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We report numerical simulations of strongly vibrated granular materials designed to mimic recent experiments performed both in presence or absence of gravity. The coefficient of restitution used here depends on the impact velocity by taking into account both the viscoelastic and plastic deformations of particles, occurring at low and high velocities respectively. We show that this model with impact velocity dependent restitution coefficient reproduce results that agree with experiments. We measure the scaling exponents of the granular temperature, collision frequency, impulse, and pressure with the vibrating piston velocity as the particle number increases. As the system changes from a homogeneous gas state at low density to a clustered state at high density, these exponents are all found to decrease continuously with the particle number. All these results differ significantly from classical inelastic hard sphere kinetic theory and previous simulations, both based on a constant restitution coefficient.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Jn, 05.20.Dd, 45.70.-n

I. INTRODUCTION

The past decade has seen the publication of many experimental [1-3], numerical [3, 4, 5], and theoretical [1, 6, 7, 8] studies of strongly vibrated granular media. This problem is interesting because vibrated granular media are simple but nontrivial example of a nonequilibrium steady states and the only way to experimentally realize granular gases [9]. However, numerous questions remain about the link between experiments on one hand, and theory and simulations on the other. Most numerical and theoretical studies were not intended to be compared with experiments. Therefore, they have parameter values far from the experimental ones, and none of them predict even the most basic features of the experimental results.

In this paper, we bridge the gap between experiments and numerics by presenting simulations of strongly vibrated granular materials designed to mimic recent experiments performed both in presence [10] or absence [11] of gravity. We present the first simulations which resemble the experiments for a large range of parameters. We show that two parameters are especially important for the agreement between experiment and simulation. First of all, the coefficient of restitution has to be dependent on the particle impact velocity by taking into account both the viscoelastic and plastic deformations of particles occurring at low and high velocities respectively. Most previous numerical studies consider only constant restitution coefficient [3, 4, 5], or few studies with the slightly velocity dependence (due the only viscoelastic contribution) [2]. Secondly, it is important to explicitly consider the number of particles $N$. Studying only one value of $N$ or comparing results obtained at different $N$ can lead to interpretive difficulties.

Beyond these agreements between experiments and our simulations, we find new results that differ significantly from classical inelastic hard sphere kinetic theory and previous simulations. We measure the scaling exponents of the granular temperature, collision frequency, impulse, and pressure with the vibrating piston velocity as the particle number increases, both in the presence or absence of gravity. We show that the system undergoes a smooth transition from a homogeneous gas state at low density to a clustered state at high density.

The paper has the following structure. In Sec. II, we present a description of the simulations (notably the model of impact velocity dependent restitution coefficient, and the influence of other simulations parameters). Section III provides a comparison of simulations and experiments (showing the importance of the variable coefficient of restitution and the particle number), and the results of the scaling exponents. Section IV focus on the influence of other simulations parameters (bed height, box size, particle rotations, gravity). Finally, in Sec. V we summarize our results.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SIMULATIONS

A. The variable coefficient of restitution

The greatest difference between our simulations and the previous numerical studies of vibrated granular media [3, 4, 5] is that we use a restitution coefficient that depends on impact velocity. The restitution coefficient $r$
is the ratio between the relative normal velocities before and after impact. In all previous simulations of strongly vibrated granular media, the coefficient of restitution is considered to be constant and lower than 1. However, since a century, it has been shown from impact experiments that \( r \) is a function of the impact velocity \( v \) \[13, 14, 15, 16, 17\]. Indeed, for metallic particles, when \( v \) is large (\( v \gtrsim 5 \text{ m/s} \) \[14\]), the colliding particles deform fully plastically and \( r \propto v^{-1/4} \) \[13, 14, 15\]. When \( v \lesssim 0.1 \text{ m/s} \) \[14\], the deformations are elastic with mainly viscoelastic dissipation, and \( 1 - r \propto v^{1/5} \) \[13, 14, 15\]. Such velocity-dependent restitution coefficient models have recently shown to be important in numerical \[12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23\] and experimental \[17, 24\] studies. Applications include: granular fluidlike properties (convection \[19\], surface waves \[21\]), collective collisional processes (energy transmission \[21\], absence of collapse \[17, 22\]) and planetary rings \[23, 24\]. But surprisingly, such model has not yet been tested numerically for strongly vibrated granular media.

