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Abstract

Thermally-sprayed ceramic coatings such as plasma-sprayed alumina show a composite microstructure actually due to the presence of defects such as pores, inter-lamellar and intra-lamellar cracks. These 2nd phase-typed features influence the mechanical behavior and electrical insulation of the coating dramatically. In this study, a composite approach to the microstructure of plasma-sprayed alumina was developed for the optimising of component properties such as electrical gaps used in the oil industry. This approach consisted of a Finite Element Analysis (FEA) of thermo-mechanical and electrical properties from simulated microstructures. Series of composite microstructures were tested, i.e that of air plasma-sprayed (APS) alumina basically plus those obtained by addition of glass or resin using co-spraying and impregnation respectively. Various degrees of porosity and cracks could be obtained from different spraying conditions and by subsequent laser surface remelting. Every composite microstructure was studied using quantitative image analysis of series of SEM cross-sections. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) in NaCl solution was also performed to characterize the level of connected pores and the resulting electrical insulating properties. From experiments, a Finite Element Model (FEM) based on the actual microstructure was developed. The latter was simulated with involving of all significant features, such as phase distribution, porosity and defects. This simulation was developed to optimize the composite microstructure to meet industrial applications.

Introduction

Thermal spraying is a prominent process for depositing low-cost high-performance dielectric coatings such as those made of pure alumina ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$). $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is used extensively for its electrical insulating properties because of its high dielectric strength [1]. Thermal spraying is a deposition process in which molten particles impact at a high velocity, spread and solidify onto a substrate to form thin lamellae. Consequently, thermally-sprayed alumina coatings show a composite microstructure due to the presence of defects such as pores, inter-lamellar and intra-lamellar cracks. These defect origins are multiple. First, build-up defects and gas entrapped generate specific inter-lamellar cracks and pores. Second, intra-lamellar microscopic cracks may be achieved due to rapid solidification after spreading, especially for ceramic materials. The combination of these features generates an interconnected network of pores and cracks. These 2nd phase-typed features influence the mechanical behavior and electrical insulation of the coating dramatically [2,3]. In order to know their influence, authors described physical properties as a function of coatings microstructure for the plasma processing [4,5,6]. This work was carried out to good deepen into this microstructure-properties approach through the development of coating. This approach has been used in the conventional composite material area and in powder metallurgy. However, for plasma-sprayed coating only few attempts can be noticed because of the intricacy of the involved microstructure [7,8]. This work was based on the study of composite microstructures obtained by air plasma spraying (APS) including those obtained by addition of glass or resin using co-spraying and impregnation respectively. Quantitative Image Analysis (QIA) was applied to coatings obtained using different spraying procedures and subsequent laser surface remelting. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) was performed to determine the physical and electrical characteristics of the ElectroChemical Interface (ECI). This technique was extensively employed to study corrosion phenomena [9,10]. EIS was used to correlate the microstructure with coating properties only in the past recent years [11,12,13,14]. The objective was to achieve the EIS spectra of thick (400 µm) and highly-dense (porosity <6%) alumina composite coatings. Then, methods can be envisaged to investigate into the thermo-mechanical behavior of the coating i.e., first a model based on a statistical study of composite phases [8,15] and, second, a model based on the actual coating microstructure [9,16]. The first model disregards some features of the microstructure. This contribution shows a Finite Element Model (FEM) based on the actual microstructure which allows characterization of all the composite microstructure features and that of thermo-mechanical properties of coating.
Materials and Processes

Thermal spraying

APS alumina composites were sprayed onto grit-blasted stainless steel plates (AISI 304L, 25×30×2 mm³, Ra≈4µm) with a F4-VB Sulzer Metco torch (Table 1). The scanning step and velocity of the torch were equal to 5mm.pass⁻¹ and 200mm.s⁻¹ respectively. Two air-cooling jets were added close to the torch in order to lower the coating temperature, limit thermal stresses and consequently avoid adhesion problems. Two commercial powders were used, i.e. 105 SFP alumina from Sulzer Metco/Wohlen for alumina and P3000 glass from Potters-Ballotini/Barnsley.

