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Abstract

A new fabrication method to produce homogeneously fluorescent nanodiamonds with high yields is described. The powder obtained by high energy ball milling of fluorescent high pressure, high temperature diamond microcrystals was converted in a pure concentrated aqueous colloidal dispersion of highly crystalline ultrasmall nanoparticles with a mean size less than or equal to 10 nm. The whole fabrication yield of colloidal quasi-spherical nanodiamonds was several orders of magnitude higher than those previously reported starting from microdiamonds. The results open up avenues for the industrial cost-effective production of fluorescent nanodiamonds with well-controlled properties.
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Introduction

Diamond nanoparticles have received increasing attention owing to their outstanding prospective applications in various areas of physics, chemistry and biology [1–5]. Most of these applications are based on the unique optical and magnetic properties associated with defects in diamond. Among these, red/near-infrared fluorescent nanocrystalline diamonds are new nano-objects with several applications in biology (biomolecule labeling/vectorization, bioanalysis) [3], quantum information processing (quantum cryptography and quantum computing) [6, 7], magnetometry and novel imaging techniques [1]. The nitrogen-vacancy (NV) defect, responsible for the diamond red/near-infrared fluorescence, is a particular type of optically active defect (color center) that deserves special consideration [8]. First, the fluorescence of the NV center in diamond is unconditionally stable, i.e. it does not photobleach or blink even under high excitation intensities. Second, NV-containing diamonds have the potential to be one of the smallest nanoparticle labels for use in biology, all other nanoparticle labels being limited to the range of tens of nanometers. For example, even though the smallest quantum dots themselves have a size of a few nanometers, encapsulation is needed for their chemical isolation from the cell for both optical stability and cell toxicity issues. Blinking also remains problematic when tracking of quantum dots is required. In the case of metal nanoparticles, light scattering scales as the inverse sixth power of the size and the detection limit is normally reached for a few tens of nanometers. In contrast, NV color centers remain stable when localized beyond 1–2 nm of the surface of bulk diamond [10], and so the potential exists to create NV-containing nanodiamonds of a few nanometer diameters. Third, aside from the toxicity issues of nanoparticles in general, diamond is known for its extreme chemical and biological inertness [11], even if nanotoxicology in general is still under investigation. The native diamond surface can be either hydrophilic, oxygen-terminated, or hydrophobic, hydrogen-terminated [12]. This gives a very wide range of options for surface functionalization and grafting of biomolecules, such as proteins or DNA [13]. To date, a few methods exist to produce diamond nanoparticles. Ultradispersed detonation diamond nanoparticles can be obtained with a controllable size [14] and a very convenient sp² shell [15] for functionalization and bioconjugation, but they do not contain any C-centers (isolated substitutional nitrogen atoms in the diamond lattice), but impurities such as surface-or lattice-aggregated nitrogen and metals in significant amounts. More recently, dispersed nanodiamonds, just several nanometers in diameter, have been synthesized at only a laboratory scale from carbon black by laser irradiation in water at room temperature and normal pressure [16]. Finally, nanodiamonds have
been made by using a microwave plasma torch technique with methane and Ar or N\textsubscript{2} as catalysts [17]. The production of diamond nanocrystals containing NV centers can be achieved by the chemical vapor deposition technique (CVD) [18]; however, incorporation of nitrogen in small nanocrystals by this means is very inefficient [19]. Another option is to make NV centers by implanting nitrogen ions into pure diamond crystals [10, 20]. Yet, the penetration depth of nitrogen ions is quite limited (approximately 1 \mu m MeV\textsuperscript{−1}) and the production of large samples using this technique seems to be difficult. Similarly NV centers can be made in the nanodiamond itself by cold implantation with an in situ annealing procedure to incorporate homogeneously a large number of isolated nitrogen atoms on substitutional sites in an undamaged diamond environment over an area of a few square centimeters. The sample depth is limited to a few nanometers in this case.