In this paper, we use a velocity dependent restitution coefficient \( r(v) \) and join the two regimes of dissipation (viscoelastic and plastic) together as simply as possible, assuming that

\[
r(v) = \begin{cases} 
1 - (1 - r_0) \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right)^{1/5}, & v \leq v_0, \\
    r_0 \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right)^{-1/4}, & v \geq v_0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( v_0 = 0.3 \text{ m/s} \) is chosen, throughout the paper, to be the yielding velocity for stainless steel particles \[14, 22\] for which \( r_0 \) is close to 0.95 \[23\]. Note that \( v_0 \sim 1/\sqrt{\rho} \) where \( \rho \) is the density of the sphere \[14\]. We display in Fig. 1 the velocity dependent restitution coefficient of Eq. \[1\], with \( r_0 = 0.95 \) and \( v_0 = 0.3 \text{ m/s} \), that agrees well with experimental results on steel spheres from Ref. \[23\]. As also already noted by Ref. \[14\], the impact velocity to cause yield in metal surfaces is indeed relatively small. For metal, it mainly comes from the low yield stress value \( (Y \sim 10^8 \text{ N/m}^2) \) with respect to the elastic Young modulus \( (E \sim 10^{11} \text{ N/m}^2) \). Most impacts between metallic bodies thus involve some plastic deformation.

### B. The other simulation parameters

The numerical simulation consists of an ensemble of identical hard disks of mass \( m \approx 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg} \) excited vertically by a piston in a two-dimensional box. Simulations are done both in the presence \( (g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2) \) and absence \( (g = 0) \) of uniform gravity \( g \). Collisions are assumed instantaneous and thus only binary collisions occur. For simplicity, we neglect the rotational degree of freedom. Collisions with the wall are treated in the same way as collisions between particles, except the wall has infinite mass.

Motivated by recent 3D experiments on stainless steel spheres, 2 mm in diameter, fluidized by a vibrating piston \[13\], we choose the simulation parameters to match the experimental ones: in the simulations, the vibrated piston at the bottom of the box has amplitude \( A = 25 \text{ mm} \) (distance between the highest and lowest positions of the piston) and frequencies \( 5 \text{ Hz} \leq f \leq 50 \text{ Hz} \). The piston is nearly sinusoidally vibrated with a waveform made by joining two parabolas together. The vertical displacement of the piston \( z(t) \) during time \( t \) then is

\[
z(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} (t^2 - t_0^2) & \text{for } -t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 \\
\frac{1}{4} (t^2 - t_0^2) & \text{for } t_0 \leq t \leq 3t_0 \text{ with } t_0 = 1/(4f).
\end{cases}
\]

This leads to a maximum piston velocity given by \( V = 4Af \). The particles are disks \( d = 2 \text{ mm} \) in diameter with stainless steel collision properties through \( v_0 \) and \( r_0 \) (see Fig. 1). The box has width \( L = 20 \text{ cm} \) and horizontal periodic boundary conditions. Since our simulations are two dimensional, we consider the simulation geometrically equivalent to the experiment when their number of layers of particles, \( n = Nd/L \), are equal. Hence in the simulation, a layer of particles, \( n = 1 \), corresponds to 100 particles. We checked that \( n \) is an appropriate way to measure the number of particles by also running simulations at \( L = 10 \text{ cm} \) and \( L = 40 \text{ cm} \). None of this paper’s results depend significantly on \( L \). As in the experiments, the height \( h \) of the box depends on the number of particles in order to have a constant difference \( h - h_0 = 15 \text{ mm} \), where \( h_0 \) is the height of the bed of particles at rest. Heights are defined from the piston at its highest position. The influence of \( h - h_0 \) on the results is discussed in Sec. \[11\].