Table 1: Plasma spraying parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>105 SFP</td>
<td>105 SFP</td>
<td>P3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>APS co-spraying</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity (A)</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spraying distance (mm)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar gas (l.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ gas (l.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder (g.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection gas (l.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-treatment

Impregnation [17,18] and laser surface melting of thermal spray coatings were used to seal and/or modify the composite microstructure. The selected sealants were commercial polymers: epoxy and polyurethane resin (Metcoseal URS and ERS). Prior to post-treatment, the as-sprayed samples were cleansed in an ultrasonic bath of ethanol. Laser glazing of plasma-sprayed alumina composite was carried out using a KrF excimer laser radiation (λ=248 nm), operating at 60 Hz. The laser fluence and the number of pulses ranged from 2 to 8 J.cm⁻² and from 80 to 500 pulses respectively. The laser beam was shaped using a rectangular mask and focused on the sample surface to achieve laser-treated areas of about 3.5×1.2mm². The velocity of the laser beam was equal to 3cm.s⁻¹ respectively. All experiments were carried out in air.

Quantitative Image Analysis

Every Al₂O₃ composite microstructure was studied using quantitative image analysis of series of SEM images (LEO1450VP). To meet criteria for statistics, 15 SEM digitalized images (764×1024 pixels²) at a magnification of ×1500 were recorded per coating. Back-scattering electrons were used to enhance the image contrast of voids and defects. Cross-sectioned specimens were metallized (Au-Pd film = 3nm) prior to SEM acquisition. Quantitative image analysis (QIA) consisted in multi-stage processing using “MATLAB” software [5]. X-ray diffractometer and Electron Probe MicroAnalyzer (EPMA) were then used to identify the phases in the composite coating.

Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

EIS is an electrochemical method in which an AC signal E(t)=Eₒsin(ωt+φ) is applied to an electrode in an aqueous solution. Current as a function of time, i.e. I(t)=Iₒsin(ωt+φ) is measured to give the impedance at different frequencies, which results in the impedance spectrum Z(ω)=E(t)/I(t). Electrochemical characteristics of the cell are determined from modelling and interpretation of EIS spectra. EIS measurement was performed at room temperature with two different facilities to obtain Z(ω) in a large range of frequencies. A Solartron 1250 Frequency Response Analyzer (FRA) was connected to Solartron 1287 Electrochemical Interface for impedance between f=0.005Hz and 65kHz. A Hewlett-Packard 4192 Electrochemical Interface was used between f=5Hz and 13MHz. Experiments were carried out in a 30g.l⁻¹ NaCl aqueous solution in the 2-electrode mode. The working electrode was the coating and the counter electrode a platinum grid. The electrochemical test cell was a specifically-designed cell which allowed the control of the area coating-electrolyte interface and the electrode distance. The samples were immersed 10min in the solution before measuring to fill the open porosity. Then, a sinusoidal variation of 0.1V was applied and the impedance spectra were achieved after given time intervals.

Finite Element Model

Elastic properties were studied for all types of sprayed Al₂O₃ composites. A Finite Element Model (FEM) based on the actual microstructure was developed in Zebulon®. With this FEM code, mapping of digitalized image was obtained onto a finite element mesh.
Results and discussion

Composite microstructures
It was shown that the microstructure of thermally-sprayed coating can be described as an Al$_2$O$_3$-based composite with different features related to the matrix and defects (nature, content, orientation). The series of SEM digital images allowed to measure the porosity and crack contents to achieve the quantitative study of the microstructure defects (Figure 2b). Further “crack” images (Figure 2a) were processed by skeletonizing, which limited crack defect thickness to only 1 pixel and deleted triple points and their first neighbouring point. From the skeletonized “crack” image, both inter lamellar cracks (main orientation <45°) and intra-lamellar cracks (main line orientation >45°) could be discriminated easily using an automatic orientation criterion. X-ray and Electron-Probe Micro-Analysis (EPMA) showed that the matrix coating mainly consisted in cubic γ-Al2O3 with a small amount of body-center α-Al2O3 in unmelted particles. Na impurity which comes from the starting powder was detected in a few particles. Co-spraying process led to a glass-alumina matrix with 20% of glass (Figure 4). The EPMA showed a good building-up of the lamellae of alumina and glass. It can be noticed that the glass splats are much thicker than those of alumina and there were no cracks in the glass phase. On the other hand, the use of sealing or laser post-treatment led to another type of complex composite structure. In the sealing process, vacuum was applied to the sample with resin before sealing to remove the residual air from the pores and improve the impregnation depth. EPMA carbon X-ray map showed that porosity had been impregnated till a depth of 600 µm. As for laser post-treatment, the effects of laser parameter on the surface of alumina coating were studied (Figure 3). Crater formation (Figure 3b) and microstructure modification on 10µm depth (figure 3c, 3d) were observed from the free surface of the coating. Because of a small wavelength combined to a short pulse duration and a high power density of the excimer laser, only a very thin layer near the material surface could be treated without cracks.

