

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document:

James, David V and Munson, Steven C. and Maldonado-Martin, Sara and De Ste Croix, Mark B (2012) Heart Rate Variability : Effect of Exercise Intensity on Postexercise Response. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 83 (4). pp. 533-539. ISSN 0270-1367

Official URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142>

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142>

EPrint URI: <http://eprints.glos.ac.uk/id/eprint/320>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

This is a peer-reviewed, post-print (final draft post-refereeing) version of the following published document:

James, D. V., Munson, S. C., Maldonado-Martin, S., & De Ste Croix, M. B. (2012). Heart rate variability: effect of exercise intensity on postexercise response. *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*, 83(4), 533-539.

Published in Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, and available online at:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142#.VBxD_JRdXTo

We recommend you cite the published (post-print) version.

The URL for the published version is

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599142>

Disclaimer

The University of Gloucestershire has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

The University of Gloucestershire makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

The University of Gloucestershire accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Heart rate variability (HRV) is widely considered as a non-invasive method of
3 evaluating autonomic influence on the cardiac rhythm. Following exercise,
4 through HRV assessment, acute manipulations of autonomic influence have
5 been demonstrated, but the influence of exercise intensity remains unclear.

6 **Objective:** The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of
7 exercise of two different intensities on HRV response following exercise.

8 **Design:** Sixteen runners completed two distance-matched conditions; moderate
9 (90% of the speed at gas exchange threshold, MOD) and severe (1 km.h⁻¹
10 below the speed at maximal oxygen uptake, SEV) intensity interval training
11 sessions (6 x 800m runs with 3 min recovery intervals) to study post exercise
12 HRV. At one hour prior to (-1 h) and at +1 h, +24 h, +48 h and +72 h following
13 each exercise session participants sat quietly for 20 min whilst breathing at 0.20
14 Hz. Resting HRV data was collected over the final 5 min of each 20 min period,
15 followed by measurement of arterial blood pressure. **Results:** Time domain
16 indices and high frequency component showed a significant decrease (p<0.001)
17 between -1 h and +1 h in SEV and low frequency component in normalised
18 units significantly increased (p<0.01). Systolic blood pressure significantly
19 decreased (p = 0.001) for SEV at +1 h compared with -1 h. No changes were
20 demonstrated at +24 h, +48 h, +72 h for any outcome or any condition
21 compared with -1 h. **Conclusions:** These findings suggest that severe intensity
22 exercise is required to elicit a change in HRV outcomes post exercise, resulting
23 in a reduction in parasympathetic influence on the heart at +1 h, with values
24 returning to baseline by +24 h.

25

26 **Key words:**

27 Autonomic nervous system; parasympathetic; sympathetic.

28

29

1 Introduction

2

3 Heart rate variability (HRV) has been established as a useful non-invasive
4 method of evaluating autonomic influence on the cardiac rhythm.¹ It is widely
5 accepted that regular exercise training induces adaptations in HRV (i.e., the
6 oscillation in the interval between consecutive heartbeats) outcomes with a shift
7 of autonomic balance towards higher parasympathetic activity, consistent with
8 improved cardiac health.¹

9

10 Less attention has been paid to the HRV response following a single bout of
11 exercise, but there is a growing body of evidence based on studies of trained
12 athletes,²⁻⁴ trained students,⁵ moderately trained,^{6,7} detrained⁸ and untrained
13 active participants.^{3,9-12} Findings indicate that single bout of exercise may
14 result in an increase in sympathetic influence at one hour post exercise
15 cessation^{2,3,5,7,9,10,12} and that by 24 h post exercise sympathetic influence
16 returns to baseline levels.^{2,7,12} Such findings are of interest, since an elevated
17 sympathetic influence has been associated with increased risk of cardiac events
18 and compromised health.¹³⁻¹⁵

19

20 Following an initial suppression of parasympathetic influence at one hour post
21 exercise cessation, elevated parasympathetic influence has been observed at
22 48 h following prolonged exercise.⁴ In contrast, in one study, moderate
23 intensity exercise has been associated with elevations in parasympathetic
24 influence within an hour after exercise cessation.¹¹ This is an interesting
25 finding, since such changes are known to have a cardio-protective effect.¹⁶

