi-Gaussian” terminology has been used to make a distinction from the standard Gaussian beam solution obtained in the paraxial approximation, which does not satisfy the Helmholtz equation.

The purpose of this investigation is to extend the analysis in [13] to include cylindrical acoustical beams, thus, providing an efficient tool in the modeling of strongly focused and quasi-collimated scalar cylindrical pressure fields. Cylindrically symmetric wave-fields originate in the wave diffraction theory as an exact solution of the Helmholtz equation (pp. 75-77 [14]). Moreover, the analysis is extended to derive an exact partial-wave series expansion, which is used to predict the axial (i.e. along the direction
of wave propagation) scattering and radiation force on an elastic cylinder centered on the beam’s focus.

2. Method

Following Eq.(6) in [13], the scalar velocity potential field of a cylindrical quasi-Gaussian beam propagating in fluid medium of density $\rho_0$ and speed of sound $c_0$ with normal incidence (i.e. $\alpha = 0$, where $\alpha$ is the tilt angle of the incident wavefront with respect to the axis of the cylinder), can be expressed as,

$$\Phi_{qG}^{cy} = \frac{\Phi_0}{I_0(kx_R)} J_0(k\rho^-) e^{-iq\theta^-},$$

(1)

where $\Phi_0$ is the characteristic velocity potential amplitude at the center of the coordinate system, $J_0(.)$ is the cylindrical Bessel function of the first kind [15], $I_0(.)$ is the modified cylindrical Bessel function of the first kind, $k$ is the wave number, $\rho^- = \sqrt{(x-ix_R)^2 + y^2}$, and $\theta^- = \cos^{-1}\left[\frac{(x-ix_R)}{\rho^-}\right]$ (Fig. 1). At normal incidence, $\alpha = 0$, there is no propagation along the axis of the cylinder such that the axial wave number $k_z = k \sin \alpha = 0$. The parameter $x_R = kw_0^2/2$ (known as the Rayleigh range) is expressed through a variable $w_0$, which corresponds to the beam’s waist. Note that the incident pressure field can be obtained from the velocity potential such that $p = i\omega \rho_0 \Phi_{qG}^{cy}$.

To illustrate the solution presented in Eq.(1), the spatial distribution of the velocity potential magnitude is numerically evaluated in the cross-sectional plane for different values of the dimensionless waist $kw_0$. The results are displayed in Fig. 2. As expected, at low $kw_0$ values, the cylindrical beam is tightly focused (or strongly divergent), and begins to spread as $kw_0$ increases. This behavior was also observed for spherical quasi-Gaussian waves (Fig. 1 in [16]).

Consider now a cylindrical particle centered on the axis of the cylindrical coordinates system. Making
use of the expression for the addition theorem for the cylindrical wave functions (Eq.(2) on p. 360 in Ref. [17]) taking into account the geometry of the present problem (Fig. 1), the expression of the velocity potential field given in Eq.(1), is rewritten in term of a partial-wave expansion as,

\[
\Phi^{qG}_n = \frac{\Phi_0 e^{-i\alpha t}}{I_0(kx_R)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(kr) J_n(-ikx_R) e^{in(\pi - \theta)},
\]

(2)

where \( \theta = \cos^{-1}(\nu/r) \), and

\[
\Lambda_n(kx_R) = I_n(kx_R)/I_0(kx_R).
\]

(3)

It is instructive to analyze the dependence of the velocity potential field of a cylindrical quasi-Gaussian beam on the coefficient \( \Lambda_n(kx_R) \) as given by Eq.(3). In the limit of tightly focused (or strongly divergent) beams (i.e. \( kw_0 < 1 \)), with the assumption that \( kx_R << \sqrt{n+1} \), the asymptotic form of the modified cylindrical Bessel function of the first kind is used [15]; \( I_n(kx_R)\big|_{kx_R \to 0} \to (k^2w_0^2/4)^n/n! \). In this limit, it can be verified from Eq.(3) that \( \Lambda_0(kx_R)\big|_{kx_R \to 0} = 1 \), \( \Lambda_1(kx_R)\big|_{kx_R \to 0} \leq 1/4 \), and \( \Lambda_{n \geq 2}(kx_R)\big|_{kx_R \to 0} \to 0 \), so the series in Eq.(2) will only contain the monopole (\( n = 0 \)) and dipole (\( |n| = 1 \)) partial-waves.