**FIG. 1:** The restitution coefficient \( r \) as a function of impact velocity \( v \), as given in Eq. \[1\] (solid line). The dashed lines show \( v_0 = 0.3 \text{ m/s} \) and \( r_0 = 0.95 \). Experimental points (●) for steel spheres were extracted from Fig.1 of Ref. \[23\].
of Falcon et al. \cite{falcon2019} (see Fig. 2a), from our simulations beads 2 mm in diameter, with $A$ with a 1 Hz step (from lower to upper) and $h - h_0 = 5$ mm.

(b) Numerical simulation where the coefficient of restitution is given by Eq. (1). (c) Numerical simulation with a coefficient of restitution is 0.95, independent of impact velocity.

(d) Numerical simulation with a coefficient of restitution is 0.7, independent of impact velocity. The simulations (b) – (d) are 2D with gravity, done for 2 mm disks, with $A = 25$ mm, $10 \leq f \leq 20$ Hz with a 1 Hz step (from lower to upper) and $h - h_0 = 5$ mm. In the simulations, the two-dimensional pressure is given in N/m.

III. COMPARISON OF SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT - SCALING PROPERTIES

A. The importance of the variable coefficient of restitution

We examine first the dependence of the pressure on the number of particle layers for maximum velocity of the piston $1 \leq V \leq 5$ m/s ($V = 4Af$). The time averaged pressure at the upper wall is displayed in Fig. 2 as a function of $n$ for various $f$: from the experiments of Falcon et al. \cite{falcon2019} (see Fig. 2a), from our simulations with velocity dependent restitution coefficient $r = r(v)$ proposed in Eq. (1) (see Fig. 2b), and with constant restitution coefficient $r = 0.95$, often used to describe steel particles (see Fig. 2c), and finally with an unrealistic constant restitution coefficient $r = 0.7$ (see Fig. 2d). Simulations with $r = r(v)$ give results in agreement with the experiments: At constant external driving, the pressure in both Figs. 2a and 2b passes through a maximum for a critical value of $n$ roughly corresponding to one particle layer. For $n < 1$, most particles are in vertical ballistic motion between the piston and the lid. Thus, the mean pressure increases roughly proportionally to $n$. When $n$ is increased such that $n > 1$, interparticle collisions become more frequent. The energy dissipation is increased and thus the pressure decreases. This maximum pressure is not due to gravity because it also appears in simulations with $g = 0$ and $r = r(v)$. Furthermore, the maximum persists when $g$ is increased above $9.8$ m/s$^2$. For $n \geq 4$ and for certain frequencies, a resonance appears in Fig. 2b which is controlled by the ratio between the vibration period and the particle flight time under gravity, $\sqrt{g/h/f}$. Turning our attention to Fig. 2c, we see that setting $r = 0.95$ independently of impact velocity gives pressure qualitatively different from experiments. The difference between Figs. 2b and 2c can be understood by considering a high velocity collision (e.g. $v = 1$ m/s).

In Fig. 2b, this collision has a restitution coefficient of $r = r(1 \text{ m/s}) \approx 0.7$ (see Fig. 2a), whereas in Fig. 2c, $r$ is fixed at 0.95 for all collisions. This means that for equal collision frequencies, dissipation is much stronger for $r = 0.7$ than for $r = 0.95$, because the high velocity collisions dominate the dissipation. Stronger dissipation leads to lower granular temperatures and thus to lower pressures.