1 Even though different studies have examined HRV responses at different
2 intensities,^{7,10,12,17} to the best of our knowledge only one study by Mourot et al
3 has directly explored the impact of exercise intensity over 48 h following
4 exercise cessation.⁷ In this study, both the moderate and high intensity
5 exercise resulted in reduced parasympathetic influence at one hour following
6 exercise cessation, and there appeared to be no notable differences between
7 exercise intensity condition in the time course of the response up to 48 h post
8 exercise. However, the study by Mourot et al⁷ included a potential confounding
9 variable, since participants undertook continuous exercise for the moderate
10 intensity condition and interval exercise for the severe intensity condition. It is
11 therefore difficult to be certain whether the findings were a result of the exercise
12 intensity or exercise type (*i.e.*, continuous versus interval). To our knowledge no
13 previous studies have examined the effect of exercise intensity, using a fixed
14 exercise type, on HRV response.

15

16 Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of
17 exercise of two differing intensities (moderate and severe) on the HRV
18 response post-exercise. Both exercise conditions were applied as interval
19 exercise, and the distance covered was consistent between conditions. We
20 were interested in the nature of the response over 72 h following cessation of
21 exercise, and the contrast in the response following the differing exercise
22 intensities.

23

24

25 **Methods**

1

2 *Participants*

3

4 Sixteen (14 male; 2 female) experienced (8.8 ± 5.3 years of training) runners
5 involved in regular endurance training sessions (3.7 ± 1.1 session.wk⁻¹)
6 volunteered to participate (Table 1). All participants completed a health
7 screening procedure and were fully informed of the nature of the study.

8 Participants then provided written consent to participate. All procedures were
9 approved by the University Research Ethics Committee. Participants had no
10 history of diabetes, hypertension or cardiovascular disease, no symptoms of
11 underlying disease, and received no medication during the course of the study
12 for any diagnosed condition.

13

14 *Study Design*

15

16 A moderate (MOD) and severe (SEV) intensity condition were administered in a
17 counterbalanced order within a fully repeated measures design. Both
18 conditions consisted of six 800 m bouts of running with 3 min recovery intervals.
19 The MOD was completed at 90% of the velocity at gas exchange threshold (v-
20 GET). The SEV was completed at a velocity corresponding to 1 km·h⁻¹ below
21 the velocity at maximal oxygen uptake (v-VO_{2max}). A minimum of 72 h
22 separated each condition and participants undertook no exercise for 72 h prior
23 to the first test within each condition.

24

1 One hour prior to (-1 h) and at various intervals following (+1 h, +24 h, +48 h,
2 +72 h) each interval exercise session, participants sat quietly for 25 min whilst
3 HRV and blood pressure were recorded. Participants were instructed not to
4 consume alcohol or caffeine for 24 h prior to each assessment, and not to
5 consume food or fluid other than water “*ad libitum*” in the 4 h prior to each
6 assessment. In addition to performing no exercise for 72 h prior to the first
7 assessment, participants were instructed to perform no exercise between
8 subsequent assessments. All tests were conducted at the same time of day for
9 each participant in a comfortable temperature controlled (temperature 19 ± 2.0
10 $^{\circ}\text{C}$; humidity $34 \pm 6 \%$; barometric pressure 766.2 ± 7.7 mmHg) exercise
11 physiology laboratory. Prior to exposure to the first condition, participants
12 attended the laboratory for a familiarization visit. In addition to familiarizing
13 participants with the procedures for the determination of HRV and blood
14 pressure outcomes, participants’ descriptive anthropometric and physiological
15 characteristics were assessed, including the determination v-GET and v-VO_{2max}.

16

17 *HRV Outcome Measures*

18

19 According to the Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology ¹ there are a
20 variety of indices that are used to assess HRV, which can be divided into two
21 major categories: time domain indices and frequency domain indices. In the
22 present investigation the included time domain indices are the mean NN interval
23 (MNN) (*i.e.*, normal-to-normal intervals between adjacent QRS complexes), the
24 standard deviation of the NN intervals (SDNN), square root of the mean sum of
25 squares of the differences between adjacent NN intervals (rMSSD), and

1 proportion of pairs of adjacent NN intervals differing by more than 50 ms
2 (pNN50). The included frequency domain indices are: high frequency (HF), HF
3 in normalized units (HF_{nu}), low frequency (LF), LF in normalized units (LF_{nu}),
4 very low frequency (VLF), total power (TP) and LF:HF ratio.