Stable and bright fluorescent NV-rich nanodiamonds can be fabricated by irradiation and annealing in vacuum of type Ib high pressure high temperature (HPHT) diamonds grown from metal catalysts in a few minutes. In this synthetic diamond, nitrogen comes from the solvent metal and carbon source material, as well as from the residual gas left in the HPHT reactor. This nitrogen dissolves in the solvent metal and is incorporated in the diamond crystal during growth as substitutional nitrogen atoms remaining isolated for thermokinetics reasons. Such an N atom (called a C-center, single N or N\textsubscript{S}) is bound to four other diamond lattice carbon atoms. The C-defect center (approximately > 50 ppm) gives a yellow color due to optical absorption. During irradiation with photons, electrons, ions or neutrons, energetic carbon particles knock diamond carbon atoms out of their normal lattice position by creating vacancies (V). Subsequent annealing (typically at 800 °C under vacuum) leaves single N immobile but activates the migration of V towards them leading to the formation of fluorescent NV centers. Up to now, this HPHT route has been used as follows: a first mechanical milling step of as-grown HPHT diamond (> 20–50 \mu m) yields a very small and expensive fraction of nanodiamonds [21]. Fluorescent nanoparticles are then produced by irradiation and annealing in vacuum of the nanodiamond fraction; however, just a part of this fraction can actually be rendered fluorescent due to still debated reasons [22–25].

We describe here for the first time an industrial scalable method [26] which allows the efficient conversion of as-grown HPHT diamond microcrystals into spatially isolated ultrasmall quasi-spherical NV-rich diamond nanoparticles showing stable non-bleachable fluorescence (figure 1). In the present state of its development, the overall yield of this method reaches about 15% (w/w) of the microdiamond mass converted into ≤ 10 nm diamond nanoparticles. It opens realistic perspectives for the preparation of large samples of homogeneously fluorescent nanodiamonds necessary for research and development purposes.

**Experimental procedure**

**Raw material**

The raw initial material was a highly crystalline synthetic micron diamond powder (Element Six PDA999) containing 80–100 mesh (150–190 \mu m) blocky, very uniformly cubo-octahedral shaped diamond crystals with extremely high toughness, thermal stability and impact strength.

**Electron irradiation and annealing**

Electron irradiation was performed with a Rhodotron accelerator (Ionmed, Spain) operating at a beam power of 80 kW (10 MeV and 8 mA) distributed over around 250 cm\textsuperscript{2} giving a power density of 320 W cm\textsuperscript{−2} (i.e. 7200 W cm\textsuperscript{−2}/24 h). The sample was placed in a 316 grade stainless steel (900 mm × 20 mm × 5 mm, wall thickness: 0.5 mm) vessel cooled in fresh running water. The diamond layer to be penetrated was ≤ 4 mm over an area of 180 cm\textsuperscript{2}.

After electron irradiation, the sample was annealed at 800 °C under vacuum (≤ 10\textsuperscript{−8} Torr) during 2 h to allow migration of vacancies (V), created by electron irradiation, and NV color centers formed by the combination of V with isolated substitutional nitrogen atoms (N\textsubscript{S}) occurring in the crystal lattice of Ib type diamonds [27, 28].

**Milling**

To convert microdiamonds into smaller particles, we first used nitrogen jet milling autogeneous micronization which was preferred to planetary milling with metallic beads because the latter resulted in severe contamination by metals. A 250 g sample of raw initial material was first milled into a fine pure powder for 2 h in a 100 AFG fluidized bed opposed jet mill (Hosokawa-Alpine, Germany) with a nitrogen flow rate of 60 m\textsuperscript{3} h\textsuperscript{−1} and a high grinding pressure (8 bar). After this step, we obtained a fine pure gray powder (with 97% of particles having sizes below 2 \mu m).