For collimated beams (i.e. \( kw_0 >> 1 \)), using the asymptotic expansion of the modified cylindrical Bessel function of the first kind [15]; \( I_n(kx_R)\big|_{kx_R \to \infty} \to e^{kx_R}/\sqrt{2\pi kx_R} \), the coefficient \( \Lambda_n(kx_R) \) in Eq.(3) approaches unity. Such properties for tightly focused (or strongly divergent) or quasi-collimated beams can be further analyzed by numerical computation of Eq.(3) versus the partial-wave number \( n \). The results are displayed in Fig. 3 for various \( kx_R \) values. Indeed, as \( kx_R \) increases and tends to infinity, the coefficient \( \Lambda_n(kx_R) = 1 \), and Eq.(2) reduces to the series expansion of plane waves.
The partial-wave series expansion for the incident cylindrical quasi-Gaussian beam Eq.(2) allows the evaluation of the axial acoustical scattering (i.e. along the direction of wave propagation) by a cylinder with its center coinciding with the origin of the cylindrical coordinates system. An elastic polymer material is chosen here to illustrate the analysis, however, the formulation remains valid for fluid [18,19,20], viscoelastic [21,22,23,24], layered cylinders [25,26], shells [27,28,29], and layered cylindrical shells [30,31].

Consider that the elastic cylinder of radius \( a \) is immersed in non-viscous water. The scattered velocity potential field by the cylinder produces a cylindrical wave-field expressed as,

\[
\Phi_{s,qG}^{cyl} = \Phi_0 e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (kx_R) i^n C_n H_n^{(1)} (kr) e^{-in\theta}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( H_n^{(1)}(.) \) is the cylindrical Hankel function of the first kind of order \( n \), and \( C_n \) are the scattering coefficients determined by applying appropriate boundary conditions [32] at the interface fluid-structure, with the assumption that the surrounding fluid is nonviscous. These functions depend on the cylinder’s elastic parameters such as the longitudinal, the shear or transverse sound speed and the mass densities of both the fluid and the cylinder. After arithmetic manipulation, it is found that these coefficients are equivalent to those obtained from the study of the acoustic scattering by plane waves (See Eq.(4) in [33]).

In the far-field \( kr \rightarrow \infty \), the asymptotic form for the Hankel function is

\[
H_n^{(1)} (kr) \bigg|_{kr \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi kr}} e^{i(kr - n\pi/2 - \pi/4)},
\]

so that a steady-state far-field form-function can be defined as [34,35],

\[
f_\infty (ka, \theta) = \frac{2r}{a} \left( \frac{\Phi_{s,qG}^{cyl}}{\Phi_0} \right) e^{-i(ka-\omega t)}
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2i\pi ka}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_n (kx_R) C_n e^{-in\theta}. \tag{5}
\]
Now that the expressions for the incident and scattered fields are known, the evaluation of the acoustic radiation force (ARF) becomes possible. Taking advantage of the far-field scattering, the ARF is expressed as [36],

\[
\langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 k^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Re} \{ \Phi_{\alpha} \} dS, \quad (6)
\]

where,

\[
\Phi_{\alpha} = \left[ \left( \frac{i}{k} \frac{\partial \Phi_{qG}^{\alpha \ast}}{\partial r} - \Phi_{qG}^{\alpha \ast} \right) \Phi_{s,qG}^{\alpha \ast} - |\Phi_{s,qG}^{\alpha \ast}|^2 \right], \quad (7)
\]

and the cylinder’s differential surface is \( dS = Lr d\theta e_r \) with a length \( L \). The unit vector in the radial direction is \( e_r \) and the symbol \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes time-averaging.

Taking the asymptotic limits for Eq.(2) and Eq.(4) in the far-field scattering limit (i.e. \( kr \to \infty \)), and substituting them into Eq.(6) using Eq.(7) as well as the property of the following angular integral,

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n'-n)\theta} \cos \theta d\theta = \pi \left( \delta_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n-1} \right), \quad (8)
\]

where \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta function, the axial radiation force can be expressed as,

\[
F_s = \langle F \rangle \cdot e_r = Y_{qG}SE_0, \quad (9)
\]

where \( S = 2aL \) is the cross-sectional surface of the cylinder, \( E_0 = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 k^2 |\Phi_0|^2 \) is a characteristic energy density, and \( Y_{qG} \) is the radiation force function, which is the radiation force per unit cross-sectional surface and unit energy density. Its expression is given by,

\[
Y_{qG} = -\frac{1}{I_0(kx_R)k\alpha} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_n(kx_R) \left[ I_{n+1}(kx_R)(\beta_n \beta_{n+1} + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1}) + I_{n-1}(kx_R)(\beta_n \beta_{n-1} + \alpha_n \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}) \right], \quad (10)
\]

where \( \alpha_n = \text{Re}\{C_n\} \), and \( \beta_n = \text{Im}\{C_n\} \).
Note that in the limit of collimated beams (i.e. $k w_0 \gg 1$), Eq.(10) can be further simplified using the asymptotic limit for the modified cylindrical Bessel function of the first kind, and the expression for the radiation force function for plane progressive waves is recovered, and is given by,

$$Y_p = -\frac{1}{k a} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ (1 + \alpha_n) (\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n-1}) + \beta_n (\beta_{n+1} + \beta_{n-1}) \right].$$