5

6 *Procedures*

7

8 During the HRV assessment points at -1 h, +1 h, +24 h, +48 h and +72 h,
9 participants sat quietly for 20 min and controlled their breathing frequency.
10 Frequency was set at 0.20 Hz (12 breath. min^{-1}),¹⁸ with each breath comprising
11 2 s of inspiration and 3 s of expiration.⁵ Breathing frequency was paced using
12 a computer-based metronome providing both audio and visual cues.
13 Participants were instructed to maintain a normal depth of breathing throughout.
14 Participants wore a chest strap consisting of two electrodes and a transmitter
15 (T61, Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). The NN interval data were
16 transmitted to a watch receiver (Polar S810i series) by coded short-range
17 telemetry and then to a computer via an infrared interface (Polar Electro Oy,
18 Kempele, Finland) for storage. NN interval data were collected over the final 5
19 min of the 20 min period and stored for subsequent analysis. The data were
20 presented graphically and visually inspected to identify any spurious beats. No
21 spurious beats were identified, and this was confirmed with the error detection
22 algorithm in the Precision Performance analysis programme (Polar Electro Oy,
23 Kempele, Finland) which filters the data using median and moving average
24 based methods in order to identify artefacts in the signal. During a further 5 min
25 period, participants continued to sit quietly whilst three repeat measurements of

1 arterial blood pressure were made using a clinical grade automated
2 sphygmomanometry (DynaPulse DP-200M, Pulse Metric Inc., San Diego,
3 U.S.A) according to the approach of Forjaz et al.¹⁹ with two minutes between
4 each measurement. Collected data were transmitted via the communication
5 cable to a computer for storage and analysis (DynaPulse software version 3.8).

6
7 The progressive exercise test and the two interval exercise sessions were
8 conducted on a motorised treadmill (Ergo ELG 70, Woodway, Weil am Rhein,
9 Germany) and for participant comfort laboratory air was circulated using a floor
10 mounted fan. Prior to the progressive exercise test participants undertook a five
11 minute warm-up at a self selected speed below v-GET and completed their
12 personal stretching routine. In accordance with the recommendations of
13 Buchfuhrer et al.²⁰ starting speed was selected so that exhaustion was
14 observed at 10 ± 2 min. Participants initiated running when the treadmill had
15 reached the pre-determined start speed of $8 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$. Following two minutes at
16 the first speed, treadmill speed increased $1.2 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ($0.16 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ every 8
17 s) at a 0% gradient. The progressive exercise test was used to determine
18 $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$, v- $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$, GET, v-GET and HR_{max} . Throughout the test HR was
19 measured using a two-electrode chest strap and data was transmitted by coded
20 short-range telemetry to a watch receiver (S810i series, Polar Electro Oy,
21 Kempele, Finland) for storage. During the progressive test, expirate was
22 collected continuously and analysed using a conventional Douglas bag
23 technique.²¹ The procedure for determination of $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$, GET and the
24 associated velocities has been described previously.²¹

25

1 *Data Analysis*

2

3 Prior to analysis, the NN interval time-series were interpolated at a rate of 4 Hz
4 and detrended using the smoothness priors approach. NN interval data were
5 analysed using HRV Analysis Software (version 1.1 for Windows, Biomedical
6 Signal Analysis Group, University of Kuopio, Finland).²² A continuous five
7 minute data segment was selected for analysis consistent with previous studies
8^{5,11,23} since spectral approaches are conventionally performed on stationary
9 records of 200-500 consecutive heart beats.²⁴ NN intervals were at a steady
10 level which is a requirement for the application of spectral analysis.²⁵ Power
11 spectrum analysis was undertaken using the autoregressive method.
12 Autoregressive coefficients were estimated using the forward-backward-linear-
13 least-squares-algorithm with a fixed model order of 18. Frequency bands were
14 selected conventionally¹: VLF 0.00-0.04 Hz; LF 0.04-0.16 Hz and HF 0.16-0.40
15 Hz. TP and LF:HF ratio was also computed. The LF and HF power
16 components were also expressed using normalized units (nu) providing a
17 relative value for each power component.¹ The normalization procedure
18 requires dividing either HF or LF component (ms^2) by total spectral power (TP)
19 minus the VLF component (ms^2)¹ which results in a dimensionless ratio.⁵
20 Normalization is suggested to result in a reduction of the effect total power
21 changes would have on LF and HF components.^{1,26} HRV outcomes in the
22 frequency domain are not normally distributed²⁷ so, consistent with the
23 approach of Bernardi et al.² and James et al.,⁵ these data were transformed
24 using the natural logarithmic function prior to statistical analysis. The
25 logarithmic transformation provides data that meets the assumptions required