A nanomilling procedure was then used to convert the jet milling product into fluorescent nanodiamonds (fND). A ten gram aliquot was thus ball milled under argon using a planetary ball mill (Vario-Planetary Mill, Pulverisette 4, Fritsch, Germany) [29, 30], with hard alloy WC+ 6% Co bowls and lids equipped with two valves (for milling under argon) and 30 10 mm balls made with the same WC–Co cemented carbide. The powder-to-ball weight ratio R was 1/35. The absolute speed of the main disc was 400 rpm and the relative rotation speed of the vials with respect to the supporting disc was ~2.17.
The program extended for 72 h as follows: the aliquot was ball milled for successive periods of 15 min, each separated by a 30 min cooling period (24 h effective grinding time). The temperature measured on the outside bowl wall was about 50 °C. This programmed stepwise grinding mode was found to be more convenient than flowing liquid nitrogen around the vial to control the milling temperature between room temperature and 50°C.

**Purification and colloidal dispersion**

After milling, the beads were recovered by sieving the fluorescent milled diamond (fMD). The fine tungsten carbide particles produced by milling present in the fMD sample, and not recovered by sieving, were dissolved by harsh acid treatment: a 750 mg aliquot of the fMD sample was placed in a 100 ml Teflon autoclave (200 ml Zeoclave-Autoclave France) with 30 ml of a hydrofluoric and nitric acid mixture (2:1 v/v) at 150°C for 48 h.

After completion of the acid treatment, an excess of Milli-Q ultrapure water (MQW), up to 100 ml, was added to the sample which was then mechanically dispersed before centrifugation (4000g, 30 min). The diamond sample precipitates under these acid conditions, which allows easy recovery from the acid. To refine fND purification, this first pellet was suspended by strong shaking in a small MQW volume to keep a strongly acid suspension. After centrifugation (4000g, 30 min) the strongly acid supernatant was discarded and the pellet which contained precipitated nanodiamonds was then suspended in 50 ml of MQW. This new suspension was finally exactly neutralized with aqueous ammonia solution and centrifuged again (4000g , 30 min). The resulting supernatant containing fine diamond nanoparticles was stored for further processing. The residual pellet was resuspended (in 50 ml of MQW) and centrifuged (4000g , 30 min) twice more to complete the extraction of the diamond nanoparticles. The neutral supernatants were then pooled and desalted by tangential flow filtration using a Millipore Pellicon XL cassette equipped with a Biomax membrane. The purified samples obtained were called the residual fluorescent pellet (fP) and fluorescent nanodiamond fND (pooled, concentrated and desalted supernatants). fP and fND samples were dried using a Rotavapor. An aliquot was taken for x-ray diffraction and surface group analysis. Another one was resuspended in MQW for transmission electron microscopy observations and EDX analysis. The fP and fND masses were expressed either relative to the fMD mass or the total mass of the dried pure diamond (fP+ fND).

**Transmission electron microscopy**

TEM analyses were performed on an FEI F-20ST (Philips) field emission gun transmission microscope equipped with super-twin polar pieces and operated at 200 kV. Energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis coupled with TEM was used to identify the elemental composition of selected areas. Images were recorded at approximately Scherzer defocus on a CCD multiscan camera after astigmatism corrections, and eventually filtered via the Digital Micrograph software. Materials for transmission electron microscopy, prepared by ultrasonic dispersion of the samples in water for 5 min, were deposited on a copper grid coated with holey carbon. The deposited suspensions were thereafter dried in air prior to transmission electron microscopy analyses. To avoid any electron irradiation damage that could induce allotropic transformations of carbon materials, we used a reduced beam intensity but we did not observe any phase change, even after long exposure, as observed for detonation nanodiamond [15 ]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to determine the sample structure and composition after milling, and after milling and purification. The data were collected using an x-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα (λ = 1.540 56 Å) radiation.

**Surface group analysis**

Analysis of the surface groups was done by temperature-programed desorption mass spectrometry. A small dried sample of about 5 mg of diamond nanocrystals was placed in a crucible and heated from 100 to 1450°C at 30°C min⁻¹ at atmospheric pressure in helium with one volume per cent of neon at a flow rate of 10 cm³ min⁻¹. Evolved gases were continuously monitored and quantified with a mass spectrometer. The CO evolution profile was corrected from the ml z 28 contribution due to molecular nitrogen. The system was calibrated using gas mixtures in pure He with 1% Ne. The signal amplitude of each mass was compared to the ml z 20 signal amplitude of Ne. Physically desorbing water from heated samples produced a broad peak, probably due to interactions between polar water molecules and the inner walls of the transfer line between oven and detector. The integrated water peak was used to assess sample moisture content, and hence to correct the gas yield with respect to organic carbon or total carbon content on a dry, ash-free basis.