We can check this interpretation by changing the constant restitution coefficient to $r = 0.7$ and then comparing it to $r = r(v)$. In these two cases, the high velocity collisions will have roughly the same restitution coefficient. We indeed observed a pressure that decreases for large $n$ for constant $r = 0.7$ (see Fig. 2b). Therefore, surprisingly, constant $r = 0.7$ reproduces more precisely the experimental pressure measurements than constant $r = 0.95$, even though $r = 0.95$ or $r = 0.9$ is often given as the restitution coefficient of steel. However, if we look at other properties, we see that $r = 0.7$ and $r = r(v)$ give very different predictions.

FIG. 2: Time averaged pressure $P$ on the top of the cell as a function of particle layer, $n$, for various vibration frequencies, $f$: (a) Experimental results from \cite{falcon2019} for stainless steel beads 2 mm in diameter, with $A = 25$ mm, $10 \leq f \leq 20$ Hz with a 1 Hz step (from lower to upper) and $h - h_0 = 5$ mm. (b) Numerical simulation where the coefficient of restitution is given by Eq. (1). (c) Numerical simulation with a coefficient of restitution is 0.95, independent of impact velocity. (d) Numerical simulation with a coefficient of restitution is 0.7, independent of impact velocity. The simulations (b) – (d) are 2D with gravity, done for 2 mm disks, with $A = 25$ mm, $10 \leq f \leq 30$ Hz with a 2 Hz step (from lower to upper) and $h - h_0 = 15$ mm. In the simulations, the two-dimensional pressure is given in N/m.

FIG. 3: Snapshots from the simulations with $n = 3$, gravity $g \neq 0$, driving frequency $f = 30$ Hz and $h - h_0 = 15$ mm. The upper wall is stationary, and the lower wall is the piston, which is at its lowest position in both snapshots. The horizontal boundaries are periodic (indicated by dashed lines). Gravity points downwards. (a) $r = r(v)$, as given in Eq. (1), and (b) constant $r = 0.7$. In (b) we see a tight cluster which was not observed in the experiments.
For example, in Fig. 3, we show two snapshots from two different simulations one with \( r = r(v) \) and another with \( r = 0.7 \), both with \( n = 3 \) in the presence of gravity. When \( r = r(v) \), the particles are concentrated in the upper half of the chamber, but they are evenly spread in the horizontal direction (see Fig. 3a). The system is hotter and less dense near the vibrating wall, and colder and denser by the opposite wall. But, when \( r = 0.7 \), the majority of the particles are confined to a tight cluster, pressed against the upper wall, coexisting with low density region (see Fig. 3d). This instability has been already been reported numerically [22], although for much different parameters (constant restitution coefficient \( r = 0.96 \), thermal walls, no gravity, and large \( n \)). However, nothing like this was ever seen experimentally. Therefore, if one is seeking information about particle positions, \( r = 0.7 \) gives incorrect results even though it gives acceptable results for the pressure. We conclude, therefore, that the only way to successfully describe all the properties in all situations is to use a velocity dependent restitution coefficient model.

B. The importance of the particle number

Many authors have postulated that the pressure on the upper wall \( P \) (or granular temperature \( T \)) is related to the piston velocity \( V \) through \( P \propto V^\theta \). However, it is not clear what the correct “scaling exponent” \( \theta \) should be. This question has been addressed several times in the past, without a clear resolution of the question [1, 7, 8]. For example, kinetic theory [1, 7] and hydrodynamic models [8] predict \( T \propto V^2 \) whereas numerical simulations [1, 7] or experiments [1, 7, 8] give \( T \propto V^\theta \), with \( 1 \leq \theta \leq 2 \). These studies were done at single values of \( n \). In this section, we show that it is very important to explicitly consider the dependence of the scaling exponents on \( n \). We also consider the effect of gravity and a variable coefficient of restitution. Doing so enables us to explain and unify all previous works.