1 for parametric statistical analysis.¹¹ Consistent with James et al.,⁵ all data are
2 presented as mean (68% confidence interval) as it is not possible to ‘back-
3 transform’ a log transformed standard deviation into the original measurement
4 unit. The presentation of data as mean (68% confidence interval) is consistent
5 with the normal convention of presenting data as mean (one standard
6 deviation).

7
8 Interactions between condition (exercise intensity; SEV or MOD) and time (-1 h,
9 +1 h, +24 h, +48 h, +72 h) were explored using 2 x 5 fully repeated measures
10 ANOVA. Whether or not a significant interaction was present, we were also
11 interested in main effects for time, since we were interested in the response
12 following each condition. One-way repeated measures ANOVA were
13 conducted for each condition to examine effects over time. When significant
14 main effects were observed, post-hoc t-tests were conducted to locate the
15 differences. Differences were considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

16

17 **Results**

18

19 Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. The heart rate responses
20 to the moderate and severe interval exercise bouts are shown in Figure 1. The
21 mean (68% confidence interval) heart rate during the moderate exercise
22 demonstrated a slight increase from 131 (123-139) to 139 (128-150) $\text{b}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$
23 across the six 800 m bouts (i.e., 68-72 % HR_{max}). Heart rate during the severe
24 exercise increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) from 165 (156-174) to 178 (167-189)
25 $\text{b}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ across the six bouts (i.e., 86-93% HR_{max}).

1
2 Data for the time domain and frequency domain HRV parameters are presented
3 in Table 2 and 3, respectively.

4
5 **Time domain outcomes.** Interactions between condition and time were
6 revealed for SDNN, rMSSD and pNN50, but not for MNN. Post-hoc analysis
7 revealed lower values for SEV than MOD at +1 h ($p < 0.01$) for all time domain
8 outcomes (MNN, 11%; SDNN 31%; rMSSD 12%; pNN50 55%). Main effects
9 for time were revealed for SEV for all time domain outcomes ($p < 0.001$) but not
10 for MOD. Compared to the values at -1 h, values at +1 h were significantly ($p <$
11 0.001) decreased for SEV condition (MNN, 20%; SDNN, 39%; rMSSD, 54%;
12 and pNN50, 68%) but values returned to baseline levels by +24 h (Table 2).

13
14 **Frequency domain outcomes.** A significant interaction ($p = 0.001$) between
15 condition and time was observed for TP, with the value at +1 h significantly
16 lower (50%, $p = 0.003$) for SEV (404ms^2) than MOD (810ms^2).

17
18 A main effect for time for SEV was revealed for TP ($p < 0.001$), HF_{ms}^2 ($p <$
19 0.001), LF_{nu} ($p = 0.003$) and HF_{nu} ($p = 0.021$), with post-hoc analysis revealing a
20 significant decrease for TP (64%, $p < 0.001$), HF_{ms}^2 (78%, $p < 0.001$) and HF_{nu}
21 (47%, $p = 0.008$) respectively, and a significant increase for LF_{nu} (42%, $p =$
22 0.007) between -1 h and +1 h, but all of these values had returned to baseline
23 by +24 h. No condition by time interaction was revealed (Table 3).

24

1 No interaction between condition and time, and no main effects for time were
2 revealed for the LF: HF ratio (Table 3).