**Results and discussion**

**Nanoparticle characterization**

XRD analyses of the fMD sample show, together with diamond, the presence of WC nanoparticle contaminant originating from the milling vial and beads (figure 2(a) ). However, the spectrum demonstrates that the diamond structure was not altered by the milling procedure. Indeed, no broad (002) peak corresponding to sp² -bonded carbon was observed, but only the c-diamond and WC ones. After WC removal, x-ray diffraction pattern performed on fND shows prominent peaks at angles corresponding to the normal structure of diamond, i.e. the (111), (220) and (311) reflections. Though it is known that high energy milling produces a high density of crystal
dislocations [31], precise analyses processing of our XRD data did not show any significant change of the diamond lattice parameter. The presence of wide XRD diffraction reflection is due to both microstrain isolated substitutional nitrogen [32] and the small size of the particles which are stated to be in the range of several nanometers: the width of the peak is inversely related to the nanoparticle dimensions and can be approximated by the Scherrer equation [33]. The mean fND particle size estimated by this equation applied to the Gaussian-Lorentzian, Pearson VII or Voigt fitting curve of the (311), (220) or (111) diffraction peak is less than or equal to 10 nm, whatever the crystallographic direction.

Energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectra show that the combined HF/HNO$_3$ treatment at 150 °C for 48 h efficiently removes the contaminants from the fMD sample (figure 2(c)). EDX analyses also reveal considerable amounts of oxygen (mean value: 1.3 wt%) coming from secondary oxygen chemisorption forming surface carbon-oxygen complexes [34] as also revealed and characterized by temperature-programed desorption (figure 2(d)). Carbon–oxygen surface complexes are co-desorbed with NO-containing surface groups (resulting from nitration during the acid treatment) and with H-containing surface groups (CH, OH). The absence of fluoride in the desorbed gases indicates that there is no aqueous acid oxidative fluorination of the particles during the HF/HNO$_3$ treatment. This illustrates the fact that fluoride is a very poor nucleophile in aqueous solution and cannot cause surface oxygen group removal—as previously observed at higher temperature [35].

Assuming that the surface coverage ratios of the functional groups and diamond density remain unchanged, the differences observed between the thermal desorption profiles of the fND and the fP samples can be simply interpreted in terms of variation of specific surface areas. Since the sphere specific surface area is $3r/\rho$ (where $r$ is the sphere radius and $\rho$ is the density), the total surface group density (4.75 mmol g$^{-1}$ in fND and 1.45 mmol g$^{-1}$ in P) can be inversely related to the mean particle size and hence the specific surface area. As a matter of fact, the fND/fP surface group density ratio (3.27) is of the same order of magnitude as the fP/fND mean particle size ratio deduced from HRTEM image processing (3.94). The higher C and O surface group density of nanodiamonds in the fND fraction, which represents about 17% of the purified diamond fraction (fND + fP) after a milling time of only 24 h (figure 1(b)), would explain the good suspension stability of this fraction in pure neutral water. Surface modifications assisted by sonication are currently investigated to further improve the dispersion of both fractions in water over wide pH and ionic strength ranges.