At the upper wall, we measured numerically the collision frequency, \( N_c \) and the mean impulsion per collision, \( \Delta I \) for various frequencies of the vibrating wall and numbers of particles in the box, with \( r = r(v) \) or with \( r = 0.95 \), in the presence or absence of gravity. The time averaged pressure on the upper wall can be calculated from these quantities using

\[
P = N_c \Delta I / L.
\]  

(2)

(by conservation of momentum, the time averaged pressure on the lower wall is just \( P \) plus the weight of the particles \( N_m g / L \)). The total kinetic energy of the system is also measured to have access to the granular temperature, \( T \). \( N_c \), \( \Delta I \), \( P \), and \( T \) are all found to fit with power laws in \( V^\theta \) for our range of piston velocities. Fig. 4 shows \( \theta \) exponents of \( N_c \), \( \Delta I \), \( P \), and \( T \) as a function of \( n \). When \( g = 0 \) and \( r \) is constant (see Fig. 4a), we have \( P \sim V^2 \), \( \Delta I \sim V \) and \( N_c \sim V \) for all \( n \). We call these relations the classical kinetic theory scaling. This scaling can be established by simple dimensional analysis when the vibration velocity \( V \) provides the only time scale in the system. This is the case for \( g = 0 \) and \( r \) independent of velocity. However, in the experiments, two additional time scales are provided, one by gravity and another by velocity dependent restitution coefficient. Numerical simulations can separate the effects of these two new time scales on the scaling exponents \( \theta \). This is done in Fig. 4 [where \( g = 0 \) but \( r = r(v) \)] and Fig. 4 [where \( r \) is constant but \( g = 0 \)]. In both figures, all the exponents become functions of \( n \). However, the time scale linked to \( r = r(v) \) leads to much more dramatic departure from the classical scaling. After considering the two time scale separated, let us consider the case corresponding to most experiments, where both gravity and \( r = r(v) \) are present (see Fig. 4c). The similarity between this figure and Fig. 4b confirms that the velocity dependent restitution coefficient has a more important effect than the gravity. Furthermore, only the variation of the restitution coefficient on the particle velocity explains the experiment performed in low-gravity [3]. This experiment gives a \( V^{3/2} \) pressure scaling (●-mark on Fig. 4b) for \( n = 1 \) and a motionless clustered state for \( n > 2 \). Only the simulation with \( r = r(v) \) can reproduce these results (see Fig. 4b) whereas constant \( r \) simulations leads to the classical scaling (\( P \propto V^2 \), see Fig. 4d) and only a gaseous state for all \( n \) shown in the figure.

As shown in Fig 4, it is thus very important to explicitly consider the dependence of \( \theta \) on \( n \). In all cases, except the unrealistic case of Fig. 4a, \( \theta \) depends on \( n \). To our knowledge, the only experiment [10] to systematically investigate this effect shows that \( T \propto V^{\theta(n)} \), with \( \theta \) continuously varying from \( \theta = 2 \) when \( n \to 0 \), as expected from kinetic theory, to \( \theta \approx 0 \) for large \( n \) due to the clustering instability. These experiments performed under gravity (shown in Fig. 5) are well reproduced by the simulations of Fig. 4. In both cases, the observed pressure and granular temperature scaling exponents strongly decrease with \( n \).

We finish this section by noting two curious facts about Fig. 4. First of all, in Fig. 4 [where \( g = 0 \) and \( r = r(v) \)], \( \theta \approx 1 \) for the pressure and temperature when \( n > 2 \). This is the sign of new robust scaling regime where \( P \) and \( T \propto V^1 \), which will be the topic of a future paper. Secondly, in Fig. 4 [where \( g \neq 0 \) and \( r = r(v) \)], the scaling exponents are not shown for \( n \geq 3 \), because the dependence of \( P \), \( T \), \( N_c \), and \( \Delta I \) on \( V \) is no longer a simple power law. (More precisely, we do not plot a point on Fig. 4 when \( |\log X_{\text{observed}} - \log X_{\text{fitted}}| \geq 0.25 \) for any of the eleven simulations used to calculate the exponent – see caption.) The power law breaks down because there is a resonance between the time of flight of the cluster under gravity and the vibration period.
C. The influence of other parameters

In this section, we review the influence of the other simulation parameters (box size, particle rotations, gravity, and the vibration parameters), and show that it is not possible to reproduce the experimental curves in Fig. 2 unless one sets \( r = r(v) \) or \( r = 0.95 \).