3

4 **Blood pressure outcomes.** Although no interaction was revealed between
5 condition and time for either systolic or diastolic blood pressures, a main effect
6 for time was revealed for systolic blood pressure for SEV exercise, with post
7 hoc analysis indicating a significant decrease (7%, $p = 0.001$) at +1h compared
8 with -1h but values had returned to baseline by +24 h (Table 4).

9

10

11 **Discussion**

12

13 Although an increasing number of studies have investigated the HRV response
14 following a single bout of exercise, the majority of these studies have included
15 either one exercise intensity, ^{5,8,9,11} or those that have included different
16 intensities ^{7,10,12,17} have not assessed HRV after long term recovery (i.e., >24h).

17 This growing body of evidence is further compounded, for comparative
18 purposes, by the diverse range of exercise bouts and the methodological
19 differences between the studies. Therefore, to our knowledge, the present
20 study is the first to explore the influence of exercise intensity alone on the post-
21 exercise HRV response in a controlled design.

22

23 The findings of the present study suggest that severe intensity exercise reduces
24 indicators of parasympathetic influence on the heart (MNN, SDNN, rMSSD,
25 pNN50, HF, HF_{nu}) and increases an indicator of sympathetic influence on the

1 heart (LF_{nu}) at +1 h following the exercise bout. Interestingly, these changes
2 were observed in the presence of a reduction in systolic blood pressure. All
3 changes were reversed by +24 h, and values remained constant at +24h, +48 h
4 and + 72 h at the baseline (i.e., -1 h) level.

5
6 An increase in sympathetic influence on the heart between 30 min and one-hour
7 post exercise cessation has been noted in a number of studies.^{2,3,7,9} A
8 decrease in parasympathetic influence on the heart between 30 min and one-
9 hour post exercise cessation has also been noted in a number of studies with a
10 decrease in the HF component of the total power spectrum consistently
11 observed.^{2,3,5,7,9} Reductions in other indicators of parasympathetic influence
12 have also been observed, including HF_{nu} ^{3,9} and SDNN.^{2,5}

13
14 It is possible that the elevation in sympathetic influence and accompanying
15 reduction in parasympathetic influence on the heart at one-hour post severe
16 intensity exercise cessation in the present study was a compensatory response
17 to a reduced systolic blood pressure. A reduced systolic blood pressure at one
18 hour following exercise cessation has been reported previously in normotensive
19 participants.²⁸ It is interesting that, even in the presence of an increased
20 sympathetic influence and an associated decrease in MNN in the present study,
21 blood pressure was not restored to baseline values. We cannot be sure that the
22 increased heart rate (i.e., reduced MNN) provided an increased cardiac output,
23 so the shortfall in systolic blood pressure at one hour is either a result of
24 reduced stroke volume (and therefore cardiac output) or reduced vascular
25 resistance. A reduced stroke volume at rest has been reported following a

1 single bout of exercise,²⁹ although typically such reductions have been
2 demonstrated following very prolonged exercise.²⁹ Reduced arterial
3 resistance, as indicated by increased arterial diameter, has also been reported
4 at one-hour post exercise.³⁰

5
6 In many of the previous studies in this area, it is difficult to determine the exact
7 exercise intensity from the information provided, not least due to the lack of data
8 regarding the physiological response to the exercise bout. Perhaps this is not
9 surprising, given that these studies were not primarily designed to compare the
10 responses following exercise of differing intensity. Mouro et al⁷ compared
11 continuous and interval exercise where the work done was fixed at 9.4 kJ.kg⁻¹.
12 In the present study, interval exercise was conducted at 1 km.h⁻¹ below the
13 velocity at VO_{2max} (i.e., severe intensity) and 90% of the velocity at GET (i.e.,
14 moderate intensity), respectively. It was important to ensure that the exercise
15 that took place was genuinely of a moderate and severe intensity respectively,
16 thereby ensuring significantly different exercise intensities in the two conditions.
17 The physiological responses to exercise at these two intensities confirmed the
18 differing nature of the physiological challenge, where 86-93 %HR_{max} was
19 attained in the severe intensity exercise condition as opposed to 68-72 %HR_{max}
20 in the moderate condition. It was considered particularly important to ensure
21 that the moderate intensity condition was completed at a speed below that
22 attained at gas exchange threshold.