The fully purified fND sample, obtained after decontamination and fine extraction, is made of pure nanocrystalline diamonds as shown in figure 3. HRTEM fast Fourier transform (FFT) phase images corresponding to the (111) lattice fringes did not show any local distortion of the diamond lattice. Nanodiamonds appear as deformed polygons with a quasi-spherical envelope and an aspect ratio varying from 1.13 to 1.75 (mean value = 1.37 for the 2–50 nm particle size range). This apparent quasi-spherical shadow with changing aspect ratios is best investigated further using electron tomography. Interestingly, the rounded shape of these particles, which derives from the initial uniformly cubo-octahedral-shaped diamond crystals, is noticeably different from the shape of commercial diamond nanoparticles obtained by steel ball milling of Ib type HPHT inclusion-rich micron diamond crystals selected for their friability (figure 4). The distribution of the diameters $d$ (the minor axis of the ellipse, taken instead of the diameter of a sphere of equivalent cross-sectional area on the image: $d = 2(A/p)^{1/2}$, where $A$ is the projected area of the nanoparticle) shows excess skewness and kurtosis so that they can be better fitted with the equation for the standard lognormal fND distribution with a mode occurring at 3.25 and a mean value of 3.5 ± 0.3 (figure 5). The lognormal character of the distribution probably results from the fact that, for a given magnification and set of instrument parameters, there is a resolution limit below which no size information is detectable. The mean sizes that we obtained by XRD and HRTEM, much below the minimum size (30 nm) predicted for diamond grit particles produced by fracture [36], are of the same order of magnitude as those reported for the smallest synthetic or natural nanodiamonds—close to their predicted physicochemical stability limit [37, 38].

Fracture and amorphization during milling

Our results suggest that, during both milling stages, HPHT diamond is simply broken without any amorphization, as can be observed for other materials [39–41]. Crystal breaking occurs with brittle fracture along the preferred octahedral (111) planes—a mechanism originally put forward by Tolkowsky [42]. The dominance of (111) cleavage in the crystallographic fracture of diamond is explained by the markedly lower strength and energy for fracture along these planes. This is inferred from first-principles calculations and also qualitatively explained by strain accommodation and the introduction of powerful ‘bond-bending’ energy terms upon stretching the crystal away from the (111) direction [43]. Yet, the mechanical properties of nanodiamond are still not well understood From the calculated elastic constants and the estimated hardness, it has recently been observed that nanodiamond softens as the size of its grains decreases [44]. The observed softening would be attributed to the enhanced fraction of interfacial atoms as the average grain size becomes smaller.

The production of ultrasmall quasi-spherical nanodiamonds from HPHT diamond grit described in this paper is spectacular and has never been reported before with standard mills [21]. Reasons for such results could be explained by the specific performance of the vario-planetary mill (Pulverisette 4) [30, 45] for nanomilling of ultrahard and brittle materials. During planetary ball mills, due to the overlapping of grinding bowls and supporting disc, the material to be ground and the grinding balls execute movements and trajectories in the grinding bowl, which are defined by the transmission ratio. With standard planetary ball mills the grinding bowl rotates at a fixed transmission ratio while with the vario-planetary mill the rotational speeds of grinding bowls and supporting disc can be adjusted...
completely independently of each other, so that by varying the transmission ratio intermediate levels and combinations of frictional and impact pressures can be set as required. Ball milling process peak temperature and pressure, which can locally and instantly reach 300 °C [46] and 12 GPa [47], were not measured during our vario-planetary milling experiment but one can infer from our data that the p, T parameters were below those for phase changes (amorphization, carbonization, burning) taking place during high pressure indentation [48], shear stress [49] or diamond polishing [50]. Our data suggest that the local temperature rise due to sliding/friction during diamond milling under argon remained low enough to avoid any thermo(chemical) diamond alteration and enabling simple crystallographic fracture into nanodiamonds. For those small particles friction coefficient and specific wear rates would decrease with decreasing particle size [51] so that the smaller the particles become the more difficult it gets to reduce their size.

**Fluorescence properties of diamond nanoparticles**

One of the emerging applications of diamond nanocrystals is their use as fluorescent markers in microscopy. Such diamond luminescent labels are reported to be superior to conventional chromophores owing to their unprecedented photostability.