Performing simulations for \( 5 \text{ mm} \leq h - h_0 \leq 50 \text{ mm} \) show that the shapes of the curves \( P \) vs. \( n \) in Fig. 2b and 2c remain the same. For \( r = r(v) \) (Fig. 2b) increasing \( h - h_0 \) shifts the maximum towards smaller values of \( n \) and decreases in amplitude. The only exception occurs when box height approaches the particle diameter, i.e. \( h - h_0 = 5 \text{ mm} \), where the maximum disappears. Considering \( r = 0.95 \) leads to similar conclusions.

To eliminate the possibility that experimental curve can be reproduced by taking into account particle rotations, we performed simulations with \( r = 0.95 \) and various values of the tangential restitution coefficient \( r_t \). This parameter is defined as the ratio between the tangential components of the pre- and post-collision relative velocities. Perfectly smooth spheres correspond to \( r_t = -1 \). When \( r_t = 1 \), the tangential relative velocity is reversed by the collision. These two values, \( |r_t| = 1 \), correspond to energy conservation. Energy is dissipated for \( -1 < r_t < 1 \), \( r_t = 0 \) corresponding to maximum energy dissipation. When \( |r_t| \) is close to one, the \( P \) vs. \( n \) curves are almost unchanged. When \( r_t \) is close to 0, the curves...
become nearly flat for \( n > 2 \).

Throughout this paper, we have used the piston vibration velocity \( V \) to characterize the vibration. It is important to point out that \( V \) is not the only way to do this. One could also use the maximum piston acceleration \( \Gamma \). When \( \Gamma \) is close to 0, it controls the behavior of the system, i.e., adjusting \( A \) and \( f \) while keeping \( \Gamma \) constant does not change the system’s behavior much. But in the simulations presented here, \( \Gamma \gg g \), and the system’s behavior is controlled by \( V \). This can be checked by multiplying the frequency by 10 while dividing \( g \). When \( \Gamma \) is close to \( g \), the system’s behavior is controlled by \( V \).

It is very important to take into account plastic deformations that cause the restitution coefficient to decrease rapidly with increasing impact velocity. Indeed, the restitution coefficient for strongly vibrated steel spheres is very far from the constant values of \( r = 0.95 \) or \( r = 0.9 \) that are often cited in simulations as typical for steel spheres. Changing the box size, the gravitational acceleration and including particle rotation do not modify this conclusion. We also noted that it is very important to take into account the number of particle layers \( n \). The dependence of the pressure \( P \) on the piston velocity \( V \) changes with \( n \). It is not accurate to speak of “a” scaling exponent for the pressure in terms of \( V \); this exponent depends continuously on \( n \), and does not exist at high density (\( n > 3 \)) under gravity, due to the clustering instability.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we brought simulations of a strongly vibrated granular medium as close as possible to the experiments. We show that the use of a velocity dependent coefficient of restitution reproduce results that agree with experiments. It is especially important to take into account plastic deformations that cause the restitution coefficient to decrease rapidly with increasing impact velocity. Indeed, the restitution coefficient for strongly vibrated steel spheres is very far from the constant values of \( r = 0.95 \) or \( r = 0.9 \) that are often cited in simulations as typical for steel spheres. Changing the box size, the gravitational acceleration and including particle rotation do not modify this conclusion. We also noted that it is very important to take into account the number of particle layers \( n \). The dependence of the pressure \( P \) on the piston velocity \( V \) changes with \( n \). It is not accurate to speak of “a” scaling exponent for the pressure in terms of \( V \); this exponent depends continuously on \( n \), and does not exist at high density (\( n > 3 \)) under gravity, due to the clustering instability.
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