23

24 The findings of the present study have implications for practice. In particular, it
25 appears that severe intensity exercise may acutely alter the autonomic

1 influence on the heart post-exercise towards a greater sympathetic influence.
2 This suggests that for the duration of this changed autonomic influence, it is
3 likely that the exercise participant is at an increased risk of cardiac events such
4 as arrhythmias. Although it is well recognised that the acute risks of conducting
5 high intensity exercise are far outweighed by the chronic benefits of regular
6 moderate exercise in the healthy population,³¹ a cautious approach might be
7 necessary in higher risk populations particularly when exercise intensity
8 exceeds a moderate intensity. It is also important to note that in the present
9 study, a moderate intensity exercise bout had no influence on post-exercise
10 HRV at +1 h compared with baseline values. It would therefore appear that
11 moderate intensity exercise (86-93 %HR_{max}) may present less of an acute risk.

12

13 In summary, the findings of the present study demonstrate a clear intensity
14 effect on the post-exercise heart rate variability response, where severe
15 intensity exercise results in a reduced parasympathetic influence on heart
16 rhythm at one hour after exercise cessation. In contrast, moderate intensity
17 exercise results in no change in either sympathetic or parasympathetic
18 influence on the heart. Future studies should explore the time course of the
19 heart rate variability response following severe intensity exercise, particularly
20 between one hour and 24 h following exercise cessation.

21

1

2

3

4

Reference List

5

6

(1) Electrophysiology Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology.

7

The North American Society of Pacing. Heart Rate Variability. Heart Rate

8

Variability : Standards of Measurement, Physiological Interpretation, and

9

Clinical Use. *Circulation* 1996;93:1043-65.

10

(2) Bernardi L, Passino C, Robergs R, Appenzeller O. Acute and persistent

11

effects of a 46-kilometer wilderness trail run at altitude: cardiovascular

12

autonomic modulation and baroreflexes. *Cardiovasc Res* 1997;34:273-

13

80.

14

(3) Furlan R, Piazza S, Dell'Orto S et al. Early and late effects of exercise

15

and athletic training on neural mechanisms controlling heart rate.

16

Cardiovasc Res 1993;27:482-8.

17

(4) Hautala A, Tulppo MP, Makikallio TH, Laukkanen R, Nissila S, Huikuri

18

HV. Changes in cardiac autonomic regulation after prolonged maximal

19

exercise. *Clin Physiol* 2001;21:238-45.

- 1 (5) James DV, Barnes AJ, Lopes P, Wood DM. Heart rate variability:
2 response following a single bout of interval training. *Int J Sports Med*
3 2002;23:247-51.
- 4 (6) James DV, Reynolds LJ, Maldonado-Martin S. Influence of the duration
5 of a treadmill walking bout on heart rate variability at rest in physically
6 active women. *J Phys Act Health* 2010;7:95-101.
- 7 (7) Mourot L, Bouhaddi M, Tordi N, Rouillon JD, Regnard J. Short- and long-
8 term effects of a single bout of exercise on heart rate variability:
9 comparison between constant and interval training exercises. *Eur J Appl*
10 *Physiol* 2004;92:508-17.
- 11 (8) Raczak G, Pinna GD, La Rovere MT et al. Cardiovagal response to
12 acute mild exercise in young healthy subjects. *Circ J* 2005;69:976-80.
- 13 (9) Heffernan KS, Kelly EE, Collier SR, Fernhall B. Cardiac autonomic
14 modulation during recovery from acute endurance versus resistance
15 exercise. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2006;13:80-6.
- 16 (10) Parekh A, Lee CM. Heart rate variability after isocaloric exercise bouts of
17 different intensities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005;37:599-605.