In typical type Ib HPHT synthetic diamond, nitrogen atoms are homogeneously distributed if we consider diamonds with diameters higher than a few nanometers. On the other hand, nitrogen may be unevenly distributed at the level of the crystal unit cell (side = 0.35 nm) and may differ by a factor of two between the (100) and (111) growth sectors. In addition, there may also be some minor growth sectors, such as (113) or (115), where the nitrogen concentration is negligible [52]. For these reasons, the initial mass of isolated substitutional nitrogen atoms (N₅, at a concentration of around 200 ppm in the raw microdiamond) is equally divided by milling in individual nanocrystals (which each includes a large number of crystal unit cells). The resulting nanodiamonds should have an average concentration of 1–3 Ns per 5 nm nanocrystal—potentially available for the formation of single nitrogen–vacancy (NV) color defects. However, during the fabrication process some initial (N₅) are removed. A minor fraction of these nitrogen atoms (estimated by the ratio between the number of electrons dispatched per volume unit and the number of atoms in the same volume) can be knocked out of their substitutional position by electron irradiation. One can assume that there is a negligible loss of single nitrogen atoms due to aggregation of single nitrogen to A aggregates during irradiation-annealing-milling (unlikely at this nitrogen concentration and p, T conditions) [53, 54]. Another second cause of nitrogen loss is due to mechanical exhumation from the diamond core to < 10 nm nanoparticle surface during milling. This loss can be assessed by taking into account the strong increase, below a particle size of 10 nm, of the edge-located nitrogen atoms with respect to the total nitrogen atoms’ (N₅/N) ratio (figure 6(a)) and the decrease of the correlated quaternary carbon atoms/lattice carbon atoms’ (C₂H/C₄) ratio (figure 6(b)).

An increase of the final NV density in nanodiamonds, and consequently a reduction of the predictable large statistical fluctuations of the NV concentration in ultrasmall nanocrystals, could be achieved, either by nanomilling of fluorescent microdiamonds prepared with N₅-rich diamonds (e.g. N₅ = 2000 ppm, as shown in figure 6(a)), such as those recently synthesized with the BN–C [55], Fe₃N–C [56] or the Ba(N₅)₂−C systems [57], or by irradiation-annealing of N₅-rich nanodiamonds produced by milling of these nitrogen-enriched microdiamonds—assuming that isolated substitutional nitrogen (N₅) is stable in very small nanodiamonds [58] and does not aggregate in too large a proportion during vacancy creation and annealing [53, 54].

In our experiments diamond nanocrystals were prepared by electron irradiation (10 MeV, 5 × 10¹⁹ electrons cm⁻²) of type Ib HPHT micron diamond powder with a typical N₅ concentration of around 200 ppm (Element Six PDA999) containing 8–100 mesh (150–190 μm). The sample was annealed under vacuum (? 10⁻⁸ Torr, 800°C during 2 h), before milling and subsequent purification (figure 7). The resulting nanodiamonds were investigated using atomic force microscopy combined with a home-made optical microscope. Figure 8 shows a topography image of nanocrystals in IP + fND fractions obtained by milling of the fluorescent microdiamond and purification of the fMD fraction (prepared by electron irradiation and annealing of type Ib HPHT with an N₅ concentration of around 200 ppm) immobilized on the glass surface. In order to examine relationships between the size of nanocrystals and their fluorescence, we recorded confocal images of the same sample area (marked with a square frame in figures 8(a) and (b)). Remarkably all nanocrystals present in the AFM picture show stable non-bleachable fluorescence. The brightness of individual spots differs significantly owing to different volumes of nanocrystals present in the sample. This distinguishes fluorescent nanocrystals from quantum dots where a change of size shifts the spectrum without affecting the oscillator strength of the optical transition. The fluorescence spectrum presented in figure 8(d) shows the characteristic shape of the negatively charged NV defect. Note that the 637 nm zero-phonon line characteristic for NV color centers in bulk diamond is absent in nanocrystal spectra, indicating strong strain [59]. Such strain leads to splitting of the excited state causing inhomogeneous broadening of the zero-phonon line for nanocrystals containing many defects [60].