(11)

3. Numerical results and discussion

The following examples are now considered to illustrate the analysis by plotting the magnitude of the backscattering form-function $|f_{\epsilon}(k a, \theta = \pi)|$ as well as the radiation force function $Y_{qG}$ for a cylindrical quasi-Gaussian beam incident upon a polymethylmetacrylate (PMMA) elastic cylinder ($\rho_{PMMA} = 1191$ kg/m$^3$; $c_L = 2690$ m/s; $c_T = 1340$ m/s) immersed in water ($\rho_0 = 1000$ kg/m$^3$; $c_0 = 1500$ m/s). The computations are evaluated in the dimensionless frequency range $0 < k a \leq 10$ for two values of the dimensionless beam waist parameter $k w_0 = 1$, corresponding to a tightly focused (or strongly divergent) cylindrical beam, and $k w_0 = 25$, corresponding to a quasi-collimated beam. It is important to emphasize that the diffraction limit is reached for a beam waist $w_0$ approaching half the wavelength $\lambda/2$ of the incident radiation (i.e., $k w_0 = \pi$) [37]. Beams with a dimensionless waist $k w_0 < \pi$ are not directional, and below this limit, wave reflections at the aperture of the focused source generate exponentially decaying evanescent waves which become relatively important as $k w_0 \rightarrow 0$ [38]. If one aims to construct a beam with a dimensionless waist $k w_0 < \pi$ using a finite source confined in a bounded space, it becomes essential to consider the effects of the evanescent wavefield at the focus. Note here that the corresponding source terms contributing to that part of the evanescent component become exponentially large at the source relative to the homogeneous (propagating) part of the wavefield. This fact may introduce a significant complication in modeling the tightly focused field as exponentially-large source
amplitudes have to be taken into consideration so as to retain the evanescent component at the focus of
the beam to make a tight focus, and the linear assumptions of the Helmholtz equation do not hold. In the
present study, the focus is on propagating waves and the theoretical development disregards the
evanescent contributions to the homogeneous field.

In Fig. 4, the numerical plots for $|f_{\infty}(ka, \theta = \pi)|$ are displayed for $kw_0 = 1$ (solid line) and $kw_0 = 25$
(dashed line). An interesting observation is related to the plot for $kw_0 = 1$ (i.e. solid line) in which a
reduction in the backscattering is noticed. Inspection of Fig. 5 shows that the reduction in the backscattering
has a major effect on the radiation force function $Y_{qG}$ that approaches zero at those particular values of $ka$ (Fig. 5); that is, the reduction in the backscattering shown in the plots for

$|f_{\infty}(ka, \theta = \pi)|$ closely matches the zeros of $Y_{qG_0}$ for $kw_0 = 1$. This behavior has been also observed in
the study of the backscattering and radiation force of a spherical quasi-Gaussian beam on an elastic
sphere (Fig. 2 in [39]), but was not observed in the case of a rigid sphere [40]. At the specific $ka$ values
for which the backscattering is reduced, the radiation force function $Y_{qG} \rightarrow 0$ for $kw_0 = 1$, and the
transmission of acoustical waves through the elastic cylinder in the forward direction (i.e. axial direction
$\theta = 0$) is maximized. This is not the case as $kw_0$ increases to reach 25 (i.e. dashed curves) so the plots for

$|f_{\infty}(ka, \theta = \pi)|$ and $Y_{qG}$ approach the plane wave limit, respectively (Fig. 1 in [23] & Fig. 1 in [24]).

It is noticeable from Fig. 5 that for a tightly focused (or strongly divergent) cylindrical quasi-Gaussian
beam centered on the elastic cylinder, the (axial) radiation force function approaches closely to zero,
however, is not found to be negative (i.e. not a force of attraction). In some situations, theoretical
predictions have demonstrated the existence of a negative (pulling) force on a rigid cylinder in a focused
Gaussian beam [41] (and spheres as well [42,43]), however, the mathematical expression for the
incident Gaussian beam provided therein differs from Eq.(2). Note also that in the context of Bessel
beams, earlier works have shown the existence of a force of attraction on a sphere [44,45,46], which is associated with a reduction in the backscattering as well [47].