- 1 (11) Pober DM, Braun B, Freedson PS. Effects of a single bout of exercise on
2 resting heart rate variability. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2004;36:1140-8.
- 3 (12) Terziotti P, Schena F, Gulli G, Cevese A. Post-exercise recovery of
4 autonomic cardiovascular control: a study by spectrum and cross-
5 spectrum analysis in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2001;84:187-94.
- 6 (13) Dekker JM, Schouten EG, Klootwijk P, Pool J, Swenne CA, Kromhout D.
7 Heart rate variability from short electrocardiographic recordings predicts
8 mortality from all causes in middle-aged and elderly men. The Zutphen
9 Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997;145:899-908.
- 10 (14) Priori SG, Aliot E, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C et al. Task Force on Sudden
11 Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J*
12 2001;22:1374-450.
- 13 (15) Tsuji H, Larson MG, Venditti FJ, Jr. et al. Impact of reduced heart rate
14 variability on risk for cardiac events. The Framingham Heart Study.
15 *Circulation* 1996;94:2850-5.
- 16 (16) Lown B, Verrier RL. Neural activity and ventricular fibrillation. *N Engl J*
17 *Med* 1976;294:1165-70.

- 1 (17) Seiler S, Haugen O, Kuffel E. Autonomic recovery after exercise in
2 trained athletes: intensity and duration effects. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
3 2007;39:1366-73.
- 4 (18) Strano S, Lino S, Calcagnini G et al. Respiratory sinus arrhythmia and
5 cardiovascular neural regulation in athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
6 1998;30:215-9.
- 7 (19) Forjaz CL, Matsudaira Y, Rodrigues FB, Nunes N, Negrao CE. Post-
8 exercise changes in blood pressure, heart rate and rate pressure product
9 at different exercise intensities in normotensive humans. *Braz J Med Biol*
10 *Res* 1998;31:1247-55.
- 11 (20) Buchfuhrer MJ, Hansen JE, Robinson TE, Sue DY, Wasserman K,
12 Whipp BJ. Optimizing the exercise protocol for cardiopulmonary
13 assessment. *J Appl Physiol* 1983;55:1558-64.
- 14 (21) James DV, Sandals LE, Draper SB, Wood DM. Relationship between
15 maximal oxygen uptake and oxygen uptake attained during treadmill
16 middle-distance running. *J Sports Sci* 2007;25:851-8.
- 17 (22) Niskanen JP, Tarvainen MP, Ranta-Aho PO, Karjalainen PA. Software
18 for advanced HRV analysis. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*
19 2004;76:73-81.

- 1 (23) Winsley RJ, Battersby GL, Cockle HC. Heart rate variability assessment
2 of overreaching in active and sedentary females. *Int J Sports Med*
3 2005;26:768-73.
- 4 (24) Cerutti S, Bianchi AM, Mainardi LT. Spectral Analysis of the Heart Rate
5 Variability Signal. In: Malik M, Camm AJ, eds. *Heart Rate Variability*. NY:
6 Futura Publishing Company 1995:63-74.
- 7 (25) Brenner IK, Thomas S, Shephard RJ. Autonomic regulation of the
8 circulation during exercise and heat exposure. Inferences from heart rate
9 variability. *Sports Med* 1998;26:85-99.
- 10 (26) Pagani M, Lombardi F, Guzzetti S et al. Power spectral analysis of heart
11 rate and arterial pressure variabilities as a marker of sympatho-vagal
12 interaction in man and conscious dog. *Circ Res* 1986;59:178-93.
- 13 (27) Bigger JT, Jr., Fleiss JL, Steinman RC, Rolnitzky LM, Kleiger RE,
14 Rottman JN. Correlations among time and frequency domain measures
15 of heart period variability two weeks after acute myocardial infarction. *Am*
16 *J Cardiol* 1992;69:891-8.
- 17 (28) MacDonald J, MacDougall J, Hogben C. The effects of exercise intensity
18 on post exercise hypotension. *J Hum Hypertens* 1999;13:527-31.

- 1 (29) Whyte GP, George K, Sharma S et al. Cardiac fatigue following
2 prolonged endurance exercise of differing distances. *Med Sci Sports*
3 *Exerc* 2000;32:1067-72.
- 4 (30) Studinger P, Lenard Z, Kovats Z, Kocsis L, Kollai M. Static and dynamic
5 changes in carotid artery diameter in humans during and after strenuous
6 exercise. *J Physiol* 2003;550:575-83.
- 7 (31) Balady GJ, Chaitman B, Driscoll D et al. Recommendations for
8 cardiovascular screening, staffing, and emergency policies at
9 health/fitness facilities. *Circulation* 1998;97:2283-93.
- 10
- 11