

This is a repository copy of *Autism, the Integrations of 'Difference' and the Origins of Modern Human Behaviour*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/8638/>

---

**Article:**

Spikins, Penny [orcid.org/0000-0002-9174-5168](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9174-5168) (2009) *Autism, the Integrations of 'Difference' and the Origins of Modern Human Behaviour*. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. pp. 179-201. ISSN 0959-7743

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774309000262>

---

**Reuse**

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

*promoting access to White Rose research papers*



**Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York**  
**<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>**

---

This is an author produced version of a paper published in **Cambridge Archaeological Journal**.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/8638/>

**Main text and references** for this paper available from:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/8638/>

**Tables and figures** below

---

**Published paper**

Spikins, P. (2009) *Autism, the integrations of 'difference' and the origins of modern human behaviour*. Cambridge Archaeological Journal, 19 (2). pp. 179-201.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0959774309000262>

---

**Table 1. Archaeological traits of 'modern human behaviour'** (after Hensilwood and Marean 2003, McBrearty and Brooks 2000, Bar-Yosef 2002, Mellars, 2005; 2006)

**Social structures and communication mechanisms**

Long-distance exchange networks  
Personal ornamentation  
Symbolic expression and use of pigment  
Notched and incised objects (bone, egg shell, ochre, stone)  
Burials with grave goods, ochre, ritual objects

**Technological changes in terms of adoption of innovative technology, standardisation, and precision in technical artefacts**

New lithic technologies  
'Improved' (more efficient) technology  
Standardisation with formal tool categories  
Complex tool designs eg Hafting and composite tools  
Tools in novel materials eg bone, antler  
Special purpose tools eg projectiles, geometrics  
Increased number of tool categories

**Subsistence changes, particularly with innovative and structured/standardised exploitation patterns**

Increased diet breadth  
Specialised hunting of large, dangerous animals  
Scheduling and seasonality in resource exploitation  
More efficient foraging strategies  
Intensification of resource extraction (aquatic and vegetable)

**Population dynamics**

Increased population densities  
Range of previously unoccupied regions  
Geographic variation in formal categories  
Temporal variation in formal categories  
Long distance procurement and exchange of raw materials  
Curation of exotic raw materials  
Site reoccupation or longer occupation  
Structured use of domestic space  
Regional artefact styles

## Table 2. Diagnostic Criteria for 299.80 Asperger's Disorder

[The following is from American Psychiatric Association *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM IV*]

- (I) Qualitative impairment in social interaction, as manifested by at least two of the following:
  - (A) marked impairments in the use of multiple nonverbal behaviors such as eye-to-eye gaze, facial expression, body posture, and gestures to regulate social interaction
  - (B) failure to develop peer relationships appropriate to developmental level
  - (C) a lack of spontaneous seeking to share enjoyment, interest or achievements with other people, (e.g.. by a lack of showing, bringing, or pointing out objects of interest to other people)
  - (D) lack of social or emotional reciprocity
  
- (II) Restricted repetitive & stereotyped patterns of behavior, interests and activities, as manifested by at least one of the following:
  - (A) encompassing preoccupation with one or more stereotyped and restricted patterns of interest that is abnormal either in intensity or focus
  - (B) apparently inflexible adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals
  - (C) stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms (e.g. hand or finger flapping or twisting, or complex whole-body movements)
  - (D) persistent preoccupation with parts of objects
  
- (III) The disturbance causes clinically significant impairments in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
  
- (IV) There is no clinically significant general delay in language (E.G. single words used by age 2 years, communicative phrases used by age 3 years)
  
- (V) There is no clinically significant delay in cognitive development or in the development of age-appropriate self help skills, adaptive behavior (other than in social interaction) and curiosity about the environment in childhood.
  
- (VI) Criteria are not met for another specific Pervasive Developmental Disorder or Schizophrenia.