The brightness of diamond nanocrystals is crucial for their application as fluorescent markers. For NV-doped nanodiamonds the saturated fluorescence signal is defined by the number of NV defects. Note that estimation of brightness based on fluorescence intensity signal is not quantitative because the detected signal can be affected by the sensitivity of the experimental set-up. Recently it was shown that exact information on the number of color centers can be obtained by a quantum optical analysis of emitted light [55]. A single NV defect never emits two photons at once because the emission of a photon projects the single quantum system into the ground state (owing to energy conservation). Hence subPoissonian photon statistics, called ‘photon antibunching’, is found as a dip in the second-order
correlation function (for details see the reference) is a signature of a single emitter [61]. When several defects are present in a nanodiamond the probability of detecting two photons is not zero anymore. The contrast of antibunching dips scale as 1/N, where N is the number of color centers. Figure 8(e) shows the measured autocorrelation function for a selected nanocrystal. The contrast of the antibunching dip is 0.08, indicating that 12 centers are present in the emission. Note that, assuming that the nanocrystal is quasi-spherical, its diameter can be measured independently from AFM z coordinates (figure 8(c)), allowing approximation of the concentration of NV defects in nanostructured diamond material.

Conclusions and prospects

We present here for the first time a high yield method to produce isolated ultrasmall quasi-spherical diamond nanoparticles with dimensions less than or equal to 10 nm. The starting material is a highly crystalline HPHT micron-sized diamond and the production has an overall yield of about 15% (w/w) of the initial microdiamond for a 24 h milling time. This yield is several orders of magnitude higher than the present ones [21]. The nanodiamonds obtained here present new features: they are made of c-diamond nanocrystals with controlled size, shape and composition, a very high crystallinity and little impurity but selected doping heteroatoms such as isolated substitutional nitrogen.

Ultrasmall fluorescent nanodiamonds will have outstanding potential applications, for instance in physics or biology. Owing to long coherence time even at room temperature, spins associated with single NV defects are expected to be important for use in future nanodevices, such as qubits for quantum computing. NV-doped nanodiamonds can also be used as magnetic field sensors reaching atomic (sub-nm) spatial resolution and sensitivity of the order of μG. Furthermore, availability of small nanocrystals with NV defects located close to the surface of diamond allows their use as sensors for external spins. In biology, the possibility to label molecules (nucleic acids, peptides or proteins) with fluorescent nanodiamonds opens novel prospects for quantitative biology (DNA chip, quantitative PCR, immunodetection of gene products in health and disease) since they sustain long term repetitive measurements which is not achievable with conventional fluorophores. Ultrasmall fluorescent nanodiamonds would also be ideally tailored for single-particle tracking for subcellular dynamics: such a small size reduces dramatically the impact on diffusion in cellular media and the absence of blinking makes trajectory reconstruction much easier, with less need for tracking algorithms to solve the frame-to-frame correspondence problem [1, 62, 63]. Finally, if the nanodiamonds are produced from raw HPHT microcrystals, they should advantageously replace non-fluorescent diamond nanoparticles presently used in numerous applications, such as seeding substrates for thin film CVD diamond synthesis [64, 65], hard disc computer industry [66], bioelectronics [67] or for the next generation of integrated circuits [68].

The observations made in the present work will be useful to adjust at will nanodiamond properties with minimal loss in crystallinity for any of these specific applications and needs (particle size, shape, composition: NV content, nanoparticle doping with selected heteroelements, surface functionalization). The industrial scale production of ultrasmall nanodiamonds represents a breakthrough toward these objectives.
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Figure 1
Flow chart for fluorescent ultrasmall nanodiamond (fND) preparation. (a) NV creation is obtained by 10 MeV electron irradiation of raw HPHT microdiamond followed by annealing at 800°C under vacuum. Milling of the fluorescent microdiamond is performed in two steps, micronization and nanomilling. Decontamination includes a hot HF/HNO₃ attack followed by a series of centrifugation steps. The first pellet is resuspended in a small MQW volume. The second pellet is resuspended in a large MQW volume and NH₄ OH neutralized. Supernatants obtained by three subsequent centrifugations are pooled and desalted by ultrafiltration. (b) Mass distribution of the metal-contaminated diamond (fMD) fractions expressed in dry weight per cent. The WC + Co fraction mass was obtained by subtracting the pellet (fP) and fND mass from the fMD mass.
fabrication of fluorescent nanodiamonds