Electrochemical Testing
There are two conventional diagrams for data and results, i.e. the Bode (|Z| and $\phi$ vs $\omega$) and Nyquist ($Z_{\text{Im}}$ along the Y-axis and $Z_{\text{Re}}$ along the X-axis vs $\omega$) plots respectively. Figure 5 shows the typical response of alumina composite coating using both diagrams (Zview®). Three time constants were observed in the frequency range from 0.05 Hz to 13 MHz. Based on the typical spectra shown in Figure 5 and on the morphological structure of the cell system, an EIS equivalent circuit (EC) was proposed. This circuit which is an assembly of circuit elements (resistors, capacitors, inductors, and various forms of impedances) gives, at all frequencies, the same response as the system of the study. Information on the electrochemical cell could therefore be extracted through an appropriate interpretation of the EC variables. Theses variables represent the physical and electrical characteristics of the different electrochemical interfaces in the system. Coating steel exposed to an aqueous solution has been investigated by several authors [11,12,14,19]. An EC as that shown in Figure 6a is generally proposed to describe the different electrochemical interfaces where the substrate is exposed to the electrolyte through permeable defects. The electrolyte is a resistor, represented by $R_e$, in series with the first electrochemical interface which consists of a capacitor $C_e$ and a resistor $R_e$. $C_p$ and $R_p$ simulates the electrochemical reaction in the permeable...
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defects. Then, $C_s$ and $R_s$ result in the interface between the ceramic coating and the substrate. The capacitances were replaced by a Constant-Phase-Element (CPE) because of the heterogeneity in the cell system [20]. These measures were duplicated 100 times for good statistics and to take account the corrosion which occurred at the substrate interface during the experiment (Figure 6b-c). Electrochemical results can be correlated with the alumina composite microstructure (2.1). The resistance of the electrolyte in the porosity ($R_p$) decreased when coating porosity increased (Ref.: A, D, F). EIS in aqueous solution was shown to be powerful to correlate microstructure defects with the electrical properties of composites.

The microstructure of the composite considered with all the significant features like phase distributions, porosity and defects and related relevant material properties ($E_{\text{ALUMINA}} = 224\text{GPa}$). The finite element mesh was generated and refined until it met sufficient microstructure details (Figure 7). In this work, cross-section composites from QIA (see Part 2.2.1) were used to generate microstructure-based finite element meshes. FEM was developed to find a compromise between meshing accuracy and computational time. All simulations were performed in the plane strain hypothesis. The optimal alumina composite representative area (a square image of 71µm) was determined with successive tests at different image scales. Numerical models succeed in representing anisotropy through the moduli along spray ($E_s=53\text{GPa}$) and transverse ($E_t=96\text{GPa}$) direction.

**Fig. 5: Electrochemical response of alumina composite**

[a)Nyquist and b)Bode diagrams

**Fig. 6: EIS experiments**

[a)Equivalent circuit b)Table result c)$R_p$ measurement, see Table1 for Ref. details.

**Fig. 7: FEM experiments**

[a)Finite element mesh b)Moduli along spray direction function of element density.
Conclusions

Different types of composites were obtained using several processes. APS single spraying and co-spraying led to several alumina-defect composites and alumina-glass-defect composites respectively. In post-processing, the pores were filled with resin and excimer laser was used to modify the surface of as-sprayed alumina composite. Quantitative Image Analysis (QIA) was developed to determine the degree of porosity. EIS allowed the correlating of the microstructure with physical coating properties. It described the coating network architecture especially. Then, a FEM model based on actual microstructure was implemented in order to evaluate the effective elastic moduli and thermal conductivity along the spray and transverse directions of the various alumina composites. All results ascertained the so-called “composite approach” to plasma sprayed materials to establish relationship between microstructure and properties.
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