It is also important to note an equivalent partial-wave series expansion for the incident field Eq.(2). Using the properties of the cylindrical Bessel and modified Bessel functions of the first kind $i^n J_n(z) = i^{-n} J_{-n}(z)$, and $I_{-n}(z) = I_n(z)$, the series in Eq.(2) can be expressed as,

$$\Phi_{qG}^{Cyl} = \Phi_0 e^{-i\omega r} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda_n(kx_R) i^n J_n(kr) e^{-in\theta}$$

$$= \Phi_0 e^{-i\omega r} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \Lambda_n(kx_R) i^n \epsilon_n J_n(kr) \cos(n\theta),$$

where $\epsilon_n$ is the Neumann factor, defined by $\epsilon_0 = 1$, and $\epsilon_j = 2$, for $j = 1, \ldots n$.

In a real source coordinate system such that $x_0 = 0$, a closely related generalized partial-wave series expansion that resembles to Eq.(12) has been provided (Eq.(1) in [48]), which can be applied for computing the axial acoustic radiation force in the cases of plane travelling waves [24,25,36,49], plane standing [26,29,50] or quasi-standing waves [33], diverging cylindrical waves [51], and diverging or converging cylindrical travelling, standing and quasi-standing waves [48,52]. Following the generalized formalism (Eq.(15) in [48]), and noting that $\Lambda_n(kx_R)$ as given by Eq.(3) is a real coefficient, the radiation force function for a cylindrical quasi-Gaussian beam $Y_{qG}$, is expressed as,

$$Y_{qG} = -\frac{2}{kd} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ \Lambda_n(kx_R) \Lambda_{n+1}(kx_R) \left[ \alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1} + 2\alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + 2\beta_n \beta_{n+1} \right] \}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{I_0^2(kx_R)ka} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ \Lambda_n(kx_R) \Lambda_{n+1}(kx_R) \left[ \alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1} + 2\alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + 2\beta_n \beta_{n+1} \right] \},$$

which is equivalent to Eq.(10).

It is obvious from Eq.(13) that for a collimated beam ($kw_0 \rightarrow \infty$), the expression for the radiation force function reduces to the plane wave result [49], which is equivalent to Eq.(11).
4. Conclusion

In summary, an exact acoustical beam solution that satisfies the wave equation is introduced and some of its intrinsic characteristics, as well as its scattering and radiation force properties are delineated for a tightly focused and quasi-collimated cylindrical wave-fronts incident upon an elastic cylinder. Those results are useful in beam-forming design as well as modeling the interaction of a cylindrical quasi-Gaussian acoustical beam with a cylindrical particle for applications in acoustical tweezers, imaging and particle manipulation.


FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Fig.1.** (Colour online) Geometry of the problem displaying a cross section of a cylinder centered on a coordinates system \((xOy)\). The parameter \(r\) is the radial distance from the center of the coordinates system to an observation point \(M\), \(\theta\) is the polar angle in the \((x,y)\) cross-sectional plane where \(x\) denotes the direction of wave propagation, and \(a\) is the cylinder’s radius. The other parameters are defined in the text.

**Fig. 2.** (Colour online) The plots for the magnitude of the velocity potential field of a cylindrical quasi-Gaussian beam for different values of the dimensionless waist of the beam \(kw_0\). The wave number for the computations is \(k = 25 \times 10^3\) m\(^{-1}\), and the units along the axes are in mm.

**Fig. 3.** (Colour online) The plots for the amplitude of the coefficient \(\Lambda_n(kx_R)\) given by Eq.(3) vs. the partial-wave number \(n\) for various values of the dimensionless waist number \(kw_0\) noted on the figure.

**Fig. 4.** (Colour online) The plots for the magnitude of the backscattering form-function for \(kw_0 = 1\) (solid line) and \(kw_0 = 25\) (dashed line). The function \(|f_x(ka, \theta = \pi)|\) approaches zero at \(ka \sim 6.9\) for \(kw_0 = 1\).

**Fig. 5.** (Colour online) The same as in Fig. 4, but the plots correspond to the radiation force function \(Y_{qG}\). There exists noticeable correlation between the zeros of the radiation force function \(Y_{qG}\) for \(kw_0 = 1\) with the minima of the magnitude of the backscattering form function.