Figure 2
Sample structure and composition of the fMD, fND and fP fractions from the production process. (a) XRD pattern of the fMD sample showing a severe contamination with hexagonal WC. The WC diffraction peaks (31.48°, (001), 35.76° (100), 48.40° (101), 64.04° (110), 65.32° (002), 73.20° (111), 75.60° (200), 76.92° (102)84.16° (201), according to JCPDS 25–1047) are indicated with (hkl) values. The inset is the HRTEM image of a WC nanocrystal. Arrows show lattice fringes of the WC {100} and {101} planes. (b) XRD pattern of the fND sample showing intense peaks indexed to the cubic diamond structure (43.97° (111), 75.37° (220), 91.59° (311); peak position and relative integrated intensities of each peak are within one standard deviation of the values given in JCPDS card no. 6-0675). (c) Energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of the purified nanodiamond (fND) samples. The spectrum shows that the tungsten has been efficiently removed. The carbon Kα line remains as the only relevant peak in the spectrum, reflecting the purity of the diamond crystals. (d) Thermal desorption profiles of CO₂, CO and H₂ for the fND fraction and of either CO₂, CO or H₂ for the fMD, fND and the centrifugation precipitate (fP) fractions.

Figure 3
The milling method provides a homogeneous population of ultrasmall quasi-spherical diamond nanoparticles TEM/HRTEM images from fP (a) and fND (b)–(d) as defined in figure 1. (e) HRTEM image of typical nanodiamond (f) FFT analysis of the nanodiamond crystalline structure where the c-diamond d 111 spacing is given by the inverse of the ring radius. The distance between the {111} planes is equal to \( a \), where \( a = 3.5625 \) Å is the unit cell dimension and \( \theta = 0.6154 \) rad is the angle between the {111} and the {110} planes.
Figure 4
TEM/HRTEM images and particle size distribution of typical commercial nanodiamonds obtained by milling of HPHT diamond grit.

Figure 5
(a) Lognormal distribution of nanodiamond and sizes from HRTEM images, (b) Example of polygonal slightly flattened contour placed over an HRTEM ellipsoidal nanodiamond image with its minor axis (broken line) taken as an approximation of the nanocrystal diameter. This measurement is calibrated both by the scale of observation and with the \{111\} interplanar spacing.

Figure 6
Predictable number of nitrogen (a) and carbon (b) atoms per typical diamond nanocrystal—assuming a negligible decrease of the theoretical density due to the decreasing fraction of quaternary atoms \([44]\) and to the dislocations and lattice expansion (on the basis of XRD and HRTEM) possibly due to milling, (a) The \(N^\text{E}_E/N^\text{Total}\) ratio gives the fraction of nitrogen atoms exposed to the surface, (b) The \(C^\text{Q}/C^\text{L}\) ratio gives the fraction of quaternary carbon atoms (as shown in the model inset) with respect to the total number of lattice carbon atoms (\(C^\text{L}\)).

Figure 7
Optical observation of the raw 80–100 mesh HPHT diamond. (a) HPHT microdiamond seen with the naked eye: initial (above) and after electron irradiation (below), (b) Bright-field transmission image of the microdiamond after electron irradiation and annealing. (c) Image of the microdiamond after electron irradiation and annealing recorded with a fluorescence microscope under green excitation (20 ms duration).
Figure 8
Atomic force microscopy (a) and photo luminescence microscopy (b) images of the fP + fND fraction obtained by milling of the fluorescent microdiamond (shown in figure 7 (c)) and purification of the resulting fMD fraction, (c) AFM dimensions of a 10 nm bright fND diamond nanocrystal marked with a square frame in panels (a) and (b). (d) Photoluminescence spectrum of the same nanodiamond, corresponding to the NV center emission. (e) Second-order fluorescence autocorrelation function for the same nanodiamond. Note that the dip of photon counting statistics curve is related to 12 emitters in the nanocrystal. Analysis of contrast of such a dip allows us to count the number of fluorescing defects.