### Table 3. Diagnostic Criteria for 299.00 Autistic Disorder

[The following is from American Psychiatric Association *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM IV*]

(I) A total of six (or more) items from (A), (B), and (C), with at least two from (A), and one each from (B) and (C)

(A) qualitative impairment in social interaction, as manifested by at least two of the following:

1. marked impairments in the use of multiple nonverbal behaviors such as eye-to-eye gaze, facial expression, body posture, and gestures to regulate social interaction
2. failure to develop peer relationships appropriate to developmental level
3. a lack of spontaneous seeking to share enjoyment, interests, or achievements with other people, (e.g., by a lack of showing, bringing, or pointing out objects of interest to other people)
4. lack of social or emotional reciprocity ( note: in the description, it gives the following as examples: not actively participating in simple social play or games, preferring solitary activities, or involving others in activities only as tools or "mechanical" aids )

(B) qualitative impairments in communication as manifested by at least one of the following:

1. delay in, or total lack of, the development of spoken language (not accompanied by an attempt to compensate through alternative modes of communication such as gesture or mime)
2. in individuals with adequate speech, marked impairment in the ability to initiate or sustain a conversation with others
3. stereotyped and repetitive use of language or idiosyncratic language
4. lack of varied, spontaneous make-believe play or social imitative play appropriate to developmental level

(C) restricted repetitive and stereotyped patterns of behavior, interests and activities, as manifested by at least two of the following:

1. encompassing preoccupation with one or more stereotyped and restricted patterns of interest that is abnormal either in intensity or focus
2. apparently inflexible adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals
3. stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms (e.g hand or finger flapping or twisting, or complex whole-body movements)
4. persistent preoccupation with parts of objects

(II) Delays or abnormal functioning in at least one of the following areas, with onset prior to age 3 years:

- (A) social interaction
- (B) language as used in social communication
- (C) symbolic or imaginative play

(III) The disturbance is not better accounted for by Rett's Disorder or Childhood Disintegrative Disorder

**Table 4. Characteristics of autistic conditions of particular significance for social roles of individuals on the autistic spectrum**

**Perception/Understanding**

A particular focus on detail (O’Riordan et al 2001, Plaisted et al 1998, Baron Cohen 2006a, 2006b) and abilities to differentiate details within large patterns (‘weak central coherence’ Frith and Happe 1994, Shah 1988)

Sometimes exceptional memory capacities (Attwood 1998)

Literal, rule based understanding (Selfe 1983; Humphrey 1998; Myers et al 2004) of the world, ability to isolate rules and pattern within complex systems (eg engineering or weather patterns, Hermelin 2002, Baron-Cohen et al 2000)

‘Obsessive’ focus on their area of interest (Attwood 1998:15; Ehlers and Gillberg 1993; Gillberg and Gillberg 1989; Tantam 1988)

**Motivation**

Due to deficits in empathy (Attwood 1998:15), particular focus on psychological rewards in other realms than social relationships (Wing 1981; Fitzgerald 2004)

Focus on acquiring knowledge about the natural and physical world (Krevelen and Kuipers 1962; Fitzgerald 2004)

Tendency to social isolation, lack of desire to interact with others (Szatmari et al 1989; Attwood 1998: 25)

**Effects on Others**

Lack of concern/understanding of social norms (Wing 1981; Attwood 1998; Fitzgerald 2004)

Abilities to develop unique insights (Baron-Cohen 2006b: 4)

Desire to create predictable environments and controllable systems (extending to people) (Baron-Cohen and Wheelwright 2004: 253; Attwood 1998)

Misreading of emotional messages, challenges with understanding and communication (Attwood 1998: 25)

Lack of self-doubt, tendency to attempt to force own viewpoint and so create social tensions or be controlling or emotionally damaging (Attwood 1998:25; Fitzgerald 2004: 31; Baron-Cohen 2006c)

Lack of concern for or action on behalf of others, particularly where there are no rules to proscribe this (Ehlers and Gillberg 1993; Gillberg and Gillberg 1989; Fitzgerald 2004).

**Table 5: Archaeological evidence corresponding to key traits illustrating the integration of autistic minds within society**

Integration of autistic individuals and autistic thinking into society	Archaeological expression (in 'modern human behaviour')	Archaeological examples
<i>Mechanisms for integrating 'different minds':</i>		
Material symbolism of complex emotional ties	Rise of personal ornamentation  Elaborate burial	Appearance of body decorations such as shell beads (eg in the Levant, Kuhn et al 2001, or at Blombos Cave, Henshilwood 2004, or in the European Aurignacian White 1993, 1997)  Burials with grave goods, ochre and ritual objects (eg in the Levant at Quafzeh Cave, 90,000 years ago, Hovers et al. 2003)
Clear material clues of meanings	Use of symbolism	Use of red ochre (eg at Blombos cave, Henshilwood 2002 or at Pinnacle Point, Marean et al 2007)
Mechanisms for clear communication/collaboration across different understandings and perceptions (eg 'tit-for-tat' social structures)	Long distance communication with other groups	Exchange of Venus figurines (eg of Venus figures in Europe, Gamble 1999) Long distance raw material movement (eg in South West Europe, Gamble 1999. Marwick 2003)
Mechanisms for dealing with social tensions	Evidence for social rituals and collaborative practices (music, dance, shamanism)  Organised use of space	Evidence for music (eg Mithen 2005) and shamanic practices (eg Lewis Williams 2002)  Widespread distinct spatial organisation (Pettitt 1997, Mellars 1996, though see also Vaquero 1999, Vaquero and Pastó 2001, Speth 2006), widespread structured hearths (Bar-Yosef 2002)
Mechanisms for dealing with controlling, emotionally damaging or dominant behaviour	Mechanisms to counteract dominance	projectile technology such as spear throwers (Bar-Yosef 2002, with long-

		distance combat possibilities, Shea 2003)  group unity, moral emotions and group expulsions or assassinations (Boehm 1993, 1999)
<i>Social roles for individuals with autistic talents</i>		
Inclusion of individuals with unique capacities for understanding physical and mechanical systems	<p>Rise of more efficient technology</p> <p>Development of new technological methods/innovations</p> <p>More complex technological designs</p>	<p>Bladelets, microliths and backing (eg Howiesons Poort technology, Mellars 2005: 17, Aurignacian bladelets in Europe Mellars 2006c) More efficient blade technology (eg 75,00-80,000 in the Levant, Shea 2003)</p> <p>Diversified projectile points (eg in the Levant and Europe, Shea 2003: 183, Knecht 1997, Larsen-Peterken 1993, Bar-Yosef 2002)</p> <p>Use of novel materials (eg bone artefacts at Blombos Cave, Henshilwood et al 2002)</p> <p>Rise of multi-component tools (eg hafted inserts at Klasies River Mouth, Deacon and Deacon 1999)</p> <p>More elaborate and technological use of fire in hearths (Bar-Yosef 2002 )</p> <p>Use of grinding and pounding stones (Wright 1992, Bar-Yosef 2002)</p>
Inclusion of individuals with unique capacities for understanding natural systems	More efficient exploitation patterns	More efficient scheduling of exploitation (eg circulating vs logistical mobility)



	<p>Understanding of behaviourally complex or difficult prey</p> <p>Exploitation of new ecological niches</p> <p>Exploitation of new environments</p>	<p>patterns in the Levant, Lieberman and Shea 1994)</p> <p>Regular exploitation of more dangerous species (eg Cape buffalo and bushpigs at MSA sites in south Africa, Klein 1999)</p> <p>Development of marine exploitation (eg of shellfish at Pinnacle Point, Marean et al 2007)</p> <p>Population regional expansion (eg into Europe, Mellars 2006b) and into more inhospitable environments (Finlayson 2004)</p>
Inclusion of individuals with concern with small precise details	Precise and detailed technological innovations	Precise, detailed designs (eg Howiesons Poort industry, Mellars 2005, Aurignacian bladelets Mellars 2006c)
Inclusion of individuals with concern for 'rules'	<p>Standardisation of tool technology</p> <p>Special purpose tools</p>	<p>Formalised tool types (eg formalised end scrapers at Klasies River Mouth, Singer and Wymer 1983)</p> <p>Eg defined, specific forms (eg new end scraper forms, Klasies River Mouth, Singer and Wymer 1983, Mellars 2005)</p>
Individuals with lack of understanding of social norms	Innovative technological or subsistence methods	Innovative categories of subsistence resources (eg of shellfish at Pinnacle Point, Marean et al 2007)
<i>Population consequences of integrating autistic minds</i>		
Individuals often desiring isolation, and with unique memory capacities	Population expansion, as new lands can be mapped by exploration (refs Mellars 2006)	Genetic evidence for population expansion (Mellars 2006b)
Social conflicts	Splits in populations	Regionally differentiated tools (eg in the European aurignacian and Gravettian,

		Mellars 1989, Gamble 1999, appearances of differences in style, Bar-Yosef 2002)
Biological consequences of increased efficiency in resource exploitation	Increased longevity	Caspari and Lee (2006)
	Reduced trauma through foraging stress on limbs	Davies and Underdown (2006) Underdown (2006)